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Methodology:
This poll was conducted between January 15-January 18, 2021 among a national sample of 2200 Adults. The interviews were conducted online and the data were weighted to approximate a target sample of Adults based on gender, educational attainment, age, race, and region. Results from the full survey have a margin of error of plus or minus 2 percentage points.

## Table Index

1 Table MCBR1_1: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Airlines

2 Table MCBR1_2: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Automotive brands

3 Table MCBR1_3: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Baby food brands

4 Table MCBR1_4: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

5 Table MCBR1_5: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Clothing companies25

6 Table MCBR1_6: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Beauty/cosmetic brands29

7 Table MCBR1_7: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Fast food/casual companies33

8 Table MCBR1_8: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Fitness brands .

9 Table MCBR1_9: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Food delivery companies

10 Table MCBR1_10: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Home improvement brands

11 Table MCBR1_11: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Home-sharing companies49

12 Table MCBR1_12: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Hotels53

13 Table MCBR1_13: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Insurance companies 57

14 Table MCBR1_14: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

15 Table MCBR1_15: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Local businesses .

16 Table MCBR1_16: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Media companies

17 Table MCBR1_17: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Office supply brands

18 Table MCBR1_18: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

19 Table MCBR1_19: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Rental cars

20 Table MCBR1_20: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Ride-hailing companies

21 Table MCBR1_21: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Soda brands

22 Table MCBR1_22: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Software companies93

23 Table MCBR1_23: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Sports leagues .

24 Table MCBR2_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Airlines

25 Table MCBR2_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Automotive brands

26 Table MCBR2_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Baby food brands109

27 Table MCBR2_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

28 Table MCBR2_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Clothing companies

29 Table MCBR2_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Beauty/cosmetic brands

30 Table MCBR2_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Fast food/casual companies

31 Table MCBR2_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Fitness brands

32 Table MCBR2_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Food delivery companies

33 Table MCBR2_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Home improvement brands

34 Table MCBR2_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Home-sharing companies

35 Table MCBR2_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

36 Table MCBR2_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Insurance companies

37 Table MCBR2_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands
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38 Table MCBR2_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Local businesses

39 Table MCBR2_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Media companies

40 Table MCBR2_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Office supply brands

41 Table MCBR2_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

42 Table MCBR2_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Rental cars

43 Table MCBR2_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Ride-hailing companies

44 Table MCBR2_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Soda brands
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47 Table MCBR3_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Airlines

48 Table MCBR3_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Automotive brands

49 Table MCBR3_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Baby food brands

50 Table MCBR3_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions205

51 Table MCBR3_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Clothing companies

52 Table MCBR3_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Beauty/cosmetic brands

53 Table MCBR3_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Fast food/casual companies

54 Table MCBR3_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Fitness brands

55 Table MCBR3_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Food delivery companies

56 Table MCBR3_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Home improvement brands

57 Table MCBR3_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Home-sharing companies

58 Table MCBR3_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

59 Table MCBR3_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Insurance companies
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## Crosstabulation Results by Respondent Demographics

Table MCBR1_1: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Airlines

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (365) | 27\% | (585) | 24\% | (522) | $33 \%$ | (729) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (194) | 29\% | (310) | 23\% | (247) | 29\% | (310) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (171) | 24\% | (274) | 24\% | (274) | 37\% | (418) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (144) | 31\% | (200) | 25\% | (165) | 22\% | (146) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 26\% | (94) | 34\% | (121) | 17\% | (62) | 23\% | (81) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (91) | 24\% | (177) | 24\% | (178) | 41\% | (305) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (36) | 20\% | (87) | 27\% | (117) | 45\% | (196) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 20\% | (65) | 25\% | (83) | 28\% | (91) | 28\% | (92) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (157) | 36\% | (215) | 20\% | (120) | 18\% | (107) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (83) | 26\% | (136) | 21\% | (109) | 38\% | (202) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (53) | 21\% | (137) | 27\% | (179) | 44\% | (291) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (220) | 30\% | (272) | 23\% | (211) | 23\% | (208) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (78) | 30\% | (196) | 23\% | (149) | 35\% | (229) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (67) | 18\% | (117) | 25\% | (161) | 46\% | (291) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 27\% | (113) | 34\% | (142) | 23\% | (98) | 17\% | (71) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 22\% | (107) | 27\% | (130) | 23\% | (113) | 28\% | (137) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (42) | 33\% | (100) | 21\% | (65) | 33\% | (99) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (37) | 28\% | (96) | 24\% | (84) | 37\% | (130) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (39) | 21\% | (68) | 25\% | (85) | 42\% | (140) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (27) | 16\% | (49) | 25\% | (77) | 50\% | (151) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (181) | 32\% | (208) | 20\% | (133) | 20\% | (134) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (89) | 31\% | (202) | 27\% | (171) | 28\% | (182) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (71) | 17\% | (110) | 22\% | (148) | 50\% | (334) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (222) | 25\% | (380) | 25\% | (375) | 35\% | (535) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (77) | 28\% | (125) | 23\% | (104) | $31 \%$ | (138) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (66) | 33\% | (80) | 17\% | (42) | 23\% | (56) | 244 |

[^0]Table MCBR1_1: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Airlines

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (365) | 27\% | (585) | 24\% | (522) | $33 \%$ | (729) | 2200 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (160) | 28\% | (312) | 26\% | (292) | 32\% | (357) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 17\% | (116) | 24\% | (167) | 23\% | (156) | 36\% | (242) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 22\% | (88) | 27\% | (106) | 19\% | (74) | 33\% | (130) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (270) | 25\% | (424) | 24\% | (409) | 36\% | (619) | 1722 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (65) | 32\% | (113) | 24\% | (83) | 25\% | (89) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (68) | 31\% | (86) | 23\% | (63) | 21\% | (57) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 37\% | (75) | 24\% | (49) | 26\% | (53) | 204 |
| All Christian | 16\% | (170) | 26\% | (279) | 23\% | (244) | 35\% | (371) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 38\% | (53) | 23\% | (32) | 20\% | (28) | 143 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (18) | 28\% | (22) | 16\% | (13) | 34\% | (27) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (91) | 25\% | (124) | 26\% | (132) | $31 \%$ | (158) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (57) | 26\% | (106) | 25\% | (100) | 35\% | (145) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 20\% | (32) | 36\% | (57) | 23\% | (36) | 20\% | (32) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 20\% | (134) | 26\% | (177) | 21\% | (143) | 33\% | (224) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | $11 \%$ | (85) | 26\% | (197) | 26\% | (195) | 37\% | (283) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (173) | 33\% | (242) | 24\% | (172) | 19\% | (138) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (135) | 24\% | (220) | 24\% | (220) | 38\% | (359) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (57) | 23\% | (123) | 24\% | (129) | 43\% | (232) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (132) | 28\% | (185) | 21\% | (140) | 30\% | (197) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 28\% | (42) | 31\% | (47) | 20\% | (30) | 22\% | (34) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (30) | 27\% | (48) | $21 \%$ | (38) | 35\% | (62) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 17\% | (20) | 21\% | (24) | 20\% | (24) | 41\% | (47) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 21\% | (29) | 29\% | (41) | 32\% | (45) | 18\% | (25) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (40) | 19\% | (94) | 28\% | (137) | 45\% | (225) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (47) | 30\% | (91) | 28\% | (85) | 27\% | (82) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (24) | 34\% | (54) | 15\% | (24) | 36\% | (56) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (64) | 20\% | (77) | 21\% | (79) | 43\% | (162) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (301) | 28\% | (508) | 24\% | (443) | $31 \%$ | (566) | 1819 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_1: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Airlines

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (365) | 27\% | (585) | 24\% | (522) | $33 \%$ | (729) | 2200 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 20\% | (106) | 30\% | (158) | 22\% | (115) | 28\% | (149) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (259) | 26\% | (427) | 24\% | (406) | 35\% | (579) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (96) | 19\% | (147) | 22\% | (173) | 47\% | (371) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (255) | 31\% | (417) | 24\% | (324) | 25\% | (341) | 1336 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (70) | 16\% | (78) | 17\% | (79) | $52 \%$ | (248) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 22\% | (69) | 30\% | (94) | 39\% | (123) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (31) | 38\% | (102) | 29\% | (79) | 22\% | (58) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $21 \%$ | (223) | 30\% | (315) | 23\% | (245) | 26\% | (282) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (98) | 20\% | (154) | 21\% | (165) | 47\% | (372) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (252) | 30\% | (395) | 25\% | (323) | 26\% | (338) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (68) | 16\% | (78) | 17\% | (80) | $52 \%$ | (246) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (30) | 24\% | (76) | 27\% | (85) | 40\% | (126) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (23) | 30\% | (65) | 33\% | (71) | 26\% | (57) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (228) | 30\% | (330) | 23\% | (252) | 26\% | (281) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (116) | 24\% | (207) | 25\% | (219) | 37\% | (319) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 16\% | (47) | 22\% | (63) | 19\% | (56) | 43\% | (123) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (78) | 36\% | (126) | 22\% | (76) | 20\% | (71) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (34) | 20\% | (53) | 28\% | (74) | 40\% | (106) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 26\% | (26) | 37\% | (36) | 18\% | (18) | 19\% | (19) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 20\% | (23) | 32\% | (36) | 22\% | (25) | 25\% | (28) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (20) | 28\% | (23) | 23\% | (19) | 24\% | (20) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 16\% | (22) | 30\% | (42) | 25\% | (35) | 30\% | (42) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (235) | 33\% | (337) | 23\% | (233) | $21 \%$ | (220) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (59) | 15\% | (101) | 23\% | (153) | 53\% | (354) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 23\% | (20) | 33\% | (28) | 36\% | (31) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (64) | 30\% | (127) | 25\% | (107) | 29\% | (123) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 24\% | (183) | 32\% | (247) | 22\% | (173) | 22\% | (171) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (54) | 17\% | (95) | 20\% | (114) | 53\% | (295) | 558 |
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Table MCBR1_1: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Airlines

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (365) | 27\% | (585) | 24\% | (522) | $33 \%$ | (729) | 2200 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (169) | 32\% | (224) | 23\% | (161) | 21\% | (150) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (65) | 18\% | (114) | $21 \%$ | (133) | 52\% | (334) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 33\% | (28) | $31 \%$ | (27) | 28\% | (24) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (124) | 29\% | (218) | 26\% | (197) | 29\% | (220) | 759 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (205) | 25\% | (309) | 22\% | (263) | $36 \%$ | (439) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (160) | 28\% | (276) | 26\% | (259) | 29\% | (289) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 22\% | (86) | 29\% | (116) | 21\% | (82) | 28\% | (110) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 15\% | (70) | 25\% | (116) | 25\% | (116) | 35\% | (161) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | $14 \%$ | (113) | 23\% | (187) | 27\% | (219) | 37\% | (306) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (96) | 32\% | (166) | 20\% | (105) | 29\% | (152) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_2: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (359) | 28\% | (607) | 22\% | (494) | 34\% | (740) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (209) | 28\% | (301) | $21 \%$ | (225) | $31 \%$ | (327) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 13\% | (150) | 27\% | (307) | 24\% | (268) | 36\% | (413) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (144) | $31 \%$ | (202) | 24\% | (160) | 23\% | (149) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 25\% | (89) | 35\% | (124) | 18\% | (63) | 23\% | (82) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (89) | 25\% | (190) | 21\% | (160) | 42\% | (312) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (37) | 21\% | (91) | 25\% | (110) | 45\% | (197) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 20\% | (66) | 28\% | (92) | 28\% | (91) | 25\% | (83) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 25\% | (151) | 35\% | (209) | 20\% | (121) | 20\% | (118) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 14\% | (77) | 30\% | (157) | 17\% | (91) | 39\% | (206) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (54) | 22\% | (143) | 25\% | (163) | 45\% | (299) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (209) | $32 \%$ | (290) | 23\% | (208) | 22\% | (204) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (81) | 28\% | (185) | 23\% | (148) | 36\% | (238) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 21\% | (132) | 22\% | (138) | 47\% | (298) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (118) | $32 \%$ | (137) | 22\% | (95) | 17\% | (74) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (91) | $31 \%$ | (153) | 23\% | (114) | 27\% | (131) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (48) | 29\% | (88) | 19\% | (58) | 37\% | (112) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (33) | 28\% | (97) | 26\% | (90) | 36\% | (126) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (43) | 23\% | (75) | 22\% | (73) | $43 \%$ | (141) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (27) | 19\% | (56) | 21\% | (65) | 51\% | (156) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (178) | 33\% | (213) | 20\% | (134) | 20\% | (130) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 13\% | (82) | $32 \%$ | (204) | 26\% | (169) | 29\% | (190) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (77) | 18\% | (120) | 22\% | (143) | 49\% | (323) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | $14 \%$ | (217) | 27\% | (405) | 23\% | (343) | $36 \%$ | (547) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (77) | 28\% | (123) | 24\% | (108) | $31 \%$ | (136) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (65) | $32 \%$ | (79) | 17\% | (42) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (153) | 30\% | (333) | 23\% | (262) | 33\% | (373) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 17\% | (114) | 25\% | (169) | 24\% | (160) | 35\% | (238) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 23\% | (93) | 27\% | (106) | 18\% | (71) | 32\% | (129) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (267) | 25\% | (439) | 23\% | (391) | 36\% | (626) | 1722 |

[^1]Table MCBR1_2: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (359) | 28\% | (607) | 22\% | (494) | 34\% | (740) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (78) | $31 \%$ | (108) | 21\% | (72) | 26\% | (92) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 23\% | (64) | 36\% | (98) | 20\% | (56) | 21\% | (57) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 35\% | (71) | 23\% | (47) | 28\% | (57) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (176) | 26\% | (279) | 21\% | (227) | 36\% | (382) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 22\% | (31) | 35\% | (49) | 23\% | (32) | $21 \%$ | (30) | 143 |
| Atheist | 20\% | (16) | 35\% | (28) | 20\% | (16) | 26\% | (21) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (87) | 27\% | (139) | 25\% | (127) | 30\% | (154) | 506 |
| Something Else | 12\% | (50) | 28\% | (113) | 22\% | (92) | 38\% | (153) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (33) | 34\% | (54) | 21\% | (33) | 25\% | (39) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 19\% | (130) | 28\% | (187) | 20\% | (138) | $33 \%$ | (223) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (90) | 26\% | (195) | 23\% | (178) | 39\% | (296) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (173) | 35\% | (255) | 21\% | (151) | 20\% | (145) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (122) | 25\% | (233) | 24\% | (224) | 38\% | (356) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (64) | $22 \%$ | (119) | 22\% | (119) | 44\% | (239) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (128) | 30\% | (196) | 19\% | (126) | $31 \%$ | (204) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (41) | 30\% | (46) | 22\% | (33) | 22\% | (34) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (33) | 25\% | (45) | 22\% | (39) | 34\% | (61) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 21\% | (24) | 22\% | (25) | 17\% | (20) | 40\% | (46) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | $21 \%$ | (29) | 33\% | (46) | 26\% | (36) | 21\% | (29) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (38) | $22 \%$ | (107) | 25\% | (124) | 46\% | (227) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 17\% | (51) | 29\% | (89) | 28\% | (84) | 26\% | (80) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (15) | $33 \%$ | (52) | 20\% | (32) | 37\% | (58) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 18\% | (67) | $21 \%$ | (79) | 19\% | (74) | 42\% | (162) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (292) | 29\% | (528) | 23\% | (420) | $32 \%$ | (578) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 22\% | (114) | $31 \%$ | (166) | 19\% | (98) | 28\% | (150) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (245) | 26\% | (441) | 24\% | (395) | 35\% | (590) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (92) | $21 \%$ | (167) | 20\% | (154) | 47\% | (374) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (255) | $31 \%$ | (418) | $24 \%$ | (316) | 26\% | (346) | 1336 |

[^2]Table MCBR1_2: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (359) | 28\% | (607) | 22\% | (494) | $34 \%$ | (740) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (70) | 19\% | (91) | 14\% | (67) | $52 \%$ | (247) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (21) | 24\% | (76) | 28\% | (87) | 41\% | (126) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (38) | 38\% | (103) | 26\% | (71) | 22\% | (59) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (217) | 30\% | (316) | 23\% | (246) | 27\% | (287) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (98) | 21\% | (165) | 19\% | (153) | 47\% | (373) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (246) | $31 \%$ | (400) | 24\% | (317) | 26\% | (346) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (69) | 18\% | (86) | 15\% | (71) | 52\% | (245) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (29) | 25\% | (79) | 26\% | (81) | 40\% | (128) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (26) | 33\% | (70) | 25\% | (55) | 30\% | (64) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (220) | 30\% | (329) | 24\% | (262) | 26\% | (281) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (107) | 25\% | (218) | 24\% | (207) | 38\% | (328) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 16\% | (48) | 20\% | (58) | 17\% | (49) | 47\% | (134) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (77) | 37\% | (129) | 22\% | (78) | 19\% | (67) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (38) | 24\% | (63) | 25\% | (66) | 37\% | (99) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (18) | 37\% | (36) | 26\% | (25) | 19\% | (18) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (23) | 37\% | (41) | 16\% | (17) | 27\% | (30) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 29\% | (24) | 23\% | (19) | 28\% | (23) | 20\% | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 17\% | (24) | 30\% | (43) | 20\% | (29) | 32\% | (46) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (228) | 34\% | (344) | 22\% | (226) | 22\% | (226) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (65) | 16\% | (108) | 21\% | (143) | 53\% | (350) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 10\% | (9) | 15\% | (13) | 43\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (26) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (56) | 34\% | (141) | 21\% | (87) | 32\% | (136) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (169) | $31 \%$ | (244) | 24\% | (185) | 23\% | (177) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (55) | 17\% | (97) | 20\% | (109) | $53 \%$ | (297) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (158) | 33\% | (231) | 22\% | (158) | 22\% | (156) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (64) | 18\% | (116) | 21\% | (136) | $51 \%$ | (331) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 25\% | (21) | 37\% | (32) | $31 \%$ | (26) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (130) | $31 \%$ | (236) | $22 \%$ | (167) | 30\% | (227) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_2: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (359) | 28\% | (607) | 22\% | (494) | $34 \%$ | (740) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (204) | 25\% | (298) | 22\% | (269) | 37\% | (445) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (155) | $31 \%$ | (309) | 23\% | (225) | 30\% | (295) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 20\% | (79) | $31 \%$ | (123) | 19\% | (74) | 30\% | (118) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 14\% | (63) | 27\% | (126) | 24\% | (110) | 35\% | (163) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 15\% | (121) | 23\% | (192) | 25\% | (204) | 37\% | (306) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (96) | 32\% | (166) | 20\% | (105) | 29\% | (152) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_3: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (351) | 24\% | (523) | 24\% | (536) | 36\% | (790) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (189) | 25\% | (270) | 24\% | (257) | 33\% | (346) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (163) | 22\% | (253) | 24\% | (279) | 39\% | (444) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 23\% | (150) | 27\% | (178) | 25\% | (164) | 25\% | (163) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 22\% | (80) | 30\% | (108) | 22\% | (78) | 26\% | (92) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (89) | 22\% | (162) | 23\% | (173) | 43\% | (327) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (33) | 17\% | (74) | 28\% | (120) | 48\% | (209) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 23\% | (76) | 24\% | (80) | 26\% | (87) | 27\% | (88) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 24\% | (141) | $31 \%$ | (185) | 23\% | (139) | 22\% | (134) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (78) | 24\% | (128) | 21\% | (111) | 40\% | (213) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 7\% | (48) | 18\% | (119) | 27\% | (178) | 48\% | (314) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (184) | 29\% | (268) | 25\% | (225) | 26\% | (235) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (96) | 23\% | (150) | 24\% | (155) | 38\% | (250) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (71) | 16\% | (105) | 24\% | (156) | 48\% | (305) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 24\% | (100) | $32 \%$ | (137) | 25\% | (104) | 20\% | (83) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 17\% | (84) | 27\% | (131) | 25\% | (121) | $31 \%$ | (151) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (48) | 25\% | (77) | 22\% | (67) | 37\% | (113) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 14\% | (48) | 21\% | (73) | 25\% | (88) | 40\% | (137) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (41) | 17\% | (57) | 26\% | (86) | 45\% | (149) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 10\% | (30) | 16\% | (48) | 23\% | (70) | 51\% | (156) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (160) | 28\% | (183) | 24\% | (159) | 23\% | (153) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 13\% | (83) | 28\% | (181) | 27\% | (177) | 32\% | (204) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (69) | 15\% | (100) | 23\% | (155) | 51\% | (338) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (217) | 23\% | (345) | 24\% | (368) | 38\% | (582) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (71) | 24\% | (107) | 28\% | (122) | 32\% | (143) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 26\% | (63) | 29\% | (72) | 18\% | (45) | 27\% | (65) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (161) | 25\% | (278) | 26\% | (288) | 35\% | (395) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 16\% | (112) | 22\% | (152) | 23\% | (158) | 38\% | (259) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 20\% | (79) | 23\% | (93) | 22\% | (89) | 34\% | (136) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (256) | 22\% | (374) | 25\% | (426) | 39\% | (665) | 1722 |

[^3]Table MCBR1_3: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (351) | $24 \%$ | (523) | 24\% | (536) | $36 \%$ | (790) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (68) | 26\% | (91) | 25\% | (87) | 30\% | (104) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 22\% | (61) | 32\% | (87) | 24\% | (67) | 22\% | (60) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (35) | 30\% | (62) | 21\% | (43) | 32\% | (65) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (157) | 23\% | (240) | 25\% | (264) | 38\% | (403) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 24\% | (34) | $33 \%$ | (46) | 24\% | (35) | 19\% | (27) | 143 |
| Atheist | 25\% | (20) | $24 \%$ | (19) | 19\% | (15) | 32\% | (26) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (89) | $24 \%$ | (122) | 24\% | (123) | 34\% | (172) | 506 |
| Something Else | 13\% | (52) | 23\% | (95) | 25\% | (100) | 40\% | (161) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (36) | 32\% | (50) | 25\% | (39) | 21\% | (33) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (120) | 25\% | (169) | 22\% | (147) | $36 \%$ | (243) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (84) | 20\% | (155) | 28\% | (210) | 41\% | (312) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (171) | 28\% | (200) | 27\% | (192) | 22\% | (162) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (111) | 23\% | (213) | 24\% | (222) | 42\% | (388) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (69) | 20\% | (110) | 23\% | (122) | 44\% | (240) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (122) | 26\% | (172) | 21\% | (137) | 34\% | (223) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (36) | 26\% | (40) | 25\% | (38) | 25\% | (39) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (31) | $24 \%$ | (42) | 24\% | (43) | 35\% | (62) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 21\% | (24) | 18\% | (21) | 17\% | (19) | 44\% | (50) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 22\% | (31) | 27\% | (38) | 28\% | (39) | 22\% | (31) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 7\% | (36) | 18\% | (90) | 26\% | (131) | 48\% | (240) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (44) | 28\% | (85) | 28\% | (86) | 29\% | (89) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 17\% | (26) | $21 \%$ | (34) | 27\% | (43) | 35\% | (56) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (57) | 19\% | (73) | 21\% | (78) | 45\% | (173) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (294) | 25\% | (450) | 25\% | (457) | $34 \%$ | (617) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 24\% | (125) | 25\% | (133) | 21\% | (111) | 30\% | (159) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (226) | 23\% | (390) | 25\% | (425) | 38\% | (630) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (117) | 15\% | (119) | 22\% | (174) | 48\% | (377) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (218) | 29\% | (384) | 26\% | (343) | 29\% | (391) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_3: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (351) | 24\% | (523) | 24\% | (536) | 36\% | (790) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 17\% | (79) | 14\% | (68) | 17\% | (83) | 52\% | (246) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 12\% | (38) | 16\% | (50) | 29\% | (91) | 42\% | (132) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (31) | 35\% | (96) | 26\% | (70) | 27\% | (73) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 18\% | (187) | 27\% | (288) | 26\% | (272) | 30\% | (318) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (126) | 15\% | (116) | 22\% | (170) | 48\% | (377) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (211) | 28\% | (371) | 26\% | (339) | 30\% | (387) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (85) | 14\% | (66) | 16\% | (75) | 52\% | (246) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (41) | 16\% | (49) | 30\% | (96) | 41\% | (131) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (26) | 30\% | (65) | 24\% | (53) | 34\% | (73) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (185) | 28\% | (306) | 26\% | (287) | 29\% | (315) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (114) | 21\% | (178) | 25\% | (215) | 41\% | (353) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 19\% | (56) | 17\% | (48) | 21\% | (61) | 43\% | (123) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (69) | 35\% | (123) | 20\% | (71) | 25\% | (88) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (33) | 19\% | (50) | 28\% | (75) | 41\% | (109) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 19\% | (19) | 27\% | (27) | 34\% | (33) | 19\% | (19) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (19) | 30\% | (34) | 24\% | (27) | 29\% | (32) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (20) | 20\% | (16) | 36\% | (29) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 16\% | (22) | 33\% | (47) | 16\% | (23) | $34 \%$ | (49) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 20\% | (202) | 30\% | (310) | 25\% | (254) | 25\% | (259) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (68) | 14\% | (95) | 22\% | (147) | 53\% | (356) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 11\% | (9) | 12\% | (10) | 34\% | (28) | 43\% | (37) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (72) | 26\% | (108) | 25\% | (105) | $32 \%$ | (137) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (151) | 30\% | (229) | 23\% | (181) | 28\% | (213) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (56) | 14\% | (79) | 22\% | (121) | 54\% | (302) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 19\% | (137) | $31 \%$ | (215) | 24\% | (168) | 26\% | (183) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (73) | 15\% | (99) | 21\% | (137) | 52\% | (339) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 19\% | (17) | 32\% | (28) | 42\% | (36) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (135) | 25\% | (189) | 27\% | (203) | $31 \%$ | (232) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_3: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (351) | 24\% | (523) | 24\% | (536) | 36\% | (790) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (180) | 23\% | (276) | 23\% | (282) | 39\% | (478) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 17\% | (172) | 25\% | (247) | 26\% | (254) | 32\% | (312) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 19\% | (77) | 28\% | (109) | 19\% | (76) | 33\% | (132) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 14\% | (64) | 21\% | (99) | 29\% | (133) | 36\% | (167) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 14\% | (115) | 22\% | (184) | 24\% | (201) | 39\% | (324) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (96) | 25\% | (132) | 24\% | (126) | 32\% | (167) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_4: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (413) | 27\% | (601) | 22\% | (474) | 32\% | (712) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 21\% | (227) | 29\% | (309) | 21\% | (223) | 29\% | (303) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (186) | 26\% | (292) | 22\% | (251) | $36 \%$ | (409) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 26\% | (168) | 35\% | (226) | 20\% | (131) | 20\% | (129) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 29\% | (103) | 30\% | (107) | 19\% | (67) | 23\% | (81) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (100) | 23\% | (173) | 24\% | (180) | 40\% | (297) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (42) | 22\% | (94) | 22\% | (96) | 47\% | (205) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 23\% | (77) | $33 \%$ | (108) | 23\% | (77) | 21\% | (70) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 30\% | (178) | 34\% | (205) | 17\% | (104) | 19\% | (112) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 17\% | (89) | 25\% | (130) | 22\% | (118) | 36\% | (194) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (61) | 22\% | (146) | 23\% | (155) | 45\% | (298) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 26\% | (240) | $31 \%$ | (281) | 21\% | (192) | 22\% | (199) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 16\% | (102) | 29\% | (192) | 21\% | (136) | 34\% | (223) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 20\% | (129) | 23\% | (146) | 46\% | (291) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $32 \%$ | (136) | 34\% | (142) | 19\% | (79) | 16\% | (66) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 21\% | (103) | 28\% | (138) | 23\% | (113) | 27\% | (133) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (48) | 30\% | (93) | 22\% | (66) | $32 \%$ | (99) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 16\% | (54) | 29\% | (99) | 20\% | (70) | 36\% | (124) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (43) | 22\% | (74) | 23\% | (77) | 42\% | (138) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (28) | 18\% | (55) | 22\% | (68) | 50\% | (153) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 30\% | (199) | $31 \%$ | (200) | 19\% | (123) | 20\% | (133) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 17\% | (107) | $31 \%$ | (199) | 25\% | (158) | 28\% | (180) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | $11 \%$ | (75) | 19\% | (123) | 22\% | (145) | 48\% | (320) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (246) | 27\% | (408) | 23\% | (342) | 34\% | (516) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 21\% | (91) | 27\% | (120) | 22\% | (99) | 30\% | (134) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | $31 \%$ | (76) | 30\% | (73) | 14\% | (34) | 26\% | (62) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (189) | 30\% | (334) | 23\% | (256) | 31\% | (342) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 19\% | (128) | 24\% | (164) | 22\% | (150) | 35\% | (239) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 24\% | (96) | 26\% | (103) | 17\% | (68) | 33\% | (130) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 17\% | (300) | 26\% | (440) | 22\% | (377) | 35\% | (605) | 1722 |

[^4]Table MCBR1_4: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (413) | 27\% | (601) | 22\% | (474) | $32 \%$ | (712) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 26\% | (92) | 28\% | (98) | 22\% | (77) | 24\% | (82) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (70) | 34\% | (93) | 21\% | (57) | 20\% | (55) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 21\% | (43) | 34\% | (69) | 20\% | (40) | 26\% | (52) | 204 |
| All Christian | 19\% | (198) | 26\% | (277) | 21\% | (220) | 35\% | (370) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (37) | 35\% | (50) | 18\% | (26) | 21\% | (29) | 143 |
| Atheist | 23\% | (18) | 40\% | (32) | 21\% | (16) | 16\% | (13) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (93) | 27\% | (138) | 23\% | (117) | $31 \%$ | (157) | 506 |
| Something Else | 16\% | (66) | 26\% | (104) | 23\% | (95) | 35\% | (143) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 26\% | (41) | 34\% | (53) | 18\% | (28) | 23\% | (36) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 21\% | (141) | 27\% | (183) | 19\% | (130) | $33 \%$ | (224) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 15\% | (115) | 25\% | (188) | 24\% | (181) | 36\% | (276) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (199) | 33\% | (237) | 21\% | (150) | 19\% | (140) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (145) | 24\% | (223) | 23\% | (218) | $37 \%$ | (348) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (68) | 26\% | (142) | 20\% | (107) | 41\% | (224) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 22\% | (146) | 28\% | (186) | 19\% | (127) | 30\% | (194) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 29\% | (45) | 31\% | (47) | 19\% | (28) | 22\% | (33) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 21\% | (37) | 27\% | (47) | 18\% | (32) | 35\% | (63) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 22\% | (25) | 19\% | (22) | 19\% | (21) | 40\% | (46) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 25\% | (35) | 29\% | (41) | 28\% | (39) | 18\% | (25) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (50) | 21\% | (105) | 23\% | (115) | 46\% | (227) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (46) | 32\% | (97) | 29\% | (88) | 24\% | (74) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 19\% | (29) | 35\% | (55) | 15\% | (24) | $32 \%$ | (50) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (71) | 21\% | (82) | 19\% | (71) | 41\% | (157) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 19\% | (342) | 29\% | (520) | 22\% | (403) | 30\% | (555) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 25\% | (135) | 29\% | (154) | 19\% | (100) | 26\% | (140) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 17\% | (278) | 27\% | (447) | 22\% | (374) | 34\% | (572) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 14\% | (112) | 19\% | (148) | 21\% | (166) | 46\% | (360) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 21\% | (281) | 32\% | (431) | $22 \%$ | (294) | 25\% | (330) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_4: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (413) | 27\% | (601) | 22\% | (474) | $32 \%$ | (712) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 16\% | (77) | 16\% | (75) | 18\% | (84) | 50\% | (240) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (35) | 24\% | (74) | 27\% | (82) | 38\% | (119) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (38) | 41\% | (110) | 26\% | (71) | 19\% | (52) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 23\% | (243) | 30\% | (322) | 21\% | (223) | 26\% | (278) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (117) | 20\% | (154) | 21\% | (163) | 45\% | (355) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (275) | 32\% | (414) | 22\% | (286) | 26\% | (334) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (74) | 17\% | (78) | 17\% | (80) | 51\% | (239) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | $14 \%$ | (43) | 24\% | (76) | 26\% | (82) | 37\% | (116) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $14 \%$ | (30) | 35\% | (75) | 24\% | (52) | 27\% | (59) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (244) | 31\% | (339) | $21 \%$ | (234) | 25\% | (275) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (129) | 25\% | (213) | 24\% | (205) | 36\% | (313) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (50) | 22\% | (65) | 17\% | (48) | 44\% | (126) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 26\% | (93) | 33\% | (114) | 20\% | (69) | 21\% | (75) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (36) | 25\% | (67) | 26\% | (70) | 35\% | (94) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 24\% | (23) | 44\% | (43) | 17\% | (17) | 15\% | (15) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 26\% | (29) | 31\% | (35) | 20\% | (22) | 23\% | (26) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 26\% | (21) | $31 \%$ | (25) | 22\% | (18) | 22\% | (18) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 22\% | (31) | 28\% | (40) | 18\% | (25) | 32\% | (45) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 25\% | (260) | 32\% | (331) | 21\% | (211) | 22\% | (222) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (68) | 17\% | (116) | 21\% | (139) | 52\% | (344) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 10\% | (9) | 24\% | (21) | 32\% | (27) | 34\% | (28) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (76) | 32\% | (133) | 23\% | (95) | 28\% | (116) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (192) | $31 \%$ | (236) | 21\% | (163) | 24\% | (183) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (61) | 19\% | (105) | 18\% | (98) | 53\% | (293) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 25\% | (174) | 33\% | (229) | 20\% | (140) | 23\% | (160) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (74) | 19\% | (120) | 20\% | (128) | 50\% | (326) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 27\% | (24) | 31\% | (27) | 32\% | (28) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 20\% | (154) | 30\% | (227) | 24\% | (179) | 26\% | (198) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_4: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $19 \%$ | $(413)$ | $27 \%$ | $(601)$ | $22 \%$ | $(474)$ | $32 \%$ | $(712)$ | Very inappropriate |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_5: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Clothing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (381) | 27\% | (588) | 23\% | (513) | $33 \%$ | (718) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (204) | 27\% | (288) | 23\% | (248) | 30\% | (321) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (177) | 26\% | (299) | 23\% | (265) | 35\% | (397) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 23\% | (150) | 34\% | (224) | 23\% | (148) | 21\% | (134) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 28\% | (102) | 28\% | (100) | 21\% | (76) | 22\% | (80) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (94) | 24\% | (179) | 23\% | (176) | 40\% | (302) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (36) | 19\% | (85) | 26\% | (113) | 46\% | (202) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 23\% | (75) | 30\% | (99) | 24\% | (78) | 24\% | (79) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 27\% | (163) | 34\% | (202) | 21\% | (125) | 18\% | (109) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (79) | 26\% | (137) | 23\% | (120) | 37\% | (195) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (56) | $21 \%$ | (139) | 25\% | (165) | 45\% | (299) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (219) | 32\% | (291) | 23\% | (207) | 21\% | (195) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (87) | 28\% | (181) | 23\% | (151) | 36\% | (234) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 12\% | (76) | 18\% | (116) | 24\% | (155) | 45\% | (289) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (120) | 32\% | (137) | 23\% | (99) | 16\% | (69) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (99) | 32\% | (155) | 22\% | (108) | 26\% | (126) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (42) | 29\% | (89) | 23\% | (69) | 35\% | (106) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (45) | 26\% | (92) | 24\% | (82) | 37\% | (128) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (43) | 19\% | (63) | 24\% | (80) | 44\% | (147) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 11\% | (33) | 17\% | (53) | 25\% | (75) | 47\% | (143) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (184) | 35\% | (227) | 19\% | (122) | 19\% | (121) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (87) | 28\% | (177) | 29\% | (188) | 30\% | (191) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (82) | 15\% | (100) | 23\% | (155) | 49\% | (326) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (231) | 26\% | (394) | 24\% | (357) | 35\% | (530) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (82) | 27\% | (118) | 26\% | (113) | 29\% | (130) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (68) | $31 \%$ | (76) | 18\% | (43) | 23\% | (57) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (173) | 29\% | (328) | 24\% | (271) | 31\% | (349) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 18\% | (120) | 23\% | (155) | 24\% | (163) | 36\% | (243) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 22\% | (88) | 26\% | (105) | 20\% | (79) | 32\% | (126) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 17\% | (285) | 24\% | (410) | 24\% | (415) | 36\% | (612) | 1722 |

[^5]Table MCBR1_5: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (381) | 27\% | (588) | 23\% | (513) | 33\% | (718) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (74) | 33\% | (117) | 21\% | (74) | 24\% | (84) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (69) | 35\% | (97) | 20\% | (56) | 19\% | (52) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (28) | 40\% | (81) | 20\% | (42) | 26\% | (54) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (182) | 25\% | (261) | 23\% | (246) | 35\% | (375) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 25\% | (35) | 34\% | (49) | 22\% | (31) | 19\% | (27) | 143 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (17) | 40\% | (32) | 17\% | (13) | 23\% | (18) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (88) | 27\% | (134) | 26\% | (129) | 30\% | (154) | 506 |
| Something Else | 15\% | (60) | 27\% | (112) | 23\% | (93) | 35\% | (143) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (37) | 34\% | (54) | 22\% | (35) | 20\% | (32) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 20\% | (136) | 27\% | (181) | 21\% | (140) | $33 \%$ | (221) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 13\% | (98) | 24\% | (180) | 25\% | (193) | 38\% | (288) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 25\% | (184) | 35\% | (253) | 20\% | (146) | 20\% | (142) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (134) | 23\% | (210) | 26\% | (244) | 37\% | (346) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (64) | 23\% | (125) | 23\% | (123) | 42\% | (230) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 21\% | (139) | 27\% | (175) | 20\% | (132) | 32\% | (208) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 28\% | (43) | 30\% | (46) | 19\% | (29) | 23\% | (36) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 18\% | (33) | 29\% | (51) | 18\% | (32) | 35\% | (62) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 26\% | (29) | 19\% | (22) | 21\% | (24) | 35\% | (40) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 21\% | (30) | 37\% | (53) | 24\% | (34) | 17\% | (24) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (41) | 19\% | (96) | 28\% | (138) | 45\% | (221) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (46) | $31 \%$ | (94) | 30\% | (91) | 24\% | (72) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 13\% | (21) | 32\% | (51) | 21\% | (33) | 34\% | (54) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (66) | 22\% | (83) | 19\% | (73) | 42\% | (160) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (316) | 28\% | (505) | 24\% | (440) | $31 \%$ | (558) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (120) | 32\% | (167) | 19\% | (99) | 27\% | (143) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (262) | 25\% | (421) | 25\% | (414) | 34\% | (575) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 14\% | (113) | 18\% | (143) | 21\% | (168) | 46\% | (362) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (255) | 32\% | (421) | $24 \%$ | (322) | 25\% | (339) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_5: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (381) | 27\% | (588) | 23\% | (513) | $33 \%$ | (718) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 18\% | (85) | 15\% | (71) | 17\% | (80) | 50\% | (239) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (28) | 23\% | (72) | 28\% | (88) | 39\% | (123) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (37) | 34\% | (93) | 29\% | (79) | 23\% | (62) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (218) | $31 \%$ | (328) | 23\% | (243) | 26\% | (277) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (123) | 17\% | (136) | 22\% | (170) | 46\% | (360) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (243) | 31\% | (405) | 24\% | (319) | 26\% | (340) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (90) | 15\% | (69) | 15\% | (73) | 51\% | (240) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (33) | 21\% | (67) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 38\% | (120) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (28) | 30\% | (65) | 24\% | (53) | 32\% | (70) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (215) | $31 \%$ | (340) | 24\% | (267) | 25\% | (271) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (111) | 24\% | (206) | 26\% | (222) | 37\% | (321) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 21\% | (60) | 20\% | (57) | 16\% | (47) | 43\% | (124) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (79) | 34\% | (121) | 24\% | (83) | 19\% | (68) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (30) | 24\% | (64) | 27\% | (72) | 38\% | (100) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (14) | 47\% | (46) | $21 \%$ | (20) | 18\% | (17) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 24\% | (27) | 28\% | (31) | 22\% | (25) | 25\% | (28) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 31\% | (26) | 27\% | (22) | 22\% | (18) | 20\% | (16) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (34) | 28\% | (40) | 18\% | (25) | 30\% | (43) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (235) | 33\% | (336) | 23\% | (238) | 21\% | (216) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (73) | 15\% | (102) | 22\% | (147) | 52\% | (344) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 21\% | (18) | 42\% | (35) | 30\% | (25) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (66) | $31 \%$ | (131) | 22\% | (92) | 31\% | (131) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (176) | $31 \%$ | (242) | 24\% | (186) | 22\% | (170) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (60) | 14\% | (80) | 22\% | (121) | 53\% | (296) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (167) | $31 \%$ | (219) | 24\% | (168) | 21\% | (150) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (72) | 17\% | (107) | 22\% | (144) | 50\% | (324) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 11\% | (9) | 23\% | (19) | 32\% | (28) | 35\% | (30) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (129) | 32\% | (243) | 23\% | (174) | 28\% | (214) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_5: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (381) | 27\% | (588) | 23\% | (513) | 33\% | (718) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (208) | 24\% | (291) | 23\% | (279) | 36\% | (439) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 18\% | (173) | 30\% | (297) | 24\% | (235) | 28\% | (279) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 24\% | (93) | 27\% | (107) | 19\% | (76) | 30\% | (117) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 13\% | (62) | 26\% | (122) | 26\% | (120) | 34\% | (158) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 16\% | (131) | 22\% | (184) | 26\% | (215) | 36\% | (295) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 18\% | (95) | 33\% | (174) | 20\% | (103) | 28\% | (148) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_6: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (353) | 27\% | (591) | 24\% | (521) | 33\% | (736) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (169) | 30\% | (314) | 24\% | (257) | 30\% | (322) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (184) | 24\% | (277) | 23\% | (264) | $36 \%$ | (413) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (141) | $33 \%$ | (217) | 23\% | (151) | 22\% | (145) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 24\% | (87) | 29\% | (103) | 21\% | (76) | 26\% | (91) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | $11 \%$ | (84) | 25\% | (188) | 23\% | (173) | 41\% | (307) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (41) | 19\% | (82) | 28\% | (120) | 44\% | (193) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 21\% | (71) | 29\% | (96) | 24\% | (80) | 25\% | (84) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 24\% | (145) | $34 \%$ | (205) | 22\% | (131) | 20\% | (118) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 13\% | (68) | 28\% | (150) | 21\% | (111) | 38\% | (203) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (56) | 20\% | (132) | 26\% | (174) | 45\% | (297) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (203) | 33\% | (299) | 22\% | (204) | 23\% | (206) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (80) | 27\% | (173) | 25\% | (161) | 37\% | (239) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 19\% | (119) | 25\% | (156) | 46\% | (291) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (99) | 35\% | (149) | 25\% | (105) | 17\% | (71) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | $21 \%$ | (104) | $31 \%$ | (150) | 20\% | (99) | 28\% | (135) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $11 \%$ | (34) | $32 \%$ | (97) | 21\% | (65) | $36 \%$ | (110) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (46) | 22\% | (76) | 28\% | (96) | 37\% | (129) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | $11 \%$ | (37) | 20\% | (67) | 26\% | (87) | 43\% | (142) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $11 \%$ | (34) | 17\% | (51) | 23\% | (70) | 49\% | (149) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (180) | $32 \%$ | (209) | 20\% | (132) | 20\% | (134) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (80) | 29\% | (186) | 29\% | (184) | 30\% | (194) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 17\% | (110) | 24\% | (157) | 49\% | (326) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (217) | 26\% | (393) | $24 \%$ | (357) | 36\% | (545) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (75) | 28\% | (123) | 25\% | (113) | 30\% | (133) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 25\% | (61) | $31 \%$ | (75) | 21\% | (50) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (150) | 29\% | (325) | 25\% | (276) | 33\% | (370) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 18\% | (124) | 23\% | (157) | 24\% | (161) | 35\% | (239) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 20\% | (79) | 27\% | (109) | 21\% | (83) | 32\% | (127) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (261) | 24\% | (415) | 25\% | (428) | 36\% | (619) | 1722 |

[^6]Table MCBR1_6: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (353) | 27\% | (591) | 24\% | (521) | $33 \%$ | (736) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 18\% | (63) | 34\% | (120) | 21\% | (74) | 27\% | (94) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 24\% | (65) | 38\% | (105) | 16\% | (44) | $22 \%$ | (61) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 35\% | (72) | 24\% | (49) | 27\% | (56) | 204 |
| All Christian | 16\% | (167) | 25\% | (271) | 24\% | (253) | 35\% | (374) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 22\% | (32) | 36\% | (52) | 24\% | (34) | 18\% | (26) | 143 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (17) | 31\% | (24) | 19\% | (15) | 29\% | (23) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (84) | 26\% | (134) | 26\% | (130) | $31 \%$ | (158) | 506 |
| Something Else | 13\% | (53) | 27\% | (110) | 22\% | (89) | 38\% | (156) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (33) | 36\% | (57) | 24\% | (38) | 19\% | (30) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (123) | 28\% | (190) | 20\% | (137) | 34\% | (228) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (95) | 23\% | (177) | 26\% | (198) | 38\% | (291) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (160) | 37\% | (265) | 22\% | (159) | 19\% | (141) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (125) | 22\% | (205) | 26\% | (242) | 39\% | (362) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (68) | 22\% | (121) | 22\% | (120) | 43\% | (233) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 21\% | (136) | 27\% | (175) | 21\% | (137) | 32\% | (206) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 22\% | (33) | 37\% | (57) | 19\% | (29) | 22\% | (34) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (27) | 30\% | (53) | 22\% | (39) | $33 \%$ | (59) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 22\% | (26) | 21\% | (24) | 18\% | (21) | 39\% | (45) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 20\% | (29) | 37\% | (52) | 26\% | (36) | 17\% | (24) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 9\% | (43) | 19\% | (96) | 28\% | (140) | 44\% | (218) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (38) | 30\% | (90) | 26\% | (81) | $31 \%$ | (95) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (22) | 28\% | (45) | 24\% | (38) | 34\% | (54) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (58) | 20\% | (76) | 21\% | (79) | 44\% | (168) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (295) | 28\% | (515) | 24\% | (442) | 31\% | (567) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 21\% | (109) | 33\% | (173) | 20\% | (104) | 27\% | (143) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (244) | 25\% | (418) | 25\% | (416) | 35\% | (593) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (94) | 19\% | (152) | 22\% | (171) | 47\% | (369) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (244) | $31 \%$ | (414) | 25\% | (330) | 26\% | (349) | 1336 |

[^7]Table MCBR1_6: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (353) | 27\% | (591) | 24\% | (521) | $33 \%$ | (736) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (72) | 17\% | (79) | 17\% | (80) | $51 \%$ | (245) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 24\% | (73) | 29\% | (91) | 40\% | (124) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (37) | 37\% | (100) | 27\% | (74) | 22\% | (59) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (207) | 29\% | (314) | 24\% | (255) | 27\% | (289) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (109) | 18\% | (146) | 22\% | (171) | 46\% | (363) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (230) | 31\% | (403) | 25\% | (324) | 27\% | (352) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 17\% | (80) | 16\% | (76) | 16\% | (74) | $51 \%$ | (242) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (29) | 22\% | (70) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 38\% | (121) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (20) | 34\% | (73) | 28\% | (61) | 28\% | (61) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (209) | 30\% | (330) | 24\% | (263) | 27\% | (291) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (120) | 21\% | (184) | 26\% | (225) | 39\% | (331) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 18\% | (53) | 22\% | (62) | 18\% | (51) | 43\% | (123) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (73) | 36\% | (127) | 23\% | (81) | 20\% | (71) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (26) | 27\% | (73) | 25\% | (67) | 38\% | (102) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (19) | 41\% | (40) | 24\% | (24) | 15\% | (15) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 16\% | (18) | $33 \%$ | (37) | 23\% | (26) | 27\% | (30) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (19) | 32\% | (26) | 25\% | (20) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 18\% | (25) | 30\% | (43) | 19\% | (27) | $33 \%$ | (46) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (225) | $32 \%$ | (333) | 23\% | (240) | $22 \%$ | (227) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (62) | 16\% | (105) | 23\% | (154) | 52\% | (345) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 21\% | (18) | 34\% | (29) | 36\% | (30) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (57) | 32\% | (135) | 23\% | (96) | $32 \%$ | (133) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (168) | 32\% | (245) | 23\% | (175) | $24 \%$ | (186) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (54) | 16\% | (90) | 22\% | (122) | $52 \%$ | (292) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (154) | $33 \%$ | (229) | 22\% | (158) | 23\% | (162) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (67) | 17\% | (111) | 22\% | (142) | 50\% | (326) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (11) | 19\% | (17) | 37\% | (32) | $31 \%$ | (27) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (120) | 30\% | (230) | 25\% | (187) | 29\% | (221) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_6: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $16 \%$ | $(353)$ | $27 \%$ | $(591)$ | $24 \%$ | $(521)$ | $33 \%$ | $(736)$ | Very inappropriate |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_7: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (388) | 26\% | (572) | 23\% | (515) | 33\% | (724) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (210) | 27\% | (286) | 24\% | (258) | 29\% | (308) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (178) | 25\% | (286) | 23\% | (257) | 37\% | (416) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 25\% | (164) | $32 \%$ | (211) | 24\% | (155) | 19\% | (125) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 24\% | (86) | 30\% | (107) | 20\% | (73) | 26\% | (92) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (93) | 23\% | (173) | 24\% | (178) | 41\% | (307) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $11 \%$ | (46) | 19\% | (81) | 25\% | (109) | 46\% | (200) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 23\% | (78) | 29\% | (97) | 24\% | (81) | 23\% | (75) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (158) | 33\% | (200) | 22\% | (133) | 18\% | (108) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (81) | 25\% | (131) | 21\% | (109) | 40\% | (210) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (58) | 21\% | (137) | 25\% | (168) | 45\% | (297) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (217) | $32 \%$ | (288) | 21\% | (195) | 23\% | (212) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 14\% | (92) | 26\% | (168) | 25\% | (164) | 35\% | (227) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 13\% | (80) | 18\% | (116) | 24\% | (156) | 45\% | (285) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (117) | 32\% | (135) | 23\% | (98) | 17\% | (74) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (99) | 31\% | (153) | 20\% | (97) | 28\% | (138) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (49) | 28\% | (86) | 23\% | (70) | 33\% | (100) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (43) | 24\% | (82) | 27\% | (94) | 37\% | (127) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (44) | 19\% | (65) | 27\% | (90) | 40\% | (134) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 12\% | (36) | 17\% | (51) | 22\% | (66) | 50\% | (151) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (178) | 34\% | (223) | 18\% | (120) | 20\% | (134) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (99) | 27\% | (171) | 29\% | (189) | 29\% | (185) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (81) | 15\% | (103) | $24 \%$ | (157) | 49\% | (323) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (239) | 25\% | (379) | 24\% | (359) | 35\% | (536) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (82) | 27\% | (120) | 25\% | (112) | 29\% | (130) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (67) | 30\% | (74) | 18\% | (45) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (169) | 28\% | (310) | 25\% | (282) | 32\% | (360) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 18\% | (126) | 24\% | (160) | 23\% | (158) | 35\% | (237) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 23\% | (93) | 26\% | (102) | 19\% | (76) | $32 \%$ | (127) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (270) | 24\% | (406) | 25\% | (427) | $36 \%$ | (618) | 1722 |

[^8]Table MCBR1_7: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (388) | 26\% | (572) | 23\% | (515) | $33 \%$ | (724) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 24\% | (86) | 29\% | (103) | 22\% | (79) | 24\% | (82) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 30\% | (81) | 33\% | (92) | 18\% | (49) | 19\% | (53) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 18\% | (37) | 36\% | (74) | 20\% | (40) | 26\% | (53) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (185) | 25\% | (263) | 23\% | (247) | 35\% | (369) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 23\% | (33) | 34\% | (49) | 22\% | (31) | 21\% | (30) | 143 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (17) | 37\% | (30) | 15\% | (12) | 26\% | (21) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (93) | 26\% | (133) | 24\% | (120) | $32 \%$ | (160) | 506 |
| Something Else | 15\% | (61) | 24\% | (97) | 26\% | (105) | 35\% | (144) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 22\% | (35) | 33\% | (53) | 23\% | (37) | 21\% | (33) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 22\% | (150) | 25\% | (171) | 20\% | (135) | $33 \%$ | (222) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (89) | 24\% | (180) | 27\% | (209) | $37 \%$ | (282) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (175) | 34\% | (247) | 23\% | (165) | 19\% | (138) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 15\% | (137) | 23\% | (214) | 25\% | (232) | 38\% | (351) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 14\% | (76) | 20\% | (110) | 22\% | (119) | 44\% | (236) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 22\% | (142) | 26\% | (170) | 22\% | (143) | 31\% | (200) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (40) | 32\% | (49) | 21\% | (32) | 22\% | (33) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 18\% | (33) | 29\% | (52) | 20\% | (35) | 33\% | (58) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 21\% | (24) | 21\% | (24) | 17\% | (20) | 41\% | (47) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 27\% | (38) | 32\% | (45) | 27\% | (38) | 13\% | (19) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (48) | 20\% | (99) | 25\% | (125) | 45\% | (225) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (40) | 28\% | (84) | 31\% | (95) | 28\% | (85) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (24) | 31\% | (49) | 18\% | (29) | 35\% | (56) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 18\% | (69) | 18\% | (69) | 20\% | (78) | 43\% | (165) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (319) | 28\% | (503) | 24\% | (437) | 31\% | (559) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 26\% | (140) | 27\% | (143) | 20\% | (107) | 26\% | (139) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (248) | 26\% | (429) | 24\% | (409) | 35\% | (585) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (117) | 18\% | (142) | 21\% | (168) | 46\% | (360) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (256) | $31 \%$ | (413) | $24 \%$ | (321) | 26\% | (346) | 1336 |

[^9]Table MCBR1_7: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (388) | 26\% | (572) | 23\% | (515) | $33 \%$ | (724) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 18\% | (87) | 15\% | (72) | 15\% | (73) | 51\% | (244) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 10\% | (31) | 22\% | (70) | 30\% | (95) | 37\% | (116) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (41) | 34\% | (92) | 30\% | (80) | 21\% | (57) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (215) | 30\% | (321) | 23\% | (241) | 27\% | (289) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (120) | 19\% | (149) | $21 \%$ | (162) | 45\% | (358) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (251) | 30\% | (391) | 25\% | (322) | 26\% | (344) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (89) | 15\% | (73) | 15\% | (71) | 51\% | (239) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (31) | 24\% | (76) | 29\% | (91) | 38\% | (120) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 14\% | (31) | 29\% | (64) | 28\% | (61) | 28\% | (60) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (220) | 30\% | (327) | 24\% | (261) | 26\% | (284) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (122) | 23\% | (198) | 25\% | (215) | 38\% | (325) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 20\% | (56) | $21 \%$ | (60) | 20\% | (56) | 40\% | (116) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (80) | 33\% | (116) | 23\% | (81) | 21\% | (75) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (39) | $21 \%$ | (56) | 26\% | (70) | 38\% | (102) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 21\% | (21) | 41\% | (40) | 20\% | (19) | 17\% | (17) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 22\% | (25) | 36\% | (40) | 16\% | (18) | 25\% | (28) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (19) | 20\% | (17) | 35\% | (29) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (26) | 32\% | (46) | 18\% | (26) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (236) | 33\% | (341) | 22\% | (228) | 21\% | (220) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (74) | 14\% | (96) | 23\% | (151) | $52 \%$ | (346) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 19\% | (16) | 31\% | (26) | 41\% | (35) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (71) | 28\% | (118) | 26\% | (109) | 29\% | (122) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (181) | $31 \%$ | (241) | 22\% | (167) | 24\% | (185) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 12\% | (65) | 16\% | (89) | 19\% | (107) | $53 \%$ | (296) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (164) | 32\% | (227) | 22\% | (154) | 23\% | (159) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (78) | 16\% | (106) | $21 \%$ | (133) | 51\% | (330) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 27\% | (23) | $31 \%$ | (27) | 36\% | (31) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (140) | 28\% | (213) | 27\% | (202) | 27\% | (204) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_7: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $18 \%$ | $(388)$ | $26 \%$ | $(572)$ | $23 \%$ | $(515)$ | Very inappropriate |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_8: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (357) | 27\% | (599) | 24\% | (519) | 33\% | (725) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (195) | 29\% | (312) | 23\% | (240) | 30\% | (314) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (161) | 25\% | (287) | 24\% | (279) | $36 \%$ | (411) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 21\% | (136) | $34 \%$ | (222) | 24\% | (156) | 21\% | (140) | 655 |
| Age: $35-44$ | 26\% | (92) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 21\% | (75) | 22\% | (80) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (91) | 25\% | (186) | 23\% | (169) | 41\% | (305) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (37) | 18\% | (80) | 27\% | (118) | 46\% | (201) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 18\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (102) | 28\% | (93) | 23\% | (76) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (155) | 35\% | (209) | 20\% | (123) | 19\% | (113) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (81) | 28\% | (149) | 19\% | (103) | 37\% | (198) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $8 \%$ | (50) | 20\% | (131) | 26\% | (173) | $46 \%$ | (305) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (200) | 33\% | (303) | 23\% | (208) | 22\% | (200) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (81) | 28\% | (182) | 24\% | (157) | 36\% | (232) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 12\% | (76) | 18\% | (114) | 24\% | (153) | $46 \%$ | (293) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 26\% | (108) | 35\% | (148) | 23\% | (96) | 17\% | (71) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (92) | 32\% | (155) | 23\% | (112) | 27\% | (129) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (42) | 32\% | (97) | $21 \%$ | (63) | $34 \%$ | (103) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (39) | 25\% | (85) | 27\% | (94) | 37\% | (129) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 14\% | (46) | 20\% | (67) | 24\% | (80) | 42\% | (140) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 10\% | (30) | 16\% | (48) | 24\% | (73) | 50\% | (153) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (175) | 34\% | (222) | 21\% | (134) | 19\% | (123) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (79) | 29\% | (187) | 29\% | (184) | 30\% | (194) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (73) | 17\% | (114) | 23\% | (156) | 48\% | (321) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (213) | 26\% | (400) | 24\% | (365) | 35\% | (534) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (77) | 28\% | (123) | 25\% | (112) | 30\% | (133) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (67) | 31\% | (77) | 17\% | (43) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (158) | 29\% | (322) | 25\% | (279) | $32 \%$ | (362) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 17\% | (114) | 25\% | (167) | 24\% | (162) | 35\% | (238) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 21\% | (85) | 28\% | (110) | 20\% | (78) | 31\% | (125) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (253) | 24\% | (415) | 25\% | (436) | $36 \%$ | (619) | 1722 |

[^10]Table MCBR1_8: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (357) | 27\% | (599) | 24\% | (519) | $33 \%$ | (725) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (70) | 34\% | (118) | 20\% | (71) | 26\% | (90) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 27\% | (75) | 37\% | (102) | 17\% | (47) | 19\% | (51) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 41\% | (83) | 18\% | (36) | 27\% | (56) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (164) | 26\% | (276) | 24\% | (254) | 35\% | (371) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 24\% | (34) | 39\% | (56) | 19\% | (27) | 18\% | (26) | 143 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (18) | 33\% | (27) | 22\% | (18) | 22\% | (18) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (84) | 28\% | (141) | 25\% | (125) | $31 \%$ | (157) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (58) | 25\% | (100) | 24\% | (96) | 38\% | (154) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 22\% | (35) | 38\% | (61) | 18\% | (28) | 21\% | (34) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 20\% | (133) | 26\% | (176) | 21\% | (143) | $33 \%$ | (226) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (84) | 25\% | (189) | 26\% | (201) | 38\% | (286) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (172) | 37\% | (265) | $21 \%$ | (151) | 19\% | (137) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (123) | 22\% | (210) | 27\% | (254) | 37\% | (347) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (62) | 23\% | (125) | $21 \%$ | (114) | 45\% | (241) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 21\% | (137) | 27\% | (175) | 22\% | (141) | $31 \%$ | (201) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | 35\% | (53) | $21 \%$ | (32) | 22\% | (33) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 21\% | (37) | 26\% | (46) | 18\% | (32) | 35\% | (63) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 22\% | (25) | 19\% | (22) | 20\% | (23) | 39\% | (45) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 16\% | (22) | 41\% | (57) | 29\% | (41) | 14\% | (20) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (39) | 19\% | (94) | 28\% | (141) | 45\% | (222) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (38) | 34\% | (104) | 26\% | (80) | 27\% | (82) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (23) | 30\% | (47) | 19\% | (29) | 37\% | (59) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (62) | 21\% | (82) | $21 \%$ | (78) | 42\% | (159) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (295) | 28\% | (517) | 24\% | (440) | 31\% | (566) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (122) | 32\% | (168) | 20\% | (108) | 25\% | (131) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (234) | 26\% | (431) | 25\% | (411) | 36\% | (594) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 13\% | (106) | 19\% | (147) | 21\% | (168) | 47\% | (366) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (235) | 33\% | (436) | 25\% | (331) | 25\% | (335) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_8: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (357) | 27\% | (599) | 24\% | (519) | $33 \%$ | (725) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 17\% | (79) | 15\% | (72) | 18\% | (84) | $51 \%$ | (241) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (27) | 24\% | (74) | 27\% | (84) | 40\% | (126) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 13\% | (35) | 37\% | (101) | 27\% | (74) | 23\% | (61) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (200) | $31 \%$ | (335) | 24\% | (257) | 26\% | (273) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (113) | 18\% | (143) | 21\% | (165) | 47\% | (368) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (227) | 32\% | (413) | 25\% | (328) | 26\% | (340) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 17\% | (82) | 15\% | (71) | 16\% | (75) | 52\% | (244) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (31) | 23\% | (73) | 28\% | (90) | 39\% | (123) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | $33 \%$ | (71) | 29\% | (62) | 29\% | (62) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (207) | 31\% | (342) | 24\% | (267) | 25\% | (278) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (113) | 24\% | (208) | 25\% | (213) | 38\% | (326) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (50) | 23\% | (65) | 17\% | (49) | 43\% | (124) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (71) | 35\% | (124) | 25\% | (87) | 20\% | (69) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (34) | 24\% | (63) | 25\% | (67) | 39\% | (103) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 19\% | (19) | 42\% | (41) | 23\% | (23) | 15\% | (15) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 22\% | (25) | 31\% | (35) | 23\% | (26) | 23\% | (26) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 23\% | (19) | 26\% | (21) | 28\% | (23) | 23\% | (19) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 18\% | (26) | 29\% | (41) | 22\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (43) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (222) | 35\% | (354) | 23\% | (235) | $21 \%$ | (214) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (64) | 15\% | (97) | 24\% | (158) | $52 \%$ | (348) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 10\% | (9) | 19\% | (16) | 37\% | (32) | $34 \%$ | (28) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (61) | 32\% | (133) | 22\% | (93) | $32 \%$ | (135) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (173) | 31\% | (238) | 24\% | (185) | 23\% | (178) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (58) | 15\% | (83) | 22\% | (122) | 53\% | (294) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (152) | 33\% | (231) | 23\% | (159) | 23\% | (160) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (77) | 15\% | (99) | 22\% | (144) | $51 \%$ | (327) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 10\% | (8) | 23\% | (20) | 39\% | (34) | 28\% | (24) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (119) | 32\% | (245) | 24\% | (182) | 28\% | (213) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_8: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $16 \%$ | $(357)$ | $27 \%$ | $(599)$ | $24 \%$ | $(519)$ | Very inappropriate |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_9: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (392) | 26\% | (568) | 24\% | (517) | 33\% | (723) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (210) | 27\% | (283) | 23\% | (248) | 30\% | (321) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 16\% | (181) | 25\% | (285) | 24\% | (270) | 35\% | (402) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 23\% | (152) | $33 \%$ | (216) | 23\% | (154) | 20\% | (134) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 27\% | (96) | 26\% | (94) | 21\% | (77) | 25\% | (91) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (100) | 23\% | (176) | 23\% | (176) | 40\% | (299) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (44) | 19\% | (82) | 25\% | (111) | 46\% | (199) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 21\% | (70) | 33\% | (110) | 23\% | (77) | 22\% | (74) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 27\% | (164) | 30\% | (181) | 23\% | (138) | 19\% | (116) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (87) | 25\% | (134) | 20\% | (107) | 38\% | (203) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (59) | 20\% | (132) | 26\% | (173) | 45\% | (295) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (221) | $31 \%$ | (287) | 22\% | (202) | 22\% | (202) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (86) | 26\% | (168) | 26\% | (173) | 35\% | (225) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 13\% | (85) | 18\% | (113) | 22\% | (142) | 46\% | (295) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (118) | 33\% | (138) | 23\% | (97) | 17\% | (71) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 21\% | (103) | 30\% | (149) | 22\% | (106) | 27\% | (130) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 13\% | (41) | 28\% | (85) | 25\% | (76) | $34 \%$ | (103) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (45) | 24\% | (83) | 28\% | (96) | 35\% | (122) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 16\% | (52) | 18\% | (60) | 22\% | (74) | $44 \%$ | (147) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $11 \%$ | (34) | 18\% | (54) | 22\% | (68) | 49\% | (149) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (181) | $31 \%$ | (205) | 22\% | (145) | 19\% | (123) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (90) | 27\% | (177) | 30\% | (196) | 28\% | (181) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (81) | 17\% | (114) | 20\% | (132) | 51\% | (337) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (243) | 25\% | (379) | 24\% | (359) | 35\% | (531) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (81) | 26\% | (116) | 26\% | (117) | 29\% | (130) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (67) | 30\% | (74) | 17\% | (42) | 25\% | (61) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (185) | 27\% | (304) | 25\% | (280) | $31 \%$ | (352) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 17\% | (114) | 24\% | (166) | 23\% | (158) | 36\% | (243) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 23\% | (93) | 25\% | (98) | 20\% | (79) | 32\% | (128) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 17\% | (285) | 23\% | (395) | 25\% | (432) | 35\% | (610) | 1722 |

[^11]Table MCBR1_9: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (392) | 26\% | (568) | 24\% | (517) | $33 \%$ | (723) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (74) | 32\% | (110) | 23\% | (80) | 24\% | (84) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (69) | 37\% | (100) | 16\% | (45) | 22\% | (60) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 19\% | (38) | 36\% | (73) | 20\% | (40) | 26\% | (52) | 204 |
| All Christian | 18\% | (187) | 25\% | (267) | 22\% | (237) | 35\% | (374) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (37) | 31\% | (44) | 22\% | (31) | 21\% | (31) | 143 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (18) | 28\% | (22) | 29\% | (23) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (88) | 26\% | (134) | 25\% | (127) | $31 \%$ | (157) | 506 |
| Something Else | 15\% | (62) | 25\% | (102) | 24\% | (100) | 35\% | (144) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (38) | 31\% | (49) | 23\% | (36) | 22\% | (34) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 22\% | (147) | 26\% | (178) | 19\% | (129) | $33 \%$ | (224) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 13\% | (97) | 24\% | (179) | 26\% | (198) | 38\% | (285) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 26\% | (187) | 32\% | (232) | 23\% | (166) | 19\% | (139) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (127) | 23\% | (217) | 25\% | (235) | 38\% | (354) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 14\% | (78) | 22\% | (119) | 21\% | (115) | 42\% | (229) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (122) | 29\% | (187) | 22\% | (141) | $31 \%$ | (205) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 29\% | (44) | 30\% | (46) | 20\% | (31) | 22\% | (33) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (36) | 22\% | (39) | 21\% | (38) | 36\% | (65) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (23) | 18\% | (21) | 22\% | (25) | 41\% | (47) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 24\% | (34) | 41\% | (58) | 20\% | (29) | 14\% | (20) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (51) | 19\% | (95) | 26\% | (127) | 45\% | (223) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 17\% | (50) | 27\% | (83) | 30\% | (92) | 26\% | (79) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (32) | 26\% | (41) | 22\% | (35) | 32\% | (51) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (63) | 21\% | (79) | 22\% | (84) | $41 \%$ | (156) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (329) | 27\% | (490) | 24\% | (433) | $31 \%$ | (567) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 25\% | (135) | 30\% | (156) | 18\% | (95) | 27\% | (143) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (257) | 25\% | (412) | 25\% | (422) | 35\% | (580) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (116) | 18\% | (138) | 21\% | (165) | 47\% | (367) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (261) | 30\% | (407) | 25\% | (331) | 25\% | (337) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_9: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (392) | 26\% | (568) | 24\% | (517) | $33 \%$ | (723) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 18\% | (85) | 15\% | (73) | 16\% | (74) | 51\% | (244) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 10\% | (31) | 21\% | (65) | 29\% | (91) | 40\% | (123) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 13\% | (34) | 40\% | (107) | 24\% | (65) | 24\% | (65) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 21\% | (226) | 28\% | (300) | 25\% | (266) | 26\% | (273) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (123) | 19\% | (147) | 20\% | (158) | 46\% | (362) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (247) | 29\% | (383) | 26\% | (340) | 26\% | (338) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (90) | 15\% | (69) | 16\% | (75) | 50\% | (237) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (32) | 24\% | (77) | 26\% | (83) | 39\% | (125) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (25) | 33\% | (71) | 27\% | (57) | 29\% | (63) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (223) | 29\% | (312) | 26\% | (283) | 25\% | (275) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (125) | 23\% | (199) | 25\% | (219) | 37\% | (316) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | $21 \%$ | (61) | 18\% | (53) | 16\% | (46) | 44\% | (128) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 24\% | (84) | 31\% | (111) | 24\% | (86) | 20\% | (71) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (38) | 22\% | (59) | 25\% | (67) | 38\% | (103) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 22\% | (21) | 41\% | (40) | 25\% | (25) | 12\% | (12) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (23) | 37\% | (41) | 17\% | (19) | 25\% | (28) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | $21 \%$ | (17) | 27\% | (22) | 30\% | (25) | 22\% | (18) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (21) | 30\% | (43) | 22\% | (31) | 33\% | (47) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (238) | $31 \%$ | (318) | 24\% | (246) | 22\% | (222) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (75) | 15\% | (103) | 21\% | (142) | 52\% | (347) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 10\% | (8) | 24\% | (20) | 33\% | (28) | 34\% | (28) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (70) | 30\% | (126) | 24\% | (101) | 29\% | (124) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 24\% | (189) | 29\% | (227) | 23\% | (181) | 23\% | (177) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (59) | 15\% | (86) | 21\% | (119) | 53\% | (294) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (168) | 30\% | (214) | 24\% | (168) | 22\% | (153) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (74) | 17\% | (108) | 21\% | (136) | $51 \%$ | (329) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 19\% | (17) | 39\% | (34) | 34\% | (30) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 19\% | (142) | 30\% | (229) | 23\% | (177) | 28\% | (211) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_9: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_10: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (358) | 27\% | (603) | 23\% | (515) | 33\% | (724) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (193) | 28\% | (302) | 24\% | (250) | 30\% | (317) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (165) | 26\% | (301) | 23\% | (265) | $36 \%$ | (407) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 20\% | (132) | 35\% | (228) | 24\% | (157) | $21 \%$ | (138) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 25\% | (90) | 30\% | (109) | 20\% | (73) | 24\% | (86) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (100) | 23\% | (172) | 24\% | (180) | 40\% | (300) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (37) | 21\% | (93) | 24\% | (106) | 46\% | (199) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 17\% | (56) | 35\% | (118) | 25\% | (83) | 22\% | (74) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 25\% | (152) | 34\% | (201) | 21\% | (126) | 20\% | (120) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (82) | 26\% | (137) | 21\% | (114) | 37\% | (198) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (57) | 21\% | (137) | 26\% | (172) | 45\% | (294) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (204) | 33\% | (300) | 23\% | (210) | 22\% | (198) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (81) | 26\% | (169) | 25\% | (162) | 37\% | (240) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (73) | 21\% | (134) | 23\% | (143) | 45\% | (286) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 26\% | (111) | 33\% | (140) | 24\% | (103) | 16\% | (70) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (92) | 33\% | (160) | 22\% | (107) | 26\% | (129) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 13\% | (40) | 27\% | (83) | 24\% | (75) | 35\% | (108) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (42) | 25\% | (86) | 25\% | (87) | 38\% | (132) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (42) | 24\% | (79) | 22\% | (72) | 42\% | (139) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 10\% | (31) | 18\% | (55) | 24\% | (72) | 48\% | (146) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (177) | 33\% | (214) | 21\% | (139) | 19\% | (124) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (75) | 30\% | (196) | 29\% | (187) | 29\% | (185) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (82) | 18\% | (119) | 21\% | (138) | 49\% | (325) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (217) | 27\% | (403) | 24\% | (358) | 35\% | (535) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (83) | 26\% | (115) | 26\% | (116) | 29\% | (131) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (59) | 35\% | (85) | 17\% | (42) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (157) | 29\% | (328) | 25\% | (275) | 32\% | (361) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 17\% | (117) | 24\% | (163) | 24\% | (164) | 35\% | (236) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 21\% | (84) | 28\% | (111) | 19\% | (76) | 32\% | (127) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (257) | 25\% | (425) | 25\% | (423) | 36\% | (617) | 1722 |

[^12]Table MCBR1_10: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (358) | 27\% | (603) | 23\% | (515) | 33\% | (724) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (68) | 35\% | (121) | 23\% | (81) | 23\% | (80) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (67) | 35\% | (96) | 19\% | (51) | $22 \%$ | (59) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (34) | 40\% | (81) | 20\% | (41) | 24\% | (48) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (163) | 28\% | (294) | 22\% | (234) | 35\% | (373) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 24\% | (35) | 34\% | (48) | 23\% | (33) | 19\% | (28) | 143 |
| Atheist | 20\% | (16) | $34 \%$ | (27) | 19\% | (15) | 27\% | (21) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (93) | 25\% | (125) | 26\% | (129) | $31 \%$ | (159) | 506 |
| Something Else | 13\% | (52) | 27\% | (109) | 26\% | (104) | 35\% | (143) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (36) | 33\% | (53) | 22\% | (35) | 22\% | (34) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 19\% | (128) | 28\% | (193) | 20\% | (137) | $33 \%$ | (221) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (80) | 27\% | (202) | 26\% | (194) | 37\% | (284) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (177) | 34\% | (244) | 23\% | (168) | 19\% | (137) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (117) | 25\% | (233) | 24\% | (228) | 38\% | (356) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (65) | 23\% | (126) | 22\% | (119) | 43\% | (231) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (133) | 29\% | (193) | 20\% | (129) | 31\% | (200) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 25\% | (39) | 30\% | (47) | 21\% | (32) | 23\% | (36) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 16\% | (29) | 27\% | (48) | 21\% | (38) | 36\% | (64) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 18\% | (21) | 22\% | (25) | 18\% | (21) | 41\% | (48) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 15\% | (20) | 45\% | (63) | 26\% | (37) | 14\% | (20) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (41) | 21\% | (102) | 26\% | (131) | 45\% | (223) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (48) | 27\% | (83) | 30\% | (92) | 27\% | (82) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 18\% | (28) | 27\% | (42) | 23\% | (36) | $33 \%$ | (52) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 18\% | (67) | 20\% | (78) | 18\% | (70) | 44\% | (167) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (291) | 29\% | (525) | 24\% | (445) | 31\% | (557) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 22\% | (116) | 30\% | (157) | 21\% | (112) | 27\% | (144) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (242) | 27\% | (445) | 24\% | (404) | 35\% | (580) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 13\% | (102) | 21\% | (161) | 20\% | (159) | 46\% | (364) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (241) | $32 \%$ | (427) | 25\% | (329) | 25\% | (339) | 1336 |

[^13]Table MCBR1_10: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (358) | 27\% | (603) | 23\% | (515) | $33 \%$ | (724) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (74) | 19\% | (90) | 15\% | (70) | $51 \%$ | (243) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (29) | 23\% | (72) | 29\% | (90) | 39\% | (121) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (33) | 41\% | (111) | 24\% | (66) | 23\% | (61) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (209) | 30\% | (316) | 25\% | (263) | 26\% | (278) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (109) | 21\% | (163) | 19\% | (153) | 46\% | (364) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (230) | $31 \%$ | (405) | 26\% | (337) | 26\% | (336) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (75) | 19\% | (90) | 14\% | (64) | $51 \%$ | (243) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (34) | 23\% | (74) | 28\% | (88) | 38\% | (121) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (26) | 32\% | (70) | 27\% | (57) | 29\% | (62) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (204) | 31\% | (335) | 26\% | (279) | 25\% | (274) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (112) | 25\% | (214) | 24\% | (206) | 38\% | (328) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 19\% | (54) | 21\% | (59) | 19\% | (56) | 41\% | (120) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (74) | 37\% | (131) | 22\% | (76) | 20\% | (71) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (32) | 24\% | (64) | 26\% | (69) | 38\% | (102) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (17) | 43\% | (42) | 23\% | (22) | 17\% | (16) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (19) | 32\% | (36) | 28\% | (31) | 22\% | (25) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 26\% | (21) | 27\% | (23) | 26\% | (22) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 21\% | (29) | 24\% | (34) | 23\% | (33) | $32 \%$ | (46) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (222) | 34\% | (345) | 23\% | (240) | $21 \%$ | (217) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (65) | 17\% | (113) | 22\% | (144) | 52\% | (345) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 22\% | (18) | 34\% | (29) | 36\% | (31) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (64) | 30\% | (126) | 24\% | (101) | $31 \%$ | (130) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (171) | 32\% | (248) | 23\% | (177) | 23\% | (177) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (54) | 18\% | (99) | 20\% | (110) | 53\% | (294) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (160) | 33\% | (234) | 22\% | (155) | $22 \%$ | (155) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (70) | 18\% | (118) | 20\% | (131) | $51 \%$ | (327) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 10\% | (8) | 23\% | (20) | 35\% | (30) | 32\% | (28) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (116) | 30\% | (231) | 26\% | (198) | 28\% | (214) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_10: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $16 \%$ | $(358)$ | $27 \%$ | $(603)$ | $23 \%$ | $(515)$ | Very inappropriate |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_11: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (342) | 27\% | (601) | 25\% | (545) | $32 \%$ | (712) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (188) | 28\% | (298) | 26\% | (271) | 29\% | (305) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (154) | 27\% | (303) | 24\% | (274) | 36\% | (407) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 20\% | (129) | $36 \%$ | (235) | 25\% | (165) | 19\% | (126) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 26\% | (92) | 29\% | (104) | 22\% | (78) | 23\% | (83) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (89) | 24\% | (181) | 24\% | (182) | 40\% | (299) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (32) | 18\% | (81) | 27\% | (119) | 47\% | (204) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 16\% | (53) | 39\% | (128) | 25\% | (82) | 21\% | (68) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (154) | 33\% | (195) | 23\% | (135) | 19\% | (116) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (79) | 25\% | (133) | 23\% | (122) | $37 \%$ | (197) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 7\% | (49) | 20\% | (133) | 28\% | (182) | 45\% | (295) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 22\% | (202) | 33\% | (298) | 24\% | (217) | 21\% | (196) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (82) | 27\% | (177) | 25\% | (162) | 35\% | (231) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 9\% | (59) | 20\% | (126) | 26\% | (167) | 45\% | (285) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (107) | 35\% | (149) | 26\% | (109) | 14\% | (59) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (95) | 30\% | (149) | 22\% | (108) | 28\% | (137) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (44) | 28\% | (86) | 22\% | (66) | 36\% | (109) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (38) | 26\% | (91) | 28\% | (95) | 35\% | (122) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 11\% | (37) | 19\% | (63) | 29\% | (96) | $41 \%$ | (136) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 7\% | (21) | 21\% | (63) | 23\% | (71) | 49\% | (149) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 25\% | (165) | 33\% | (216) | 25\% | (162) | 17\% | (111) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (90) | 28\% | (178) | 28\% | (182) | 30\% | (194) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (69) | 19\% | (127) | 22\% | (149) | 48\% | (318) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 13\% | (198) | 26\% | (396) | 26\% | (395) | 35\% | (523) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (81) | 28\% | (123) | $24 \%$ | (108) | 30\% | (132) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 26\% | (63) | 33\% | (81) | 17\% | (42) | 24\% | (57) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (147) | 27\% | (308) | 28\% | (314) | $31 \%$ | (353) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 16\% | (112) | 26\% | (179) | 23\% | (155) | 35\% | (235) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 21\% | (83) | 29\% | (114) | 19\% | (76) | $31 \%$ | (124) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (250) | 25\% | (433) | 25\% | (435) | 35\% | (604) | 1722 |

[^14]Table MCBR1_11: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (342) | 27\% | (601) | 25\% | (545) | $32 \%$ | (712) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (65) | 35\% | (123) | 23\% | (81) | 23\% | (81) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (70) | $33 \%$ | (91) | $21 \%$ | (58) | 20\% | (55) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (23) | 38\% | (77) | 25\% | (52) | 26\% | (53) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (165) | 26\% | (276) | 25\% | (264) | 34\% | (360) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 22\% | (31) | $37 \%$ | (52) | 19\% | (28) | 22\% | (32) | 143 |
| Atheist | 25\% | (20) | 30\% | (24) | 25\% | (20) | 19\% | (15) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 15\% | (77) | 28\% | (141) | 26\% | (131) | $31 \%$ | (158) | 506 |
| Something Else | 12\% | (50) | 26\% | (108) | 25\% | (102) | 36\% | (148) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 22\% | (35) | $36 \%$ | (56) | 19\% | (30) | 23\% | (37) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (121) | 29\% | (194) | $21 \%$ | (142) | 33\% | (222) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (88) | 23\% | (176) | 29\% | (218) | 36\% | (277) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (158) | 36\% | (258) | 23\% | (168) | 19\% | (141) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (123) | 24\% | (224) | 26\% | (242) | 37\% | (345) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $11 \%$ | (62) | 22\% | (118) | 25\% | (135) | 42\% | (226) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (126) | 30\% | (197) | $21 \%$ | (139) | 29\% | (192) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | 35\% | (53) | 22\% | (33) | 21\% | (32) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (33) | 28\% | (49) | 20\% | (35) | $34 \%$ | (61) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 17\% | (19) | 25\% | (28) | 20\% | (24) | 38\% | (43) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 17\% | (24) | 43\% | (61) | 26\% | (36) | 14\% | (19) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (39) | 17\% | (86) | 29\% | (143) | 46\% | (229) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 12\% | (38) | 29\% | (87) | 33\% | (101) | 26\% | (79) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 17\% | (28) | 25\% | (39) | 22\% | (35) | 36\% | (57) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (59) | 21\% | (81) | 20\% | (76) | 43\% | (166) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (283) | 29\% | (520) | 26\% | (469) | 30\% | (546) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 21\% | (112) | 30\% | (159) | 23\% | (120) | 26\% | (138) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (230) | 26\% | (442) | 25\% | (425) | $34 \%$ | (574) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (89) | 20\% | (154) | 23\% | (178) | 47\% | (367) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (236) | $32 \%$ | (430) | 26\% | (344) | $24 \%$ | (326) | 1336 |

[^15]Table MCBR1_11: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (342) | 27\% | (601) | 25\% | (545) | $32 \%$ | (712) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 14\% | (64) | 19\% | (89) | 17\% | (80) | $51 \%$ | (243) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (24) | 21\% | (65) | 32\% | (98) | 40\% | (123) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (40) | 37\% | (100) | 28\% | (76) | 20\% | (55) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 18\% | (196) | $31 \%$ | (330) | 25\% | (269) | 25\% | (271) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (96) | 20\% | (160) | 22\% | (175) | 45\% | (359) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (232) | 31\% | (403) | 26\% | (343) | 25\% | (330) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (66) | 19\% | (91) | 16\% | (75) | $51 \%$ | (240) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (30) | 22\% | (68) | $31 \%$ | (100) | 37\% | (119) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (25) | 32\% | (69) | 29\% | (63) | 28\% | (59) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (207) | 31\% | (335) | 26\% | (281) | 25\% | (270) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (112) | $24 \%$ | (205) | 27\% | (228) | 37\% | (315) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 16\% | (45) | 24\% | (69) | 18\% | (51) | 43\% | (123) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (75) | 37\% | (129) | 22\% | (76) | 21\% | (72) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (26) | 21\% | (56) | 31\% | (84) | 38\% | (101) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (19) | 39\% | (39) | 28\% | (27) | 13\% | (13) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 24\% | (27) | 31\% | (35) | 24\% | (27) | 20\% | (22) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (18) | 29\% | (24) | 25\% | (21) | 24\% | (20) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 14\% | (20) | 32\% | (45) | 22\% | (31) | 32\% | (46) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (224) | 34\% | (344) | 23\% | (237) | $21 \%$ | (219) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (54) | 17\% | (111) | 24\% | (158) | $52 \%$ | (344) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 5\% | (4) | 22\% | (18) | 43\% | (36) | 30\% | (26) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (59) | 30\% | (126) | 27\% | (113) | 29\% | (122) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (176) | 31\% | (243) | 23\% | (182) | 22\% | (174) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 9\% | (53) | 16\% | (90) | 22\% | (122) | 53\% | (294) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (165) | 32\% | (223) | 23\% | (165) | 21\% | (151) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (60) | 18\% | (116) | 22\% | (144) | 50\% | (327) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 18\% | (16) | 46\% | (39) | 28\% | (25) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (111) | 32\% | (243) | 26\% | (196) | 28\% | (210) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_11: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tdults | $16 \%$ | $(342)$ | $27 \%$ | $(601)$ | $25 \%$ | $(545)$ | $32 \%$ | $(712)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $16 \%$ | $(196)$ | $24 \%$ | $(297)$ | $24 \%$ | $(287)$ | $36 \%$ | $(436)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $15 \%$ | $(146)$ | $31 \%$ | $(304)$ | $26 \%$ | $(258)$ | $28 \%$ | $(276)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $20 \%$ | $(77)$ | $29 \%$ | $(113)$ | $23 \%$ | $(92)$ | $28 \%$ | $(111)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $15 \%$ | $(69)$ | $23 \%$ | $(106)$ | $29 \%$ | $(133)$ | $33 \%$ | $(154)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $13 \%$ | $(107)$ | $25 \%$ | $(202)$ | $26 \%$ | $(210)$ | $37 \%$ | $(305)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $17 \%$ | $(89)$ | $34 \%$ | $(179)$ | $21 \%$ | $(110)$ | $27 \%$ | $(142)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_12: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Hotels

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (366) | 27\% | (583) | 23\% | (513) | 34\% | (738) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (196) | 28\% | (301) | 23\% | (243) | 30\% | (322) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (171) | 25\% | (282) | 24\% | (270) | 37\% | (416) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (146) | 33\% | (214) | 23\% | (149) | 22\% | (146) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 24\% | (87) | 29\% | (105) | 21\% | (75) | 25\% | (91) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (93) | 24\% | (179) | 24\% | (181) | 40\% | (298) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (40) | 20\% | (85) | 25\% | (108) | 47\% | (203) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 19\% | (64) | 33\% | (108) | 23\% | (77) | 25\% | (82) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (155) | $32 \%$ | (190) | 21\% | (129) | $21 \%$ | (125) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (79) | 27\% | (141) | 21\% | (113) | 37\% | (197) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (54) | 21\% | (138) | 26\% | (172) | 45\% | (295) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (210) | 32\% | (292) | 23\% | (209) | 22\% | (201) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (83) | 26\% | (172) | 25\% | (162) | 36\% | (235) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (73) | 19\% | (119) | 22\% | (142) | 47\% | (302) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (105) | 35\% | (147) | 25\% | (105) | 16\% | (67) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 21\% | (105) | 30\% | (146) | 21\% | (103) | 27\% | (134) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (46) | 29\% | (90) | 20\% | (61) | 36\% | (109) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (38) | 24\% | (82) | 29\% | (101) | 36\% | (126) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (45) | 19\% | (65) | 23\% | (77) | 44\% | (146) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (28) | 18\% | (54) | 22\% | (65) | 51\% | (156) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (179) | 32\% | (209) | 20\% | (129) | 21\% | (137) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (89) | 29\% | (189) | 29\% | (187) | 28\% | (180) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (68) | 18\% | (117) | 21\% | (142) | $51 \%$ | (335) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (223) | 26\% | (390) | 24\% | (359) | 36\% | (539) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (79) | 26\% | (115) | 25\% | (112) | $31 \%$ | (137) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 26\% | (63) | 32\% | (77) | 17\% | (43) | 25\% | (61) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (168) | 28\% | (309) | 25\% | (280) | 32\% | (364) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 16\% | (109) | 26\% | (177) | 22\% | (151) | 36\% | (244) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 22\% | (89) | 25\% | (98) | $21 \%$ | (82) | 33\% | (129) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 15\% | (266) | 24\% | (414) | 25\% | (423) | 36\% | (618) | 1722 |

[^16]Table MCBR1_12: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Hotels

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (366) | 27\% | (583) | 23\% | (513) | 34\% | (738) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (77) | 32\% | (111) | 22\% | (75) | 24\% | (86) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (71) | 35\% | (97) | 15\% | (41) | 24\% | (65) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 35\% | (72) | 24\% | (49) | 27\% | (54) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (178) | 25\% | (266) | 23\% | (244) | 35\% | (377) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 35\% | (50) | $21 \%$ | (31) | 23\% | (33) | 143 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (17) | 29\% | (23) | 22\% | (18) | 27\% | (22) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (86) | 29\% | (145) | 24\% | (121) | 30\% | (154) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (56) | 24\% | (100) | 24\% | (100) | 37\% | (152) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 20\% | (31) | 35\% | (55) | 22\% | (35) | 24\% | (38) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 21\% | (142) | 25\% | (170) | 20\% | (134) | 34\% | (231) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (87) | 24\% | (185) | 27\% | (202) | 38\% | (285) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 25\% | (182) | 33\% | (238) | 22\% | (159) | 20\% | (146) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (121) | 24\% | (226) | 25\% | (232) | 38\% | (355) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (63) | 22\% | (119) | 23\% | (122) | 44\% | (236) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (133) | 27\% | (179) | 21\% | (139) | 31\% | (204) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (40) | 34\% | (52) | 17\% | (27) | 23\% | (35) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (30) | 27\% | (49) | 22\% | (39) | 34\% | (61) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (23) | 19\% | (22) | 20\% | (23) | 42\% | (48) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 23\% | (33) | 33\% | (46) | 25\% | (35) | 19\% | (26) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (39) | 19\% | (95) | 27\% | (132) | 46\% | (230) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (45) | $31 \%$ | (94) | 28\% | (87) | 26\% | (79) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (24) | 30\% | (47) | 21\% | (33) | 34\% | (54) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (72) | 19\% | (72) | 19\% | (71) | 44\% | (166) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (294) | 28\% | (511) | 24\% | (442) | 31\% | (571) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 24\% | (128) | 26\% | (140) | 21\% | (110) | 29\% | (151) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (238) | 27\% | (444) | 24\% | (403) | 35\% | (586) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 13\% | (103) | 19\% | (152) | 20\% | (159) | 47\% | (373) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (248) | $31 \%$ | (411) | 25\% | (332) | 26\% | (345) | 1336 |

[^17]Table MCBR1_12: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Hotels

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (366) | 27\% | (583) | 23\% | (513) | $34 \%$ | (738) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (69) | 18\% | (87) | 14\% | (66) | 53\% | (254) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (34) | 21\% | (65) | 30\% | (93) | 38\% | (119) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 13\% | (36) | 35\% | (95) | 29\% | (79) | 22\% | (61) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (212) | 30\% | (316) | 24\% | (254) | 27\% | (284) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (110) | 20\% | (155) | 19\% | (149) | 48\% | (375) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (239) | 30\% | (388) | 26\% | (340) | 26\% | (340) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (77) | 16\% | (75) | 14\% | (66) | 54\% | (255) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (33) | 25\% | (80) | 26\% | (83) | 38\% | (121) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (25) | 29\% | (62) | 32\% | (68) | 28\% | (61) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (214) | 30\% | (326) | 25\% | (272) | 26\% | (279) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (117) | 23\% | (195) | 25\% | (214) | 39\% | (334) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 18\% | (51) | 22\% | (63) | 18\% | (53) | 43\% | (123) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (78) | 34\% | (118) | 24\% | (84) | 20\% | (72) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (37) | 23\% | (62) | 24\% | (63) | 39\% | (105) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 22\% | (22) | 41\% | (40) | 22\% | (21) | 15\% | (15) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (16) | 37\% | (41) | 25\% | (28) | 23\% | (26) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 28\% | (23) | 27\% | (22) | 24\% | (20) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 16\% | (23) | 29\% | (41) | 21\% | (30) | 33\% | (47) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (233) | 33\% | (338) | 23\% | (231) | $22 \%$ | (222) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (65) | 15\% | (100) | 22\% | (145) | 54\% | (357) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 9\% | (7) | 18\% | (15) | 35\% | (29) | 39\% | (33) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (61) | $31 \%$ | (129) | 25\% | (106) | 30\% | (125) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (173) | $31 \%$ | (238) | 23\% | (176) | 24\% | (187) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (55) | 15\% | (83) | 20\% | (111) | 55\% | (308) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (157) | 32\% | (222) | 25\% | (173) | 22\% | (152) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (66) | 16\% | (105) | 20\% | (129) | 54\% | (347) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | 26\% | (22) | 36\% | (31) | 32\% | (28) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (134) | 31\% | (233) | 24\% | (180) | 28\% | (212) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_12: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Hotels

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (366) | 27\% | (583) | 23\% | (513) | 34\% | (738) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (206) | 23\% | (284) | 22\% | (269) | 38\% | (457) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (160) | 30\% | (299) | 25\% | (244) | 29\% | (281) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 19\% | (76) | 31\% | (123) | 21\% | (83) | 28\% | (111) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 17\% | (81) | 23\% | (105) | 26\% | (121) | 34\% | (156) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 14\% | (118) | 24\% | (200) | 24\% | (198) | 37\% | (309) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 18\% | (92) | 30\% | (156) | 21\% | (111) | $31 \%$ | (161) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_13: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (395) | 26\% | (574) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (717) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (203) | 30\% | (315) | 22\% | (234) | 29\% | (310) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 17\% | (192) | 23\% | (259) | 25\% | (280) | 36\% | (407) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 24\% | (157) | 34\% | (222) | 22\% | (147) | 20\% | (129) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 28\% | (99) | 28\% | (101) | 21\% | (76) | 23\% | (81) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (98) | 22\% | (166) | 25\% | (185) | 40\% | (301) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (41) | 19\% | (85) | 24\% | (106) | 47\% | (205) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 25\% | (82) | 31\% | (104) | 24\% | (81) | 20\% | (65) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 27\% | (161) | 33\% | (200) | 20\% | (122) | 19\% | (116) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (83) | 24\% | (126) | 23\% | (120) | 38\% | (202) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (58) | 21\% | (137) | 25\% | (166) | 45\% | (299) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 25\% | (228) | 29\% | (265) | 23\% | (213) | 23\% | (205) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (97) | 28\% | (183) | 22\% | (146) | 35\% | (225) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (70) | 20\% | (126) | 24\% | (155) | 45\% | (286) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 28\% | (117) | 33\% | (140) | 22\% | (94) | 17\% | (73) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (111) | 26\% | (125) | 24\% | (119) | 27\% | (132) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (45) | 34\% | (103) | 21\% | (64) | $31 \%$ | (94) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 15\% | (52) | 23\% | (81) | 24\% | (82) | 38\% | (131) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (41) | 22\% | (73) | 23\% | (77) | $43 \%$ | (142) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (29) | 17\% | (53) | 26\% | (78) | 47\% | (144) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (184) | $31 \%$ | (203) | 20\% | (133) | 20\% | (134) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (96) | 28\% | (182) | 29\% | (188) | 28\% | (178) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (79) | 17\% | (112) | 22\% | (145) | 49\% | (327) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (248) | 25\% | (377) | 24\% | (370) | 34\% | (516) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (81) | 27\% | (120) | 23\% | (101) | $32 \%$ | (142) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (66) | $32 \%$ | (77) | 17\% | (42) | 24\% | (59) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 16\% | (182) | 28\% | (309) | 25\% | (283) | $31 \%$ | (347) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 17\% | (119) | 23\% | (159) | 24\% | (162) | 35\% | (241) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 24\% | (94) | 27\% | (106) | 17\% | (69) | $32 \%$ | (129) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 17\% | (289) | 24\% | (414) | 23\% | (405) | 36\% | (614) | 1722 |

[^18]Table MCBR1_13: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (395) | 26\% | (574) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (717) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 25\% | (89) | 27\% | (93) | 24\% | (85) | 24\% | (83) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (69) | 32\% | (89) | 23\% | (63) | 19\% | (53) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 18\% | (37) | 35\% | (71) | 23\% | (46) | 24\% | (49) | 204 |
| All Christian | 19\% | (197) | 25\% | (262) | 22\% | (230) | 35\% | (375) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 21\% | (30) | 34\% | (48) | 26\% | (36) | 20\% | (28) | 143 |
| Atheist | 25\% | (20) | 29\% | (23) | 24\% | (19) | 22\% | (18) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (89) | 27\% | (135) | 24\% | (123) | 31\% | (159) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (59) | 26\% | (106) | 26\% | (106) | 34\% | (138) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (33) | 33\% | (52) | 25\% | (39) | $21 \%$ | (34) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 22\% | (146) | 25\% | (172) | 21\% | (144) | $32 \%$ | (216) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (105) | 24\% | (185) | 24\% | (185) | 37\% | (285) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (198) | 32\% | (233) | 23\% | (166) | 18\% | (128) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (131) | 24\% | (222) | 24\% | (227) | 38\% | (354) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (66) | 22\% | (120) | 22\% | (121) | 43\% | (234) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (132) | 27\% | (177) | 22\% | (143) | $31 \%$ | (203) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 30\% | (46) | 29\% | (44) | 23\% | (36) | 18\% | (27) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 20\% | (36) | 30\% | (53) | 19\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (55) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 23\% | (26) | 21\% | (24) | 16\% | (19) | 40\% | (46) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 22\% | (30) | 38\% | (53) | 26\% | (37) | 15\% | (20) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (41) | 20\% | (100) | 26\% | (127) | 46\% | (228) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 17\% | (52) | 27\% | (82) | 29\% | (88) | 27\% | (83) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (31) | 26\% | (41) | 19\% | (31) | 35\% | (55) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (65) | 21\% | (79) | 20\% | (75) | 43\% | (163) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (330) | 27\% | (495) | 24\% | (439) | 30\% | (554) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 24\% | (124) | 28\% | (149) | 21\% | (112) | 27\% | (143) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (271) | 25\% | (425) | 24\% | (401) | 34\% | (574) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 14\% | (113) | 19\% | (149) | 21\% | (161) | 46\% | (363) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (267) | 30\% | (402) | 25\% | (330) | 25\% | (338) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_13: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 18\% | (395) | 26\% | (574) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (717) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 17\% | (81) | 17\% | (80) | 16\% | (76) | 50\% | (239) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 10\% | (33) | 22\% | (70) | 27\% | (85) | 40\% | (124) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (40) | 37\% | (100) | 26\% | (70) | 22\% | (61) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 21\% | (227) | 28\% | (302) | 24\% | (260) | 26\% | (277) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (119) | 19\% | (152) | 20\% | (158) | 46\% | (360) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (257) | 29\% | (383) | 26\% | (334) | 26\% | (334) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (86) | 16\% | (77) | 15\% | (70) | 51\% | (239) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (33) | 24\% | (75) | 28\% | (88) | 38\% | (122) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $14 \%$ | (30) | 29\% | (64) | 29\% | (62) | 28\% | (61) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (227) | 29\% | (319) | 25\% | (273) | 25\% | (273) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | $14 \%$ | (124) | 24\% | (206) | 25\% | (211) | 37\% | (319) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 21\% | (59) | 18\% | (51) | 21\% | (60) | 41\% | (118) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (87) | 34\% | (121) | 20\% | (69) | 21\% | (75) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (36) | 24\% | (63) | 25\% | (67) | 38\% | (101) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 21\% | (20) | 40\% | (39) | 25\% | (24) | 14\% | (14) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 23\% | (26) | 28\% | (31) | 25\% | (28) | 24\% | (27) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (16) | 31\% | (26) | 28\% | (23) | 22\% | (18) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 19\% | (26) | 27\% | (38) | 23\% | (32) | 32\% | (45) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 24\% | (249) | $31 \%$ | (318) | 23\% | (237) | 22\% | (221) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (69) | 15\% | (100) | 23\% | (151) | 52\% | (346) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 24\% | (20) | 37\% | (31) | 33\% | (28) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (71) | 32\% | (135) | 22\% | (95) | 29\% | (120) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (176) | $31 \%$ | (241) | 23\% | (175) | 24\% | (183) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 12\% | (65) | 16\% | (91) | 20\% | (112) | 52\% | (290) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (163) | 32\% | (223) | 22\% | (156) | 23\% | (162) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (73) | 16\% | (107) | 22\% | (143) | 50\% | (325) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 22\% | (19) | 38\% | (33) | 31\% | (27) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 19\% | (147) | 30\% | (226) | 24\% | (183) | 27\% | (203) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_13: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total N |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_14: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (314) | 25\% | (545) | 25\% | (541) | 36\% | (800) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (175) | 26\% | (278) | 24\% | (256) | 33\% | (353) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (139) | 23\% | (266) | 25\% | (285) | 39\% | (448) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (107) | 30\% | (198) | 26\% | (172) | 27\% | (178) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 23\% | (83) | 30\% | (108) | 21\% | (74) | 26\% | (92) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (89) | 22\% | (163) | 23\% | (175) | 43\% | (323) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (34) | 17\% | (76) | 27\% | (119) | 47\% | (206) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $11 \%$ | (38) | 27\% | (91) | 31\% | (103) | 30\% | (100) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 24\% | (142) | 32\% | (189) | 22\% | (129) | 23\% | (139) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 14\% | (72) | 26\% | (136) | 21\% | (110) | 40\% | (212) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (52) | 18\% | (119) | 27\% | (177) | 47\% | (311) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (181) | 28\% | (256) | 26\% | (240) | 26\% | (234) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 10\% | (68) | 26\% | (167) | 23\% | (147) | 41\% | (270) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (65) | 19\% | (121) | 24\% | (154) | 47\% | (296) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (97) | 30\% | (126) | 27\% | (116) | 20\% | (85) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 17\% | (84) | 27\% | (130) | 25\% | (124) | $31 \%$ | (150) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (38) | 25\% | (78) | 20\% | (62) | 42\% | (128) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 9\% | (30) | 26\% | (90) | 24\% | (85) | 41\% | (142) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (40) | 23\% | (75) | 23\% | (77) | 42\% | (141) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (25) | 15\% | (47) | 25\% | (77) | 51\% | (156) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (160) | 32\% | (206) | 22\% | (141) | 22\% | (147) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 11\% | (73) | 26\% | (166) | 31\% | (197) | 32\% | (208) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (66) | 16\% | (105) | 24\% | (158) | 50\% | (334) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 11\% | (170) | 24\% | (360) | 25\% | (380) | 40\% | (602) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 20\% | (89) | 23\% | (102) | 26\% | (114) | $31 \%$ | (139) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 23\% | (55) | 34\% | (83) | 19\% | (46) | 24\% | (59) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | $11 \%$ | (122) | 26\% | (292) | 26\% | (288) | 37\% | (419) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 16\% | (112) | 22\% | (148) | 24\% | (166) | 38\% | (256) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 20\% | (80) | 26\% | (105) | 22\% | (87) | 32\% | (126) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (236) | 23\% | (403) | 25\% | (423) | 38\% | (660) | 1722 |

[^19]Table MCBR1_14: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (314) | 25\% | (545) | 25\% | (541) | 36\% | (800) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (50) | 28\% | (99) | 28\% | (98) | 29\% | (102) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 22\% | (59) | 28\% | (78) | 23\% | (62) | 27\% | (75) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (19) | $31 \%$ | (64) | 27\% | (56) | 32\% | (65) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (157) | 23\% | (249) | 24\% | (257) | 38\% | (401) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 21\% | (29) | 35\% | (50) | 23\% | (33) | 22\% | (31) | 143 |
| Atheist | 20\% | (16) | $30 \%$ | (24) | 16\% | (12) | 35\% | (28) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 15\% | (76) | 24\% | (122) | 28\% | (144) | 32\% | (164) | 506 |
| Something Else | 9\% | (36) | 25\% | (100) | 23\% | (95) | 43\% | (177) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (30) | $34 \%$ | (54) | 24\% | (38) | 22\% | (35) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (113) | 24\% | (165) | 22\% | (151) | 37\% | (249) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (75) | 23\% | (174) | 25\% | (192) | 42\% | (318) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 21\% | (155) | 32\% | (234) | 23\% | (166) | 23\% | (169) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 11\% | (102) | 23\% | (211) | 26\% | (242) | 41\% | (379) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (57) | 18\% | (99) | 25\% | (133) | 47\% | (252) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (126) | 27\% | (174) | 21\% | (136) | $33 \%$ | (218) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 22\% | (34) | $34 \%$ | (52) | 17\% | (26) | 27\% | (42) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (27) | $24 \%$ | (42) | 24\% | (42) | 37\% | (67) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 14\% | (16) | 18\% | (20) | 27\% | (31) | 41\% | (47) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 13\% | (19) | 26\% | (37) | 40\% | (56) | 20\% | (28) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (41) | 17\% | (83) | 28\% | (140) | 47\% | (233) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (33) | 30\% | (90) | 26\% | (79) | $33 \%$ | (102) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (19) | 29\% | (46) | 19\% | (29) | 41\% | (64) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (61) | 18\% | (70) | 20\% | (77) | 45\% | (173) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (253) | 26\% | (475) | 25\% | (464) | 35\% | (627) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (124) | 27\% | (143) | 21\% | (110) | 29\% | (151) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 11\% | (190) | 24\% | (402) | 26\% | (431) | 39\% | (649) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (96) | 18\% | (139) | 21\% | (169) | 49\% | (383) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (208) | 29\% | (382) | 26\% | (352) | $30 \%$ | (395) | 1336 |

[^20]Table MCBR1_14: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (314) | 25\% | (545) | 25\% | (541) | 36\% | (800) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 16\% | (76) | 16\% | (74) | 16\% | (76) | 52\% | (250) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (20) | 21\% | (65) | 30\% | (93) | 43\% | (134) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (31) | 33\% | (90) | 29\% | (79) | 26\% | (71) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 17\% | (177) | 27\% | (292) | 26\% | (273) | 30\% | (324) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (95) | 19\% | (153) | 20\% | (160) | 48\% | (381) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (204) | 27\% | (359) | 27\% | (348) | 30\% | (397) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (69) | 16\% | (74) | 16\% | (76) | 54\% | (253) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 25\% | (79) | 26\% | (84) | 40\% | (128) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (24) | 27\% | (59) | 29\% | (62) | $33 \%$ | (70) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (180) | 27\% | (300) | 26\% | (286) | 30\% | (326) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (106) | $21 \%$ | (177) | 25\% | (212) | 42\% | (365) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 14\% | (41) | 19\% | (56) | 23\% | (65) | 44\% | (126) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 18\% | (65) | 34\% | (120) | 25\% | (89) | 22\% | (78) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (30) | $21 \%$ | (55) | 26\% | (68) | 43\% | (114) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (17) | 45\% | (44) | 22\% | (21) | 16\% | (16) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 18\% | (20) | 27\% | (31) | 25\% | (28) | 29\% | (32) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 23\% | (19) | 24\% | (20) | 29\% | (24) | 24\% | (20) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 11\% | (16) | $31 \%$ | (43) | 24\% | (33) | 35\% | (49) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 20\% | (205) | 30\% | (308) | 25\% | (260) | 25\% | (251) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (60) | 15\% | (103) | 22\% | (145) | 54\% | (359) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | $22 \%$ | (19) | 35\% | (30) | 37\% | (31) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (44) | 27\% | (114) | 25\% | (106) | 37\% | (158) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 21\% | (163) | 28\% | (219) | 25\% | (191) | 26\% | (202) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (57) | 16\% | (88) | 20\% | (110) | 54\% | (303) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (155) | 29\% | (205) | 25\% | (175) | 24\% | (169) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (65) | 16\% | (104) | 21\% | (134) | 53\% | (343) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | 27\% | (23) | 32\% | (27) | 36\% | (31) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (88) | 28\% | (213) | 27\% | (201) | $34 \%$ | (257) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_14: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $14 \%$ | $(314)$ | $25 \%$ | $(545)$ | $25 \%$ | $(541)$ | $36 \%$ | $(800)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $16 \%$ | $(196)$ | $23 \%$ | $(274)$ | $23 \%$ | $(279)$ | $38 \%$ | $(468)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $12 \%$ | $(118)$ | $28 \%$ | $(271)$ | $27 \%$ | $(262)$ | $34 \%$ | $(332)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $21 \%$ | $(83)$ | $27 \%$ | $(107)$ | $20 \%$ | $(78)$ | $32 \%$ | $(125)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $11 \%$ | $(51)$ | $25 \%$ | $(115)$ | $26 \%$ | $(120)$ | $38 \%$ | $(177)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $11 \%$ | $(92)$ | $21 \%$ | $(176)$ | $27 \%$ | $(221)$ | $41 \%$ | $(335)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $17 \%$ | $(88)$ | $28 \%$ | $(146)$ | $23 \%$ | $(122)$ | $31 \%$ | $(164)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_15: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (488) | $31 \%$ | (678) | 20\% | (445) | 27\% | (589) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 24\% | (251) | $34 \%$ | (358) | 20\% | (207) | 23\% | (245) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 21\% | (236) | 28\% | (320) | 21\% | (238) | 30\% | (344) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $31 \%$ | (202) | 37\% | (240) | 19\% | (122) | 14\% | (91) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | $32 \%$ | (116) | 33\% | (119) | 15\% | (53) | 19\% | (70) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 16\% | (119) | 29\% | (216) | 21\% | (156) | 35\% | (260) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 12\% | (50) | 24\% | (104) | 26\% | (114) | 39\% | (168) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 26\% | (86) | 36\% | (120) | 20\% | (68) | 17\% | (58) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 35\% | (212) | $36 \%$ | (217) | 15\% | (91) | 13\% | (78) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 19\% | (102) | $31 \%$ | (164) | 19\% | (99) | $31 \%$ | (166) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 12\% | (76) | 25\% | (167) | 25\% | (162) | 38\% | (254) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 29\% | (264) | 35\% | (322) | 18\% | (162) | 18\% | (165) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 20\% | (129) | 30\% | (196) | 23\% | (149) | 27\% | (178) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 15\% | (95) | 25\% | (161) | 21\% | (135) | 39\% | (246) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $31 \%$ | (130) | 39\% | (164) | 18\% | (78) | 12\% | (52) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 28\% | (134) | 32\% | (158) | 17\% | (83) | 23\% | (112) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 21\% | (63) | 33\% | (102) | 20\% | (61) | 26\% | (79) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 19\% | (66) | 27\% | (94) | 25\% | (87) | 29\% | (99) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 18\% | (59) | 28\% | (92) | 20\% | (68) | $34 \%$ | (114) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 12\% | (36) | 23\% | (69) | 22\% | (67) | 43\% | (132) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 35\% | (231) | 36\% | (233) | 14\% | (91) | 15\% | (99) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 19\% | (122) | 33\% | (211) | 24\% | (152) | 25\% | (159) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 15\% | (100) | 23\% | (150) | 23\% | (149) | 40\% | (264) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 21\% | (319) | 29\% | (446) | 21\% | (310) | 29\% | (437) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 23\% | (101) | 33\% | (146) | 21\% | (93) | 23\% | (104) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (68) | $36 \%$ | (87) | 17\% | (42) | 20\% | (48) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 20\% | (225) | 33\% | (369) | 21\% | (233) | 26\% | (293) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 23\% | (159) | 27\% | (183) | 20\% | (139) | 29\% | (200) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 26\% | (104) | 32\% | (126) | 18\% | (73) | 24\% | (95) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 21\% | (354) | 29\% | (495) | 22\% | (371) | 29\% | (501) | 1722 |

[^21]Table MCBR1_15: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (488) | $31 \%$ | (678) | 20\% | (445) | 27\% | (589) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 26\% | (91) | 36\% | (126) | 17\% | (60) | 21\% | (72) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 33\% | (89) | 36\% | (98) | 15\% | (42) | 16\% | (45) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 22\% | (44) | 42\% | (85) | 16\% | (32) | 21\% | (43) | 204 |
| All Christian | 20\% | (217) | 30\% | (319) | 21\% | (225) | 29\% | (303) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 30\% | (42) | 36\% | (51) | 18\% | (26) | 17\% | (24) | 143 |
| Atheist | 32\% | (25) | 34\% | (27) | 13\% | (10) | 21\% | (17) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 26\% | (129) | 29\% | (146) | 22\% | (110) | 24\% | (120) | 506 |
| Something Else | 18\% | (74) | 33\% | (135) | 18\% | (74) | $31 \%$ | (125) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 29\% | (45) | 36\% | (56) | 19\% | (30) | 17\% | (26) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 22\% | (147) | 32\% | (218) | 19\% | (126) | 28\% | (187) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 18\% | (137) | 29\% | (221) | 22\% | (166) | 31\% | (235) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 31\% | (228) | 38\% | (279) | 15\% | (110) | 15\% | (108) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 18\% | (166) | 29\% | (268) | 23\% | (218) | 30\% | (282) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 17\% | (94) | 24\% | (132) | 22\% | (116) | 37\% | (199) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 27\% | (179) | 31\% | (204) | 17\% | (114) | 24\% | (158) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 30\% | (45) | 38\% | (58) | 16\% | (24) | 17\% | (26) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 23\% | (41) | 32\% | (58) | 18\% | (32) | 27\% | (47) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 27\% | (31) | 22\% | (26) | 15\% | (17) | 36\% | (41) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 29\% | (41) | 46\% | (65) | 14\% | (20) | 10\% | (14) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (54) | 24\% | (117) | 28\% | (137) | 38\% | (188) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (58) | 35\% | (106) | 24\% | (72) | 22\% | (68) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 25\% | (39) | 29\% | (46) | 18\% | (28) | 29\% | (46) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 21\% | (81) | 25\% | (97) | 20\% | (75) | 34\% | (129) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 22\% | (407) | 32\% | (582) | 20\% | (370) | 25\% | (460) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (147) | 33\% | (174) | 18\% | (95) | 21\% | (112) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 20\% | (341) | 30\% | (504) | 21\% | (350) | 29\% | (476) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 17\% | (136) | 26\% | (202) | 19\% | (151) | 38\% | (297) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 25\% | (334) | 34\% | (451) | $21 \%$ | (275) | 21\% | (277) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_15: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (488) | $31 \%$ | (678) | 20\% | (445) | 27\% | (589) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 19\% | (92) | 23\% | (109) | 15\% | (72) | 43\% | (203) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 14\% | (44) | 30\% | (93) | 26\% | (79) | 30\% | (94) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 19\% | (52) | 41\% | (112) | 23\% | (62) | 17\% | (45) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 26\% | (282) | 32\% | (339) | 20\% | (213) | 22\% | (232) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (145) | 25\% | (198) | 18\% | (145) | 38\% | (301) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (319) | 34\% | (443) | 21\% | (273) | $21 \%$ | (274) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 21\% | (98) | 22\% | (102) | 14\% | (67) | 43\% | (205) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (47) | 30\% | (96) | 24\% | (77) | 30\% | (97) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (34) | 39\% | (84) | 22\% | (48) | 23\% | (50) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (285) | 33\% | (359) | 21\% | (224) | 20\% | (224) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 19\% | (164) | 29\% | (249) | 22\% | (186) | 30\% | (261) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 23\% | (67) | 24\% | (70) | 18\% | (52) | 35\% | (100) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 30\% | (104) | 38\% | (135) | 17\% | (59) | 15\% | (54) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (35) | 27\% | (73) | 28\% | (75) | $32 \%$ | (85) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 25\% | (24) | 45\% | (44) | 16\% | (16) | 14\% | (14) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 32\% | (35) | 27\% | (30) | 20\% | (22) | 22\% | (25) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 30\% | (24) | 37\% | (31) | 17\% | (14) | 17\% | (14) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (35) | 33\% | (47) | 15\% | (22) | 27\% | (38) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 30\% | (307) | 34\% | (346) | 19\% | (191) | 18\% | (181) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (79) | 25\% | (164) | 20\% | (135) | 43\% | (288) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 14\% | (12) | 22\% | (18) | 41\% | (35) | 23\% | (19) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 21\% | (88) | 35\% | (149) | 20\% | (84) | 24\% | (100) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 30\% | (235) | 32\% | (250) | 19\% | (145) | 19\% | (145) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 13\% | (71) | 22\% | (125) | 21\% | (114) | 44\% | (247) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 30\% | (210) | 34\% | (236) | 18\% | (128) | 18\% | (129) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 13\% | (85) | 23\% | (147) | 21\% | (133) | 44\% | (282) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 20\% | (17) | 28\% | (24) | $30 \%$ | (26) | 23\% | (20) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 23\% | (175) | 35\% | (267) | 21\% | (158) | $21 \%$ | (159) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_15: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $22 \%$ | $(488)$ | $31 \%$ | $(678)$ | $20 \%$ | $(445)$ | $27 \%$ | $(589)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $21 \%$ | $(259)$ | $28 \%$ | $(342)$ | $19 \%$ | $(237)$ | $31 \%$ | $(378)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $23 \%$ | $(229)$ | $34 \%$ | $(336)$ | $21 \%$ | $(208)$ | $21 \%$ | $(211)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $26 \%$ | $(101)$ | $34 \%$ | $(134)$ | $20 \%$ | $(77)$ | $21 \%$ | $(81)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $20 \%$ | $(93)$ | $31 \%$ | $(145)$ | $22 \%$ | $(101)$ | $27 \%$ | $(124)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $18 \%$ | $(149)$ | $26 \%$ | $(216)$ | $23 \%$ | $(191)$ | $32 \%$ | $(268)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $28 \%$ | $(144)$ | $35 \%$ | $(183)$ | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ | $22 \%$ | $(116)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_16: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Media companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (494) | $31 \%$ | (681) | 19\% | (414) | 28\% | (612) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 24\% | (253) | $32 \%$ | (341) | 19\% | (199) | 25\% | (268) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 21\% | (241) | 30\% | (339) | 19\% | (215) | 30\% | (343) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $31 \%$ | (201) | 38\% | (250) | 17\% | (109) | 14\% | (94) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 30\% | (108) | $34 \%$ | (121) | 19\% | (69) | 17\% | (59) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 17\% | (128) | 27\% | (205) | 18\% | (134) | $38 \%$ | (284) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 13\% | (56) | 24\% | (105) | 23\% | (101) | 40\% | (175) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $32 \%$ | (105) | 35\% | (115) | 19\% | (63) | 15\% | (49) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | $31 \%$ | (187) | 39\% | (234) | 16\% | (96) | 14\% | (82) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 19\% | (102) | 29\% | (153) | 19\% | (102) | $33 \%$ | (173) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 14\% | (90) | 24\% | (161) | 19\% | (127) | 43\% | (281) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | $32 \%$ | (288) | 34\% | (309) | 17\% | (159) | 17\% | (156) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 18\% | (115) | 33\% | (217) | 19\% | (124) | $30 \%$ | (196) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 14\% | (90) | 24\% | (155) | 21\% | (131) | $41 \%$ | (260) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $34 \%$ | (142) | $36 \%$ | (154) | 19\% | (80) | $11 \%$ | (47) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 30\% | (146) | $32 \%$ | (155) | 16\% | (79) | $22 \%$ | (108) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 18\% | (54) | 33\% | (102) | 19\% | (59) | 30\% | (91) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 18\% | (61) | 33\% | (115) | 19\% | (65) | 30\% | (105) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 17\% | (57) | 26\% | (85) | 18\% | (60) | 39\% | (130) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $11 \%$ | (33) | 23\% | (70) | 23\% | (71) | 43\% | (130) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 37\% | (241) | 34\% | (224) | 14\% | (93) | 15\% | (97) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 20\% | (128) | 33\% | (211) | 22\% | (144) | 25\% | (161) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 14\% | (91) | 22\% | (146) | 21\% | (140) | 43\% | (286) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 20\% | (303) | $31 \%$ | (465) | 20\% | (301) | 29\% | (443) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 25\% | (113) | $31 \%$ | (137) | 17\% | (77) | 27\% | (118) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 32\% | (78) | 33\% | (79) | 15\% | (36) | $21 \%$ | (51) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 20\% | (222) | $34 \%$ | (380) | 21\% | (231) | 26\% | (289) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 24\% | (163) | 26\% | (178) | 19\% | (129) | $31 \%$ | (210) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 27\% | (109) | 31\% | (122) | 13\% | (54) | 28\% | (113) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 21\% | (362) | 29\% | (505) | 19\% | (323) | $31 \%$ | (532) | 1722 |

[^22]Table MCBR1_16: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Media companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (494) | $31 \%$ | (681) | 19\% | (414) | 28\% | (612) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 28\% | (97) | 35\% | (121) | 14\% | (50) | 23\% | (82) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 32\% | (89) | 36\% | (99) | 18\% | (49) | 14\% | (37) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | $21 \%$ | (43) | 38\% | (77) | 20\% | (41) | 21\% | (43) | 204 |
| All Christian | 22\% | (234) | 27\% | (292) | 19\% | (198) | $32 \%$ | (340) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 29\% | (41) | 34\% | (49) | 21\% | (30) | 16\% | (23) | 143 |
| Atheist | 32\% | (26) | 38\% | (30) | 15\% | (12) | 15\% | (12) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 22\% | (111) | 33\% | (168) | 17\% | (88) | 27\% | (139) | 506 |
| Something Else | 20\% | (83) | 35\% | (141) | 21\% | (85) | 24\% | (98) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 28\% | (44) | 33\% | (53) | 22\% | (34) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 25\% | (168) | 30\% | (205) | 17\% | (118) | 27\% | (186) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 18\% | (140) | 29\% | (217) | 21\% | (158) | 32\% | (245) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 31\% | (221) | 36\% | (263) | 17\% | (127) | 16\% | (114) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 20\% | (184) | 29\% | (267) | 20\% | (185) | 32\% | (299) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 16\% | (89) | 28\% | (152) | 19\% | (102) | 37\% | (199) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 26\% | (167) | 32\% | (209) | 17\% | (110) | 26\% | (168) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 37\% | (57) | 30\% | (46) | 16\% | (25) | 17\% | (26) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 26\% | (46) | 34\% | (61) | 12\% | (22) | 28\% | (50) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $21 \%$ | (24) | 32\% | (37) | 12\% | (14) | 35\% | (40) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 31\% | (43) | 39\% | (55) | 20\% | (28) | 10\% | (14) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (56) | 23\% | (114) | 22\% | (110) | 44\% | (217) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 21\% | (64) | 32\% | (98) | 25\% | (77) | 21\% | (65) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 23\% | (37) | 39\% | (62) | 18\% | (28) | 20\% | (32) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 20\% | (76) | 26\% | (100) | 17\% | (66) | 37\% | (140) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 23\% | (418) | 32\% | (581) | 19\% | (348) | 26\% | (472) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (149) | 30\% | (159) | 17\% | (90) | 25\% | (130) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 21\% | (344) | $31 \%$ | (522) | 19\% | (323) | 29\% | (482) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 14\% | (110) | 25\% | (198) | 19\% | (150) | 42\% | (329) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 28\% | (372) | 34\% | (455) | 19\% | (251) | 19\% | (258) | 1336 |

[^23]Table MCBR1_16: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Media companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (494) | $31 \%$ | (681) | 19\% | (414) | 28\% | (612) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 16\% | (76) | 22\% | (104) | 16\% | (77) | 46\% | (218) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (35) | 30\% | (94) | 23\% | (72) | 35\% | (110) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 21\% | (58) | 41\% | (110) | 21\% | (57) | 17\% | (46) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 30\% | (315) | 32\% | (345) | 18\% | (194) | 20\% | (212) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (117) | 25\% | (194) | 18\% | (143) | 42\% | (335) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 28\% | (360) | 34\% | (445) | 19\% | (245) | 20\% | (258) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (83) | 20\% | (95) | 15\% | (70) | 47\% | (223) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (34) | 31\% | (99) | 23\% | (73) | 35\% | (112) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (39) | 42\% | (91) | 20\% | (43) | 20\% | (42) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 29\% | (321) | 32\% | (353) | 19\% | (202) | 20\% | (216) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 18\% | (154) | $31 \%$ | (265) | 21\% | (179) | 30\% | (262) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 21\% | (60) | 28\% | (80) | 13\% | (38) | 38\% | (110) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 30\% | (107) | 32\% | (111) | 19\% | (65) | 19\% | (68) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (39) | 30\% | (79) | 24\% | (63) | 32\% | (85) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 30\% | (29) | 43\% | (42) | 13\% | (13) | 15\% | (14) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 37\% | (42) | 30\% | (33) | 16\% | (18) | 17\% | (19) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 27\% | (22) | 38\% | (31) | 15\% | (13) | 20\% | (17) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 28\% | (40) | 28\% | (40) | 18\% | (26) | 25\% | (36) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 32\% | (326) | 35\% | (361) | 16\% | (166) | 17\% | (172) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (75) | 22\% | (149) | 20\% | (133) | 46\% | (309) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 25\% | (21) | 26\% | (22) | 41\% | (35) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 20\% | (84) | 36\% | (150) | 22\% | (92) | 23\% | (95) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 32\% | (249) | 33\% | (259) | 15\% | (115) | 20\% | (152) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (64) | 22\% | (122) | 19\% | (103) | 48\% | (269) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 32\% | (227) | 34\% | (238) | 16\% | (112) | 18\% | (126) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (70) | 23\% | (148) | 18\% | (117) | 48\% | (313) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 16\% | (14) | 28\% | (25) | 24\% | (21) | 32\% | (27) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 24\% | (180) | 35\% | (269) | 22\% | (164) | 19\% | (146) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_16: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Media companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $22 \%$ | $(494)$ | $31 \%$ | $(681)$ | $19 \%$ | $(414)$ | $28 \%$ | $(612)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $22 \%$ | $(266)$ | $29 \%$ | $(352)$ | $16 \%$ | $(195)$ | $33 \%$ | $(403)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $23 \%$ | $(228)$ | $33 \%$ | $(329)$ | $22 \%$ | $(219)$ | $21 \%$ | $(209)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $26 \%$ | $(104)$ | $36 \%$ | $(143)$ | $13 \%$ | $(52)$ | $24 \%$ | $(95)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $20 \%$ | $(95)$ | $29 \%$ | $(136)$ | $21 \%$ | $(97)$ | $29 \%$ | $(135)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $20 \%$ | $(168)$ | $25 \%$ | $(207)$ | $21 \%$ | $(174)$ | $33 \%$ | $(276)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $25 \%$ | $(127)$ | $37 \%$ | $(195)$ | $17 \%$ | $(91)$ | $21 \%$ | $(107)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_17: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 28\% | (606) | 25\% | (541) | 33\% | (727) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (175) | 29\% | (304) | 25\% | (262) | 30\% | (320) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 13\% | (150) | 27\% | (302) | 24\% | (279) | 36\% | (407) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 19\% | (122) | 34\% | (220) | 26\% | (169) | 22\% | (144) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 23\% | (81) | 32\% | (113) | 22\% | (80) | 23\% | (83) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (90) | 25\% | (187) | 23\% | (173) | 40\% | (301) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (32) | 20\% | (86) | 27\% | (119) | 46\% | (199) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 15\% | (51) | 32\% | (106) | 28\% | (92) | 25\% | (83) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (139) | 34\% | (204) | 23\% | (141) | 19\% | (115) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (78) | 28\% | (149) | 20\% | (107) | 37\% | (198) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (50) | 21\% | (140) | 26\% | (174) | 45\% | (295) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 21\% | (187) | 33\% | (303) | 24\% | (217) | 22\% | (204) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (71) | 28\% | (183) | 25\% | (161) | 36\% | (237) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (67) | 19\% | (120) | 26\% | (163) | 45\% | (286) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 24\% | (102) | 34\% | (144) | 25\% | (105) | 17\% | (73) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 18\% | (86) | 33\% | (159) | 23\% | (113) | 27\% | (131) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 11\% | (33) | 29\% | (89) | 23\% | (70) | 37\% | (113) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (37) | 27\% | (94) | 26\% | (91) | 36\% | (124) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (40) | 21\% | (71) | 27\% | (88) | 40\% | (133) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (27) | 16\% | (49) | 25\% | (75) | 50\% | (152) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 23\% | (152) | 34\% | (224) | 24\% | (159) | 18\% | (120) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 13\% | (82) | 30\% | (191) | 29\% | (185) | 29\% | (187) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (68) | 17\% | (113) | 23\% | (152) | 50\% | (330) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 13\% | (192) | 27\% | (404) | 25\% | (379) | 36\% | (538) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (76) | 27\% | (118) | 27\% | (118) | 30\% | (132) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (58) | 35\% | (85) | 18\% | (44) | 23\% | (57) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 12\% | (135) | 30\% | (331) | 26\% | (294) | 32\% | (361) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 16\% | (111) | 24\% | (164) | 25\% | (167) | 35\% | (238) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 20\% | (79) | 28\% | (111) | 20\% | (80) | 32\% | (128) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (245) | 25\% | (425) | 25\% | (438) | $36 \%$ | (614) | 1722 |

[^24]Table MCBR1_17: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 28\% | (606) | 25\% | (541) | $33 \%$ | (727) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (52) | 30\% | (105) | 27\% | (94) | 28\% | (98) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 22\% | (60) | 40\% | (108) | 18\% | (50) | 20\% | (55) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (21) | 36\% | (73) | 26\% | (52) | 29\% | (58) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (141) | 27\% | (283) | 25\% | (262) | 36\% | (378) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 24\% | (34) | 32\% | (46) | 23\% | (33) | 20\% | (29) | 143 |
| Atheist | 23\% | (18) | 30\% | (24) | 22\% | (18) | 25\% | (20) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 16\% | (83) | 26\% | (133) | 27\% | (136) | 30\% | (154) | 506 |
| Something Else | 12\% | (49) | 30\% | (121) | 22\% | (92) | 36\% | (146) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (36) | 32\% | (50) | 24\% | (38) | $22 \%$ | (34) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (115) | 29\% | (197) | 21\% | (143) | $33 \%$ | (222) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (72) | 26\% | (195) | 27\% | (202) | 38\% | (291) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 21\% | (155) | $36 \%$ | (258) | 24\% | (171) | 19\% | (141) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (111) | 25\% | (233) | 26\% | (240) | 38\% | (350) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (60) | 21\% | (116) | 24\% | (129) | 44\% | (236) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (122) | 30\% | (196) | 21\% | (140) | 30\% | (196) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 25\% | (38) | 26\% | (41) | 23\% | (35) | 26\% | (40) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 16\% | (29) | 31\% | (56) | 19\% | (33) | 34\% | (60) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 15\% | (17) | 24\% | (28) | 22\% | (25) | 39\% | (45) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (17) | $39 \%$ | (54) | 34\% | (48) | 15\% | (21) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 7\% | (37) | 21\% | (102) | 28\% | (137) | 44\% | (220) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (38) | 30\% | (91) | 29\% | (87) | 29\% | (89) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 17\% | (27) | 25\% | (39) | 23\% | (36) | 35\% | (56) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (59) | 21\% | (81) | 21\% | (78) | 43\% | (163) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 15\% | (267) | 29\% | (525) | 25\% | (463) | $31 \%$ | (564) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 21\% | (110) | 33\% | (175) | 20\% | (104) | 26\% | (139) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (215) | 26\% | (431) | 26\% | (437) | 35\% | (588) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 13\% | (99) | 20\% | (157) | 20\% | (159) | 47\% | (372) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (211) | $32 \%$ | (430) | 27\% | (357) | 25\% | (338) | 1336 |

[^25]Table MCBR1_17: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 28\% | (606) | 25\% | (541) | $33 \%$ | (727) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 14\% | (68) | 19\% | (90) | 15\% | (72) | 52\% | (246) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 10\% | (31) | 21\% | (66) | 28\% | (87) | 41\% | (127) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 41\% | (111) | 29\% | (78) | 22\% | (60) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 18\% | (189) | 30\% | (319) | 26\% | (280) | 26\% | (278) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (100) | 20\% | (158) | 21\% | (165) | 46\% | (367) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (211) | 31\% | (412) | 27\% | (348) | 26\% | (338) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (70) | 18\% | (85) | 16\% | (73) | 52\% | (243) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (30) | 23\% | (73) | 29\% | (92) | 39\% | (123) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (16) | 34\% | (73) | 28\% | (61) | 30\% | (66) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (195) | 31\% | (339) | 26\% | (287) | 25\% | (272) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (106) | 25\% | (215) | 25\% | (213) | 38\% | (326) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (48) | 21\% | (60) | 19\% | (54) | 44\% | (127) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (75) | 32\% | (114) | 28\% | (97) | 19\% | (66) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (27) | 25\% | (67) | 28\% | (74) | 37\% | (99) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (16) | 46\% | (45) | 23\% | (23) | 14\% | (14) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (14) | 32\% | (36) | 23\% | (26) | 32\% | (36) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 23\% | (19) | 33\% | (27) | 24\% | (20) | 20\% | (17) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (21) | 29\% | (42) | 25\% | (35) | 31\% | (43) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 20\% | (207) | 34\% | (344) | 24\% | (249) | 22\% | (225) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (62) | 17\% | (111) | 22\% | (146) | $52 \%$ | (348) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | 24\% | (20) | 41\% | (35) | 30\% | (25) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (51) | 31\% | (131) | 26\% | (111) | 30\% | (128) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (157) | $31 \%$ | (239) | 26\% | (199) | 23\% | (179) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 9\% | (51) | 17\% | (98) | 20\% | (112) | 53\% | (297) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 20\% | (143) | 32\% | (223) | 25\% | (178) | 23\% | (159) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (61) | 18\% | (117) | 21\% | (136) | $51 \%$ | (333) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 23\% | (20) | 41\% | (35) | 29\% | (25) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (115) | $32 \%$ | (246) | 25\% | (188) | 28\% | (210) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_17: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_18: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (372) | 27\% | (592) | 24\% | (527) | 32\% | (709) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (201) | 29\% | (304) | 24\% | (250) | 29\% | (308) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (171) | 25\% | (288) | 24\% | (277) | 35\% | (402) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (143) | 33\% | (215) | 26\% | (170) | 19\% | (127) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 25\% | (90) | 32\% | (113) | 22\% | (77) | 22\% | (77) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 14\% | (103) | 24\% | (178) | 22\% | (165) | 41\% | (305) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (35) | 20\% | (86) | 26\% | (116) | 46\% | (199) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 19\% | (63) | 31\% | (102) | 29\% | (95) | 21\% | (71) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (155) | $34 \%$ | (207) | 22\% | (132) | 18\% | (106) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 17\% | (92) | 24\% | (129) | 21\% | (109) | 38\% | (202) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (52) | 22\% | (146) | 25\% | (166) | 45\% | (295) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 25\% | (225) | $32 \%$ | (289) | 22\% | (203) | 21\% | (195) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (74) | 28\% | (180) | 25\% | (164) | 36\% | (234) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (73) | 19\% | (123) | 25\% | (160) | 44\% | (280) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 30\% | (127) | $33 \%$ | (138) | 23\% | (98) | 14\% | (61) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (98) | 31\% | (151) | 22\% | (105) | 27\% | (134) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (35) | 30\% | (93) | 22\% | (66) | 36\% | (111) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (39) | 25\% | (86) | 28\% | (98) | 36\% | (123) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (38) | 22\% | (72) | 26\% | (86) | $41 \%$ | (135) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 11\% | (34) | 17\% | (50) | $24 \%$ | (74) | 48\% | (145) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 26\% | (171) | 33\% | (217) | 22\% | (142) | 19\% | (125) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (95) | 29\% | (187) | 28\% | (180) | 28\% | (182) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (75) | 17\% | (116) | 23\% | (154) | 48\% | (318) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (228) | 26\% | (401) | 24\% | (369) | 34\% | (515) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 18\% | (78) | 26\% | (115) | 26\% | (116) | 30\% | (135) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 27\% | (66) | 31\% | (76) | 17\% | (42) | 25\% | (60) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (162) | 29\% | (326) | 26\% | (292) | 30\% | (341) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 18\% | (124) | 24\% | (166) | 22\% | (150) | 35\% | (241) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 22\% | (86) | 25\% | (100) | 21\% | (85) | 32\% | (128) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (271) | 25\% | (423) | 24\% | (421) | 35\% | (607) | 1722 |

[^26]Table MCBR1_18: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (372) | 27\% | (592) | 24\% | (527) | $32 \%$ | (709) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (73) | 32\% | (113) | 23\% | (81) | 23\% | (82) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (72) | 35\% | (96) | 20\% | (54) | 19\% | (51) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 36\% | (73) | 25\% | (52) | 25\% | (50) | 204 |
| All Christian | 16\% | (170) | 25\% | (265) | 24\% | (254) | 35\% | (375) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (37) | 34\% | (49) | 24\% | (34) | 16\% | (23) | 143 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (17) | 37\% | (29) | 20\% | (16) | 23\% | (18) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (87) | 27\% | (136) | 26\% | (130) | 30\% | (152) | 506 |
| Something Else | 15\% | (61) | 27\% | (112) | 23\% | (94) | 35\% | (142) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (38) | 34\% | (54) | 24\% | (37) | 18\% | (28) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 20\% | (139) | 25\% | (172) | 21\% | (140) | 34\% | (228) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (89) | 25\% | (192) | 27\% | (203) | 36\% | (276) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 25\% | (179) | 34\% | (247) | 22\% | (161) | 19\% | (138) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (127) | 24\% | (220) | 26\% | (241) | 37\% | (345) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (66) | 23\% | (125) | 23\% | (124) | 42\% | (226) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (132) | 28\% | (186) | 21\% | (135) | $31 \%$ | (201) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (41) | 30\% | (46) | 21\% | (33) | 23\% | (35) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 22\% | (39) | 25\% | (45) | 22\% | (38) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 23\% | (26) | 20\% | (23) | 18\% | (20) | 39\% | (45) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 17\% | (24) | 38\% | (54) | 29\% | (41) | 15\% | (22) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (42) | 20\% | (101) | 27\% | (133) | 44\% | (220) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (46) | 30\% | (90) | 31\% | (95) | 24\% | (74) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 15\% | (24) | 29\% | (47) | 19\% | (31) | 36\% | (57) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (64) | $22 \%$ | (84) | 20\% | (77) | 41\% | (157) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (308) | 28\% | (508) | 25\% | (450) | 30\% | (553) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 24\% | (126) | $31 \%$ | (163) | 20\% | (107) | 25\% | (133) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (246) | 26\% | (429) | 25\% | (420) | 34\% | (576) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 14\% | (108) | 20\% | (157) | 21\% | (167) | 45\% | (355) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (252) | 31\% | (415) | 25\% | (336) | 25\% | (333) | 1336 |

[^27]Table MCBR1_18: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (372) | 27\% | (592) | 24\% | (527) | $32 \%$ | (709) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 17\% | (82) | 17\% | (80) | 17\% | (79) | 49\% | (235) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (27) | 25\% | (76) | 28\% | (88) | 38\% | (120) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (38) | 34\% | (93) | 30\% | (82) | 21\% | (58) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (214) | 30\% | (322) | 24\% | (254) | 26\% | (275) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (113) | 20\% | (158) | 20\% | (161) | 45\% | (357) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (238) | 30\% | (398) | 26\% | (339) | 25\% | (333) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (83) | 16\% | (77) | 16\% | (74) | 50\% | (237) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (30) | 25\% | (81) | 27\% | (87) | 38\% | (120) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (27) | 30\% | (66) | 30\% | (65) | 27\% | (59) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (212) | 30\% | (332) | 25\% | (275) | 25\% | (274) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | $14 \%$ | (116) | 24\% | (206) | 26\% | (225) | 36\% | (312) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 18\% | (53) | 20\% | (57) | 19\% | (54) | 43\% | (125) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (80) | 36\% | (127) | 22\% | (77) | 19\% | (68) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (36) | 23\% | (62) | 25\% | (67) | 38\% | (102) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 21\% | (21) | 39\% | (38) | 20\% | (20) | 20\% | (19) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 24\% | (27) | 33\% | (37) | 24\% | (26) | 19\% | (21) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 21\% | (18) | 29\% | (24) | 29\% | (24) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (22) | 29\% | (41) | 24\% | (34) | 32\% | (45) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (232) | 33\% | (335) | 23\% | (234) | 22\% | (223) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (66) | 16\% | (105) | 24\% | (159) | 50\% | (336) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | 25\% | (21) | 40\% | (33) | 30\% | (25) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (68) | 31\% | (130) | 24\% | (99) | 29\% | (124) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (179) | $31 \%$ | (239) | 24\% | (183) | 23\% | (174) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (56) | 16\% | (89) | 22\% | (125) | 51\% | (287) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (166) | $31 \%$ | (219) | 23\% | (159) | 23\% | (160) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $12 \%$ | (75) | 16\% | (106) | 23\% | (148) | 49\% | (319) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 22\% | (19) | 38\% | (33) | 33\% | (28) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (124) | 33\% | (247) | 24\% | (185) | 27\% | (203) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_18: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $17 \%$ | $(372)$ | $27 \%$ | $(592)$ | $24 \%$ | $(527)$ | $32 \%$ | $(709)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $17 \%$ | $(201)$ | $25 \%$ | $(299)$ | $23 \%$ | $(275)$ | $36 \%$ | $(441)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $17 \%$ | $(171)$ | $30 \%$ | $(293)$ | $26 \%$ | $(253)$ | $27 \%$ | $(268)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $20 \%$ | $(78)$ | $32 \%$ | $(125)$ | $18 \%$ | $(72)$ | $30 \%$ | $(118)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $16 \%$ | $(72)$ | $23 \%$ | $(106)$ | $30 \%$ | $(137)$ | $32 \%$ | $(148)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $15 \%$ | $(121)$ | $25 \%$ | $(207)$ | $24 \%$ | $(196)$ | $36 \%$ | $(300)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $19 \%$ | $(101)$ | $30 \%$ | $(154)$ | $23 \%$ | $(122)$ | $28 \%$ | $(143)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_19: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (319) | 26\% | (579) | 25\% | (556) | $34 \%$ | (745) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 16\% | (166) | 27\% | (288) | 27\% | (282) | 31\% | (325) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 13\% | (153) | 26\% | (291) | 24\% | (274) | 37\% | (420) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 18\% | (115) | $34 \%$ | (220) | 26\% | (172) | 23\% | (148) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 22\% | (79) | $32 \%$ | (115) | 23\% | (81) | 23\% | (83) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (88) | 21\% | (161) | 25\% | (188) | 42\% | (314) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (36) | 19\% | (83) | 27\% | (116) | $46 \%$ | (201) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (44) | 33\% | (111) | 28\% | (92) | 26\% | (85) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (140) | 33\% | (199) | 24\% | (143) | 20\% | (118) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 14\% | (75) | 25\% | (133) | 23\% | (120) | 38\% | (203) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (50) | 19\% | (128) | 27\% | (179) | 46\% | (302) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (182) | $32 \%$ | (290) | 25\% | (226) | 23\% | (214) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (73) | 27\% | (175) | 26\% | (168) | 36\% | (236) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (64) | 18\% | (114) | 25\% | (162) | 46\% | (296) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (99) | $32 \%$ | (134) | 28\% | (117) | 18\% | (74) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 17\% | (83) | $32 \%$ | (156) | 22\% | (109) | 29\% | (140) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (28) | 29\% | (88) | 27\% | (82) | 35\% | (107) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (45) | 25\% | (87) | 25\% | (86) | 37\% | (129) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (39) | 20\% | (66) | 25\% | (83) | 43\% | (144) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (25) | 16\% | (48) | 26\% | (79) | 50\% | (152) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (159) | $31 \%$ | (204) | 24\% | (155) | 21\% | (136) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (77) | $31 \%$ | (197) | 28\% | (180) | 29\% | (189) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 9\% | (59) | 17\% | (110) | 25\% | (167) | 49\% | (327) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 12\% | (188) | 25\% | (381) | 26\% | (392) | 36\% | (551) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (71) | 27\% | (120) | 27\% | (120) | 30\% | (133) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (59) | 32\% | (79) | 18\% | (45) | 25\% | (61) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 13\% | (143) | 28\% | (310) | 27\% | (298) | 33\% | (370) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 16\% | (108) | 23\% | (156) | 25\% | (172) | 36\% | (245) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 17\% | (68) | 29\% | (114) | 22\% | (86) | 33\% | (130) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (233) | 24\% | (411) | 26\% | (447) | 37\% | (631) | 1722 |

[^28]Table MCBR1_19: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (319) | 26\% | (579) | 25\% | (556) | 34\% | (745) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (60) | 32\% | (113) | 25\% | (88) | 25\% | (89) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 23\% | (62) | 33\% | (91) | 23\% | (63) | 21\% | (58) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (24) | 38\% | (77) | 23\% | (47) | 27\% | (56) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (150) | 25\% | (269) | 25\% | (263) | 36\% | (381) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 23\% | (32) | 35\% | (49) | 20\% | (29) | $22 \%$ | (32) | 143 |
| Atheist | 23\% | (18) | 25\% | (20) | 25\% | (20) | 27\% | (21) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 15\% | (78) | 25\% | (128) | 27\% | (139) | 32\% | (161) | 506 |
| Something Else | 10\% | (40) | 28\% | (113) | 26\% | (105) | 37\% | (150) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 22\% | (34) | 35\% | (54) | 20\% | (32) | 24\% | (37) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 16\% | (109) | 27\% | (184) | 23\% | (156) | 34\% | (230) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (78) | 24\% | (185) | 27\% | (207) | 38\% | (290) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (158) | 33\% | (236) | 26\% | (188) | 20\% | (144) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 11\% | (107) | 24\% | (225) | 25\% | (237) | 39\% | (365) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (54) | 22\% | (118) | 24\% | (132) | 44\% | (236) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (122) | 29\% | (188) | 21\% | (138) | 32\% | (206) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (37) | $32 \%$ | (49) | 20\% | (31) | 23\% | (36) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (29) | $31 \%$ | (54) | 18\% | (33) | 35\% | (62) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 18\% | (20) | 20\% | (23) | 23\% | (26) | 40\% | (46) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (16) | 32\% | (44) | 38\% | (53) | 19\% | (27) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (37) | 18\% | (89) | 28\% | (140) | 46\% | (230) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (34) | 31\% | (94) | 31\% | (95) | 27\% | (81) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (22) | 24\% | (39) | 26\% | (40) | 36\% | (57) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (57) | 19\% | (73) | 22\% | (86) | 43\% | (166) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (262) | 28\% | (506) | 26\% | (471) | $32 \%$ | (580) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 20\% | (105) | 29\% | (155) | 22\% | (119) | 28\% | (151) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (214) | 25\% | (425) | 26\% | (437) | 36\% | (595) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (84) | 19\% | (148) | 23\% | (180) | 48\% | (375) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (220) | $31 \%$ | (413) | 26\% | (353) | 26\% | (350) | 1336 |

[^29]Table MCBR1_19: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (319) | 26\% | (579) | 25\% | (556) | 34\% | (745) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 13\% | (63) | 16\% | (77) | 18\% | (84) | 53\% | (251) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (21) | 23\% | (71) | $31 \%$ | (95) | 40\% | (124) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (24) | 38\% | (102) | $31 \%$ | (84) | 23\% | (61) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 18\% | (196) | 29\% | (312) | 25\% | (269) | 27\% | (289) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (92) | 19\% | (149) | 22\% | (176) | 47\% | (373) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (209) | 30\% | (398) | 27\% | (350) | 27\% | (351) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (65) | 16\% | (73) | 19\% | (87) | $52 \%$ | (246) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (27) | 24\% | (76) | 28\% | (88) | 40\% | (127) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (26) | 28\% | (61) | 29\% | (63) | 30\% | (66) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (183) | 31\% | (338) | 26\% | (287) | 26\% | (285) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (98) | 24\% | (207) | 25\% | (217) | 39\% | (337) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (48) | 18\% | (53) | 21\% | (62) | 43\% | (126) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 21\% | (75) | 32\% | (114) | 25\% | (89) | 21\% | (73) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (26) | 24\% | (63) | 27\% | (72) | 40\% | (105) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (13) | 41\% | (40) | 30\% | (29) | 15\% | (15) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (17) | 32\% | (36) | 25\% | (28) | 27\% | (31) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 23\% | (19) | 29\% | (24) | 28\% | (23) | 20\% | (17) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 15\% | (21) | 29\% | (42) | 26\% | (36) | 30\% | (42) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 19\% | (196) | 33\% | (339) | 25\% | (256) | 23\% | (233) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (57) | 16\% | (106) | 23\% | (156) | $52 \%$ | (348) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 10\% | (8) | 17\% | (14) | 40\% | (33) | 34\% | (29) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (57) | 28\% | (120) | 26\% | (110) | 32\% | (134) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (167) | 30\% | (233) | 24\% | (188) | 24\% | (187) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 9\% | (48) | 17\% | (93) | 22\% | (121) | 53\% | (296) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 21\% | (150) | $31 \%$ | (217) | 25\% | (174) | 23\% | (163) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (58) | 16\% | (107) | 24\% | (153) | $51 \%$ | (329) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 14\% | (12) | 19\% | (16) | 38\% | (33) | 29\% | (25) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (99) | 31\% | (239) | 25\% | (193) | 30\% | (228) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_19: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (319) | 26\% | (579) | 25\% | (556) | 34\% | (745) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (184) | 23\% | (286) | 25\% | (299) | 37\% | (446) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 14\% | (135) | 30\% | (294) | 26\% | (257) | 30\% | (299) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 19\% | (76) | 29\% | (115) | 22\% | (86) | 30\% | (117) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 14\% | (67) | 22\% | (101) | 29\% | (133) | 35\% | (162) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 12\% | (96) | $24 \%$ | (200) | 26\% | (213) | 38\% | (315) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (79) | $31 \%$ | (163) | 24\% | (125) | 29\% | (152) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_20: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (313) | 26\% | (576) | 27\% | (592) | 33\% | (719) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (163) | 29\% | (305) | 27\% | (291) | 29\% | (304) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 13\% | (151) | 24\% | (271) | 26\% | (301) | 37\% | (416) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 18\% | (118) | 33\% | (216) | 28\% | (186) | 21\% | (136) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 21\% | (76) | 30\% | (107) | 26\% | (93) | 23\% | (81) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 11\% | (80) | 24\% | (178) | 25\% | (184) | $41 \%$ | (309) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (39) | 17\% | (75) | 30\% | (129) | 44\% | (193) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 15\% | (49) | $34 \%$ | (112) | 29\% | (96) | 23\% | (75) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 22\% | (134) | $32 \%$ | (190) | 27\% | (161) | 19\% | (114) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 13\% | (72) | 26\% | (137) | 24\% | (127) | 37\% | (196) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 7\% | (48) | 20\% | (129) | 28\% | (184) | 45\% | (299) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (186) | $32 \%$ | (288) | 26\% | (240) | 22\% | (197) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 11\% | (70) | 26\% | (167) | 28\% | (185) | 35\% | (230) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 9\% | (58) | 19\% | (120) | 26\% | (166) | 46\% | (292) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (94) | $34 \%$ | (144) | 28\% | (121) | 15\% | (65) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 19\% | (92) | 30\% | (144) | 25\% | (120) | 27\% | (132) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 11\% | (33) | 30\% | (93) | 27\% | (81) | $32 \%$ | (98) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (36) | 21\% | (74) | 30\% | (104) | 38\% | (132) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 11\% | (35) | 20\% | (67) | 27\% | (89) | 42\% | (141) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 7\% | (23) | 17\% | (53) | 25\% | (77) | 50\% | (152) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (157) | 35\% | (231) | 22\% | (143) | 19\% | (124) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (80) | 25\% | (164) | 34\% | (218) | 28\% | (182) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 9\% | (61) | 16\% | (108) | 25\% | (165) | 50\% | (329) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 12\% | (178) | 25\% | (375) | 28\% | (426) | 35\% | (533) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (77) | 26\% | (117) | 27\% | (120) | 29\% | (130) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (58) | $34 \%$ | (84) | 19\% | (46) | 23\% | (56) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 11\% | (123) | 27\% | (303) | 30\% | (334) | $32 \%$ | (361) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 15\% | (105) | 26\% | (176) | 25\% | (169) | 34\% | (232) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 21\% | (85) | 24\% | (97) | 23\% | (90) | $32 \%$ | (127) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 13\% | (222) | 24\% | (419) | 27\% | (470) | 35\% | (611) | 1722 |

[^30]Table MCBR1_20: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (313) | 26\% | (576) | 27\% | (592) | 33\% | (719) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (52) | 37\% | (128) | 26\% | (91) | 23\% | (79) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 24\% | (65) | 30\% | (81) | 24\% | (66) | 23\% | (62) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 37\% | (75) | 27\% | (56) | 23\% | (46) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (155) | 26\% | (277) | 24\% | (260) | 35\% | (372) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 21\% | (30) | 33\% | (47) | 24\% | (34) | $22 \%$ | (31) | 143 |
| Atheist | 19\% | (15) | 33\% | (26) | 27\% | (21) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 14\% | (71) | 24\% | (124) | 32\% | (160) | 30\% | (151) | 506 |
| Something Else | 10\% | (41) | 25\% | (101) | 29\% | (117) | 36\% | (148) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 20\% | (32) | 33\% | (52) | 23\% | (36) | 24\% | (38) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (115) | 26\% | (176) | 24\% | (161) | $33 \%$ | (226) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (76) | 25\% | (189) | 28\% | (212) | 37\% | (283) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 21\% | (152) | 34\% | (246) | 28\% | (199) | 18\% | (127) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (112) | 23\% | (213) | 28\% | (257) | 38\% | (352) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 9\% | (49) | 21\% | (116) | 25\% | (136) | 44\% | (240) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (127) | 28\% | (182) | 22\% | (147) | 30\% | (198) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (27) | 37\% | (57) | 24\% | (37) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (26) | 29\% | (52) | 24\% | (44) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 15\% | (17) | 19\% | (22) | 22\% | (26) | 43\% | (50) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 16\% | (23) | 36\% | (50) | 29\% | (41) | 19\% | (26) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (37) | 17\% | (82) | 30\% | (150) | 46\% | (227) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (33) | 29\% | (89) | 36\% | (110) | 24\% | (73) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 13\% | (21) | 26\% | (41) | 25\% | (39) | 36\% | (57) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (60) | 20\% | (77) | 21\% | (82) | 43\% | (163) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 14\% | (253) | 27\% | (499) | 28\% | (511) | $31 \%$ | (556) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (101) | 28\% | (146) | 25\% | (131) | 29\% | (151) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (213) | 26\% | (430) | 28\% | (461) | 34\% | (568) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (85) | 19\% | (150) | 24\% | (190) | 46\% | (361) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 16\% | (214) | $31 \%$ | (409) | 28\% | (377) | 25\% | (336) | 1336 |

[^31]Table MCBR1_20: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division? Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (313) | 26\% | (576) | 27\% | (592) | $33 \%$ | (719) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 13\% | (62) | 17\% | (79) | 19\% | (89) | 52\% | (246) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (23) | 23\% | (71) | 32\% | (101) | 37\% | (115) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (30) | 31\% | (85) | 32\% | (87) | 25\% | (69) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 17\% | (184) | 30\% | (324) | 27\% | (290) | 25\% | (267) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (87) | 20\% | (155) | 24\% | (187) | 46\% | (361) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (210) | 30\% | (390) | 28\% | (372) | 26\% | (337) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (61) | 17\% | (80) | 18\% | (87) | $52 \%$ | (245) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 24\% | (75) | 32\% | (100) | 37\% | (116) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 29\% | (62) | 30\% | (65) | $31 \%$ | (67) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (188) | 30\% | (327) | 28\% | (307) | 25\% | (270) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (103) | $22 \%$ | (191) | 28\% | (243) | 38\% | (323) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (49) | 21\% | (61) | 21\% | (60) | $41 \%$ | (119) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (71) | 30\% | (107) | 28\% | (100) | $21 \%$ | (74) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (23) | 27\% | (71) | 27\% | (72) | 38\% | (101) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 21\% | (21) | 41\% | (40) | 26\% | (25) | 12\% | (12) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (15) | 33\% | (37) | 31\% | (34) | 23\% | (25) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 18\% | (15) | 31\% | (26) | 25\% | (21) | 26\% | (21) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 12\% | (17) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 25\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $21 \%$ | (211) | 33\% | (338) | 26\% | (266) | 20\% | (209) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (51) | 15\% | (101) | 25\% | (167) | $52 \%$ | (348) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 5\% | (4) | 15\% | (13) | 44\% | (37) | 35\% | (30) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (46) | 29\% | (124) | 29\% | (121) | $31 \%$ | (131) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 21\% | (161) | 31\% | (238) | 26\% | (199) | 23\% | (176) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (45) | 16\% | (88) | 23\% | (131) | 53\% | (294) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (155) | 31\% | (221) | 26\% | (180) | $21 \%$ | (147) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (55) | 16\% | (105) | 24\% | (158) | $51 \%$ | (329) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 19\% | (17) | 35\% | (31) | 38\% | (33) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (97) | 30\% | (229) | 29\% | (223) | 28\% | (210) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_20: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tdults | $14 \%$ | $(313)$ | $26 \%$ | $(576)$ | $27 \%$ | $(592)$ | $33 \%$ | $(719)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $16 \%$ | $(189)$ | $24 \%$ | $(296)$ | $24 \%$ | $(297)$ | $36 \%$ | $(434)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $13 \%$ | $(125)$ | $28 \%$ | $(279)$ | $30 \%$ | $(295)$ | $29 \%$ | $(285)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $20 \%$ | $(77)$ | $30 \%$ | $(118)$ | $23 \%$ | $(92)$ | $27 \%$ | $(107)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $12 \%$ | $(54)$ | $23 \%$ | $(105)$ | $30 \%$ | $(139)$ | $36 \%$ | $(164)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $12 \%$ | $(100)$ | $23 \%$ | $(187)$ | $28 \%$ | $(234)$ | $37 \%$ | $(303)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $16 \%$ | $(83)$ | $32 \%$ | $(165)$ | $24 \%$ | $(127)$ | $28 \%$ | $(145)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_21: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (344) | 26\% | (582) | 24\% | (537) | 33\% | (737) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (180) | 30\% | (314) | 24\% | (255) | 30\% | (313) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (164) | 24\% | (268) | 25\% | (283) | 37\% | (424) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 20\% | (132) | $32 \%$ | (209) | 26\% | (167) | 22\% | (146) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 25\% | (90) | 29\% | (105) | 23\% | (81) | 23\% | (82) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (88) | 24\% | (181) | 23\% | (176) | 41\% | (306) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (33) | 20\% | (87) | 26\% | (114) | 46\% | (203) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 20\% | (66) | 28\% | (93) | 26\% | (87) | 26\% | (85) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 24\% | (144) | 33\% | (199) | 24\% | (145) | 19\% | (111) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (77) | 27\% | (142) | $21 \%$ | (110) | 38\% | (201) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 7\% | (49) | 21\% | (138) | 26\% | (169) | 46\% | (303) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (210) | $31 \%$ | (279) | 25\% | (224) | 22\% | (199) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 26\% | (170) | 26\% | (167) | 37\% | (241) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 9\% | (59) | 21\% | (133) | 23\% | (147) | 47\% | (297) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 26\% | (109) | 35\% | (148) | 25\% | (106) | 15\% | (62) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 21\% | (102) | 27\% | (131) | 24\% | (118) | 28\% | (137) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (38) | 28\% | (86) | 23\% | (71) | 36\% | (111) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $11 \%$ | (37) | 24\% | (84) | 28\% | (96) | 38\% | (130) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (34) | 24\% | (81) | 23\% | (78) | 42\% | (140) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (26) | 17\% | (53) | 23\% | (69) | 52\% | (157) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (160) | 33\% | (217) | 24\% | (154) | 19\% | (123) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (79) | 28\% | (179) | 30\% | (195) | 30\% | (191) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 17\% | (115) | 22\% | (147) | 50\% | (331) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (211) | 25\% | (381) | 24\% | (370) | 36\% | (551) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (75) | 27\% | (119) | 27\% | (121) | 29\% | (129) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (58) | $34 \%$ | (82) | 19\% | (46) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (167) | 26\% | (293) | 26\% | (291) | 33\% | (371) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 16\% | (106) | 26\% | (179) | 23\% | (158) | 35\% | (238) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 18\% | (71) | 28\% | (111) | 22\% | (88) | 32\% | (128) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (249) | 24\% | (417) | 24\% | (418) | 37\% | (638) | 1722 |

[^32]Table MCBR1_21: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (344) | 26\% | (582) | 24\% | (537) | $33 \%$ | (737) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (78) | 29\% | (102) | 23\% | (80) | 25\% | (89) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 24\% | (65) | 35\% | (95) | 25\% | (69) | 17\% | (46) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 15\% | (31) | 34\% | (70) | 25\% | (50) | 26\% | (53) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (155) | 25\% | (271) | 24\% | (257) | 36\% | (381) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | $21 \%$ | (30) | 35\% | (50) | 26\% | (36) | 18\% | (26) | 143 |
| Atheist | 26\% | (21) | 30\% | (24) | 14\% | (11) | 30\% | (24) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 16\% | (79) | 27\% | (137) | 27\% | (134) | $31 \%$ | (155) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (59) | 25\% | (100) | 24\% | (98) | 37\% | (150) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 20\% | (32) | 34\% | (54) | 25\% | (39) | 21\% | (33) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (125) | 26\% | (178) | 22\% | (150) | $33 \%$ | (225) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (85) | 24\% | (181) | 26\% | (200) | 39\% | (294) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (160) | 36\% | (264) | 23\% | (167) | 19\% | (135) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (116) | 21\% | (197) | 27\% | (256) | 39\% | (364) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (68) | 22\% | (121) | 21\% | (114) | 44\% | (238) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 18\% | (118) | 27\% | (179) | 23\% | (154) | $31 \%$ | (204) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 25\% | (39) | 34\% | (52) | 19\% | (29) | $22 \%$ | (34) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (33) | 23\% | (42) | 24\% | (43) | 34\% | (60) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 22\% | (25) | 20\% | (23) | 19\% | (22) | 39\% | (45) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 22\% | (30) | 31\% | (44) | 31\% | (44) | 16\% | (22) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (38) | 21\% | (102) | 26\% | (127) | 46\% | (229) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 13\% | (41) | $31 \%$ | (95) | 27\% | (84) | 28\% | (85) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (19) | 29\% | (46) | 22\% | (35) | 37\% | (58) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (56) | 22\% | (82) | 21\% | (79) | 43\% | (164) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (288) | 27\% | (500) | 25\% | (459) | $31 \%$ | (572) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 20\% | (108) | 32\% | (171) | 21\% | (110) | 27\% | (140) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 14\% | (236) | 25\% | (411) | 26\% | (427) | 36\% | (597) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (90) | 21\% | (163) | 20\% | (157) | 48\% | (377) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 18\% | (242) | 30\% | (398) | 27\% | (357) | 25\% | (339) | 1336 |

[^33]Table MCBR1_21: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (344) | 26\% | (582) | 24\% | (537) | $33 \%$ | (737) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 13\% | (64) | 19\% | (91) | 17\% | (79) | 51\% | (242) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 23\% | (72) | 25\% | (78) | 43\% | (135) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (33) | 35\% | (96) | 29\% | (79) | 23\% | (63) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (210) | 28\% | (302) | 26\% | (278) | 26\% | (276) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (99) | 20\% | (158) | 20\% | (156) | 48\% | (376) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (229) | 29\% | (383) | 27\% | (353) | 26\% | (344) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (72) | 17\% | (81) | 16\% | (75) | 52\% | (244) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (28) | 24\% | (77) | 25\% | (80) | 42\% | (133) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (19) | 32\% | (69) | 29\% | (62) | $30 \%$ | (66) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (210) | 29\% | (313) | 27\% | (291) | 25\% | (278) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (108) | 23\% | (196) | 26\% | (227) | 38\% | (330) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 20\% | (56) | 19\% | (56) | 18\% | (51) | 43\% | (125) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (71) | 35\% | (123) | 25\% | (87) | 20\% | (71) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (26) | 26\% | (70) | 25\% | (68) | 38\% | (102) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (17) | 32\% | (31) | 33\% | (32) | 17\% | (17) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | $21 \%$ | (24) | 35\% | (39) | 19\% | (21) | 24\% | (27) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (18) | 30\% | (25) | 25\% | (21) | 23\% | (19) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 17\% | (24) | 30\% | (42) | 21\% | (30) | 32\% | (46) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 22\% | (221) | $31 \%$ | (320) | 26\% | (268) | 21\% | (216) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (54) | 17\% | (112) | 23\% | (150) | 53\% | (351) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 18\% | (15) | 42\% | (35) | 33\% | (28) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (61) | 32\% | (135) | 20\% | (82) | 34\% | (142) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (170) | 29\% | (222) | 27\% | (208) | 23\% | (175) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (45) | 17\% | (97) | 21\% | (116) | 54\% | (299) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 22\% | (155) | $31 \%$ | (215) | 25\% | (178) | 22\% | (156) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (59) | 18\% | (114) | 22\% | (140) | 51\% | (333) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 16\% | (14) | 45\% | (39) | 31\% | (27) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (124) | $31 \%$ | (234) | 24\% | (180) | 29\% | (221) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_21: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (344) | 26\% | (582) | 24\% | (537) | $33 \%$ | (737) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (183) | 24\% | (294) | 24\% | (291) | 37\% | (447) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 16\% | (161) | 29\% | (288) | 25\% | (246) | 29\% | (290) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 21\% | (84) | 28\% | (109) | 21\% | (85) | 30\% | (116) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 13\% | (61) | 24\% | (112) | 27\% | (126) | 35\% | (163) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 14\% | (112) | 23\% | (190) | 27\% | (221) | 37\% | (301) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 17\% | (87) | 33\% | (171) | 20\% | (106) | 30\% | (157) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_22: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Software companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (382) | 29\% | (632) | 22\% | (486) | 32\% | (699) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 21\% | (221) | 30\% | (321) | 21\% | (219) | 28\% | (301) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (161) | 27\% | (311) | 23\% | (267) | 35\% | (398) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 23\% | (149) | 37\% | (242) | 22\% | (146) | 18\% | (118) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 29\% | (104) | 29\% | (105) | 18\% | (65) | 23\% | (84) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 12\% | (92) | 25\% | (191) | 23\% | (174) | 39\% | (294) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 8\% | (36) | 22\% | (95) | 23\% | (102) | 47\% | (204) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 19\% | (64) | 37\% | (121) | 26\% | (85) | 18\% | (61) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 29\% | (172) | 35\% | (210) | 18\% | (106) | 19\% | (111) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (87) | 26\% | (138) | 21\% | (111) | 37\% | (195) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (50) | 24\% | (156) | 24\% | (159) | 45\% | (294) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (223) | 35\% | (318) | 21\% | (190) | 20\% | (182) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 14\% | (92) | 28\% | (182) | 23\% | (151) | 35\% | (228) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 11\% | (67) | 21\% | (133) | 23\% | (146) | 46\% | (290) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 31\% | (133) | 36\% | (154) | 19\% | (80) | 13\% | (57) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 18\% | (89) | 33\% | (163) | 23\% | (110) | 26\% | (125) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (47) | 31\% | (96) | 20\% | (61) | 33\% | (102) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (45) | 25\% | (86) | 26\% | (90) | 36\% | (126) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (41) | 21\% | (71) | 24\% | (78) | 43\% | (143) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (27) | 20\% | (62) | 22\% | (68) | 49\% | (148) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (185) | 36\% | (235) | 20\% | (129) | 16\% | (106) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (91) | 30\% | (193) | 28\% | (180) | 28\% | (180) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (75) | 19\% | (126) | 20\% | (131) | 50\% | (331) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (220) | 29\% | (439) | 23\% | (353) | 33\% | (501) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (86) | 27\% | (120) | 22\% | (97) | $32 \%$ | (141) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 31\% | (76) | 30\% | (73) | 15\% | (37) | $24 \%$ | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (169) | 31\% | (342) | 25\% | (277) | 30\% | (332) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 18\% | (123) | 25\% | (173) | 21\% | (142) | 36\% | (243) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 23\% | (90) | 29\% | (117) | 17\% | (67) | $31 \%$ | (124) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (279) | 26\% | (451) | 23\% | (388) | 35\% | (603) | 1722 |

[^34]Table MCBR1_22: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Software companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (382) | 29\% | (632) | 22\% | (486) | 32\% | (699) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (77) | 35\% | (121) | 23\% | (80) | 20\% | (72) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (70) | 37\% | (102) | 20\% | (55) | 17\% | (47) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (32) | 39\% | (79) | $21 \%$ | (43) | 24\% | (49) | 204 |
| All Christian | 16\% | (175) | 28\% | (293) | 21\% | (219) | 35\% | (377) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (37) | 39\% | (55) | 19\% | (27) | 17\% | (24) | 143 |
| Atheist | 26\% | (20) | 35\% | (28) | 18\% | (14) | 21\% | (17) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (85) | 28\% | (141) | 25\% | (129) | 30\% | (151) | 506 |
| Something Else | 16\% | (65) | 28\% | (115) | 24\% | (97) | 32\% | (131) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (38) | 39\% | (61) | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 21\% | (141) | 28\% | (191) | 19\% | (132) | 32\% | (214) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 12\% | (94) | 27\% | (204) | 24\% | (182) | 37\% | (281) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (196) | 35\% | (257) | 20\% | (143) | 18\% | (129) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (129) | 25\% | (237) | 25\% | (232) | 36\% | (336) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 11\% | (57) | 26\% | (138) | 21\% | (112) | 43\% | (234) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 22\% | (146) | 28\% | (183) | 20\% | (129) | 30\% | (197) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 27\% | (42) | 36\% | (55) | 19\% | (29) | 18\% | (28) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (34) | 26\% | (46) | 26\% | (46) | 29\% | (52) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (22) | 24\% | (28) | 16\% | (18) | 40\% | (46) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 18\% | (26) | 44\% | (62) | 27\% | (38) | 10\% | (14) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (40) | 22\% | (111) | 24\% | (117) | 46\% | (229) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (48) | 32\% | (98) | 26\% | (80) | 26\% | (79) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 16\% | (25) | 31\% | (49) | 18\% | (29) | 35\% | (55) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (62) | 24\% | (90) | 19\% | (72) | 41\% | (158) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (320) | 30\% | (542) | 23\% | (415) | 30\% | (542) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (120) | 34\% | (179) | 19\% | (100) | 25\% | (131) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (262) | 27\% | (453) | 23\% | (387) | $34 \%$ | (569) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (95) | 22\% | (175) | 19\% | (149) | 47\% | (368) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 21\% | (274) | 33\% | (440) | 23\% | (310) | 23\% | (313) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_22: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Software companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (382) | 29\% | (632) | 22\% | (486) | $32 \%$ | (699) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (72) | 19\% | (92) | 15\% | (70) | 51\% | (243) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (23) | 27\% | (83) | 26\% | (80) | 40\% | (125) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 16\% | (43) | $36 \%$ | (97) | 28\% | (75) | 20\% | (55) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 22\% | (231) | 32\% | (342) | 22\% | (235) | 24\% | (257) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (106) | 21\% | (165) | 19\% | (147) | 47\% | (371) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (259) | 33\% | (426) | 24\% | (311) | 24\% | (312) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (73) | 19\% | (89) | 13\% | (63) | 52\% | (247) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (33) | 24\% | (77) | 26\% | (84) | 39\% | (124) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (32) | 30\% | (66) | 27\% | (59) | 27\% | (59) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (228) | 33\% | (360) | 23\% | (252) | 23\% | (252) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (124) | 26\% | (222) | 23\% | (198) | 37\% | (316) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 20\% | (57) | 20\% | (58) | 18\% | (52) | 42\% | (122) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (80) | 39\% | (138) | 20\% | (70) | 18\% | (64) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (28) | 26\% | (70) | 26\% | (68) | 38\% | (101) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (15) | 45\% | (44) | 21\% | (20) | 19\% | (18) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 29\% | (33) | 28\% | (32) | 25\% | (28) | 18\% | (20) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (17) | 35\% | (29) | 25\% | (21) | 19\% | (16) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 21\% | (30) | 27\% | (39) | 21\% | (30) | 30\% | (43) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 24\% | (244) | 37\% | (380) | 20\% | (200) | 20\% | (200) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (61) | 17\% | (112) | 21\% | (142) | 53\% | (352) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 19\% | (16) | 42\% | (36) | 32\% | (27) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (71) | 30\% | (124) | 25\% | (107) | 28\% | (119) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 24\% | (187) | 34\% | (262) | 21\% | (162) | 21\% | (163) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (58) | 19\% | (104) | 18\% | (101) | 53\% | (296) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 24\% | (170) | 34\% | (242) | 21\% | (147) | 21\% | (145) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (67) | 19\% | (123) | 20\% | (127) | 51\% | (330) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 23\% | (20) | 38\% | (33) | 30\% | (26) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (137) | 33\% | (247) | 23\% | (176) | 26\% | (198) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_22: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Software companies

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR1_23: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | 26\% | (580) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (730) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (212) | 28\% | (294) | 22\% | (232) | $31 \%$ | (324) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 14\% | (165) | 25\% | (286) | 25\% | (282) | 36\% | (406) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (144) | 34\% | (226) | 24\% | (157) | 19\% | (128) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 24\% | (87) | 27\% | (95) | 26\% | (93) | 23\% | (83) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (97) | 23\% | (176) | 22\% | (163) | 42\% | (315) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 11\% | (48) | 19\% | (83) | 23\% | (100) | 47\% | (205) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 18\% | (61) | 32\% | (106) | 28\% | (93) | 22\% | (72) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (157) | 33\% | (199) | 22\% | (132) | 18\% | (111) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (87) | 25\% | (131) | 22\% | (117) | 37\% | (195) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (58) | 20\% | (134) | 23\% | (150) | 48\% | (317) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (222) | $33 \%$ | (300) | 22\% | (198) | 21\% | (192) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 14\% | (89) | 26\% | (172) | 26\% | (168) | 34\% | (223) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (65) | 17\% | (108) | 23\% | (148) | 50\% | (315) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 29\% | (123) | 35\% | (149) | 18\% | (78) | 17\% | (74) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (99) | $31 \%$ | (151) | 25\% | (120) | 24\% | (118) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 16\% | (48) | 27\% | (83) | 25\% | (76) | $32 \%$ | (99) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 12\% | (41) | 26\% | (89) | 27\% | (92) | 36\% | (124) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 12\% | (41) | 19\% | (62) | 23\% | (78) | 46\% | (152) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (24) | 15\% | (46) | 23\% | (70) | 54\% | (164) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $31 \%$ | (205) | $33 \%$ | (214) | 18\% | (120) | 18\% | (115) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 13\% | (81) | 29\% | (185) | 29\% | (190) | 29\% | (189) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 11\% | (70) | 14\% | (96) | 22\% | (146) | 53\% | (352) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (211) | 25\% | (381) | 25\% | (383) | 36\% | (538) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 20\% | (90) | 28\% | (126) | 21\% | (94) | 30\% | (135) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 31\% | (76) | 30\% | (74) | 15\% | (37) | 24\% | (58) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (166) | 28\% | (318) | 25\% | (276) | $32 \%$ | (362) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 18\% | (122) | 23\% | (154) | 24\% | (166) | 35\% | (239) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 22\% | (89) | 27\% | (108) | 18\% | (72) | 32\% | (129) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (268) | 24\% | (405) | 24\% | (420) | 37\% | (628) | 1722 |

[^35]Table MCBR1_23: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | 26\% | (580) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (730) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (55) | $36 \%$ | (124) | 26\% | (89) | 23\% | (81) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 29\% | (80) | 34\% | (94) | 18\% | (48) | 19\% | (52) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 40\% | (81) | 22\% | (45) | 24\% | (49) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (180) | 25\% | (265) | 22\% | (233) | $36 \%$ | (387) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (38) | 32\% | (45) | 24\% | (34) | 18\% | (26) | 143 |
| Atheist | 29\% | (23) | 30\% | (24) | 20\% | (16) | 21\% | (17) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (89) | 26\% | (132) | 25\% | (127) | $31 \%$ | (157) | 506 |
| Something Else | 12\% | (47) | 28\% | (113) | 26\% | (104) | 35\% | (143) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 26\% | (41) | 31\% | (49) | 25\% | (39) | 19\% | (29) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (123) | 28\% | (187) | 18\% | (125) | 36\% | (243) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 13\% | (97) | 24\% | (179) | 27\% | (204) | 37\% | (279) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (173) | 37\% | (266) | 21\% | (155) | 18\% | (130) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (133) | 21\% | (192) | 26\% | (244) | 39\% | (365) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (70) | 23\% | (122) | 21\% | (114) | 43\% | (234) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 21\% | (138) | 27\% | (178) | $21 \%$ | (138) | 31\% | (201) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | 35\% | (54) | 19\% | (30) | 23\% | (35) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 18\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (56) | 19\% | (34) | 31\% | (56) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 21\% | (24) | 16\% | (18) | 22\% | (25) | 41\% | (48) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 24\% | (34) | 32\% | (45) | 28\% | (39) | 16\% | (22) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (49) | 19\% | (95) | 23\% | (114) | 48\% | (238) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (43) | 29\% | (87) | $31 \%$ | (93) | 27\% | (81) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 13\% | (21) | 29\% | (47) | 26\% | (41) | 32\% | (51) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 18\% | (68) | 20\% | (77) | 17\% | (66) | 45\% | (171) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (308) | 28\% | (503) | 25\% | (448) | $31 \%$ | (559) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 22\% | (119) | 31\% | (164) | 19\% | (100) | 28\% | (146) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (258) | 25\% | (415) | 25\% | (413) | 35\% | (584) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (93) | 18\% | (140) | $21 \%$ | (162) | 50\% | (391) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (271) | $31 \%$ | (420) | 24\% | (327) | 24\% | (319) | 1336 |

[^36]Table MCBR1_23: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | 26\% | (580) | 23\% | (514) | $33 \%$ | (730) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 14\% | (67) | 15\% | (71) | 15\% | (74) | 56\% | (265) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 22\% | (69) | 29\% | (89) | 41\% | (126) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (40) | 36\% | (96) | 27\% | (73) | 23\% | (62) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 22\% | (231) | 30\% | (324) | 24\% | (254) | 24\% | (257) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (102) | 18\% | (140) | 20\% | (155) | 50\% | (392) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (262) | $31 \%$ | (400) | 25\% | (331) | 24\% | (315) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (69) | 15\% | (69) | 16\% | (74) | 55\% | (260) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (33) | 22\% | (71) | 25\% | (81) | 42\% | (133) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $14 \%$ | (29) | 29\% | (63) | 28\% | (60) | 29\% | (64) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (233) | 31\% | (337) | 25\% | (271) | 23\% | (251) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (110) | 24\% | (206) | 24\% | (208) | 39\% | (336) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 19\% | (55) | 23\% | (66) | 18\% | (51) | 40\% | (117) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (78) | 38\% | (133) | 20\% | (72) | 19\% | (68) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (38) | 18\% | (48) | 28\% | (74) | 40\% | (108) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (20) | 36\% | (36) | 28\% | (28) | 15\% | (14) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (24) | 26\% | (29) | 28\% | (31) | 25\% | (27) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 26\% | (21) | 31\% | (26) | 24\% | (20) | 19\% | (15) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 21\% | (30) | 25\% | (36) | 22\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $24 \%$ | (244) | $33 \%$ | (337) | 23\% | (237) | 20\% | (207) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (61) | 14\% | (96) | 20\% | (134) | 56\% | (376) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 11\% | (9) | 19\% | (16) | 30\% | (25) | 40\% | (34) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $14 \%$ | (61) | 31\% | (131) | 28\% | (118) | 27\% | (112) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 25\% | (196) | 32\% | (246) | 22\% | (167) | $22 \%$ | (167) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (62) | 14\% | (77) | 18\% | (100) | 57\% | (319) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 25\% | (178) | 32\% | (226) | 22\% | (152) | $21 \%$ | (147) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (67) | 15\% | (99) | 19\% | (120) | $56 \%$ | (361) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (12) | 23\% | (20) | 29\% | (25) | 34\% | (30) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (119) | $31 \%$ | (235) | 28\% | (212) | 25\% | (192) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR1_23: Do you think it is appropriate or inappropriate for the following types of brands to make public statements on social media about political division?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Very appropriate | Somewhat <br> appropriate | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tdults | $17 \%$ | $(376)$ | $26 \%$ | $(580)$ | $23 \%$ | $(514)$ | $33 \%$ | $(730)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $18 \%$ | $(216)$ | $24 \%$ | $(290)$ | $20 \%$ | $(246)$ | $38 \%$ | $(463)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $16 \%$ | $(161)$ | $29 \%$ | $(289)$ | $27 \%$ | $(267)$ | $27 \%$ | $(267)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $23 \%$ | $(89)$ | $32 \%$ | $(127)$ | $17 \%$ | $(66)$ | $29 \%$ | $(112)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $15 \%$ | $(68)$ | $24 \%$ | $(109)$ | $27 \%$ | $(126)$ | $34 \%$ | $(159)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $14 \%$ | $(115)$ | $24 \%$ | $(194)$ | $25 \%$ | $(205)$ | $38 \%$ | $(310)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $20 \%$ | $(105)$ | $29 \%$ | $(150)$ | $22 \%$ | $(117)$ | $29 \%$ | $(148)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Airlines

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (207) | 14\% | (317) | 42\% | (932) | 17\% | (365) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (119) | 17\% | (185) | 40\% | (426) | 15\% | (158) | 16\% | (173) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (88) | 12\% | (132) | 44\% | (506) | 18\% | (206) | 18\% | (206) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (80) | 19\% | (124) | 44\% | (285) | 16\% | (104) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (60) | 20\% | (72) | 42\% | (150) | 12\% | (41) | 10\% | (35) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (50) | 11\% | (82) | 43\% | (325) | 16\% | (122) | 23\% | (173) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $4 \%$ | (17) | 9\% | (40) | 40\% | (172) | 22\% | (97) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (26) | 15\% | (50) | 50\% | (166) | 20\% | (65) | 7\% | (25) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (105) | 22\% | (133) | 38\% | (229) | 12\% | (72) | 10\% | (60) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (46) | 13\% | (68) | 44\% | (235) | 14\% | (72) | 21\% | (110) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $3 \%$ | (21) | 10\% | (63) | 42\% | (278) | 20\% | (131) | 25\% | (166) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (127) | 20\% | (184) | 42\% | (382) | 13\% | (122) | $11 \%$ | (97) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | 11\% | (69) | 48\% | (316) | 18\% | (116) | 17\% | (109) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (65) | 37\% | (234) | 20\% | (127) | 27\% | (173) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (76) | 24\% | (101) | 40\% | (169) | 11\% | (45) | 8\% | (33) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 11\% | (51) | 17\% | (83) | 44\% | (213) | 16\% | (76) | 13\% | (64) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (20) | 13\% | (40) | 46\% | (142) | 16\% | (47) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (22) | 8\% | (29) | 50\% | (174) | 20\% | (69) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (23) | 14\% | (45) | 35\% | (115) | 20\% | (66) | 25\% | (84) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (14) | 7\% | (20) | 39\% | (119) | 20\% | (61) | 29\% | (89) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (117) | 22\% | (143) | 36\% | (235) | 14\% | (89) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (48) | 12\% | (79) | 50\% | (319) | 16\% | (103) | 15\% | (95) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (32) | 11\% | (73) | 37\% | (244) | 20\% | (130) | 28\% | (184) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (104) | 11\% | (173) | 47\% | (718) | 17\% | (253) | 17\% | (264) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (52) | 19\% | (85) | 32\% | (141) | 19\% | (83) | 18\% | (82) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (50) | 24\% | (59) | 30\% | (73) | 12\% | (28) | 14\% | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (68) | 13\% | (144) | 50\% | (560) | 16\% | (177) | 15\% | (172) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 9\% | (64) | 16\% | (107) | 38\% | (259) | 18\% | (122) | 19\% | (128) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 19\% | (75) | 17\% | (67) | 28\% | (113) | 16\% | (65) | 20\% | (78) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (145) | 14\% | (237) | 42\% | (720) | 17\% | (294) | 19\% | (326) | 1722 |

[^37]Table MCBR2_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Airlines

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (207) | 14\% | (317) | 42\% | (932) | 17\% | (365) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (46) | 20\% | (68) | 39\% | (138) | 15\% | (54) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | $14 \%$ | (37) | 16\% | (44) | 46\% | (127) | 14\% | (39) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 18\% | (36) | 42\% | (86) | 16\% | (32) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (115) | 16\% | (169) | 36\% | (378) | 19\% | (197) | 19\% | (205) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 23\% | (33) | 38\% | (55) | 14\% | (20) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (13) | 13\% | (10) | 42\% | (33) | $22 \%$ | (18) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (35) | 11\% | (57) | 52\% | (262) | 12\% | (63) | 18\% | (89) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (21) | 12\% | (48) | 50\% | (205) | 16\% | (67) | 16\% | (67) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (25) | 22\% | (34) | 39\% | (61) | 13\% | (21) | 11\% | (17) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (82) | 17\% | (116) | 37\% | (254) | 16\% | (108) | 18\% | (119) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (49) | 13\% | (96) | 42\% | (317) | 20\% | (153) | 19\% | (145) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (114) | $21 \%$ | (153) | 40\% | (293) | 13\% | (91) | 10\% | (75) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (66) | 12\% | (109) | 42\% | (394) | 18\% | (172) | 21\% | (192) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (27) | 10\% | (55) | 45\% | (245) | 19\% | (101) | 21\% | (112) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (98) | 17\% | (112) | 35\% | (231) | 16\% | (106) | 16\% | (107) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (28) | 22\% | (34) | 34\% | (53) | 15\% | (24) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 6\% | (11) | $21 \%$ | (38) | 37\% | (65) | 12\% | (22) | 23\% | (42) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 4\% | (4) | 12\% | (13) | 53\% | (61) | 15\% | (17) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (17) | 24\% | (34) | 43\% | (61) | 15\% | (21) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (18) | 9\% | (45) | 44\% | (219) | 17\% | (87) | 26\% | (127) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (20) | 8\% | (26) | 55\% | (168) | 16\% | (50) | 13\% | (41) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 5\% | (9) | 10\% | (15) | 47\% | (74) | $24 \%$ | (38) | 14\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (38) | 13\% | (50) | 34\% | (131) | 20\% | (76) | 23\% | (87) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (169) | 15\% | (268) | 44\% | (801) | 16\% | (289) | 16\% | (292) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $14 \%$ | (76) | $21 \%$ | (109) | $37 \%$ | (197) | 16\% | (83) | 12\% | (65) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (130) | 12\% | (209) | 44\% | (736) | 17\% | (282) | 19\% | (314) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (60) | 14\% | (106) | 33\% | (262) | 18\% | (140) | 28\% | (218) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (140) | 15\% | (206) | 46\% | (620) | 16\% | (212) | 12\% | (158) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Airlines

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (207) | 14\% | (317) | 42\% | (932) | 17\% | (365) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (40) | 12\% | (59) | $31 \%$ | (147) | 16\% | (77) | 32\% | (151) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (20) | 15\% | (47) | 37\% | (115) | 20\% | (63) | 21\% | (66) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (19) | 19\% | (51) | 43\% | (117) | 18\% | (50) | 12\% | (33) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $11 \%$ | (121) | 15\% | (155) | 47\% | (503) | 15\% | (162) | 12\% | (124) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (56) | 13\% | (106) | 35\% | (277) | 18\% | (139) | 27\% | (212) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (140) | 15\% | (199) | 46\% | (606) | 16\% | (207) | 12\% | (156) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (36) | 13\% | (62) | 33\% | (155) | 15\% | (69) | 32\% | (151) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 14\% | (45) | 38\% | (121) | 22\% | (70) | 19\% | (61) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (23) | 15\% | (33) | 43\% | (94) | 18\% | (38) | 13\% | (29) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (118) | 15\% | (166) | 47\% | (512) | 15\% | (169) | 12\% | (127) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (68) | 12\% | (104) | 41\% | (353) | 19\% | (159) | 20\% | (175) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (27) | 13\% | (38) | 37\% | (106) | 15\% | (42) | 26\% | (75) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (51) | 19\% | (66) | 45\% | (159) | 15\% | (52) | 7\% | (23) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (17) | 14\% | (38) | 43\% | (114) | 18\% | (49) | 19\% | (50) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 16\% | (16) | 48\% | (46) | 14\% | (14) | 10\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 9\% | (10) | 24\% | (26) | 39\% | (43) | 20\% | (22) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 17\% | (14) | 17\% | (14) | 35\% | (29) | 16\% | (14) | 15\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 5\% | (7) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 58\% | (82) | 9\% | (13) | 16\% | (23) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (144) | 20\% | (210) | 42\% | (426) | 14\% | (139) | 10\% | (105) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (27) | 9\% | (57) | $36 \%$ | (238) | 20\% | (134) | $31 \%$ | (210) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (1) | 11\% | (9) | 50\% | (42) | 26\% | (22) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (34) | 10\% | (41) | 53\% | (225) | 16\% | (68) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (110) | $21 \%$ | (166) | 40\% | (313) | 13\% | (98) | 11\% | (88) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (30) | 10\% | (55) | 31\% | (175) | 20\% | (112) | 33\% | (186) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (106) | $21 \%$ | (146) | 42\% | (293) | 12\% | (88) | 10\% | (70) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 10\% | (67) | 34\% | (217) | 20\% | (131) | 31\% | (198) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 15\% | (13) | 46\% | (40) | 20\% | (17) | 17\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (65) | 12\% | (91) | 50\% | (379) | 17\% | (129) | 13\% | (96) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Airlines

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (207) | 14\% | (317) | 42\% | (932) | 17\% | (365) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (125) | 16\% | (190) | 37\% | (448) | 16\% | (194) | 21\% | (259) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (81) | 13\% | (128) | 49\% | (485) | 17\% | (171) | 12\% | (120) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (49) | 17\% | (68) | 41\% | (160) | 14\% | (54) | 16\% | (64) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 5\% | (22) | 14\% | (65) | 47\% | (216) | 19\% | (88) | 15\% | (71) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (72) | 12\% | (98) | 44\% | (362) | 16\% | (132) | 19\% | (159) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 12\% | (64) | 17\% | (86) | 37\% | (194) | 18\% | (91) | 16\% | (85) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (235) | 14\% | (304) | 44\% | (965) | 16\% | (344) | 16\% | (352) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (144) | 16\% | (172) | 40\% | (429) | 15\% | (156) | 15\% | (161) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (91) | 12\% | (132) | 47\% | (536) | 17\% | (189) | 17\% | (190) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (95) | 18\% | (117) | 45\% | (292) | 15\% | (99) | 8\% | (51) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (64) | 19\% | (67) | 45\% | (161) | 10\% | (37) | 8\% | (28) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (59) | 11\% | (81) | 45\% | (337) | 15\% | (109) | 22\% | (165) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 9\% | (38) | 40\% | (175) | 23\% | (99) | 25\% | (107) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $11 \%$ | (35) | 12\% | (41) | 51\% | (170) | 18\% | (60) | 8\% | (25) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 20\% | (118) | 22\% | (130) | 40\% | (239) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 7\% | (43) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 10\% | (50) | 13\% | (68) | 47\% | (249) | 12\% | (62) | 19\% | (102) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (23) | 9\% | (63) | 43\% | (280) | 19\% | (127) | 25\% | (166) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (135) | 18\% | (167) | 45\% | (410) | 12\% | (113) | 10\% | (87) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (51) | 10\% | (66) | 48\% | (316) | 18\% | (118) | 16\% | (101) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (48) | 11\% | (71) | 38\% | (240) | 18\% | (114) | 26\% | (164) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (89) | 19\% | (82) | 41\% | (175) | $11 \%$ | (49) | 7\% | (30) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (46) | 18\% | (86) | 48\% | (235) | 13\% | (64) | 12\% | (57) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (22) | 14\% | (43) | 45\% | (137) | 18\% | (55) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (29) | 7\% | (23) | 52\% | (179) | 18\% | (64) | 15\% | (51) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (32) | 14\% | (48) | 35\% | (118) | 16\% | (52) | 25\% | (82) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 8\% | (23) | 40\% | (122) | 20\% | (61) | 27\% | (82) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (116) | 21\% | (134) | 38\% | (251) | 13\% | (86) | 10\% | (67) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (48) | 13\% | (86) | 51\% | (327) | 15\% | (97) | 13\% | (85) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (53) | 9\% | (59) | 38\% | (252) | 19\% | (127) | 26\% | (173) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (121) | 11\% | (166) | 49\% | (745) | 16\% | (236) | 16\% | (244) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (66) | 17\% | (73) | 34\% | (150) | 18\% | (82) | 16\% | (73) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 27\% | (65) | 29\% | (70) | 11\% | (26) | 14\% | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (96) | 11\% | (119) | 52\% | (584) | 15\% | (173) | 13\% | (150) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 10\% | (70) | 15\% | (105) | 39\% | (263) | 17\% | (119) | 18\% | (124) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (69) | 20\% | (80) | 30\% | (118) | 13\% | (53) | 20\% | (78) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (171) | 13\% | (221) | 43\% | (743) | 16\% | (282) | 18\% | (304) | 1722 |

[^38]Table MCBR2_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (235) | 14\% | (304) | 44\% | (965) | 16\% | (344) | 16\% | (352) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (55) | 16\% | (54) | 45\% | (156) | 14\% | (50) | 10\% | (35) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (38) | 19\% | (52) | 48\% | (132) | 10\% | (28) | 9\% | (24) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (26) | 15\% | (31) | 44\% | (89) | 17\% | (34) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (134) | 15\% | (162) | 36\% | (387) | 18\% | (190) | 18\% | (191) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 23\% | (33) | 41\% | (59) | 15\% | (22) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (13) | 16\% | (13) | 41\% | (33) | 17\% | (14) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (37) | 10\% | (53) | 55\% | (278) | 11\% | (54) | 17\% | (84) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (29) | 10\% | (42) | 51\% | (209) | 16\% | (64) | 15\% | (63) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (22) | 22\% | (34) | 41\% | (65) | 15\% | (24) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (100) | 15\% | (105) | 37\% | (248) | 16\% | (111) | 17\% | (113) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 12\% | (92) | 44\% | (335) | 18\% | (140) | 18\% | (133) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (125) | 22\% | (159) | 40\% | (291) | 12\% | (88) | 9\% | (62) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (77) | 10\% | (94) | 45\% | (424) | 17\% | (161) | 19\% | (178) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (33) | 9\% | (51) | 46\% | (250) | 18\% | (95) | 21\% | (112) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (93) | 19\% | (123) | 36\% | (235) | 16\% | (102) | 15\% | (101) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 21\% | (32) | 23\% | (36) | 32\% | (49) | 13\% | (20) | $11 \%$ | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (23) | 14\% | (24) | 42\% | (74) | 15\% | (27) | 16\% | (29) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (11) | 9\% | (10) | 55\% | (64) | 13\% | (15) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 13\% | (18) | 19\% | (27) | 51\% | (71) | 11\% | (16) | 6\% | (8) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (20) | 8\% | (40) | 45\% | (222) | 19\% | (96) | 24\% | (118) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (27) | 9\% | (27) | 57\% | (172) | 13\% | (40) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (11) | 11\% | (17) | 49\% | (78) | 19\% | (29) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (41) | 12\% | (46) | 37\% | (140) | 18\% | (68) | 22\% | (86) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (193) | $14 \%$ | (258) | 45\% | (825) | 15\% | (277) | 15\% | (266) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (93) | 21\% | (110) | 36\% | (193) | 12\% | (65) | 13\% | (67) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (141) | 12\% | (194) | 46\% | (772) | 17\% | (279) | 17\% | (284) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (68) | 13\% | (103) | 35\% | (275) | 18\% | (141) | 25\% | (201) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (157) | 15\% | (198) | 48\% | (643) | 14\% | (192) | $11 \%$ | (147) | 1336 |

[^39]Table MCBR2_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (235) | 14\% | (304) | 44\% | (965) | 16\% | (344) | 16\% | (352) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (53) | 11\% | (53) | 33\% | (159) | 16\% | (74) | 29\% | (138) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (15) | 16\% | (50) | 37\% | (116) | 21\% | (67) | 20\% | (63) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (28) | 21\% | (56) | 44\% | (118) | 16\% | (44) | 9\% | (24) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (129) | 13\% | (142) | 49\% | (524) | 14\% | (147) | 12\% | (123) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (70) | 13\% | (107) | 35\% | (275) | 18\% | (141) | 25\% | (198) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (152) | 15\% | (193) | 48\% | (625) | 15\% | (195) | 11\% | (143) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (48) | 12\% | (56) | 33\% | (155) | 16\% | (74) | 29\% | (138) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (22) | 16\% | (50) | 38\% | (120) | $21 \%$ | (66) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 20\% | (43) | 40\% | (86) | 18\% | (39) | 12\% | (25) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (130) | 14\% | (150) | 49\% | (539) | 14\% | (156) | 11\% | (118) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (76) | 12\% | (103) | 42\% | (366) | 18\% | (158) | 18\% | (157) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | $11 \%$ | (31) | 39\% | (114) | 16\% | (45) | 25\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 17\% | (58) | 18\% | (63) | 46\% | (160) | 14\% | (48) | 6\% | (22) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (22) | 11\% | (30) | 44\% | (117) | 17\% | (45) | 20\% | (53) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 6\% | (6) | 25\% | (24) | 52\% | (50) | 9\% | (9) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (19) | 20\% | (22) | 42\% | (47) | 12\% | (13) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 23\% | (19) | 12\% | (10) | 38\% | (31) | 12\% | (10) | 14\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $4 \%$ | (5) | 14\% | (20) | 57\% | (81) | 11\% | (16) | 13\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (150) | 20\% | (201) | 43\% | (445) | 13\% | (129) | 10\% | (98) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 9\% | (60) | 37\% | (246) | 19\% | (129) | 29\% | (190) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 6\% | (5) | $3 \%$ | (2) | 55\% | (46) | 24\% | (21) | 12\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (38) | 9\% | (40) | 54\% | (226) | 15\% | (64) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (120) | 18\% | (138) | 43\% | (334) | 13\% | (99) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (38) | 10\% | (58) | 32\% | (177) | 19\% | (106) | 32\% | (178) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (109) | 18\% | (128) | 44\% | (312) | 13\% | (89) | 9\% | (65) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (42) | 10\% | (68) | 34\% | (220) | 20\% | (126) | 29\% | (190) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 12\% | (10) | 54\% | (47) | 17\% | (15) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (81) | 13\% | (98) | 50\% | (382) | 15\% | (114) | 11\% | (84) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  |  |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(235)$ | $14 \%$ | $(304)$ | $44 \%$ | $(965)$ | $16 \%$ | $(344)$ | $16 \%$ | $(352)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $11 \%$ | $(134)$ | $14 \%$ | $(171)$ | $39 \%$ | $(477)$ | $16 \%$ | $(189)$ | $20 \%$ | $(245)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(101)$ | $13 \%$ | $(133)$ | $50 \%$ | $(488)$ | $16 \%$ | $(155)$ | $11 \%$ | $(107)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | $(55)$ | $17 \%$ | $(67)$ | $40 \%$ | $(158)$ | $12 \%$ | $(49)$ | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $6 \%$ | $(29)$ | $12 \%$ | $(53)$ | $50 \%$ | $(232)$ | $18 \%$ | $(85)$ | $14 \%$ | $(64)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(78)$ | $12 \%$ | $(100)$ | $45 \%$ | $(370)$ | $16 \%$ | $(133)$ | $17 \%$ | $(143)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $14 \%$ | $(73)$ | $16 \%$ | $(83)$ | $39 \%$ | $(205)$ | $15 \%$ | $(77)$ | $16 \%$ | $(82)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | $11 \%$ | (247) | 46\% | (1011) | 15\% | (323) | 18\% | (401) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (135) | 13\% | (135) | 44\% | (463) | 14\% | (144) | 17\% | (185) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (83) | 10\% | (112) | 48\% | (549) | 16\% | (179) | 19\% | (215) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (90) | 17\% | (109) | 45\% | (297) | 13\% | (86) | 11\% | (72) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (60) | 14\% | (51) | 47\% | (169) | 10\% | (35) | 12\% | (42) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (56) | 8\% | (60) | 47\% | (354) | 14\% | (107) | 23\% | (174) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (11) | 6\% | (27) | 44\% | (191) | 22\% | (95) | 26\% | (113) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 10\% | (34) | 13\% | (43) | 51\% | (170) | 13\% | (45) | 12\% | (39) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (112) | 18\% | (109) | 41\% | (248) | 12\% | (69) | 10\% | (62) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (41) | 9\% | (50) | 48\% | (256) | 13\% | (69) | 22\% | (115) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (25) | 6\% | (39) | 47\% | (311) | 18\% | (115) | 25\% | (168) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (123) | 15\% | (138) | 47\% | (430) | 12\% | (112) | 12\% | (110) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (46) | 10\% | (64) | 50\% | (326) | 16\% | (105) | 17\% | (111) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (48) | 7\% | (45) | 40\% | (256) | 17\% | (107) | 28\% | (180) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (75) | 18\% | (77) | 44\% | (187) | $11 \%$ | (48) | 9\% | (37) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (49) | 12\% | (61) | 50\% | (243) | 13\% | (63) | 15\% | (72) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (26) | 9\% | (29) | 48\% | (147) | 16\% | (48) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (20) | 10\% | (35) | 52\% | (179) | 17\% | (57) | 16\% | (54) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (34) | 9\% | (29) | 39\% | (129) | $14 \%$ | (48) | 28\% | (92) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (14) | 5\% | (16) | 42\% | (127) | 19\% | (59) | 29\% | (88) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (104) | 17\% | (111) | 43\% | (281) | 12\% | (78) | 12\% | (80) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (46) | 10\% | (67) | 54\% | (346) | 14\% | (90) | 15\% | (95) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (50) | 7\% | (49) | 38\% | (253) | 18\% | (120) | 29\% | (191) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (109) | 8\% | (128) | $51 \%$ | (771) | 15\% | (223) | 19\% | (282) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (55) | 16\% | (70) | 37\% | (165) | 17\% | (76) | 18\% | (78) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (54) | 20\% | (50) | $31 \%$ | (75) | 10\% | (25) | 17\% | (40) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (82) | 9\% | (104) | 54\% | (603) | 13\% | (146) | 16\% | (185) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (69) | 11\% | (77) | 41\% | (277) | 18\% | (123) | 20\% | (135) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (66) | 17\% | (67) | $33 \%$ | (131) | 13\% | (53) | 20\% | (81) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (164) | 10\% | (172) | 45\% | (779) | 15\% | (264) | 20\% | (343) | 1722 |

[^40]Table MCBR2_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 11\% | (247) | 46\% | (1011) | 15\% | (323) | 18\% | (401) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 11\% | (39) | 15\% | (52) | 44\% | (155) | 14\% | (48) | 16\% | (56) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (39) | 18\% | (50) | 48\% | (130) | 10\% | (27) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 7\% | (15) | 12\% | (25) | 50\% | (102) | 16\% | (32) | 15\% | (30) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (122) | 12\% | (131) | 39\% | (416) | 17\% | (182) | 20\% | (214) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 17\% | (24) | 47\% | (67) | 10\% | (15) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | 17\% | (13) | 11\% | (9) | 53\% | (42) | 9\% | (7) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (30) | 10\% | (49) | 55\% | (278) | 12\% | (63) | 17\% | (86) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (27) | 8\% | (34) | 51\% | (208) | 14\% | (56) | 20\% | (83) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 17\% | (26) | 47\% | (74) | 10\% | (16) | 9\% | (15) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (94) | 13\% | (88) | 39\% | (267) | 14\% | (94) | 20\% | (135) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (51) | 9\% | (66) | 45\% | (345) | 19\% | (142) | 20\% | (155) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (120) | 18\% | (132) | 43\% | (313) | 11\% | (81) | 11\% | (79) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (64) | 8\% | (71) | 47\% | (437) | 17\% | (163) | 21\% | (199) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (34) | 8\% | (44) | 48\% | (262) | 15\% | (79) | 23\% | (122) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (99) | 14\% | (92) | 38\% | (246) | 15\% | (101) | 18\% | (117) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (27) | 18\% | (28) | 37\% | (56) | 15\% | (23) | 13\% | (20) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (21) | 13\% | (24) | 46\% | (82) | 9\% | (15) | 20\% | (36) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (11) | 7\% | (8) | 55\% | (63) | 13\% | (15) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (14) | 21\% | (30) | 48\% | (67) | 16\% | (23) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (14) | 6\% | (32) | 47\% | (235) | 18\% | (88) | 26\% | (128) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (24) | 6\% | (17) | 58\% | (178) | 13\% | (38) | 16\% | (48) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 5\% | (8) | 11\% | (18) | 53\% | (84) | 13\% | (21) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (35) | 10\% | (38) | 40\% | (151) | 17\% | (64) | 25\% | (94) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (183) | 12\% | (210) | 47\% | (861) | 14\% | (259) | 17\% | (307) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (96) | 17\% | (88) | 40\% | (212) | 10\% | (55) | 15\% | (78) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (121) | 10\% | (160) | 48\% | (799) | 16\% | (269) | 19\% | (323) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (81) | 9\% | (69) | 38\% | (296) | 17\% | (130) | 27\% | (211) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (127) | 13\% | (175) | 50\% | (668) | 14\% | (184) | 14\% | (182) | 1336 |

[^41]Table MCBR2_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 11\% | (247) | 46\% | (1011) | 15\% | (323) | 18\% | (401) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $11 \%$ | (53) | 8\% | (40) | 35\% | (168) | 17\% | (79) | 28\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (28) | 9\% | (29) | 41\% | (127) | 16\% | (51) | 24\% | (75) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (25) | 20\% | (53) | 43\% | (117) | 14\% | (37) | 14\% | (38) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (102) | 11\% | (122) | $52 \%$ | (550) | 14\% | (147) | 13\% | (144) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (85) | 8\% | (61) | 39\% | (305) | 17\% | (134) | 26\% | (205) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (126) | 13\% | (174) | 50\% | (649) | 14\% | (179) | 14\% | (181) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (59) | 7\% | (32) | 36\% | (168) | 16\% | (76) | 29\% | (137) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 9\% | (29) | 43\% | (137) | 18\% | (58) | 22\% | (68) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (17) | 21\% | (46) | 43\% | (93) | 13\% | (27) | 15\% | (33) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (110) | 12\% | (128) | 51\% | (556) | 14\% | (151) | 13\% | (147) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (69) | 9\% | (81) | 44\% | (382) | 17\% | (147) | 21\% | (180) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (31) | 10\% | (30) | 37\% | (107) | 16\% | (45) | 27\% | (77) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (53) | 16\% | (55) | 50\% | (174) | 10\% | (37) | 9\% | (33) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (17) | 6\% | (17) | 50\% | (132) | 20\% | (54) | 18\% | (47) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 9\% | (9) | 20\% | (20) | 55\% | (54) | 8\% | (8) | 8\% | (8) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (18) | 12\% | (14) | 47\% | (52) | 10\% | (11) | 15\% | (17) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (12) | 21\% | (17) | 36\% | (30) | 14\% | (11) | 14\% | (12) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (9) | 10\% | (14) | 57\% | (80) | 7\% | (11) | 19\% | (27) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (139) | 16\% | (160) | 47\% | (476) | 13\% | (133) | 11\% | (116) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (42) | 6\% | (38) | 38\% | (255) | 20\% | (131) | 30\% | (201) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 7\% | (6) | 56\% | (48) | 16\% | (13) | 20\% | (17) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (35) | 10\% | (44) | 55\% | (230) | 11\% | (45) | 16\% | (66) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (103) | 16\% | (126) | 47\% | (361) | 11\% | (86) | 13\% | (98) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (43) | 7\% | (39) | 33\% | (186) | 19\% | (104) | 33\% | (186) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (90) | 16\% | (114) | 48\% | (336) | 12\% | (86) | 11\% | (77) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (52) | 7\% | (45) | 36\% | (234) | 19\% | (123) | 30\% | (193) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 10\% | (9) | 52\% | (45) | 14\% | (12) | 23\% | (20) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (74) | 10\% | (79) | 52\% | (392) | 14\% | (103) | 15\% | (111) | 759 |

[^42]Table MCBR2_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 11\% | (247) | 46\% | (1011) | 15\% | (323) | 18\% | (401) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (124) | 11\% | (132) | 41\% | (503) | 16\% | (193) | 22\% | (265) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (94) | 12\% | (116) | $52 \%$ | (508) | 13\% | (131) | 14\% | (136) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (50) | 15\% | (60) | 43\% | (169) | 11\% | (43) | 18\% | (72) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 8\% | (37) | 9\% | (41) | 50\% | (229) | 16\% | (73) | 18\% | (82) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (70) | 10\% | (78) | 48\% | (394) | 16\% | (133) | 18\% | (149) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 12\% | (61) | 13\% | (67) | 42\% | (219) | 15\% | (75) | 19\% | (98) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (249) | 17\% | (375) | 39\% | (857) | 16\% | (349) | 17\% | (369) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (154) | 19\% | (206) | 36\% | (382) | $14 \%$ | (147) | 16\% | (173) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (95) | 15\% | (169) | 42\% | (476) | 18\% | (202) | 17\% | (196) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (104) | 25\% | (162) | 38\% | (246) | 13\% | (87) | 8\% | (55) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 21\% | (74) | 19\% | (68) | 41\% | (147) | 9\% | (34) | 10\% | (35) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (50) | 14\% | (103) | 41\% | (307) | 17\% | (127) | 22\% | (165) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (21) | 10\% | (43) | 36\% | (158) | $23 \%$ | (102) | 26\% | (113) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 9\% | (31) | 26\% | (87) | 41\% | (137) | 15\% | (51) | 8\% | (25) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (138) | 22\% | (130) | 36\% | (216) | 10\% | (61) | 9\% | (53) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (46) | 16\% | (82) | 42\% | (223) | 15\% | (78) | 19\% | (102) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (26) | 11\% | (72) | 39\% | (257) | 20\% | (131) | 26\% | (174) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 17\% | (152) | 22\% | (202) | 39\% | (359) | 12\% | (107) | 10\% | (92) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (57) | 13\% | (84) | 43\% | (283) | 19\% | (121) | 16\% | (106) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 14\% | (90) | $34 \%$ | (215) | $19 \%$ | (121) | 27\% | (171) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (105) | 23\% | (97) | 36\% | (153) | 9\% | (37) | 7\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (47) | 21\% | (104) | 42\% | (206) | $14 \%$ | (70) | 12\% | (60) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (23) | 16\% | (50) | 40\% | (122) | 19\% | (58) | 17\% | (53) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (34) | 10\% | (35) | 47\% | (161) | 18\% | (63) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (26) | 18\% | (59) | $32 \%$ | (107) | 16\% | (52) | 27\% | (88) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (14) | 10\% | (30) | 36\% | (108) | $23 \%$ | (69) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (137) | 22\% | (142) | $33 \%$ | (217) | 13\% | (85) | 11\% | (74) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (55) | 17\% | (108) | 45\% | (292) | 16\% | (101) | 14\% | (88) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (48) | 14\% | (90) | $32 \%$ | (212) | 20\% | (133) | 27\% | (180) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (120) | 15\% | (225) | 44\% | (664) | 17\% | (253) | 16\% | (249) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (71) | 19\% | (85) | 30\% | (131) | 16\% | (73) | 19\% | (83) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (57) | 26\% | (65) | 25\% | (62) | 9\% | (23) | 15\% | (37) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (77) | 16\% | (175) | 48\% | (537) | 15\% | (168) | 15\% | (165) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 13\% | (87) | 18\% | (126) | $32 \%$ | (216) | 18\% | (124) | 19\% | (128) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 21\% | (85) | 19\% | (75) | 26\% | (105) | $14 \%$ | (57) | 19\% | (77) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (178) | 16\% | (282) | 39\% | (664) | 16\% | (282) | 18\% | (316) | 1722 |

[^43]Table MCBR2_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (249) | 17\% | (375) | 39\% | (857) | 16\% | (349) | 17\% | (369) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (51) | 26\% | (92) | 35\% | (123) | 12\% | (41) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 17\% | (45) | 22\% | (61) | 40\% | (109) | 13\% | (35) | 8\% | (23) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 16\% | (33) | 41\% | (84) | 16\% | (32) | 15\% | (30) | 204 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (127) | 20\% | (215) | 32\% | (341) | 16\% | (171) | 20\% | (209) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 23\% | (32) | 19\% | (27) | 39\% | (56) | 14\% | (20) | 5\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 24\% | (19) | 40\% | (32) | 14\% | (11) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (44) | 12\% | (63) | 48\% | (245) | 14\% | (73) | 16\% | (82) | 506 |
| Something Else | 8\% | (35) | 13\% | (52) | 45\% | (183) | 18\% | (75) | 15\% | (63) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (33) | 18\% | (28) | 40\% | (63) | 14\% | (22) | 7\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (100) | 19\% | (132) | 33\% | (223) | 16\% | (107) | 17\% | (116) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 17\% | (127) | 38\% | (289) | 18\% | (136) | 20\% | (149) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (140) | 24\% | (176) | 36\% | (258) | 12\% | (83) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (72) | 14\% | (132) | 39\% | (369) | 19\% | (173) | 20\% | (187) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (36) | 12\% | (67) | 43\% | (231) | 17\% | (93) | 21\% | (114) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (114) | 18\% | (119) | 33\% | (215) | 15\% | (97) | 17\% | (109) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 21\% | (33) | 30\% | (46) | 27\% | (41) | 12\% | (18) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 11\% | (20) | 21\% | (38) | 35\% | (62) | 13\% | (24) | 19\% | (34) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (9) | 13\% | (15) | 50\% | (58) | 15\% | (18) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 9\% | (13) | 37\% | (51) | 36\% | (51) | 13\% | (19) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (22) | 10\% | (48) | 42\% | (206) | 19\% | (94) | 26\% | (127) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (19) | 10\% | (32) | 53\% | (162) | 18\% | (54) | 12\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (20) | 17\% | (27) | 39\% | (62) | 17\% | (27) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (49) | 15\% | (56) | 32\% | (121) | 17\% | (64) | 24\% | (91) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | $11 \%$ | (200) | 18\% | (319) | 40\% | (736) | 16\% | (285) | 15\% | (278) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (95) | 23\% | (121) | 34\% | (182) | $11 \%$ | (57) | 14\% | (74) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (154) | 15\% | (254) | 40\% | (675) | 18\% | (293) | 18\% | (295) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (76) | 15\% | (119) | $31 \%$ | (244) | 18\% | (139) | 26\% | (208) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (167) | 19\% | (250) | 42\% | (566) | 15\% | (200) | 12\% | (154) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (249) | 17\% | (375) | $39 \%$ | (857) | 16\% | (349) | 17\% | (369) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (48) | 13\% | (62) | 29\% | (139) | 18\% | (86) | 29\% | (140) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (28) | 18\% | (57) | $34 \%$ | (105) | 17\% | (53) | 22\% | (68) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (25) | 28\% | (75) | 38\% | (102) | 14\% | (38) | 12\% | (32) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (141) | 16\% | (175) | 44\% | (464) | 15\% | (162) | 12\% | (123) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (80) | 15\% | (116) | $31 \%$ | (246) | 18\% | (142) | 26\% | (206) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (159) | 19\% | (243) | 43\% | (562) | 15\% | (194) | 12\% | (151) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (50) | 13\% | (60) | 30\% | (140) | 17\% | (81) | 30\% | (141) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (30) | 18\% | (57) | 33\% | (106) | 19\% | (60) | 20\% | (65) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (28) | 22\% | (47) | 37\% | (80) | 15\% | (32) | 13\% | (29) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (131) | 18\% | (196) | 44\% | (481) | 15\% | (162) | 11\% | (123) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (83) | 14\% | (121) | 38\% | (327) | 19\% | (165) | 19\% | (164) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | 16\% | (46) | 35\% | (100) | 16\% | (45) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 18\% | (63) | 21\% | (74) | 41\% | (145) | 12\% | (42) | 8\% | (27) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | 14\% | (38) | 42\% | (111) | 18\% | (47) | 19\% | (50) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (9) | 24\% | (24) | 50\% | (49) | 7\% | (7) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 31\% | (35) | 28\% | (31) | 16\% | (17) | 13\% | (15) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (17) | 16\% | (13) | 34\% | (28) | 16\% | (14) | 14\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (15) | 17\% | (25) | 47\% | (67) | 9\% | (12) | 16\% | (23) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (171) | 23\% | (235) | 39\% | (397) | 12\% | (122) | 10\% | (100) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 11\% | (72) | 32\% | (213) | 21\% | (142) | 31\% | (204) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 13\% | (11) | 43\% | (36) | 23\% | (19) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (35) | 14\% | (57) | 50\% | (211) | 15\% | (65) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (136) | 21\% | (161) | 39\% | (301) | 11\% | (85) | 12\% | (92) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | $7 \%$ | (37) | 12\% | (68) | 27\% | (153) | 20\% | (113) | 33\% | (186) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (127) | 20\% | (144) | 40\% | (280) | 12\% | (82) | 10\% | (71) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 13\% | (83) | 30\% | (197) | 22\% | (139) | 30\% | (191) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $5 \%$ | (4) | 15\% | (13) | $41 \%$ | (35) | 19\% | (17) | 20\% | (18) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (80) | 18\% | (136) | 45\% | (342) | 15\% | (112) | 12\% | (90) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(249)$ | $17 \%$ | $(375)$ | $39 \%$ | $(857)$ | $16 \%$ | $(349)$ | $17 \%$ | $(369)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $12 \%$ | $(148)$ | $16 \%$ | $(193)$ | $35 \%$ | $(424)$ | $16 \%$ | $(196)$ | $21 \%$ | $(255)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(101)$ | $19 \%$ | $(183)$ | $44 \%$ | $(433)$ | $16 \%$ | $(153)$ | $12 \%$ | $(114)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $15 \%$ | $(59)$ | $19 \%$ | $(75)$ | $37 \%$ | $(147)$ | $11 \%$ | $(45)$ | $17 \%$ | $(68)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $8 \%$ | $(37)$ | $14 \%$ | $(64)$ | $44 \%$ | $(204)$ | $18 \%$ | $(84)$ | $16 \%$ | $(74)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $8 \%$ | $(70)$ | $16 \%$ | $(136)$ | $40 \%$ | $(334)$ | $17 \%$ | $(138)$ | $18 \%$ | $(146)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $16 \%$ | $(83)$ | $19 \%$ | $(100)$ | $33 \%$ | $(173)$ | $16 \%$ | $(82)$ | $16 \%$ | $(82)$ |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10\% | (225) | 15\% | (336) | 43\% | (951) | 15\% | (329) | 16\% | (359) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (134) | 18\% | (187) | $41 \%$ | (432) | 14\% | (144) | 16\% | (165) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (90) | 13\% | (150) | 46\% | (519) | 16\% | (185) | 17\% | (194) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (92) | 23\% | (148) | 42\% | (273) | 14\% | (93) | 7\% | (49) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | 20\% | (72) | 42\% | (150) | 9\% | (34) | 9\% | (34) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (53) | 11\% | (81) | 45\% | (336) | 15\% | (109) | 23\% | (172) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (11) | $8 \%$ | (36) | 44\% | (192) | 21\% | (93) | 24\% | (104) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (40) | 20\% | (67) | 45\% | (149) | 15\% | (50) | 8\% | (26) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (113) | 23\% | (140) | 38\% | (230) | 12\% | (70) | 8\% | (46) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 12\% | (64) | 46\% | (243) | 12\% | (65) | 21\% | (112) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (18) | $9 \%$ | (61) | 45\% | (298) | 19\% | (122) | $24 \%$ | (159) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (146) | 20\% | (187) | 43\% | (393) | 10\% | (94) | 10\% | (93) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (41) | 13\% | (84) | 49\% | (318) | 17\% | (109) | 15\% | (99) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (66) | 38\% | (240) | 20\% | (126) | 26\% | (167) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (88) | 23\% | (96) | 41\% | (174) | $9 \%$ | (38) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (57) | 19\% | (91) | 45\% | (219) | 11\% | (55) | 13\% | (65) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (20) | 15\% | (46) | 46\% | (139) | 16\% | (48) | 17\% | (53) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (22) | 11\% | (38) | 52\% | (178) | 18\% | (62) | 13\% | (46) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (26) | 14\% | (45) | 36\% | (119) | 17\% | (58) | 25\% | (84) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (11) | 7\% | (21) | 40\% | (122) | 22\% | (68) | 27\% | (82) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (106) | 26\% | (172) | 37\% | (240) | 11\% | (75) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (47) | 12\% | (75) | 53\% | (341) | 13\% | (86) | 15\% | (95) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (53) | 9\% | (62) | 35\% | (235) | 20\% | (135) | 27\% | (178) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (124) | 13\% | (190) | 48\% | (728) | 14\% | (216) | 17\% | (255) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (53) | 18\% | (81) | $34 \%$ | (153) | 19\% | (86) | 16\% | (70) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 27\% | (65) | 29\% | (70) | 11\% | (27) | $14 \%$ | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (95) | 13\% | (151) | 50\% | (562) | 14\% | (155) | 14\% | (158) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (68) | 15\% | (101) | 40\% | (272) | 18\% | (122) | 17\% | (118) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 16\% | (62) | 21\% | (85) | 29\% | (117) | 13\% | (52) | 21\% | (83) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (172) | 14\% | (239) | 42\% | (730) | 16\% | (271) | 18\% | (310) | 1722 |

[^44]Table MCBR2_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (225) | 15\% | (336) | 43\% | (951) | 15\% | (329) | 16\% | (359) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (54) | 16\% | (55) | 43\% | (150) | 13\% | (44) | 13\% | (46) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 15\% | (41) | 23\% | (64) | 46\% | (126) | 8\% | (22) | 8\% | (21) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (12) | 16\% | (33) | 47\% | (95) | 17\% | (36) | 14\% | (28) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (120) | 15\% | (163) | 37\% | (399) | 17\% | (180) | 19\% | (203) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 25\% | (35) | 36\% | (51) | 17\% | (25) | 4\% | (6) | 143 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (12) | 25\% | (20) | 39\% | (31) | 9\% | (7) | 11\% | (9) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (42) | 15\% | (73) | 51\% | (256) | 10\% | (53) | 16\% | (82) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (25) | 11\% | (45) | 52\% | (213) | 16\% | (65) | 15\% | (59) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 18\% | (28) | 22\% | (35) | 36\% | (57) | 17\% | (27) | 6\% | (10) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (94) | 17\% | (115) | 38\% | (260) | 14\% | (94) | 17\% | (116) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (46) | 12\% | (89) | 45\% | (338) | 19\% | (146) | 18\% | (141) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (131) | 24\% | (173) | 40\% | (286) | 9\% | (67) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (67) | 10\% | (98) | 44\% | (415) | 18\% | (165) | 20\% | (189) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (27) | 12\% | (65) | 46\% | (249) | 18\% | (98) | 19\% | (103) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (98) | 18\% | (115) | 36\% | (237) | 16\% | (104) | 15\% | (101) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 21\% | (32) | 23\% | (36) | 29\% | (44) | 16\% | (25) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 11\% | (19) | 17\% | (30) | 41\% | (74) | 12\% | (22) | 19\% | (33) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (7) | 9\% | (10) | 57\% | (65) | 12\% | (14) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 11\% | (16) | 26\% | (37) | 42\% | (59) | 15\% | (21) | 5\% | (8) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (18) | 9\% | (46) | 46\% | (231) | 16\% | (81) | 24\% | (120) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (23) | 12\% | (36) | 56\% | (169) | 12\% | (35) | 13\% | (41) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (11) | 16\% | (26) | 46\% | (73) | 17\% | (27) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (36) | 14\% | (55) | 37\% | (140) | 17\% | (64) | 23\% | (86) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (188) | 15\% | (281) | 45\% | (811) | 15\% | (265) | 15\% | (273) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (84) | 23\% | (121) | 37\% | (198) | 11\% | (57) | 13\% | (68) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (140) | 13\% | (215) | 45\% | (753) | 16\% | (272) | 17\% | (291) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (64) | 12\% | (97) | 35\% | (279) | 18\% | (140) | 26\% | (206) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (151) | 18\% | (235) | 47\% | (624) | 13\% | (178) | $11 \%$ | (149) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (225) | 15\% | (336) | 43\% | (951) | 15\% | (329) | 16\% | (359) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (38) | 12\% | (55) | $34 \%$ | (160) | 17\% | (81) | 30\% | (141) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 13\% | (41) | $38 \%$ | (119) | 19\% | (59) | 21\% | (65) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (18) | 24\% | (65) | 45\% | (122) | 15\% | (39) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $12 \%$ | (133) | 16\% | (170) | 47\% | (502) | 13\% | (139) | 11\% | (122) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (64) | 13\% | (99) | 37\% | (290) | 17\% | (135) | 25\% | (201) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (147) | 17\% | (227) | 46\% | (603) | 14\% | (185) | 11\% | (146) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (39) | 12\% | (58) | 33\% | (157) | 17\% | (79) | 29\% | (139) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 13\% | (41) | 42\% | (133) | 18\% | (56) | 19\% | (62) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (15) | $22 \%$ | (47) | 41\% | (88) | 18\% | (38) | 13\% | (28) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $12 \%$ | (132) | 16\% | (180) | 47\% | (515) | 13\% | (147) | 11\% | (119) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (69) | 13\% | (111) | 42\% | (364) | 17\% | (146) | 20\% | (170) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (29) | 13\% | (37) | $38 \%$ | (110) | 15\% | (44) | 24\% | (68) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (50) | $21 \%$ | (74) | 44\% | (154) | 14\% | (48) | 7\% | (25) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (22) | 9\% | (24) | 49\% | (130) | 17\% | (45) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 20\% | (20) | 50\% | (49) | 8\% | (8) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (15) | 28\% | (31) | 39\% | (43) | 15\% | (17) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 17\% | (14) | 19\% | (16) | 33\% | (27) | 12\% | (10) | 19\% | (16) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (13) | 17\% | (24) | 52\% | (73) | 8\% | (11) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (153) | 21\% | (216) | 43\% | (444) | $11 \%$ | (112) | 10\% | (99) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (34) | 7\% | (50) | 38\% | (252) | 20\% | (132) | 30\% | (199) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 14\% | (12) | 51\% | (43) | 20\% | (17) | 15\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (36) | 14\% | (59) | 50\% | (211) | 16\% | (66) | 11\% | (48) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (108) | $21 \%$ | (165) | 43\% | (331) | 11\% | (85) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (32) | 10\% | (56) | 32\% | (181) | 19\% | (104) | 33\% | (185) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (96) | $21 \%$ | (147) | 45\% | (315) | 11\% | (75) | 10\% | (70) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (34) | 10\% | (64) | 35\% | (228) | 19\% | (124) | 30\% | (196) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 4\% | (3) | 17\% | (14) | 44\% | (38) | 22\% | (19) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | $12 \%$ | (91) | 14\% | (108) | 49\% | (370) | 15\% | (110) | 11\% | (81) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $10 \%$ | $(225)$ | $15 \%$ | $(336)$ | $43 \%$ | $(951)$ | $15 \%$ | $(329)$ | $16 \%$ | $(359)$ |
| Toted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | $(112)$ | $16 \%$ | $(194)$ | $39 \%$ | $(480)$ | $15 \%$ | $(177)$ | $21 \%$ | $(254)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $11 \%$ | $(113)$ | $15 \%$ | $(143)$ | $48 \%$ | $(471)$ | $15 \%$ | $(152)$ | $11 \%$ | $(105)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $15 \%$ | $(59)$ | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ | $42 \%$ | $(166)$ | $11 \%$ | $(43)$ | $16 \%$ | $(62)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $6 \%$ | $(29)$ | $15 \%$ | $(69)$ | $47 \%$ | $(218)$ | $16 \%$ | $(76)$ | $15 \%$ | $(70)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(75)$ | $12 \%$ | $(99)$ | $45 \%$ | $(374)$ | $16 \%$ | $(134)$ | $17 \%$ | $(143)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $12 \%$ | $(61)$ | $20 \%$ | $(105)$ | $37 \%$ | $(193)$ | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ | $16 \%$ | $(85)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 12\% | (268) | 46\% | (1005) | 17\% | (370) | 16\% | (354) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (126) | $12 \%$ | (131) | 45\% | (473) | 16\% | (171) | 15\% | (162) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (77) | $12 \%$ | (137) | 47\% | (533) | 18\% | (200) | 17\% | (191) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 11\% | (75) | 18\% | (116) | 46\% | (301) | 16\% | (106) | 9\% | (57) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (66) | 18\% | (63) | 46\% | (163) | 11\% | (38) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (47) | 8\% | (64) | 46\% | (348) | 17\% | (129) | 22\% | (164) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (15) | 6\% | (26) | 44\% | (193) | 22\% | (98) | $24 \%$ | (105) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 7\% | (24) | 18\% | (59) | 49\% | (163) | 17\% | (56) | 9\% | (29) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (108) | 19\% | (113) | 43\% | (257) | 13\% | (76) | 8\% | (45) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 10\% | (52) | 47\% | (249) | 16\% | (83) | 20\% | (105) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 6\% | (43) | 47\% | (309) | 19\% | (128) | 24\% | (161) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (128) | 16\% | (148) | 46\% | (416) | 15\% | (137) | 9\% | (83) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 10\% | (66) | 51\% | (335) | 17\% | (110) | 16\% | (101) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (34) | 8\% | (54) | 40\% | (255) | 19\% | (124) | 27\% | (169) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (87) | 16\% | (67) | 42\% | (180) | 15\% | (65) | 6\% | (25) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (41) | 17\% | (81) | 48\% | (236) | 15\% | (72) | 12\% | (59) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (16) | $11 \%$ | (34) | 51\% | (155) | 16\% | (49) | 17\% | (51) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 9\% | (32) | 52\% | (180) | 18\% | (61) | 14\% | (50) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (22) | 9\% | (30) | 41\% | (137) | 17\% | (57) | 26\% | (86) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (12) | 8\% | (24) | 39\% | (117) | 22\% | (67) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 17\% | (111) | 18\% | (120) | 40\% | (264) | 14\% | (94) | 10\% | (65) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (36) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 54\% | (347) | 17\% | (106) | 13\% | (85) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (47) | 8\% | (53) | 38\% | (253) | 20\% | (131) | 27\% | (180) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (96) | 10\% | (145) | 51\% | (764) | 17\% | (264) | 16\% | (243) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (56) | 16\% | (72) | 36\% | (161) | 18\% | (81) | 17\% | (74) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (51) | $21 \%$ | (51) | 33\% | (80) | 10\% | (25) | 15\% | (37) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (58) | $11 \%$ | (123) | 55\% | (613) | 16\% | (174) | 14\% | (152) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 11\% | (74) | 13\% | (86) | 38\% | (259) | 21\% | (140) | 18\% | (122) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 18\% | (70) | 15\% | (60) | 33\% | (133) | 14\% | (56) | 20\% | (79) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (151) | 12\% | (205) | 45\% | (772) | 17\% | (286) | 18\% | (308) | 1722 |

[^45]Table MCBR2_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 12\% | (268) | 46\% | (1005) | 17\% | (370) | 16\% | (354) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (34) | 14\% | (49) | 47\% | (164) | 17\% | (59) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (32) | 14\% | (38) | 49\% | (134) | 18\% | (50) | 8\% | (21) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (19) | 12\% | (25) | 49\% | (99) | 17\% | (35) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (115) | 12\% | (132) | 39\% | (418) | 19\% | (202) | 19\% | (197) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 17\% | (24) | 45\% | (64) | 13\% | (18) | 5\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 18\% | (14) | 12\% | (9) | 49\% | (39) | 14\% | (11) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (27) | 11\% | (57) | 54\% | (271) | 13\% | (67) | 17\% | (84) | 506 |
| Something Else | 4\% | (17) | 11\% | (46) | 52\% | (214) | 18\% | (72) | 15\% | (59) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (31) | 15\% | (24) | 45\% | (71) | 12\% | (20) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (87) | 14\% | (95) | 42\% | (282) | 15\% | (100) | 17\% | (114) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (42) | 10\% | (76) | 44\% | (336) | $22 \%$ | (170) | 18\% | (135) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (118) | 18\% | (133) | 43\% | (310) | 14\% | (100) | 9\% | (63) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (58) | 10\% | (90) | 46\% | (430) | 18\% | (172) | 20\% | (185) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (27) | 8\% | (45) | 49\% | (265) | 18\% | (98) | 20\% | (106) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (100) | 15\% | (97) | 38\% | (250) | 17\% | (111) | 15\% | (97) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 20\% | (30) | 18\% | (27) | 35\% | (54) | 16\% | (25) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 6\% | (11) | 14\% | (25) | 48\% | (86) | 10\% | (17) | 23\% | (40) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 10\% | (12) | 55\% | (63) | 15\% | (17) | 15\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (13) | $21 \%$ | (30) | 47\% | (66) | 18\% | (25) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (17) | 7\% | (33) | 47\% | (231) | 19\% | (96) | 24\% | (119) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 5\% | (16) | 9\% | (27) | 58\% | (176) | 15\% | (46) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (10) | 11\% | (17) | 50\% | (80) | $21 \%$ | (33) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 9\% | (35) | 41\% | (157) | 17\% | (66) | 23\% | (87) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (166) | 13\% | (234) | 47\% | (848) | 17\% | (304) | 15\% | (267) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (87) | 16\% | (86) | 42\% | (221) | 12\% | (65) | 13\% | (71) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (116) | 11\% | (182) | 47\% | (785) | 18\% | (305) | 17\% | (283) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (68) | 11\% | (84) | 37\% | (291) | 18\% | (141) | 26\% | (203) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 9\% | (127) | 13\% | (179) | 50\% | (668) | 16\% | (215) | 11\% | (147) | 1336 |

[^46]Table MCBR2_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 12\% | (268) | 46\% | (1005) | 17\% | (370) | 16\% | (354) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (47) | 10\% | (49) | 34\% | (160) | 17\% | (83) | 29\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (21) | 11\% | (34) | 42\% | (131) | 19\% | (58) | 22\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (17) | 18\% | (49) | 46\% | (125) | 18\% | (49) | 11\% | (31) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (110) | 12\% | (130) | 51\% | (544) | 16\% | (166) | 11\% | (116) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (60) | 11\% | (85) | 38\% | (301) | 18\% | (141) | 26\% | (202) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (130) | 13\% | (175) | 49\% | (648) | 16\% | (216) | 11\% | (140) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (40) | 10\% | (47) | 36\% | (171) | 17\% | (78) | 29\% | (136) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (21) | 12\% | (38) | 41\% | (130) | 20\% | (62) | 21\% | (65) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (23) | 17\% | (37) | 44\% | (94) | 17\% | (36) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (107) | 13\% | (138) | 51\% | (553) | 16\% | (180) | 10\% | (114) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (71) | 10\% | (82) | 43\% | (373) | 19\% | (167) | 19\% | (167) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 12\% | (35) | 42\% | (120) | 14\% | (41) | 24\% | (68) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | $14 \%$ | (48) | 17\% | (59) | 51\% | (179) | 12\% | (42) | 6\% | (23) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $5 \%$ | (14) | 6\% | (17) | 49\% | (130) | 23\% | (62) | 17\% | (45) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (15) | 17\% | (16) | 50\% | (49) | 10\% | (10) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 22\% | (24) | 38\% | (43) | 17\% | (19) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | $14 \%$ | (11) | 18\% | (15) | 35\% | (29) | 19\% | (16) | 14\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $4 \%$ | (6) | 13\% | (19) | 58\% | (82) | 10\% | (15) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (142) | 17\% | (172) | 46\% | (467) | 15\% | (151) | 9\% | (93) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 6\% | (40) | 40\% | (264) | 20\% | (135) | 29\% | (195) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 8\% | (7) | 63\% | (53) | 17\% | (14) | 11\% | (9) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (27) | 12\% | (49) | 52\% | (221) | 16\% | (69) | 13\% | (55) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (111) | 17\% | (129) | 45\% | (350) | 14\% | (107) | 10\% | (78) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (31) | 8\% | (47) | 34\% | (192) | 19\% | (107) | 32\% | (180) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (99) | 16\% | (114) | 48\% | (335) | 13\% | (90) | 9\% | (65) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 8\% | (51) | 37\% | (242) | $21 \%$ | (133) | 28\% | (184) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 11\% | (9) | 54\% | (47) | 18\% | (15) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (64) | 12\% | (94) | 50\% | (378) | 17\% | (131) | 12\% | (92) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $9 \%$ | $(202)$ | $12 \%$ | $(268)$ | $46 \%$ | $(1005)$ | $17 \%$ | $(370)$ | $16 \%$ | $(354)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $10 \%$ | $(121)$ | $12 \%$ | $(141)$ | $41 \%$ | $(504)$ | $17 \%$ | $(207)$ | $20 \%$ | $(243)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $8 \%$ | $(82)$ | $13 \%$ | $(128)$ | $51 \%$ | $(501)$ | $17 \%$ | $(163)$ | $11 \%$ | $(110)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $13 \%$ | $(51)$ | $16 \%$ | $(62)$ | $44 \%$ | $(174)$ | $12 \%$ | $(45)$ | $16 \%$ | $(61)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $5 \%$ | $(23)$ | $12 \%$ | $(58)$ | $50 \%$ | $(232)$ | $17 \%$ | $(79)$ | $15 \%$ | $(71)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | $(62)$ | $10 \%$ | $(80)$ | $47 \%$ | $(384)$ | $19 \%$ | $(159)$ | $17 \%$ | $(140)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | $(67)$ | $13 \%$ | $(69)$ | $41 \%$ | $(216)$ | $17 \%$ | $(87)$ | $16 \%$ | $(82)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (258) | 14\% | (315) | 41\% | (911) | 15\% | (340) | 17\% | (375) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (159) | 15\% | (155) | 40\% | (424) | 14\% | (151) | 16\% | (173) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (100) | 14\% | (160) | 43\% | (487) | 17\% | (190) | 18\% | (202) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 17\% | (109) | 20\% | (131) | 40\% | (263) | 13\% | (88) | 10\% | (64) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 20\% | (73) | 20\% | (72) | 40\% | (142) | 11\% | (39) | 9\% | (32) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (58) | 10\% | (77) | 44\% | (329) | 16\% | (117) | 23\% | (170) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (18) | 8\% | (35) | 41\% | (177) | 22\% | (97) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 14\% | (47) | 19\% | (64) | 43\% | (143) | 15\% | (50) | 8\% | (27) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 21\% | (124) | 21\% | (124) | 37\% | (223) | 12\% | (71) | 10\% | (57) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (46) | 13\% | (71) | 44\% | (233) | 13\% | (71) | 21\% | (111) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (36) | 8\% | (52) | 43\% | (284) | 18\% | (120) | 25\% | (166) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 17\% | (153) | 20\% | (184) | 40\% | (366) | 12\% | (109) | $11 \%$ | (100) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (58) | 11\% | (69) | 47\% | (309) | 17\% | (112) | 16\% | (104) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (48) | 10\% | (62) | 37\% | (236) | 19\% | (119) | 27\% | (171) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (94) | 20\% | (86) | 39\% | (165) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 8\% | (33) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (58) | 20\% | (98) | 41\% | (201) | 13\% | (64) | 14\% | (67) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $11 \%$ | (33) | 10\% | (29) | 45\% | (138) | 18\% | (55) | 17\% | (51) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (25) | 12\% | (40) | 49\% | (171) | 17\% | (57) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (31) | 12\% | (40) | 36\% | (121) | 15\% | (51) | 27\% | (89) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 7\% | (22) | 38\% | (115) | 23\% | (68) | 27\% | (82) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (136) | 22\% | (147) | $34 \%$ | (224) | 13\% | (82) | 10\% | (66) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (54) | 13\% | (86) | 50\% | (322) | 14\% | (90) | 14\% | (92) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (51) | 7\% | (49) | $36 \%$ | (242) | 20\% | (132) | 29\% | (189) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (144) | 12\% | (179) | 46\% | (690) | 16\% | (239) | 17\% | (261) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 14\% | (61) | 17\% | (75) | 35\% | (155) | 17\% | (75) | 17\% | (77) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (53) | 25\% | (61) | 27\% | (66) | 11\% | (26) | 16\% | (38) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (105) | 13\% | (143) | 49\% | (548) | 14\% | (155) | 15\% | (171) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 13\% | (85) | 14\% | (94) | 37\% | (251) | 19\% | (129) | 18\% | (121) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 17\% | (68) | 20\% | (78) | 28\% | (112) | 14\% | (56) | 21\% | (84) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 11\% | (183) | 13\% | (217) | 41\% | (713) | 17\% | (284) | 19\% | (324) | 1722 |

[^47]Table MCBR2_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (258) | 14\% | (315) | $41 \%$ | (911) | 15\% | (340) | 17\% | (375) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (65) | 16\% | (56) | 42\% | (146) | 11\% | (38) | 13\% | (44) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 20\% | (54) | 22\% | (60) | 40\% | (110) | 9\% | (26) | 9\% | (24) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (21) | 19\% | (38) | 43\% | (88) | 15\% | (30) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (140) | 14\% | (148) | 37\% | (396) | 17\% | (182) | 19\% | (198) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 21\% | (30) | 43\% | (61) | 11\% | (16) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 24\% | (19) | 35\% | (28) | 19\% | (16) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (43) | 15\% | (77) | 47\% | (237) | 11\% | (58) | 18\% | (90) | 506 |
| Something Else | 9\% | (39) | 10\% | (41) | 46\% | (189) | 17\% | (68) | 17\% | (71) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (26) | 19\% | (30) | 43\% | (68) | 12\% | (18) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 16\% | (106) | 15\% | (102) | 37\% | (251) | 15\% | (99) | 18\% | (121) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (70) | 11\% | (82) | 42\% | (319) | 19\% | (147) | 19\% | (142) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 20\% | (143) | 22\% | (158) | 37\% | (271) | 10\% | (76) | 11\% | (77) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (70) | $11 \%$ | (101) | 45\% | (416) | 18\% | (167) | 19\% | (180) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (45) | 10\% | (56) | 41\% | (224) | 18\% | (97) | 22\% | (119) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (104) | 17\% | (110) | 35\% | (228) | 16\% | (104) | 16\% | (108) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 22\% | (33) | 20\% | (31) | 34\% | (53) | 14\% | (21) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $11 \%$ | (20) | 15\% | (27) | 40\% | (71) | 12\% | (22) | 21\% | (37) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (7) | 11\% | (12) | 52\% | (60) | 15\% | (17) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (13) | 26\% | (36) | 45\% | (63) | 13\% | (18) | 7\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (30) | 8\% | (39) | 43\% | (213) | 18\% | (91) | 25\% | (124) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 12\% | (36) | 11\% | (33) | 52\% | (158) | 13\% | (41) | 12\% | (37) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (15) | 17\% | (27) | 41\% | (66) | 17\% | (27) | 15\% | (24) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (46) | 12\% | (45) | 33\% | (126) | 20\% | (77) | 23\% | (88) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (212) | 15\% | (270) | 43\% | (785) | 14\% | (263) | 16\% | (288) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (96) | 21\% | (111) | 37\% | (194) | 11\% | (56) | 14\% | (72) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 10\% | (162) | 12\% | (205) | 43\% | (717) | 17\% | (284) | 18\% | (304) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (75) | $11 \%$ | (89) | 34\% | (269) | 18\% | (141) | 27\% | (213) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 13\% | (177) | 16\% | (220) | 45\% | (598) | 14\% | (185) | 12\% | (157) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (258) | 14\% | (315) | 41\% | (911) | 15\% | (340) | 17\% | (375) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (49) | 9\% | (41) | 33\% | (159) | 18\% | (83) | 30\% | (143) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 15\% | (47) | 35\% | (110) | 18\% | (57) | 23\% | (70) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (29) | 20\% | (55) | 43\% | (117) | 15\% | (41) | 11\% | (29) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 14\% | (148) | 15\% | (165) | 45\% | (481) | 14\% | (144) | 12\% | (128) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (78) | 11\% | (87) | 35\% | (276) | 18\% | (143) | 26\% | (207) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (171) | 16\% | (215) | 45\% | (584) | 14\% | (185) | 12\% | (153) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (55) | 8\% | (40) | 32\% | (153) | 17\% | (82) | 30\% | (142) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 15\% | (47) | 39\% | (122) | 19\% | (61) | 20\% | (65) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (25) | 19\% | (41) | 41\% | (88) | 15\% | (33) | 14\% | (30) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (146) | 16\% | (175) | 45\% | (496) | 14\% | (152) | 11\% | (123) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (87) | 12\% | (107) | 39\% | (336) | 19\% | (160) | 20\% | (171) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (32) | 12\% | (35) | 35\% | (100) | 15\% | (44) | 27\% | (77) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (57) | 17\% | (59) | 46\% | (163) | 12\% | (44) | 8\% | (29) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (29) | 9\% | (25) | 44\% | (118) | 18\% | (47) | 18\% | (49) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (10) | 26\% | (25) | 46\% | (45) | 9\% | (9) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (19) | 21\% | (24) | 42\% | (47) | 11\% | (12) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (12) | 16\% | (13) | 39\% | (32) | 15\% | (12) | 15\% | (13) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (13) | 20\% | (28) | 49\% | (70) | 9\% | (12) | 13\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (169) | 21\% | (212) | 40\% | (413) | 12\% | (122) | 10\% | (107) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (46) | 6\% | (40) | 37\% | (245) | 20\% | (135) | 30\% | (201) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 10\% | (8) | 51\% | (43) | 24\% | (21) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (42) | 13\% | (54) | 50\% | (209) | 14\% | (61) | 13\% | (55) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 16\% | (121) | 20\% | (154) | 41\% | (317) | 12\% | (90) | 12\% | (91) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (43) | 8\% | (44) | 32\% | (179) | 20\% | (113) | 32\% | (179) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 16\% | (110) | 19\% | (135) | 42\% | (299) | 12\% | (82) | 11\% | (78) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (53) | 8\% | (53) | 34\% | (218) | 21\% | (136) | 29\% | (188) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 15\% | (13) | 48\% | (41) | 19\% | (16) | 16\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (93) | 15\% | (112) | 47\% | (353) | 14\% | (105) | 13\% | (96) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (258) | 14\% | (315) | 41\% | (911) | 15\% | (340) | 17\% | (375) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 12\% | (144) | 14\% | (170) | 37\% | (453) | 16\% | (192) | 21\% | (257) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (115) | 15\% | (145) | 47\% | (458) | 15\% | (148) | 12\% | (118) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (57) | 17\% | (68) | 40\% | (159) | 12\% | (48) | 16\% | (61) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 8\% | (36) | 14\% | (63) | 45\% | (209) | 16\% | (74) | 17\% | (80) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 11\% | (90) | 11\% | (92) | 44\% | (364) | 16\% | (134) | 18\% | (145) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (75) | 18\% | (93) | $34 \%$ | (179) | 16\% | (84) | 17\% | (89) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 13\% | (279) | 46\% | (1014) | 16\% | (353) | 16\% | (344) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (139) | 14\% | (148) | 44\% | (468) | 15\% | (156) | 14\% | (151) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (70) | 12\% | (131) | 48\% | (546) | 17\% | (198) | 17\% | (193) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (89) | 18\% | (118) | 47\% | (309) | 14\% | (93) | 7\% | (46) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (59) | 19\% | (68) | 45\% | (161) | 11\% | (38) | 9\% | (31) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (47) | 9\% | (64) | 47\% | (353) | 16\% | (124) | 22\% | (164) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (29) | 44\% | (192) | 23\% | (98) | 24\% | (103) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 10\% | (35) | 14\% | (47) | 53\% | (176) | 16\% | (54) | 6\% | (20) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (104) | 21\% | (128) | 42\% | (253) | 11\% | (67) | 8\% | (47) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (45) | 12\% | (63) | 46\% | (242) | 15\% | (77) | 20\% | (104) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $3 \%$ | (19) | 6\% | (38) | 48\% | (317) | 19\% | (125) | 24\% | (160) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (127) | 18\% | (160) | 46\% | (420) | 14\% | (125) | 9\% | (80) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (39) | 10\% | (66) | 51\% | (329) | 18\% | (118) | 15\% | (99) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (43) | 8\% | (53) | 42\% | (265) | 17\% | (109) | 26\% | (165) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 20\% | (87) | 19\% | (81) | 43\% | (182) | 13\% | (54) | 5\% | (20) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (40) | 16\% | (79) | 49\% | (238) | 15\% | (71) | 12\% | (60) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (20) | 12\% | (36) | 49\% | (151) | 16\% | (48) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (19) | 9\% | (30) | 51\% | (178) | 20\% | (70) | 14\% | (49) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (33) | 9\% | (31) | 41\% | (135) | 16\% | (53) | 24\% | (80) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (10) | 7\% | (23) | 43\% | (130) | 18\% | (56) | 28\% | (85) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (117) | 18\% | (117) | 42\% | (277) | 12\% | (81) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (41) | 12\% | (78) | 52\% | (338) | 17\% | (109) | 12\% | (79) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (43) | 9\% | (57) | 40\% | (265) | 19\% | (126) | 26\% | (172) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (111) | 9\% | (142) | 51\% | (777) | 16\% | (249) | 15\% | (233) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $11 \%$ | (51) | 18\% | (80) | 36\% | (158) | 18\% | (82) | 16\% | (73) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | 23\% | (57) | 33\% | (80) | 9\% | (22) | 15\% | (38) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (83) | 8\% | (95) | 55\% | (618) | 15\% | (171) | 14\% | (154) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 9\% | (64) | 16\% | (112) | 39\% | (269) | 18\% | (121) | 17\% | (114) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 16\% | (62) | 18\% | (72) | 32\% | (127) | 15\% | (61) | 19\% | (75) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (147) | 12\% | (210) | 45\% | (779) | 17\% | (287) | 17\% | (299) | 1722 |

[^48]Table MCBR2_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 13\% | (279) | 46\% | (1014) | 16\% | (353) | 16\% | (344) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (50) | 15\% | (53) | 48\% | (167) | 12\% | (43) | 10\% | (37) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (40) | 16\% | (44) | 49\% | (133) | 12\% | (34) | 8\% | (23) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | $11 \%$ | (23) | 12\% | (25) | 50\% | (102) | 16\% | (32) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (114) | 14\% | (144) | 41\% | (435) | 17\% | (182) | 18\% | (189) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | $21 \%$ | (30) | $38 \%$ | (54) | 18\% | (25) | 5\% | (6) | 143 |
| Atheist | 18\% | (15) | 14\% | (11) | 51\% | (40) | 11\% | (9) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (32) | 10\% | (50) | 54\% | (274) | 15\% | (73) | 15\% | (76) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (22) | $11 \%$ | (44) | 52\% | (212) | 16\% | (63) | 16\% | (67) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 19\% | (30) | 39\% | (62) | 17\% | (27) | 7\% | (11) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (84) | 15\% | (103) | 42\% | (282) | 13\% | (89) | 18\% | (120) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (49) | 10\% | (77) | 46\% | (351) | 20\% | (153) | 17\% | (129) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (106) | $21 \%$ | (152) | 44\% | (318) | 12\% | (87) | 9\% | (63) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (74) | 10\% | (91) | 46\% | (426) | 19\% | (174) | 18\% | (169) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (29) | 7\% | (36) | 50\% | (270) | 17\% | (93) | 21\% | (113) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (92) | 18\% | (116) | 39\% | (257) | 14\% | (92) | 15\% | (97) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 37\% | (57) | 15\% | (23) | 11\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 11\% | (20) | 14\% | (25) | 41\% | (73) | 16\% | (29) | 17\% | (30) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (5) | 9\% | (10) | 57\% | (65) | 16\% | (18) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 9\% | (13) | 18\% | (25) | 54\% | (76) | 14\% | (20) | 4\% | (6) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $4 \%$ | (18) | 5\% | (27) | 48\% | (238) | 19\% | (94) | 24\% | (118) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (20) | 9\% | (27) | 58\% | (178) | 15\% | (47) | 11\% | (32) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (13) | 11\% | (18) | 45\% | (71) | 19\% | (30) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | $11 \%$ | (41) | 10\% | (37) | 41\% | (156) | 17\% | (64) | 22\% | (83) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (168) | 13\% | (242) | 47\% | (859) | 16\% | (289) | 14\% | (261) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (91) | 18\% | (96) | 40\% | (212) | 12\% | (62) | 13\% | (67) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (118) | 11\% | (183) | 48\% | (803) | 17\% | (291) | 17\% | (277) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (58) | 12\% | (94) | 38\% | (297) | 18\% | (139) | 25\% | (200) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | $11 \%$ | (145) | 13\% | (180) | 50\% | (671) | 15\% | (200) | $11 \%$ | (141) | 1336 |

[^49]Table MCBR2_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 13\% | (279) | 46\% | (1014) | 16\% | (353) | 16\% | (344) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (45) | 10\% | (48) | 35\% | (165) | 17\% | (82) | 29\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 4\% | (13) | 15\% | (45) | 42\% | (131) | 18\% | (57) | 20\% | (63) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (26) | 18\% | (50) | 47\% | (126) | 15\% | (41) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 11\% | (118) | 12\% | (130) | $51 \%$ | (545) | 15\% | (159) | 11\% | (114) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (63) | 11\% | (87) | 38\% | (304) | 18\% | (139) | 25\% | (197) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (136) | 14\% | (180) | 51\% | (661) | 15\% | (195) | 10\% | (136) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (43) | 9\% | (42) | 36\% | (170) | 17\% | (79) | 29\% | (138) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 14\% | (45) | 42\% | (134) | 19\% | (60) | 19\% | (59) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 14\% | (31) | 49\% | (105) | 15\% | (32) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (115) | 14\% | (149) | 51\% | (555) | 15\% | (163) | 10\% | (110) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (61) | 12\% | (100) | 45\% | (388) | 17\% | (150) | 19\% | (161) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (20) | 13\% | (37) | 41\% | (119) | 16\% | (45) | 24\% | (68) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (47) | 19\% | (68) | 47\% | (166) | 14\% | (48) | 6\% | (22) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (24) | 5\% | (14) | 47\% | (125) | 22\% | (60) | 17\% | (44) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (9) | 15\% | (15) | 55\% | (54) | 11\% | (10) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 18\% | (20) | 16\% | (17) | 42\% | (46) | 16\% | (18) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (13) | 14\% | (12) | 45\% | (37) | 12\% | (10) | 13\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (15) | 11\% | (16) | 56\% | (79) | 9\% | (13) | 13\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (145) | 19\% | (190) | 46\% | (468) | 13\% | (133) | 9\% | (88) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (38) | 6\% | (43) | 39\% | (263) | 20\% | (132) | 29\% | (191) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (2) | 8\% | (7) | 59\% | (50) | 18\% | (15) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (24) | 9\% | (39) | 55\% | (233) | 17\% | (73) | 12\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (110) | 18\% | (142) | 45\% | (348) | 13\% | (98) | 10\% | (76) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (37) | 7\% | (40) | 35\% | (194) | 19\% | (108) | 32\% | (178) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (97) | 18\% | (127) | 47\% | (330) | 12\% | (84) | 9\% | (66) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (45) | 7\% | (48) | 37\% | (240) | 21\% | (135) | 28\% | (180) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 10\% | (8) | 57\% | (49) | 15\% | (13) | 16\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (66) | 13\% | (96) | 52\% | (392) | 16\% | (121) | 11\% | (85) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 13\% | (279) | 46\% | (1014) | 16\% | (353) | 16\% | (344) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (127) | 13\% | (153) | 42\% | (508) | 16\% | (190) | 20\% | (238) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (82) | 13\% | (127) | 52\% | (507) | 17\% | (163) | 11\% | (105) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (54) | 17\% | (68) | 42\% | (165) | 12\% | (47) | 15\% | (60) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (28) | 10\% | (48) | 49\% | (227) | 19\% | (88) | 15\% | (71) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (61) | 10\% | (86) | 49\% | (402) | 17\% | (139) | 17\% | (136) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 13\% | (66) | 15\% | (78) | 42\% | (220) | 15\% | (79) | 15\% | (77) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (246) | 14\% | (311) | 43\% | (944) | 15\% | (329) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (157) | 16\% | (165) | 40\% | (425) | 14\% | (149) | 16\% | (166) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (90) | 13\% | (146) | 46\% | (519) | 16\% | (180) | 18\% | (204) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 17\% | (115) | 19\% | (125) | $41 \%$ | (271) | 12\% | (80) | 10\% | (64) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | 18\% | (65) | 45\% | (162) | 10\% | (38) | 7\% | (26) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (52) | 11\% | (79) | 44\% | (333) | 16\% | (117) | 23\% | (170) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (12) | 9\% | (41) | $41 \%$ | (179) | 22\% | (94) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (39) | 21\% | (68) | $44 \%$ | (147) | 12\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (36) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (135) | 18\% | (108) | $41 \%$ | (245) | 12\% | (69) | 7\% | (41) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (49) | 12\% | (63) | 45\% | (238) | 13\% | (72) | 21\% | (110) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 10\% | (66) | 44\% | (290) | 18\% | (121) | 25\% | (163) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (145) | 19\% | (178) | 43\% | (393) | $11 \%$ | (102) | 10\% | (94) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (51) | 11\% | (74) | 49\% | (318) | 16\% | (107) | 16\% | (102) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (50) | 9\% | (59) | 37\% | (234) | 19\% | (120) | 27\% | (174) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (98) | 20\% | (85) | 40\% | (170) | 10\% | (44) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (47) | 19\% | (93) | 46\% | (223) | 12\% | (58) | 14\% | (66) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (28) | 13\% | (40) | 45\% | (139) | 16\% | (49) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 10\% | (34) | 52\% | (179) | 17\% | (58) | 15\% | (52) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (31) | 12\% | (40) | 35\% | (117) | 17\% | (56) | 27\% | (89) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (19) | 6\% | (19) | 38\% | (117) | 21\% | (64) | 28\% | (85) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 20\% | (128) | 20\% | (132) | 38\% | (251) | 12\% | (76) | 10\% | (67) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (44) | 14\% | (93) | 50\% | (319) | 15\% | (95) | 15\% | (94) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (52) | 10\% | (66) | $36 \%$ | (240) | 19\% | (125) | 27\% | (181) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (134) | 12\% | (174) | 47\% | (716) | 15\% | (230) | 17\% | (258) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 14\% | (62) | 18\% | (81) | 35\% | (154) | 16\% | (71) | 17\% | (76) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (50) | 23\% | (56) | $31 \%$ | (75) | $11 \%$ | (28) | 15\% | (36) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (105) | 12\% | (130) | 50\% | (561) | 14\% | (154) | 15\% | (170) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | $11 \%$ | (77) | 15\% | (103) | 39\% | (265) | 17\% | (118) | 17\% | (118) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 16\% | (64) | 20\% | (78) | 30\% | (118) | $14 \%$ | (57) | 20\% | (81) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (170) | 14\% | (237) | 43\% | (734) | 15\% | (264) | 18\% | (317) | 1722 |

[^50]Table MCBR2_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (246) | 14\% | (311) | 43\% | (944) | 15\% | (329) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (58) | 20\% | (69) | 40\% | (141) | 11\% | (39) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 20\% | (54) | 15\% | (41) | 46\% | (126) | 10\% | (26) | 10\% | (27) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (22) | 16\% | (33) | 42\% | (85) | 19\% | (38) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (121) | 16\% | (167) | 37\% | (393) | 17\% | (177) | 19\% | (206) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 19\% | (27) | 42\% | (60) | 14\% | (20) | 4\% | (6) | 143 |
| Atheist | 17\% | (14) | 16\% | (13) | 42\% | (34) | 14\% | (11) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (45) | 12\% | (60) | 52\% | (263) | 11\% | (55) | 16\% | (82) | 506 |
| Something Else | 9\% | (37) | $11 \%$ | (44) | 48\% | (195) | 16\% | (65) | 16\% | (67) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (29) | 19\% | (29) | 42\% | (66) | 14\% | (23) | 7\% | (10) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (94) | 18\% | (119) | 36\% | (247) | 13\% | (91) | 19\% | (127) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (61) | 11\% | (84) | 43\% | (329) | 19\% | (147) | 18\% | (139) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (138) | 21\% | (150) | 41\% | (296) | 11\% | (77) | 9\% | (64) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (67) | $11 \%$ | (106) | 43\% | (402) | 18\% | (165) | 21\% | (194) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (41) | 10\% | (54) | 46\% | (246) | 16\% | (87) | 21\% | (112) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (104) | 15\% | (100) | 38\% | (251) | 15\% | (99) | 15\% | (100) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 22\% | (33) | 21\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (47) | 16\% | (25) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (23) | 16\% | (29) | 40\% | (71) | 10\% | (18) | 21\% | (37) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (9) | 9\% | (10) | 54\% | (62) | 14\% | (16) | 15\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 13\% | (18) | 28\% | (40) | 42\% | (58) | 8\% | (12) | 9\% | (13) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $4 \%$ | (19) | 10\% | (48) | 44\% | (216) | 18\% | (91) | 25\% | (122) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (28) | 8\% | (26) | 57\% | (172) | 13\% | (41) | 12\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (12) | 16\% | (26) | 42\% | (66) | 17\% | (27) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (44) | 10\% | (39) | 40\% | (151) | 16\% | (62) | 22\% | (85) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (203) | 15\% | (271) | 44\% | (793) | 15\% | (267) | 16\% | (284) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (101) | 19\% | (99) | 37\% | (197) | 11\% | (56) | 14\% | (76) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (146) | 13\% | (211) | 45\% | (748) | 16\% | (273) | 18\% | (294) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (74) | $11 \%$ | (90) | 35\% | (272) | 18\% | (142) | 27\% | (209) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (163) | 16\% | (215) | 47\% | (627) | 13\% | (174) | 12\% | (156) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (246) | 14\% | (311) | 43\% | (944) | 15\% | (329) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (51) | 11\% | (54) | 32\% | (150) | 17\% | (82) | 29\% | (138) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 12\% | (36) | 39\% | (122) | 19\% | (61) | 23\% | (71) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 24\% | (64) | 40\% | (108) | 19\% | (51) | 9\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (142) | 14\% | (151) | 49\% | (519) | 12\% | (123) | 12\% | (131) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (81) | 12\% | (93) | 34\% | (271) | 18\% | (141) | 26\% | (203) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (155) | 16\% | (206) | 47\% | (619) | 13\% | (175) | 12\% | (154) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (53) | 12\% | (54) | $31 \%$ | (147) | 17\% | (79) | 29\% | (139) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (28) | 12\% | (39) | 39\% | (123) | 20\% | (63) | 20\% | (65) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 19\% | (40) | 43\% | (92) | 16\% | (34) | 13\% | (28) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (133) | 15\% | (166) | 48\% | (526) | 13\% | (141) | 12\% | (126) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (76) | 11\% | (99) | 41\% | (350) | 20\% | (172) | 19\% | (164) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (27) | 16\% | (47) | 36\% | (104) | 11\% | (33) | 27\% | (78) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (53) | 18\% | (62) | 48\% | (170) | 11\% | (39) | 8\% | (27) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (22) | 13\% | (34) | 44\% | (118) | 18\% | (47) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (16) | 22\% | (21) | 46\% | (45) | 7\% | (6) | 10\% | (10) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 20\% | (23) | 21\% | (23) | 38\% | (42) | 12\% | (13) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 19\% | (15) | 10\% | (8) | 47\% | (39) | 8\% | (7) | 17\% | (14) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (14) | 12\% | (17) | 55\% | (78) | 9\% | (12) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (158) | 20\% | (201) | 44\% | (447) | 11\% | (118) | 10\% | (100) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 8\% | (55) | 35\% | (233) | 21\% | (139) | 30\% | (198) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 11\% | (9) | 56\% | (47) | 19\% | (16) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (45) | 11\% | (44) | 51\% | (215) | 13\% | (55) | 15\% | (61) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (116) | 20\% | (152) | 43\% | (330) | 12\% | (90) | 11\% | (86) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (40) | 8\% | (43) | 32\% | (181) | 21\% | (117) | 32\% | (178) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (100) | 19\% | (136) | 44\% | (312) | 12\% | (83) | 10\% | (72) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (47) | 9\% | (58) | 34\% | (220) | 21\% | (136) | 29\% | (187) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 12\% | (11) | 53\% | (46) | 18\% | (15) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (96) | 14\% | (106) | 48\% | (363) | 13\% | (95) | 13\% | (99) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(246)$ | $14 \%$ | $(311)$ | $43 \%$ | $(944)$ | $15 \%$ | $(329)$ | $17 \%$ | $(370)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $10 \%$ | $(124)$ | $14 \%$ | $(172)$ | $39 \%$ | $(480)$ | $16 \%$ | $(193)$ | $20 \%$ | $(247)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $12 \%$ | $(122)$ | $14 \%$ | $(139)$ | $47 \%$ | $(464)$ | $14 \%$ | $(136)$ | $12 \%$ | $(123)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | $(57)$ | $15 \%$ | $(60)$ | $44 \%$ | $(171)$ | $11 \%$ | $(42)$ | $16 \%$ | $(63)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $7 \%$ | $(32)$ | $14 \%$ | $(65)$ | $46 \%$ | $(212)$ | $16 \%$ | $(75)$ | $17 \%$ | $(78)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(73)$ | $13 \%$ | $(106)$ | $44 \%$ | $(363)$ | $16 \%$ | $(131)$ | $18 \%$ | $(151)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $16 \%$ | $(84)$ | $15 \%$ | $(80)$ | $38 \%$ | $(198)$ | $16 \%$ | $(81)$ | $15 \%$ | $(77)$ |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $9 \%$ | (206) | 14\% | (299) | 45\% | (991) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (126) | 15\% | (155) | 43\% | (457) | 15\% | (154) | 16\% | (169) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (79) | 13\% | (144) | 47\% | (534) | 17\% | (192) | 17\% | (189) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (81) | 20\% | (132) | 47\% | (307) | 12\% | (76) | 9\% | (60) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (53) | 20\% | (71) | 45\% | (163) | 12\% | (42) | 8\% | (29) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (57) | 9\% | (70) | 45\% | (334) | 16\% | (122) | 22\% | (168) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (15) | 6\% | (27) | 43\% | (187) | 25\% | (107) | 23\% | (101) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 9\% | (29) | 17\% | (55) | 54\% | (180) | 12\% | (39) | 9\% | (29) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 16\% | (97) | 23\% | (135) | $41 \%$ | (247) | 12\% | (72) | 8\% | (48) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (49) | 12\% | (63) | 45\% | (240) | 14\% | (73) | 20\% | (107) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $3 \%$ | (23) | 7\% | (45) | 45\% | (298) | 20\% | (134) | 24\% | (160) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (126) | 17\% | (155) | 47\% | (426) | 13\% | (119) | 10\% | (87) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (43) | 12\% | (77) | 48\% | (313) | 17\% | (111) | 17\% | (108) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 11\% | (67) | 40\% | (252) | 18\% | (117) | 26\% | (163) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 19\% | (79) | 17\% | (70) | 46\% | (196) | 11\% | (47) | 7\% | (31) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (46) | 17\% | (84) | 47\% | (229) | 15\% | (72) | 11\% | (56) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (23) | 13\% | (41) | 45\% | (138) | 17\% | (52) | 17\% | (52) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (20) | 11\% | (37) | 51\% | (175) | 17\% | (59) | 16\% | (56) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | 13\% | (44) | 37\% | (123) | 17\% | (56) | 26\% | (86) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (13) | 8\% | (23) | 43\% | (129) | 20\% | (61) | 25\% | (77) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (116) | 19\% | (123) | 40\% | (262) | 13\% | (84) | 11\% | (71) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (48) | 12\% | (78) | 52\% | (335) | 16\% | (103) | 12\% | (80) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (32) | 11\% | (71) | $38 \%$ | (253) | 20\% | (134) | 26\% | (174) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (104) | 11\% | (162) | 50\% | (761) | 15\% | (233) | 17\% | (252) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $11 \%$ | (50) | 18\% | (82) | 35\% | (155) | 19\% | (86) | 16\% | (71) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (52) | 23\% | (55) | 30\% | (74) | 11\% | (28) | 14\% | (35) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (74) | 10\% | (115) | 54\% | (600) | 15\% | (166) | 15\% | (166) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 9\% | (61) | 17\% | (115) | 39\% | (264) | 18\% | (126) | 17\% | (115) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 18\% | (71) | 17\% | (69) | $32 \%$ | (127) | 14\% | (55) | 19\% | (76) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (145) | 14\% | (238) | 44\% | (758) | 16\% | (272) | 18\% | (309) | 1722 |

[^51]Table MCBR2_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (206) | 14\% | (299) | 45\% | (991) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 11\% | (37) | 16\% | (56) | 49\% | (172) | 13\% | (46) | 11\% | (39) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (43) | 13\% | (37) | 45\% | (124) | 16\% | (43) | 10\% | (26) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (18) | 12\% | (25) | 53\% | (108) | 15\% | (31) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (119) | 14\% | (148) | 38\% | (408) | 18\% | (195) | 18\% | (194) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 11\% | (16) | 23\% | (33) | 44\% | (63) | 14\% | (20) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (9) | 13\% | (11) | 55\% | (44) | 7\% | (6) | 12\% | (10) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (34) | 13\% | (63) | 51\% | (260) | 13\% | (67) | 16\% | (81) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (27) | 11\% | (45) | 53\% | (216) | 14\% | (59) | 15\% | (61) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 11\% | (17) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 45\% | (70) | 14\% | (23) | 10\% | (15) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (97) | 14\% | (97) | 40\% | (268) | 15\% | (100) | 17\% | (117) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (46) | 12\% | (89) | 45\% | (343) | 20\% | (149) | 17\% | (133) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (119) | 20\% | (142) | 44\% | (318) | 13\% | (91) | 8\% | (55) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (57) | 12\% | (110) | 45\% | (417) | 18\% | (168) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (30) | 9\% | (48) | 47\% | (257) | 16\% | (88) | 22\% | (119) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (93) | 16\% | (108) | 38\% | (246) | 16\% | (106) | 15\% | (101) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (28) | $22 \%$ | (34) | 33\% | (51) | 15\% | (24) | $11 \%$ | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 5\% | (9) | 18\% | (32) | 47\% | (84) | 13\% | (23) | 17\% | (30) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 4\% | (5) | 10\% | (11) | 59\% | (68) | 10\% | (12) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 11\% | (15) | 20\% | (29) | 55\% | (76) | 9\% | (13) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 8\% | (37) | 45\% | (225) | 20\% | (100) | 24\% | (118) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (26) | 10\% | (29) | 56\% | (171) | 13\% | (41) | 13\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 12\% | (20) | 44\% | (69) | 18\% | (28) | 18\% | (28) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (40) | 12\% | (46) | 36\% | (135) | 19\% | (71) | 23\% | (88) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (165) | 14\% | (253) | 47\% | (855) | 15\% | (275) | 15\% | (269) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (86) | 19\% | (102) | 39\% | (207) | 12\% | (64) | 13\% | (69) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (119) | 12\% | (197) | 47\% | (784) | 17\% | (283) | 17\% | (289) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (67) | 12\% | (93) | 38\% | (296) | 17\% | (133) | 25\% | (198) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (134) | 15\% | (203) | 48\% | (642) | 15\% | (201) | 12\% | (156) | 1336 |

[^52]Table MCBR2_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (206) | 14\% | (299) | 45\% | (991) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (39) | 13\% | (60) | 35\% | (164) | 17\% | (80) | 28\% | (133) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (28) | 10\% | (32) | 42\% | (132) | 17\% | (53) | 21\% | (65) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (23) | 23\% | (64) | 42\% | (114) | 14\% | (38) | 12\% | (32) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (111) | 13\% | (140) | 50\% | (528) | 15\% | (162) | 12\% | (124) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (66) | 12\% | (97) | 37\% | (295) | 17\% | (137) | 25\% | (194) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (129) | 15\% | (197) | 48\% | (634) | 15\% | (197) | 12\% | (152) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (41) | 12\% | (57) | 34\% | (162) | 17\% | (79) | 28\% | (134) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 13\% | (41) | 42\% | (133) | 18\% | (59) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (25) | 18\% | (40) | 43\% | (92) | 14\% | (29) | 14\% | (30) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (104) | 14\% | (157) | 50\% | (542) | 15\% | (167) | 11\% | (122) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (60) | 13\% | (111) | 43\% | (369) | 18\% | (157) | 19\% | (163) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (26) | 13\% | (36) | 38\% | (110) | 16\% | (47) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (54) | 19\% | (65) | 49\% | (172) | 11\% | (39) | 6\% | (21) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 5\% | (15) | 7\% | (20) | 49\% | (132) | 21\% | (57) | 17\% | (44) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | $14 \%$ | (14) | 11\% | (11) | 58\% | (56) | 8\% | (7) | 10\% | (10) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 22\% | (25) | 38\% | (42) | 13\% | (15) | 15\% | (16) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (12) | 13\% | (11) | 41\% | (34) | 17\% | (14) | 15\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (12) | 15\% | (21) | 54\% | (76) | 8\% | (11) | 16\% | (22) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $14 \%$ | (141) | 18\% | (185) | 46\% | (474) | 13\% | (130) | 9\% | (95) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (30) | 9\% | (57) | 38\% | (250) | 21\% | (139) | 29\% | (191) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 9\% | (8) | 57\% | (48) | 20\% | (17) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (33) | 12\% | (49) | 52\% | (219) | 14\% | (58) | 15\% | (62) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (110) | 18\% | (142) | 43\% | (336) | 13\% | (98) | 11\% | (89) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (28) | 10\% | (53) | 33\% | (184) | 21\% | (119) | 31\% | (173) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (104) | 18\% | (123) | 46\% | (321) | 13\% | (88) | 10\% | (68) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (64) | 34\% | (221) | 21\% | (139) | 29\% | (186) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 13\% | (11) | 50\% | (43) | 20\% | (17) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (59) | 13\% | (101) | 53\% | (406) | 13\% | (102) | 12\% | (91) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (206) | 14\% | (299) | 45\% | (991) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 11\% | (131) | 14\% | (167) | 38\% | (467) | 18\% | (213) | 19\% | (237) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (74) | 13\% | (132) | 53\% | (524) | 14\% | (134) | 12\% | (121) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (52) | 15\% | (61) | 44\% | (172) | 12\% | (49) | 15\% | (61) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (28) | 14\% | (67) | 45\% | (209) | 18\% | (84) | 16\% | (74) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 8\% | (69) | 10\% | (86) | 47\% | (384) | 17\% | (142) | 17\% | (143) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (57) | 17\% | (86) | 43\% | (226) | $14 \%$ | (72) | 15\% | (80) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (179) | 14\% | (309) | 46\% | (1008) | 15\% | (331) | 17\% | (372) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | $11 \%$ | (120) | 15\% | (160) | 44\% | (464) | 13\% | (143) | 16\% | (175) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 5\% | (59) | 13\% | (149) | 48\% | (544) | 17\% | (188) | 17\% | (198) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 10\% | (63) | 20\% | (131) | 49\% | (323) | 12\% | (76) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (61) | 18\% | (66) | 44\% | (156) | 10\% | (36) | $11 \%$ | (38) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (43) | 11\% | (80) | 45\% | (337) | 16\% | (118) | 23\% | (173) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (12) | 7\% | (31) | 44\% | (192) | 23\% | (100) | 23\% | (100) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 6\% | (20) | 18\% | (60) | 55\% | (182) | 12\% | (40) | 9\% | (29) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 16\% | (94) | 21\% | (126) | 42\% | (254) | 11\% | (67) | 10\% | (58) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 12\% | (62) | 48\% | (253) | 13\% | (70) | 20\% | (105) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (18) | 8\% | (56) | 45\% | (295) | 19\% | (125) | 25\% | (165) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (115) | 18\% | (162) | 47\% | (425) | 13\% | (118) | 10\% | (92) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 5\% | (31) | 11\% | (69) | 50\% | (325) | 18\% | (116) | 17\% | (110) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (33) | 12\% | (78) | 41\% | (259) | 15\% | (97) | 27\% | (169) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 19\% | (83) | 18\% | (75) | 43\% | (184) | $11 \%$ | (48) | 8\% | (35) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 7\% | (32) | 18\% | (88) | 49\% | (240) | 14\% | (70) | 12\% | (57) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (17) | 13\% | (38) | 47\% | (144) | 16\% | (49) | 19\% | (57) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $4 \%$ | (14) | 9\% | (31) | 52\% | (181) | 19\% | (67) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (21) | 14\% | (47) | 41\% | (136) | 14\% | (46) | 25\% | (83) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (12) | 10\% | (31) | 41\% | (123) | 17\% | (51) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (94) | 21\% | (137) | 42\% | (274) | 13\% | (84) | 10\% | (66) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 5\% | (35) | 13\% | (84) | 52\% | (334) | 15\% | (95) | 15\% | (96) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (42) | 10\% | (63) | 39\% | (257) | 18\% | (121) | 27\% | (180) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 5\% | (83) | 12\% | (177) | 50\% | (763) | 15\% | (227) | 17\% | (263) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $11 \%$ | (49) | 17\% | (76) | 38\% | (169) | 17\% | (74) | 17\% | (76) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 23\% | (56) | 32\% | (77) | 12\% | (30) | 14\% | (33) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (60) | 11\% | (118) | 54\% | (607) | 14\% | (158) | 16\% | (178) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 9\% | (61) | 16\% | (109) | 40\% | (275) | 18\% | (119) | 17\% | (116) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 15\% | (59) | 21\% | (82) | 32\% | (126) | 13\% | (54) | 20\% | (78) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (139) | 13\% | (230) | 45\% | (771) | 15\% | (260) | 19\% | (322) | 1722 |

[^53]Table MCBR2_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (179) | 14\% | (309) | 46\% | (1008) | 15\% | (331) | 17\% | (372) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 9\% | (32) | 19\% | (68) | 48\% | (167) | 11\% | (40) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (32) | 17\% | (47) | 47\% | (129) | 15\% | (41) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 4\% | (9) | 15\% | (31) | 53\% | (108) | 15\% | (30) | 13\% | (26) | 204 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (100) | 16\% | (167) | 39\% | (414) | 17\% | (185) | 19\% | (199) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 18\% | (26) | 44\% | (62) | 14\% | (21) | 5\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 13\% | (10) | 13\% | (11) | 54\% | (43) | 12\% | (10) | 8\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (26) | 13\% | (63) | 54\% | (271) | 12\% | (61) | 17\% | (84) | 506 |
| Something Else | $4 \%$ | (17) | 10\% | (42) | 54\% | (218) | 13\% | (55) | 18\% | (75) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 17\% | (27) | 42\% | (67) | 16\% | (25) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (75) | 17\% | (118) | 39\% | (267) | 14\% | (93) | 18\% | (125) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (40) | 11\% | (85) | 46\% | (352) | 18\% | (139) | 19\% | (143) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 14\% | (103) | 21\% | (152) | 42\% | (306) | 12\% | (86) | 11\% | (78) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (54) | $12 \%$ | (110) | 46\% | (433) | 17\% | (156) | 19\% | (182) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 4\% | (23) | 9\% | (47) | 50\% | (269) | 17\% | (89) | 21\% | (113) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 12\% | (78) | 20\% | (128) | 39\% | (254) | 14\% | (94) | 15\% | (100) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (30) | 19\% | (30) | 36\% | (55) | 14\% | (21) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (14) | 19\% | (33) | 42\% | (75) | 10\% | (17) | 22\% | (39) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 8\% | (9) | 55\% | (64) | 13\% | (15) | 19\% | (21) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (13) | 18\% | (25) | 57\% | (80) | 11\% | (15) | 4\% | (6) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 6\% | (30) | 48\% | (236) | 19\% | (95) | 24\% | (119) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 4\% | (12) | 9\% | (29) | 57\% | (173) | 16\% | (48) | 14\% | (43) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (10) | 16\% | (25) | 45\% | (71) | 16\% | (25) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (40) | 12\% | (45) | 37\% | (140) | 18\% | (69) | 23\% | (86) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (139) | 15\% | (264) | 48\% | (868) | 14\% | (262) | 16\% | (286) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 14\% | (74) | 20\% | (108) | 40\% | (213) | 11\% | (60) | 14\% | (74) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (106) | 12\% | (201) | 48\% | (795) | 16\% | (271) | 18\% | (298) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (54) | 13\% | (98) | 38\% | (301) | 16\% | (126) | 26\% | (207) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 9\% | (118) | 15\% | (206) | 50\% | (663) | 14\% | (190) | 12\% | (160) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (179) | 14\% | (309) | 46\% | (1008) | 15\% | (331) | 17\% | (372) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (36) | 13\% | (60) | 36\% | (172) | 14\% | (68) | 29\% | (140) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (18) | 12\% | (39) | 41\% | (129) | 19\% | (58) | 22\% | (68) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 20\% | (53) | 48\% | (129) | 14\% | (37) | 11\% | (29) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (96) | 14\% | (152) | 50\% | (534) | 14\% | (152) | 12\% | (131) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (52) | 13\% | (104) | 38\% | (298) | 16\% | (130) | 26\% | (205) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (115) | 15\% | (196) | 50\% | (656) | 14\% | (186) | 12\% | (155) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (33) | 12\% | (58) | 37\% | (174) | 14\% | (65) | 30\% | (142) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (19) | 14\% | (46) | 39\% | (125) | 20\% | (64) | 20\% | (64) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 15\% | (33) | 49\% | (105) | 13\% | (28) | 13\% | (28) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (94) | 15\% | (163) | 50\% | (551) | 14\% | (158) | 12\% | (127) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (61) | 11\% | (94) | 45\% | (390) | 17\% | (143) | 20\% | (172) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (19) | 14\% | (40) | 41\% | (119) | 15\% | (42) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (42) | 19\% | (68) | 49\% | (172) | 12\% | (44) | 7\% | (26) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (16) | 9\% | (24) | 44\% | (117) | 23\% | (62) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 6\% | (6) | 26\% | (26) | 53\% | (52) | 6\% | (6) | 8\% | (8) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (14) | 22\% | (24) | 43\% | (48) | 8\% | (9) | 15\% | (17) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 12\% | (10) | 13\% | (11) | 47\% | (39) | 13\% | (11) | 15\% | (13) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (11) | 16\% | (23) | 52\% | (74) | 10\% | (14) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 12\% | (127) | 20\% | (202) | 46\% | (469) | 13\% | (133) | 9\% | (94) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (25) | 9\% | (60) | 39\% | (258) | 19\% | (124) | 30\% | (200) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (1) | 1\% | (1) | 61\% | (52) | 20\% | (17) | 16\% | (13) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (26) | 11\% | (47) | 54\% | (227) | 13\% | (56) | 15\% | (65) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (102) | 19\% | (145) | 45\% | (348) | 12\% | (96) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (27) | 10\% | (57) | 34\% | (190) | 18\% | (102) | 33\% | (182) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 12\% | (83) | 19\% | (132) | 48\% | (336) | 12\% | (84) | 10\% | (69) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (62) | 36\% | (231) | 19\% | (125) | 30\% | (191) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 11\% | (10) | 52\% | (45) | 20\% | (18) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (58) | 14\% | (105) | $52 \%$ | (393) | 14\% | (104) | 13\% | (99) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (179) | 14\% | (309) | 46\% | (1008) | 15\% | (331) | 17\% | (372) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 8\% | (102) | 14\% | (172) | 41\% | (498) | 16\% | (195) | 20\% | (248) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (77) | 14\% | (137) | 52\% | (510) | 14\% | (135) | 13\% | (125) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (48) | 17\% | (66) | 42\% | (164) | 13\% | (51) | 16\% | (65) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 5\% | (24) | 13\% | (58) | 47\% | (216) | 18\% | (83) | 17\% | (81) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 6\% | (50) | 12\% | (96) | 49\% | (407) | 15\% | (126) | 18\% | (145) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (57) | 17\% | (88) | 43\% | (222) | $14 \%$ | (71) | 16\% | (82) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 15\% | (322) | 44\% | (957) | 15\% | (336) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (128) | 17\% | (179) | 41\% | (437) | 15\% | (155) | 15\% | (163) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (87) | 13\% | (143) | 46\% | (520) | 16\% | (180) | 18\% | (207) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 13\% | (85) | 21\% | (138) | 43\% | (285) | 14\% | (93) | 8\% | (54) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (61) | 20\% | (71) | 43\% | (155) | $11 \%$ | (41) | 8\% | (30) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (53) | 10\% | (72) | 46\% | (345) | 14\% | (106) | 23\% | (175) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 10\% | (42) | 40\% | (173) | 22\% | (96) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 10\% | (32) | 18\% | (61) | 47\% | (157) | 16\% | (52) | 9\% | (29) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (106) | 23\% | (135) | 40\% | (239) | 12\% | (74) | 8\% | (45) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (44) | 12\% | (64) | 47\% | (247) | 12\% | (63) | 21\% | (112) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (25) | 9\% | (60) | 44\% | (289) | 17\% | (115) | 26\% | (171) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (142) | 20\% | (179) | 43\% | (394) | 12\% | (107) | 10\% | (89) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 11\% | (69) | 50\% | (328) | 16\% | (107) | 17\% | (108) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (33) | 12\% | (75) | 37\% | (234) | 19\% | (122) | 27\% | (172) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (91) | 21\% | (88) | 42\% | (177) | 10\% | (44) | 6\% | (24) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 11\% | (51) | 19\% | (91) | 45\% | (217) | 13\% | (63) | 13\% | (65) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (15) | 15\% | (46) | 47\% | (145) | 15\% | (45) | 18\% | (54) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (25) | 7\% | (23) | 53\% | (183) | 18\% | (62) | 16\% | (54) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (22) | 14\% | (46) | 35\% | (115) | 20\% | (66) | 25\% | (84) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (11) | 10\% | (29) | 39\% | (120) | 18\% | (55) | 29\% | (89) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (122) | 22\% | (143) | 37\% | (241) | 13\% | (83) | 10\% | (66) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (42) | 13\% | (85) | 53\% | (341) | 14\% | (89) | 14\% | (87) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (38) | 11\% | (71) | 37\% | (244) | 19\% | (127) | 28\% | (183) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (113) | 12\% | (183) | 48\% | (731) | 15\% | (227) | 17\% | (257) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (55) | 18\% | (80) | 34\% | (151) | 18\% | (79) | 18\% | (78) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | 24\% | (59) | 31\% | (75) | 12\% | (29) | 14\% | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (80) | 13\% | (141) | 51\% | (567) | 14\% | (162) | 15\% | (172) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 11\% | (74) | 15\% | (101) | 40\% | (270) | 17\% | (114) | 18\% | (121) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (62) | 20\% | (80) | 30\% | (121) | 15\% | (59) | 19\% | (77) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (156) | 14\% | (234) | 43\% | (746) | 16\% | (270) | 18\% | (316) | 1722 |

[^54]Table MCBR2_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Hotels

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 15\% | (322) | 44\% | (957) | 15\% | (336) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (57) | 17\% | (58) | 47\% | (163) | 10\% | (34) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 15\% | (41) | 20\% | (55) | 42\% | (116) | 13\% | (35) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (19) | 16\% | (33) | 47\% | (96) | 15\% | (31) | 13\% | (26) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (112) | 16\% | (167) | $37 \%$ | (397) | 18\% | (193) | 18\% | (195) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 24\% | (35) | 37\% | (53) | 16\% | (22) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | $14 \%$ | (11) | 19\% | (15) | 42\% | (34) | 17\% | (14) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (42) | 12\% | (61) | 53\% | (266) | 11\% | (55) | 16\% | (82) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (26) | 11\% | (44) | $51 \%$ | (208) | 13\% | (52) | 19\% | (78) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 15\% | (24) | 23\% | (36) | 37\% | (58) | 16\% | (26) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (88) | 15\% | (104) | 39\% | (263) | 14\% | (98) | 18\% | (125) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (47) | 13\% | (101) | 43\% | (329) | 19\% | (141) | 19\% | (142) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (126) | 21\% | (155) | 41\% | (295) | 12\% | (85) | 9\% | (64) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (63) | 12\% | (117) | 44\% | (407) | 18\% | (164) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (26) | 9\% | (51) | 47\% | (254) | 16\% | (87) | 23\% | (123) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (94) | 18\% | (121) | 37\% | (243) | 15\% | (96) | 15\% | (100) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | $21 \%$ | (32) | $31 \%$ | (48) | 15\% | (23) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (14) | 18\% | (32) | 43\% | (76) | 14\% | (24) | 18\% | (32) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $4 \%$ | (5) | 11\% | (12) | 57\% | (65) | 14\% | (16) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (14) | 26\% | (36) | 43\% | (61) | 14\% | (20) | 6\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (20) | 8\% | (42) | 44\% | (218) | 18\% | (91) | 25\% | (126) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (22) | 10\% | (32) | 57\% | (173) | 12\% | (37) | 13\% | (40) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (10) | 10\% | (16) | 46\% | (73) | 17\% | (28) | 20\% | (31) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (44) | 12\% | (44) | 36\% | (138) | 16\% | (62) | 24\% | (93) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (172) | 15\% | (278) | 45\% | (819) | 15\% | (273) | 15\% | (277) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (84) | $21 \%$ | (109) | 38\% | (202) | 12\% | (63) | 13\% | (71) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (132) | 13\% | (213) | 45\% | (755) | 16\% | (272) | 18\% | (299) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (61) | 14\% | (111) | 34\% | (264) | 18\% | (141) | 27\% | (210) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (148) | 16\% | (207) | 48\% | (644) | 14\% | (183) | 12\% | (154) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Hotels

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 15\% | (322) | 44\% | (957) | 15\% | (336) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (38) | 13\% | (64) | $32 \%$ | (153) | 17\% | (82) | 29\% | (139) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (23) | 15\% | (47) | 36\% | (111) | 19\% | (59) | 23\% | (71) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (19) | $21 \%$ | (56) | 49\% | (132) | 14\% | (38) | 9\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (129) | 14\% | (151) | 48\% | (512) | 14\% | (145) | 12\% | (128) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (58) | 14\% | (108) | 35\% | (279) | 18\% | (141) | 26\% | (204) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (144) | 16\% | (206) | 48\% | (622) | 14\% | (185) | 12\% | (153) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (35) | 12\% | (55) | 35\% | (165) | 16\% | (78) | 30\% | (140) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 17\% | (53) | 36\% | (114) | 20\% | (63) | 20\% | (64) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (18) | 18\% | (40) | 43\% | (93) | 17\% | (36) | 14\% | (30) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $12 \%$ | (126) | 15\% | (166) | 48\% | (529) | 14\% | (149) | 11\% | (123) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (74) | 12\% | (105) | 42\% | (363) | 17\% | (145) | 20\% | (172) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 13\% | (39) | $36 \%$ | (105) | 16\% | (47) | 25\% | (73) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (47) | 19\% | (67) | 48\% | (169) | 12\% | (42) | 7\% | (26) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | $11 \%$ | (30) | 44\% | (118) | 20\% | (53) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 9\% | (9) | $21 \%$ | (20) | 52\% | (51) | 9\% | (9) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (16) | 25\% | (28) | 37\% | (41) | 14\% | (15) | 10\% | (11) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 17\% | (14) | 13\% | (11) | 39\% | (33) | 16\% | (13) | 14\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (11) | 16\% | (22) | 55\% | (78) | 7\% | (10) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (153) | 20\% | (201) | 43\% | (444) | 12\% | (127) | 10\% | (100) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (27) | 9\% | (60) | 37\% | (246) | 20\% | (134) | 30\% | (199) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (1) | 8\% | (6) | 52\% | (44) | 25\% | (21) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (34) | 13\% | (54) | 53\% | (222) | 12\% | (52) | 14\% | (59) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (110) | 19\% | (151) | 43\% | (334) | 12\% | (95) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | $4 \%$ | (23) | $11 \%$ | (63) | 32\% | (179) | 20\% | (109) | 33\% | (183) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (100) | 19\% | (137) | 45\% | (314) | 12\% | (84) | 10\% | (69) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (32) | 10\% | (66) | $36 \%$ | (230) | 20\% | (128) | 29\% | (191) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 14\% | (12) | 49\% | (43) | 20\% | (18) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (81) | 14\% | (104) | 49\% | (371) | 14\% | (106) | 13\% | (97) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 15\% | (322) | 44\% | (957) | 15\% | (336) | 17\% | (370) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 9\% | (115) | 15\% | (186) | 39\% | (479) | 15\% | (188) | 20\% | (248) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (100) | 14\% | (136) | 49\% | (478) | 15\% | (148) | 12\% | (122) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | (54) | 18\% | (70) | 40\% | (158) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 17\% | (67) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $4 \%$ | (19) | 16\% | (75) | 48\% | (220) | 17\% | (79) | 15\% | (69) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (77) | 11\% | (90) | 45\% | (374) | 16\% | (132) | 18\% | (151) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | (66) | 17\% | (88) | 39\% | (204) | 15\% | (79) | 16\% | (83) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (220) | 15\% | (321) | 41\% | (906) | 17\% | (373) | 17\% | (380) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (141) | 17\% | (179) | 39\% | (413) | 15\% | (161) | 16\% | (168) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (79) | $12 \%$ | (142) | 43\% | (493) | 19\% | (213) | 19\% | (211) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (94) | 18\% | (119) | 42\% | (275) | 16\% | (103) | 10\% | (64) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (63) | 20\% | (71) | 40\% | (143) | 15\% | (55) | 7\% | (26) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (47) | 12\% | (91) | 43\% | (324) | 16\% | (117) | 23\% | (172) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (15) | 9\% | (41) | 38\% | (164) | 23\% | (99) | 27\% | (118) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $11 \%$ | (38) | $14 \%$ | (48) | 46\% | (154) | 17\% | (56) | $11 \%$ | (36) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (113) | $21 \%$ | (128) | $37 \%$ | (219) | 16\% | (95) | 7\% | (45) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 14\% | (75) | 44\% | (234) | 14\% | (73) | 20\% | (107) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 10\% | (66) | 42\% | (276) | 19\% | (125) | 26\% | (172) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (126) | 19\% | (176) | 42\% | (384) | 13\% | (120) | 12\% | (106) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (56) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 46\% | (299) | 19\% | (123) | 16\% | (103) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | $12 \%$ | (74) | 35\% | (224) | 20\% | (130) | 27\% | (171) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 20\% | (86) | $21 \%$ | (89) | 40\% | (170) | 11\% | (47) | 8\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (40) | 18\% | (87) | 44\% | (214) | 15\% | (73) | 15\% | (74) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (28) | 13\% | (41) | 42\% | (128) | 19\% | (57) | 17\% | (51) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (28) | 9\% | (30) | 49\% | (171) | 19\% | (66) | 15\% | (52) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (27) | 15\% | (50) | 35\% | (115) | 17\% | (56) | 26\% | (85) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (11) | 8\% | (24) | 36\% | (109) | 24\% | (74) | 28\% | (86) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 17\% | (109) | 23\% | (151) | 36\% | (233) | 13\% | (87) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (48) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 49\% | (314) | 18\% | (114) | 15\% | (95) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (45) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 34\% | (229) | 20\% | (131) | 28\% | (185) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (109) | 12\% | (175) | 47\% | (711) | 17\% | (250) | 18\% | (267) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 14\% | (63) | 18\% | (80) | 29\% | (130) | 21\% | (94) | 17\% | (76) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | 27\% | (66) | 26\% | (64) | 12\% | (29) | 15\% | (37) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (78) | 12\% | (138) | 50\% | (562) | 15\% | (172) | 15\% | (171) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 11\% | (73) | 16\% | (109) | 34\% | (233) | 20\% | (139) | 19\% | (128) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (69) | $19 \%$ | (75) | 28\% | (111) | 16\% | (62) | 20\% | (81) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (160) | 14\% | (246) | 40\% | (691) | 18\% | (303) | 19\% | (322) | 1722 |

[^55]Table MCBR2_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (220) | 15\% | (321) | 41\% | (906) | 17\% | (373) | 17\% | (380) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (48) | 19\% | (65) | 37\% | (128) | 15\% | (54) | 16\% | (54) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (34) | 17\% | (45) | 49\% | (133) | 11\% | (31) | 11\% | (30) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (26) | 15\% | (30) | 40\% | (82) | 19\% | (39) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (111) | 17\% | (177) | 35\% | (372) | 18\% | (190) | 20\% | (214) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 20\% | (29) | 42\% | (61) | 14\% | (20) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 20\% | (16) | 42\% | (33) | 13\% | (11) | 11\% | (9) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (40) | 12\% | (61) | 49\% | (248) | 14\% | (73) | 17\% | (84) | 506 |
| Something Else | 8\% | (31) | 9\% | (39) | 47\% | (191) | 20\% | (81) | 16\% | (66) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 42\% | (66) | 14\% | (23) | 7\% | (11) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (88) | 16\% | (106) | 35\% | (235) | 19\% | (128) | 18\% | (122) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (51) | 13\% | (102) | 42\% | (319) | 18\% | (136) | 20\% | (152) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (117) | 21\% | (155) | 39\% | (283) | 14\% | (101) | 10\% | (69) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (72) | 12\% | (109) | 42\% | (390) | 18\% | (170) | $21 \%$ | (193) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (31) | 11\% | (57) | 43\% | (233) | 19\% | (103) | 22\% | (117) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (101) | 18\% | (117) | 33\% | (218) | 18\% | (120) | 15\% | (98) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (26) | 22\% | (34) | 32\% | (49) | 19\% | (29) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $5 \%$ | (10) | 22\% | (40) | 40\% | (71) | 11\% | (20) | 21\% | (38) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (10) | 8\% | (9) | 57\% | (65) | 11\% | (13) | 15\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (16) | 18\% | (25) | 48\% | (67) | 13\% | (18) | 9\% | (13) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $4 \%$ | (19) | 9\% | (46) | 42\% | (208) | 19\% | (94) | 26\% | (129) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (20) | 11\% | (34) | 52\% | (157) | 16\% | (47) | 15\% | (46) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 11\% | (17) | 10\% | (16) | 45\% | (70) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (41) | 12\% | (47) | 33\% | (127) | 20\% | (76) | 24\% | (91) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (179) | 15\% | (275) | 43\% | (779) | 16\% | (298) | 16\% | (289) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (87) | 20\% | (105) | 35\% | (186) | 15\% | (77) | 14\% | (74) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (133) | 13\% | (216) | 43\% | (720) | 18\% | (296) | 18\% | (305) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (64) | 14\% | (110) | 32\% | (251) | 20\% | (155) | 26\% | (207) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (145) | 15\% | (207) | 46\% | (610) | 15\% | (206) | 13\% | (169) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (220) | 15\% | (321) | 41\% | (906) | 17\% | (373) | 17\% | (380) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (45) | 12\% | (59) | 30\% | (142) | 19\% | (90) | 29\% | (139) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (19) | 17\% | (52) | 35\% | (108) | $21 \%$ | (65) | 22\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (24) | 21\% | (56) | 41\% | (110) | 17\% | (47) | 13\% | (34) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 11\% | (121) | 14\% | (151) | 47\% | (501) | 15\% | (159) | 13\% | (134) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (65) | 14\% | (112) | 33\% | (260) | 19\% | (153) | 25\% | (200) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (145) | 15\% | (200) | 45\% | (593) | 16\% | (204) | 13\% | (167) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (46) | 11\% | (53) | 31\% | (148) | 18\% | (86) | 29\% | (139) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 18\% | (58) | 35\% | (112) | 21\% | (66) | 19\% | (61) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (27) | 17\% | (37) | 42\% | (90) | 15\% | (33) | 13\% | (29) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (118) | 15\% | (163) | 46\% | (503) | 16\% | (170) | 13\% | (138) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (70) | 13\% | (110) | 40\% | (345) | 20\% | (170) | 19\% | (166) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | 13\% | (37) | 36\% | (103) | 16\% | (45) | 27\% | (77) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (53) | 20\% | (71) | 43\% | (151) | 14\% | (49) | 8\% | (28) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (20) | 14\% | (38) | 42\% | (111) | 17\% | (46) | 20\% | (52) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 11\% | (10) | 17\% | (16) | 53\% | (52) | 8\% | (8) | 11\% | (11) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (17) | 14\% | (16) | 39\% | (44) | 19\% | (21) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 18\% | (15) | 14\% | (12) | 35\% | (29) | 19\% | (15) | 14\% | (11) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 5\% | (7) | 16\% | (23) | 50\% | (70) | 14\% | (20) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (145) | 20\% | (204) | 42\% | (427) | 13\% | (130) | 12\% | (118) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (32) | 9\% | (63) | 34\% | (224) | 23\% | (152) | 29\% | (197) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 5\% | (5) | 6\% | (5) | 51\% | (43) | 23\% | (19) | 15\% | (13) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (39) | 11\% | (48) | 50\% | (212) | 17\% | (70) | 12\% | (52) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (114) | 20\% | (153) | 42\% | (324) | 11\% | (89) | 12\% | (95) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (38) | 9\% | (51) | $31 \%$ | (171) | 21\% | (119) | 32\% | (179) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (105) | 19\% | (133) | 42\% | (299) | 13\% | (92) | $11 \%$ | (75) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (39) | 10\% | (66) | 33\% | (212) | 21\% | (138) | 30\% | (193) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 14\% | (12) | 47\% | (40) | 20\% | (17) | 17\% | (15) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (74) | 14\% | (107) | 47\% | (354) | 17\% | (126) | 13\% | (97) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (220) | 15\% | (321) | 41\% | (906) | 17\% | (373) | 17\% | (380) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (126) | 15\% | (185) | 37\% | (452) | 17\% | (201) | 21\% | (252) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (94) | 14\% | (136) | 46\% | (454) | 18\% | (173) | 13\% | (127) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (56) | 16\% | (64) | 38\% | (151) | 14\% | (55) | 17\% | (68) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (28) | 16\% | (72) | 45\% | (210) | 16\% | (76) | 16\% | (76) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (57) | 12\% | (96) | 45\% | (373) | 18\% | (145) | 18\% | (152) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (78) | 17\% | (89) | $33 \%$ | (172) | 19\% | (98) | 16\% | (83) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $9 \%$ | (187) | 12\% | (258) | 46\% | (1020) | 14\% | (315) | 19\% | (420) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | $11 \%$ | (115) | 14\% | (145) | $44 \%$ | (465) | 13\% | (139) | 19\% | (198) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (72) | 10\% | (113) | 49\% | (555) | 15\% | (176) | 19\% | (222) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 10\% | (63) | 17\% | (112) | 49\% | (318) | 13\% | (85) | 12\% | (78) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (61) | 17\% | (61) | 44\% | (157) | 10\% | (34) | 12\% | (44) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (51) | 8\% | (57) | 48\% | (360) | 14\% | (106) | 24\% | (178) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (13) | 7\% | (29) | 42\% | (184) | 21\% | (90) | 28\% | (120) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 5\% | (17) | 14\% | (46) | 55\% | (182) | 13\% | (43) | 13\% | (43) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 16\% | (98) | 20\% | (119) | 42\% | (249) | 11\% | (66) | $11 \%$ | (66) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (45) | 9\% | (48) | 49\% | (259) | 13\% | (68) | 21\% | (111) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (18) | 7\% | (45) | 46\% | (305) | 17\% | (111) | 27\% | (180) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (115) | 17\% | (152) | 48\% | (434) | 11\% | (101) | 12\% | (111) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (39) | 7\% | (48) | 51\% | (336) | 15\% | (99) | 20\% | (130) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (33) | 9\% | (59) | 39\% | (250) | 18\% | (115) | 28\% | (179) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 17\% | (70) | 20\% | (85) | 44\% | (186) | 10\% | (43) | 9\% | (40) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (44) | 14\% | (66) | 51\% | (247) | 12\% | (59) | 15\% | (71) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (20) | 8\% | (24) | 50\% | (154) | 13\% | (41) | 22\% | (67) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (19) | 7\% | (24) | 52\% | (181) | 17\% | (58) | 18\% | (64) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | 11\% | (36) | $37 \%$ | (124) | 17\% | (55) | 28\% | (92) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (9) | 8\% | (23) | 41\% | (126) | 20\% | (59) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (100) | 18\% | (121) | 45\% | (293) | 10\% | (67) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (42) | 10\% | (65) | 53\% | (339) | 14\% | (91) | 17\% | (107) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (38) | 8\% | (53) | 38\% | (255) | 19\% | (124) | 29\% | (194) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (84) | 9\% | (132) | 52\% | (779) | 14\% | (211) | 20\% | (306) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (55) | 15\% | (65) | 38\% | (169) | 17\% | (77) | 18\% | (78) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 25\% | (62) | 29\% | (71) | 11\% | (28) | 15\% | (35) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (62) | 9\% | (104) | 53\% | (594) | 13\% | (150) | 19\% | (211) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 8\% | (58) | 13\% | (85) | 43\% | (294) | 17\% | (116) | 19\% | (129) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 17\% | (67) | 17\% | (69) | 33\% | (132) | 12\% | (49) | 20\% | (80) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (138) | 12\% | (206) | 45\% | (777) | 14\% | (244) | 21\% | (357) | 1722 |

[^56]Table MCBR2_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (187) | 12\% | (258) | 46\% | (1020) | 14\% | (315) | 19\% | (420) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (45) | 12\% | (43) | 51\% | (178) | 9\% | (32) | 15\% | (52) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (37) | 11\% | (32) | 50\% | (136) | 15\% | (40) | 11\% | (29) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (12) | 10\% | (20) | 52\% | (107) | 15\% | (31) | 16\% | (33) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (102) | 13\% | (136) | 40\% | (426) | 17\% | (179) | 21\% | (222) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 16\% | (23) | 18\% | (26) | 44\% | (63) | 11\% | (16) | 10\% | (14) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 13\% | (11) | 51\% | (40) | 9\% | (7) | 13\% | (10) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (35) | 10\% | (49) | 54\% | (272) | 11\% | (56) | 19\% | (94) | 506 |
| Something Else | 4\% | (16) | 9\% | (36) | 54\% | (219) | 14\% | (57) | 20\% | (80) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 15\% | (24) | 17\% | (27) | 45\% | (71) | 11\% | (17) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (80) | 14\% | (97) | 38\% | (258) | 15\% | (99) | 21\% | (144) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (36) | 9\% | (71) | 49\% | (370) | 18\% | (134) | 20\% | (150) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (111) | 18\% | (129) | 44\% | (316) | 11\% | (81) | 12\% | (88) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (55) | 9\% | (87) | 48\% | (446) | 16\% | (150) | 21\% | (196) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $4 \%$ | (20) | 8\% | (42) | 48\% | (258) | 16\% | (84) | 25\% | (136) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (88) | 16\% | (106) | 39\% | (256) | 14\% | (93) | 17\% | (111) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 34\% | (52) | 14\% | (22) | 15\% | (23) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (14) | 16\% | (29) | 45\% | (81) | 11\% | (20) | 19\% | (35) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $4 \%$ | (5) | 8\% | (10) | 56\% | (65) | 13\% | (15) | 18\% | (21) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 7\% | (9) | 14\% | (19) | 54\% | (75) | 13\% | (19) | 12\% | (17) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (33) | 46\% | (230) | 17\% | (85) | 27\% | (136) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 5\% | (17) | 7\% | (20) | 60\% | (184) | 12\% | (38) | 15\% | (46) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 7\% | (11) | 49\% | (77) | 15\% | (24) | 20\% | (32) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (41) | 9\% | (35) | 38\% | (145) | 16\% | (63) | 26\% | (97) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (147) | 12\% | (223) | 48\% | (874) | 14\% | (252) | 18\% | (323) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 15\% | (79) | 17\% | (91) | 40\% | (214) | 13\% | (67) | 15\% | (78) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (108) | 10\% | (167) | 48\% | (806) | 15\% | (248) | 20\% | (342) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (53) | 11\% | (88) | 37\% | (290) | 17\% | (133) | 28\% | (224) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (127) | 12\% | (167) | 51\% | (682) | 13\% | (172) | 14\% | (189) | 1336 |

[^57]Table MCBR2_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (187) | 12\% | (258) | 46\% | (1020) | 14\% | (315) | 19\% | (420) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 7\% | (35) | 11\% | (52) | 36\% | (170) | 15\% | (73) | 31\% | (145) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (18) | 11\% | (36) | 38\% | (119) | 19\% | (60) | 25\% | (79) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (20) | 14\% | (37) | 52\% | (141) | 14\% | (37) | 13\% | (35) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (107) | 12\% | (129) | 51\% | (541) | 13\% | (135) | 14\% | (154) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (57) | 11\% | (85) | 38\% | (301) | 17\% | (131) | 27\% | (217) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (122) | 13\% | (171) | 50\% | (658) | 13\% | (171) | 14\% | (186) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (38) | 9\% | (44) | 36\% | (168) | 15\% | (71) | 32\% | (149) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (18) | 13\% | (40) | 42\% | (132) | 19\% | (59) | 21\% | (67) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (20) | 15\% | (33) | 43\% | (92) | 18\% | (38) | 15\% | (33) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (102) | 13\% | (138) | 52\% | (566) | 12\% | (133) | 14\% | (153) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (62) | 9\% | (75) | 45\% | (387) | 17\% | (145) | 22\% | (191) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (21) | 13\% | (38) | 40\% | (117) | 13\% | (38) | 26\% | (75) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (46) | 14\% | (50) | 51\% | (181) | 11\% | (40) | 10\% | (35) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (17) | 7\% | (19) | 50\% | (134) | 18\% | (48) | 18\% | (49) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 11\% | (10) | 14\% | (14) | 52\% | (50) | 11\% | (10) | 13\% | (13) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 9\% | (10) | 25\% | (28) | 40\% | (44) | 11\% | (13) | 15\% | (16) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 16\% | (13) | 12\% | (10) | 38\% | (32) | 16\% | (13) | 18\% | (15) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (9) | 18\% | (25) | 53\% | (75) | 5\% | (7) | 18\% | (26) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (140) | 16\% | (164) | 48\% | (489) | 11\% | (111) | 12\% | (121) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (29) | 7\% | (50) | 37\% | (249) | 19\% | (129) | 31\% | (209) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 5\% | (4) | 54\% | (46) | 22\% | (19) | 17\% | (14) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $4 \%$ | (16) | 10\% | (41) | 56\% | (235) | 13\% | (54) | 18\% | (75) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (112) | 16\% | (126) | 46\% | (354) | 10\% | (78) | 14\% | (105) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (31) | 9\% | (49) | 33\% | (187) | 18\% | (103) | 34\% | (188) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (102) | 17\% | (116) | 48\% | (335) | 9\% | (64) | 12\% | (87) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (39) | 8\% | (49) | 37\% | (240) | 19\% | (122) | 30\% | (196) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 10\% | (8) | 50\% | (43) | 21\% | (18) | 19\% | (16) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (45) | 11\% | (84) | 52\% | (398) | 15\% | (110) | 16\% | (121) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (187) | 12\% | (258) | 46\% | (1020) | 14\% | (315) | 19\% | (420) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 11\% | (128) | 12\% | (140) | 41\% | (501) | 15\% | (180) | 22\% | (267) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 6\% | (59) | 12\% | (118) | 53\% | (519) | 14\% | (135) | 16\% | (153) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (46) | 17\% | (66) | 43\% | (169) | 10\% | (41) | 18\% | (72) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 5\% | (21) | 12\% | (55) | 48\% | (223) | 17\% | (80) | 18\% | (83) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (55) | 8\% | (65) | 50\% | (409) | 15\% | (121) | $21 \%$ | (174) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 12\% | (65) | 14\% | (72) | 42\% | (219) | 14\% | (73) | 18\% | (92) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (298) | 17\% | (376) | 40\% | (870) | 15\% | (329) | 15\% | (327) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (175) | 19\% | (201) | 38\% | (402) | 13\% | (139) | 14\% | (145) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 11\% | (122) | 15\% | (175) | 41\% | (468) | 17\% | (191) | 16\% | (182) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (141) | 21\% | (141) | 37\% | (245) | 13\% | (87) | 6\% | (41) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 23\% | (81) | 21\% | (75) | 42\% | (150) | 9\% | (31) | 6\% | (21) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (55) | 14\% | (108) | 41\% | (308) | 18\% | (132) | 20\% | (148) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (20) | 12\% | (52) | 38\% | (167) | 18\% | (79) | 27\% | (118) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 16\% | (52) | 24\% | (78) | 38\% | (128) | 16\% | (52) | 6\% | (21) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (157) | 21\% | (123) | $38 \%$ | (228) | 10\% | (59) | 5\% | (32) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 10\% | (51) | 17\% | (90) | 41\% | (217) | 16\% | (83) | 17\% | (91) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (32) | 12\% | (76) | 42\% | (275) | 17\% | (114) | 25\% | (162) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 19\% | (172) | 22\% | (198) | 38\% | (349) | 13\% | (116) | 8\% | (77) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 13\% | (82) | 12\% | (76) | 46\% | (298) | 16\% | (106) | 14\% | (90) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (43) | 16\% | (102) | 35\% | (223) | 17\% | (107) | 25\% | (161) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 24\% | (102) | 23\% | (97) | 37\% | (158) | 11\% | (46) | 5\% | (21) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (70) | 21\% | (101) | 39\% | (191) | 14\% | (71) | 11\% | (55) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (45) | 13\% | (39) | 42\% | (128) | 16\% | (49) | 15\% | (45) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $11 \%$ | (37) | 11\% | (37) | 49\% | (170) | 17\% | (58) | 13\% | (44) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (28) | 20\% | (66) | 35\% | (116) | 13\% | (45) | 24\% | (78) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (15) | 12\% | (36) | 35\% | (107) | 21\% | (62) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 24\% | (155) | 23\% | (154) | $32 \%$ | (209) | 12\% | (80) | 9\% | (57) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 10\% | (67) | 16\% | (106) | 46\% | (295) | 14\% | (93) | 13\% | (84) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (56) | 13\% | (86) | 35\% | (235) | 18\% | (120) | 25\% | (166) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | $11 \%$ | (161) | 15\% | (226) | 44\% | (666) | 15\% | (228) | 15\% | (232) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (85) | 19\% | (83) | 31\% | (137) | 17\% | (77) | 14\% | (63) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (52) | 28\% | (67) | 28\% | (67) | 10\% | (25) | 13\% | (33) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | $11 \%$ | (121) | 15\% | (164) | 47\% | (529) | 15\% | (168) | 12\% | (140) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 14\% | (94) | 19\% | (127) | 35\% | (241) | 15\% | (105) | 17\% | (114) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | $21 \%$ | (83) | 21\% | (85) | 25\% | (100) | 14\% | (56) | 18\% | (73) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (209) | 17\% | (287) | 39\% | (677) | 15\% | (265) | 16\% | (284) | 1722 |

[^58]Table MCBR2_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 14\% | (298) | 17\% | (376) | 40\% | (870) | 15\% | (329) | 15\% | (327) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (70) | 20\% | (70) | 36\% | (125) | 13\% | (47) | 11\% | (38) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 21\% | (57) | 19\% | (52) | 42\% | (114) | 12\% | (34) | 6\% | (17) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (32) | 18\% | (37) | 38\% | (78) | 15\% | (30) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (156) | 18\% | (192) | 33\% | (348) | 16\% | (173) | 18\% | (194) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 23\% | (32) | 40\% | (57) | 13\% | (19) | 4\% | (6) | 143 |
| Atheist | 19\% | (15) | 25\% | (20) | 38\% | (30) | 14\% | (11) | 4\% | (3) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (67) | 14\% | (71) | 48\% | (244) | 10\% | (51) | 14\% | (73) | 506 |
| Something Else | 8\% | (31) | 15\% | (60) | 47\% | (192) | 18\% | (75) | 12\% | (51) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (29) | 21\% | (32) | 42\% | (66) | 12\% | (20) | 6\% | (10) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (99) | 17\% | (115) | 37\% | (248) | 15\% | (104) | 17\% | (112) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (84) | 17\% | (128) | 37\% | (279) | 19\% | (142) | 17\% | (127) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 21\% | (151) | 22\% | (163) | 37\% | (268) | 11\% | (79) | 9\% | (65) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (108) | 15\% | (137) | 39\% | (368) | 17\% | (159) | 17\% | (162) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (39) | 14\% | (76) | 43\% | (234) | 17\% | (92) | 18\% | (100) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (123) | 20\% | (128) | 33\% | (216) | 15\% | (97) | 14\% | (91) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | 27\% | (42) | 27\% | (41) | 14\% | (21) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (24) | 20\% | (36) | 37\% | (65) | 16\% | (28) | 14\% | (25) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (10) | 11\% | (13) | $52 \%$ | (59) | 14\% | (16) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 20\% | (28) | 30\% | (42) | 31\% | (44) | 13\% | (18) | 6\% | (8) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (25) | 11\% | (54) | 42\% | (207) | 17\% | (83) | 25\% | (126) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (34) | 11\% | (34) | 54\% | (165) | 13\% | (41) | 10\% | (31) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (20) | 17\% | (26) | 46\% | (73) | 15\% | (24) | 10\% | (15) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 14\% | (54) | 16\% | (60) | 35\% | (132) | 15\% | (55) | 21\% | (80) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 13\% | (244) | 17\% | (316) | 41\% | (738) | 15\% | (274) | 14\% | (247) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (101) | 23\% | (123) | 35\% | (183) | 11\% | (56) | 12\% | (66) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 12\% | (197) | 15\% | (253) | 41\% | (687) | 16\% | (273) | 16\% | (261) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (85) | 15\% | (121) | $34 \%$ | (271) | 15\% | (120) | 24\% | (190) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 15\% | (204) | 18\% | (247) | 42\% | (555) | 15\% | (196) | 10\% | (135) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $14 \%$ | (298) | 17\% | (376) | 40\% | (870) | 15\% | (329) | 15\% | (327) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $11 \%$ | (53) | 14\% | (67) | 34\% | (162) | 14\% | (65) | 27\% | (130) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 10\% | (32) | 18\% | (55) | 35\% | (109) | 18\% | (55) | 19\% | (61) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (39) | 22\% | (60) | 39\% | (107) | 15\% | (42) | 9\% | (24) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 16\% | (166) | 18\% | (187) | 42\% | (448) | 14\% | (154) | 10\% | (111) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (90) | 15\% | (121) | 34\% | (270) | 15\% | (121) | 24\% | (186) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (195) | 19\% | (244) | 42\% | (543) | 15\% | (196) | 10\% | (130) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (54) | 14\% | (66) | 33\% | (156) | 14\% | (66) | 27\% | (129) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (36) | 17\% | (55) | 36\% | (115) | 17\% | (55) | 18\% | (57) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 14\% | (30) | 22\% | (48) | 35\% | (76) | 16\% | (36) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (166) | 18\% | (196) | 43\% | (467) | 15\% | (160) | 10\% | (104) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (102) | 15\% | (131) | 40\% | (343) | 16\% | (139) | 17\% | (146) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | 16\% | (47) | 35\% | (100) | 15\% | (45) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 19\% | (67) | 24\% | (85) | 40\% | (141) | 11\% | (40) | 5\% | (18) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (22) | 11\% | (30) | 44\% | (119) | 17\% | (46) | 19\% | (50) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (17) | 24\% | (23) | 42\% | (41) | 7\% | (7) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (23) | 21\% | (24) | 33\% | (36) | 18\% | (20) | 7\% | (8) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (20) | 20\% | (17) | 24\% | (20) | 20\% | (16) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 13\% | (18) | 13\% | (19) | 50\% | (70) | 12\% | (17) | 12\% | (17) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 20\% | (203) | 22\% | (221) | 38\% | (387) | 12\% | (124) | 9\% | (90) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 13\% | (88) | 35\% | (230) | 19\% | (127) | 28\% | (188) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 5\% | (4) | 18\% | (15) | 47\% | (39) | 22\% | (18) | 8\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (57) | 12\% | (51) | 50\% | (212) | 14\% | (60) | 10\% | (42) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 20\% | (154) | 21\% | (164) | 38\% | (296) | 11\% | (83) | 10\% | (76) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (36) | 14\% | (78) | $31 \%$ | (173) | 18\% | (99) | $31 \%$ | (172) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (129) | 22\% | (158) | 39\% | (272) | 11\% | (78) | 9\% | (66) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (49) | 12\% | (79) | 34\% | (219) | 18\% | (117) | 28\% | (183) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 13\% | (11) | 47\% | (40) | 20\% | (18) | 11\% | (9) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (112) | 17\% | (126) | 44\% | (335) | 15\% | (117) | 9\% | (69) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable | No impact |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 17\% | (377) | 40\% | (876) | 13\% | (295) | 18\% | (399) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (151) | 20\% | (216) | 35\% | (372) | 12\% | (130) | 18\% | (194) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (102) | 14\% | (161) | $44 \%$ | (505) | 15\% | (165) | 18\% | (205) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (102) | 24\% | (155) | $41 \%$ | (268) | $11 \%$ | (72) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 17\% | (62) | 23\% | (81) | 43\% | (153) | 8\% | (28) | 9\% | (33) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 9\% | (70) | 12\% | (88) | 39\% | (296) | 15\% | (109) | 25\% | (188) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (20) | 12\% | (53) | $36 \%$ | (159) | 20\% | (86) | 27\% | (119) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (42) | 23\% | (75) | 45\% | (148) | 12\% | (38) | 9\% | (28) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (110) | 25\% | (148) | 39\% | (233) | 9\% | (57) | 9\% | (51) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 12\% | (63) | 13\% | (71) | 41\% | (216) | 12\% | (63) | 22\% | (119) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (30) | 12\% | (77) | 38\% | (251) | 17\% | (115) | 28\% | (186) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 18\% | (164) | 22\% | (205) | 39\% | (358) | $11 \%$ | (99) | 9\% | (87) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (50) | 12\% | (80) | 48\% | (316) | 15\% | (96) | 17\% | (110) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 14\% | (91) | 32\% | (203) | 16\% | (100) | $32 \%$ | (202) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (96) | 25\% | (106) | $36 \%$ | (151) | 10\% | (43) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (68) | 20\% | (99) | 42\% | (207) | $11 \%$ | (56) | 12\% | (58) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (26) | 15\% | (45) | $44 \%$ | (135) | 14\% | (42) | 19\% | (58) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (24) | 10\% | (35) | 52\% | (181) | 16\% | (55) | 15\% | (52) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (29) | 19\% | (65) | 26\% | (86) | 14\% | (45) | $32 \%$ | (108) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $4 \%$ | (11) | 9\% | (27) | 39\% | (117) | 18\% | (55) | $31 \%$ | (95) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (147) | 24\% | (159) | 33\% | (218) | 10\% | (68) | 10\% | (63) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (56) | 16\% | (106) | 45\% | (287) | 15\% | (94) | 16\% | (100) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (39) | 12\% | (80) | 35\% | (229) | 17\% | (110) | $31 \%$ | (205) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (130) | 15\% | (229) | 45\% | (679) | 13\% | (194) | 19\% | (280) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (71) | 19\% | (84) | 29\% | (131) | 18\% | (78) | 18\% | (79) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (52) | 26\% | (64) | 27\% | (66) | 10\% | (23) | 16\% | (39) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (97) | 14\% | (162) | 48\% | (539) | 14\% | (153) | 15\% | (170) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 12\% | (80) | 20\% | (136) | $34 \%$ | (235) | 13\% | (88) | 21\% | (143) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 19\% | (76) | 20\% | (79) | 26\% | (103) | $14 \%$ | (54) | 22\% | (86) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (161) | 17\% | (289) | 40\% | (680) | $14 \%$ | (247) | 20\% | (344) | 1722 |

[^59]Table MCBR2_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 17\% | (377) | 40\% | (876) | 13\% | (295) | 18\% | (399) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (60) | 23\% | (81) | 37\% | (128) | 10\% | (34) | 13\% | (46) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 23\% | (63) | 18\% | (48) | 40\% | (110) | 9\% | (25) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 19\% | (39) | 42\% | (86) | $11 \%$ | (23) | 13\% | (26) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (145) | 17\% | (177) | 34\% | (363) | 14\% | (153) | 21\% | (227) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 23\% | (32) | 36\% | (52) | 17\% | (24) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (13) | 25\% | (20) | 40\% | (32) | 14\% | (12) | 4\% | (3) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (45) | 16\% | (79) | 47\% | (239) | 10\% | (48) | 19\% | (94) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (27) | 17\% | (68) | 47\% | (190) | 14\% | (59) | 15\% | (63) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (26) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 35\% | (56) | 16\% | (24) | 12\% | (18) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (96) | 18\% | (119) | 35\% | (239) | 13\% | (88) | 20\% | (136) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (71) | 16\% | (119) | 40\% | (305) | 16\% | (121) | 19\% | (144) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (135) | 24\% | (175) | 37\% | (268) | $11 \%$ | (82) | 9\% | (66) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (89) | 14\% | (129) | 40\% | (373) | 15\% | (139) | 22\% | (203) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (29) | 13\% | (72) | 44\% | (236) | 14\% | (73) | 24\% | (130) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (113) | 20\% | (129) | 32\% | (212) | 13\% | (88) | 17\% | (113) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (28) | 28\% | (44) | 30\% | (46) | $11 \%$ | (17) | 12\% | (19) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (21) | 19\% | (34) | 35\% | (62) | $11 \%$ | (20) | 23\% | (41) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 13\% | (15) | 54\% | (62) | 10\% | (11) | 18\% | (20) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 15\% | (22) | 30\% | (42) | 42\% | (59) | 8\% | (11) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (23) | 10\% | (48) | 40\% | (199) | 16\% | (81) | 29\% | (145) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (22) | 15\% | (46) | 52\% | (160) | 15\% | (44) | 11\% | (33) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (19) | 12\% | (19) | 48\% | (76) | 15\% | (23) | 14\% | (21) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (49) | 14\% | (54) | 36\% | (136) | 13\% | (51) | 24\% | (92) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (204) | 18\% | (323) | 41\% | (741) | 13\% | (245) | 17\% | (306) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (94) | 25\% | (132) | 32\% | (168) | $11 \%$ | (57) | 15\% | (78) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 10\% | (160) | 15\% | (245) | 42\% | (708) | 14\% | (238) | 19\% | (321) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (68) | 14\% | (108) | 32\% | (250) | 15\% | (119) | $31 \%$ | (241) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 14\% | (181) | 20\% | (267) | 43\% | (573) | 12\% | (164) | $11 \%$ | (151) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 17\% | (377) | 40\% | (876) | 13\% | (295) | 18\% | (399) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (44) | 14\% | (64) | 30\% | (140) | 14\% | (65) | 34\% | (162) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (24) | 14\% | (44) | 35\% | (110) | 18\% | (54) | 25\% | (79) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (24) | 29\% | (78) | 38\% | (103) | 13\% | (36) | 11\% | (31) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 15\% | (157) | 18\% | (189) | 44\% | (471) | 12\% | (128) | 11\% | (120) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (71) | 13\% | (106) | 33\% | (263) | 14\% | (110) | 30\% | (239) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (172) | 19\% | (253) | 43\% | (561) | 13\% | (175) | 11\% | (147) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (45) | 12\% | (55) | $31 \%$ | (147) | 12\% | (58) | 35\% | (167) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 16\% | (51) | 37\% | (117) | 16\% | (52) | 23\% | (72) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 25\% | (54) | 36\% | (78) | 18\% | (40) | 11\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 14\% | (152) | 18\% | (198) | 44\% | (483) | 12\% | (135) | 11\% | (124) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (81) | 15\% | (128) | 40\% | (346) | 14\% | (117) | 22\% | (187) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 12\% | (35) | 38\% | (109) | 16\% | (45) | 26\% | (74) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (58) | 22\% | (77) | 42\% | (146) | 12\% | (43) | 8\% | (28) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (28) | 16\% | (42) | 38\% | (102) | 18\% | (48) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (17) | 18\% | (18) | 45\% | (44) | 8\% | (8) | 10\% | (10) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (14) | 33\% | (36) | 34\% | (38) | 11\% | (13) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 17\% | (14) | 17\% | (14) | $32 \%$ | (26) | 13\% | (11) | 21\% | (17) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 12\% | (17) | 18\% | (26) | 45\% | (64) | 7\% | (9) | 18\% | (26) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 18\% | (184) | 23\% | (238) | 38\% | (393) | 11\% | (113) | 9\% | (97) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (34) | 11\% | (76) | 32\% | (211) | 17\% | (111) | 35\% | (234) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 6\% | (5) | 54\% | (46) | 18\% | (15) | 19\% | (16) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (33) | 14\% | (58) | 54\% | (225) | 13\% | (55) | 12\% | (49) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (141) | 23\% | (176) | 39\% | (299) | 10\% | (78) | 10\% | (80) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (41) | 10\% | (59) | 27\% | (151) | 16\% | (89) | 39\% | (218) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (127) | 21\% | (151) | 40\% | (282) | 11\% | (80) | 9\% | (63) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (46) | 11\% | (73) | 29\% | (185) | 18\% | (115) | 35\% | (228) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 13\% | (11) | 44\% | (38) | 17\% | (14) | 24\% | (21) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (75) | 19\% | (142) | 49\% | (371) | 11\% | (85) | 11\% | (87) | 759 |
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Table MCBR2_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $12 \%$ | $(254)$ | $17 \%$ | $(377)$ | $40 \%$ | $(876)$ | $13 \%$ | $(295)$ | $18 \%$ | $(399)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $13 \%$ | $(156)$ | $16 \%$ | $(196)$ | $34 \%$ | $(417)$ | $14 \%$ | $(166)$ | $23 \%$ | $(281)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(98)$ | $18 \%$ | $(181)$ | $47 \%$ | $(459)$ | $13 \%$ | $(129)$ | $12 \%$ | $(117)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $15 \%$ | $(60)$ | $19 \%$ | $(76)$ | $38 \%$ | $(148)$ | $10 \%$ | $(41)$ | $18 \%$ | $(69)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $7 \%$ | $(33)$ | $19 \%$ | $(88)$ | $43 \%$ | $(201)$ | $16 \%$ | $(72)$ | $15 \%$ | $(68)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $10 \%$ | $(85)$ | $12 \%$ | $(99)$ | $41 \%$ | $(339)$ | $14 \%$ | $(119)$ | $22 \%$ | $(181)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $14 \%$ | $(75)$ | $22 \%$ | $(114)$ | $36 \%$ | $(188)$ | $12 \%$ | $(63)$ | $15 \%$ | $(80)$ |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (205) | 13\% | (291) | 46\% | (1020) | 15\% | (326) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (142) | 14\% | (148) | 43\% | (456) | 14\% | (151) | 16\% | (166) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (63) | 13\% | (143) | 50\% | (564) | 15\% | (175) | 17\% | (192) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (79) | 18\% | (120) | 48\% | (313) | 13\% | (84) | 9\% | (60) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (59) | 20\% | (70) | 46\% | (163) | 10\% | (37) | 8\% | (29) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (54) | 9\% | (71) | 47\% | (352) | 14\% | (107) | 22\% | (168) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (31) | 44\% | (192) | 22\% | (98) | 23\% | (101) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 7\% | (25) | 17\% | (56) | 54\% | (180) | 11\% | (37) | 10\% | (34) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (106) | 20\% | (119) | 42\% | (252) | 13\% | (78) | 7\% | (44) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (49) | 13\% | (68) | 46\% | (244) | 12\% | (64) | 20\% | (107) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (17) | 7\% | (45) | 48\% | (318) | 18\% | (119) | 24\% | (160) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (124) | 18\% | (166) | 47\% | (427) | 12\% | (107) | 10\% | (88) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (43) | 9\% | (57) | 52\% | (341) | 17\% | (110) | 15\% | (101) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | 11\% | (68) | 40\% | (252) | 17\% | (110) | 27\% | (169) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (88) | 18\% | (77) | 42\% | (179) | 12\% | (52) | 6\% | (27) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 7\% | (36) | 18\% | (88) | 51\% | (248) | 11\% | (55) | 12\% | (61) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (24) | 11\% | (33) | 49\% | (150) | 15\% | (46) | 17\% | (51) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (19) | 7\% | (24) | 55\% | (191) | 18\% | (64) | 14\% | (50) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (30) | 11\% | (37) | 38\% | (126) | 16\% | (53) | 26\% | (87) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (8) | 10\% | (31) | 41\% | (126) | 19\% | (57) | 27\% | (82) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (115) | 20\% | (128) | 40\% | (265) | 11\% | (75) | 11\% | (71) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 5\% | (33) | 12\% | (80) | 55\% | (355) | 15\% | (94) | 13\% | (82) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (46) | 8\% | (53) | 40\% | (265) | 19\% | (124) | 26\% | (175) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (99) | $11 \%$ | (160) | 52\% | (783) | 15\% | (221) | 16\% | (249) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (59) | 16\% | (70) | 37\% | (163) | 18\% | (78) | 17\% | (73) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | 25\% | (60) | 30\% | (73) | $11 \%$ | (28) | 15\% | (36) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (65) | $11 \%$ | (120) | 56\% | (623) | 14\% | (152) | 14\% | (162) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 11\% | (73) | 14\% | (97) | 40\% | (271) | 18\% | (120) | 18\% | (119) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (68) | 19\% | (74) | 32\% | (126) | 13\% | (53) | 19\% | (77) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (153) | 13\% | (219) | 45\% | (780) | 16\% | (268) | 18\% | (302) | 1722 |

[^60]Table MCBR2_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (205) | 13\% | (291) | 46\% | (1020) | 15\% | (326) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (52) | 14\% | (48) | 48\% | (168) | 11\% | (38) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 13\% | (35) | 19\% | (52) | 49\% | (135) | 10\% | (27) | 10\% | (26) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (18) | 10\% | (21) | 51\% | (104) | 15\% | (31) | 15\% | (30) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (119) | 13\% | (142) | 40\% | (422) | 18\% | (187) | 18\% | (195) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 23\% | (33) | 44\% | (63) | 14\% | (20) | 4\% | (5) | 143 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (12) | 15\% | (12) | 51\% | (41) | 8\% | (6) | 11\% | (9) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (29) | 12\% | (60) | 55\% | (277) | 10\% | (52) | 17\% | (87) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (23) | 11\% | (44) | 53\% | (218) | 15\% | (61) | 15\% | (62) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (25) | 21\% | (33) | 44\% | (69) | 14\% | (22) | 6\% | (10) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (100) | 14\% | (95) | 40\% | (274) | 14\% | (94) | 17\% | (114) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (37) | 11\% | (85) | 46\% | (352) | 20\% | (150) | 18\% | (136) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (123) | 19\% | (137) | 44\% | (319) | 11\% | (80) | 9\% | (67) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (60) | 11\% | (99) | 46\% | (434) | 17\% | (159) | 19\% | (181) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 4\% | (21) | 10\% | (55) | 49\% | (267) | 16\% | (87) | 20\% | (110) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (99) | 16\% | (107) | 38\% | (248) | 15\% | (101) | 15\% | (100) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (26) | 20\% | (31) | 36\% | (55) | 17\% | (26) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 9\% | (16) | 14\% | (26) | 43\% | (77) | 17\% | (30) | 17\% | (30) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (7) | 13\% | (15) | 53\% | (61) | 13\% | (15) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (16) | 19\% | (27) | 53\% | (74) | 7\% | (10) | 10\% | (14) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (18) | 6\% | (30) | 49\% | (243) | 18\% | (87) | 24\% | (118) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 5\% | (15) | 13\% | (39) | 57\% | (175) | 12\% | (35) | 14\% | (41) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 11\% | (17) | 55\% | (87) | 14\% | (22) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 12\% | (47) | 39\% | (147) | 18\% | (67) | 22\% | (83) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (168) | 13\% | (244) | 48\% | (872) | 14\% | (259) | 15\% | (275) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (90) | 19\% | (102) | 40\% | (211) | $11 \%$ | (56) | 13\% | (69) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | $7 \%$ | (115) | 11\% | (189) | 48\% | (809) | 16\% | (270) | 17\% | (289) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (69) | 11\% | (89) | 37\% | (295) | 17\% | (135) | 25\% | (200) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (130) | 15\% | (199) | 51\% | (675) | 13\% | (178) | 11\% | (153) | 1336 |

[^61]Table MCBR2_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (205) | 13\% | (291) | 46\% | (1020) | 15\% | (326) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (48) | 11\% | (52) | 34\% | (162) | 16\% | (78) | 29\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (21) | 12\% | (37) | 43\% | (133) | 18\% | (56) | 20\% | (63) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 20\% | (54) | 45\% | (123) | 16\% | (43) | 11\% | (30) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (108) | 14\% | (145) | 52\% | (552) | 13\% | (136) | 12\% | (124) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (68) | 11\% | (86) | 39\% | (304) | 17\% | (134) | 25\% | (197) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (128) | 15\% | (193) | 50\% | (657) | 14\% | (181) | 11\% | (150) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (43) | 11\% | (50) | 36\% | (168) | 16\% | (78) | 28\% | (133) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 11\% | (36) | 43\% | (136) | 18\% | (57) | 20\% | (64) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 18\% | (40) | 44\% | (94) | 14\% | (31) | 14\% | (30) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (106) | 14\% | (153) | 51\% | (563) | 14\% | (150) | 11\% | (121) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (66) | 11\% | (90) | 46\% | (392) | 17\% | (146) | 19\% | (165) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (26) | 13\% | (37) | 39\% | (112) | 16\% | (46) | 23\% | (67) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (48) | 17\% | (61) | 51\% | (180) | 12\% | (41) | 6\% | (21) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | 6\% | (17) | 49\% | (131) | 19\% | (50) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (10) | 19\% | (19) | 56\% | (54) | 6\% | (6) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (11) | 24\% | (27) | 34\% | (38) | 17\% | (19) | 15\% | (16) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 14\% | (12) | 18\% | (15) | 43\% | (36) | 11\% | (9) | 14\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (10) | 18\% | (25) | 54\% | (77) | 7\% | (10) | 14\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (143) | 18\% | (183) | 47\% | (485) | 11\% | (116) | 10\% | (98) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (32) | 9\% | (57) | 38\% | (251) | 20\% | (135) | 29\% | (193) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 4\% | (3) | 5\% | (4) | 57\% | (48) | 21\% | (17) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (27) | 11\% | (47) | 56\% | (234) | 14\% | (57) | 13\% | (56) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (111) | 18\% | (142) | 45\% | (348) | 12\% | (89) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (36) | 8\% | (45) | 34\% | (191) | 20\% | (111) | 31\% | (175) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (95) | 17\% | (119) | 49\% | (341) | 12\% | (82) | 10\% | (67) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 9\% | (59) | 35\% | (228) | 21\% | (134) | 29\% | (185) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 9\% | (8) | 53\% | (46) | 20\% | (17) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (66) | 13\% | (102) | 53\% | (404) | 12\% | (93) | 12\% | (94) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (205) | 13\% | (291) | 46\% | (1020) | 15\% | (326) | 16\% | (358) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (121) | 13\% | (163) | 41\% | (503) | 15\% | (188) | 20\% | (242) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 9\% | (84) | 13\% | (128) | 53\% | (517) | 14\% | (138) | 12\% | (116) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | (54) | 16\% | (64) | 43\% | (169) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 16\% | (62) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $4 \%$ | (19) | 15\% | (67) | 51\% | (234) | 16\% | (74) | 15\% | (69) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 8\% | (63) | 10\% | (84) | 48\% | (399) | 17\% | (137) | 17\% | (142) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 13\% | (69) | 15\% | (76) | 42\% | (218) | $14 \%$ | (71) | 16\% | (85) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (244) | 14\% | (316) | 43\% | (954) | 14\% | (318) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (159) | 15\% | (160) | 41\% | (439) | 13\% | (133) | 16\% | (170) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (85) | 14\% | (156) | 45\% | (515) | 16\% | (184) | 17\% | (197) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (107) | 19\% | (124) | 43\% | (285) | 12\% | (79) | 9\% | (59) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | 20\% | (70) | 43\% | (154) | 10\% | (34) | 9\% | (32) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (55) | 12\% | (87) | $44 \%$ | (331) | 15\% | (111) | 22\% | (166) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 8\% | (34) | $42 \%$ | (184) | 21\% | (93) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 15\% | (49) | 15\% | (48) | 48\% | (160) | 13\% | (43) | 9\% | (31) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 20\% | (119) | 23\% | (135) | 39\% | (235) | 10\% | (62) | 8\% | (49) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 15\% | (77) | $44 \%$ | (234) | 13\% | (71) | 20\% | (106) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (26) | 8\% | (54) | 45\% | (297) | 17\% | (114) | 26\% | (168) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (136) | 20\% | (184) | 44\% | (401) | $11 \%$ | (99) | 10\% | (92) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (57) | 11\% | (71) | 48\% | (311) | 17\% | (108) | 16\% | (106) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (51) | 10\% | (61) | 38\% | (242) | 17\% | (111) | 27\% | (171) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $21 \%$ | (91) | 20\% | (85) | 43\% | (181) | 9\% | (39) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (45) | 20\% | (99) | 45\% | (220) | 12\% | (60) | 13\% | (63) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $11 \%$ | (34) | 12\% | (36) | 45\% | (136) | 15\% | (47) | 17\% | (53) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (24) | 10\% | (35) | 50\% | (175) | 18\% | (61) | 15\% | (52) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (34) | 12\% | (40) | $36 \%$ | (121) | 14\% | (48) | 27\% | (89) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (17) | 7\% | (22) | 40\% | (121) | 21\% | (63) | 27\% | (82) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (124) | 22\% | (143) | 38\% | (247) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 10\% | (67) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (51) | 12\% | (78) | 53\% | (339) | 13\% | (85) | 14\% | (91) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (49) | 10\% | (63) | $36 \%$ | (239) | 19\% | (129) | 28\% | (183) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (133) | 12\% | (182) | 48\% | (725) | 14\% | (217) | 17\% | (255) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (58) | 17\% | (76) | $36 \%$ | (158) | 17\% | (76) | 17\% | (76) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (53) | 24\% | (58) | 29\% | (71) | 10\% | (25) | 15\% | (37) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (105) | 12\% | (133) | 51\% | (567) | 13\% | (145) | 15\% | (171) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 9\% | (62) | 16\% | (110) | 40\% | (275) | 17\% | (118) | 17\% | (117) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 19\% | (77) | 19\% | (74) | 28\% | (112) | 14\% | (56) | 20\% | (80) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (177) | 13\% | (232) | 43\% | (740) | 15\% | (259) | 18\% | (314) | 1722 |

[^62]Table MCBR2_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (244) | 14\% | (316) | 43\% | (954) | 14\% | (318) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 18\% | (63) | 15\% | (51) | 48\% | (167) | 7\% | (25) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (43) | 22\% | (61) | 40\% | (111) | 12\% | (32) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (24) | 12\% | (24) | 50\% | (103) | 13\% | (27) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (134) | 14\% | (153) | 37\% | (399) | 16\% | (174) | 19\% | (205) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 21\% | (30) | 42\% | (59) | 12\% | (17) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 16\% | (12) | 16\% | (13) | 48\% | (38) | 9\% | (7) | 11\% | (9) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (40) | 13\% | (65) | 51\% | (258) | 13\% | (64) | 15\% | (78) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (29) | 14\% | (55) | 49\% | (200) | 14\% | (56) | 17\% | (67) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (31) | 19\% | (30) | 43\% | (67) | 11\% | (18) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (101) | 16\% | (111) | 36\% | (246) | 14\% | (95) | 18\% | (125) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (55) | 12\% | (94) | 44\% | (338) | 17\% | (132) | 19\% | (141) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (134) | 22\% | (156) | 42\% | (301) | 10\% | (70) | 9\% | (64) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (79) | 11\% | (100) | 44\% | (415) | 17\% | (158) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (32) | 11\% | (60) | 44\% | (238) | 17\% | (90) | 22\% | (121) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (103) | 17\% | (109) | 36\% | (239) | 15\% | (100) | 16\% | (102) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (26) | 26\% | (40) | $34 \%$ | (52) | 13\% | (20) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (23) | 18\% | (32) | 39\% | (69) | 10\% | (18) | 20\% | (35) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 13\% | (15) | 54\% | (63) | 15\% | (17) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (16) | 19\% | (27) | 50\% | (70) | 12\% | (17) | 7\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (22) | 8\% | (41) | 45\% | (221) | 18\% | (91) | 24\% | (122) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (30) | 10\% | (32) | 56\% | (171) | 10\% | (29) | 14\% | (42) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 11\% | (18) | 13\% | (21) | 44\% | (69) | 15\% | (24) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (49) | 10\% | (39) | 35\% | (133) | 19\% | (71) | 23\% | (88) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (195) | 15\% | (277) | 45\% | (820) | 14\% | (246) | 15\% | (280) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (99) | 22\% | (114) | 36\% | (188) | 10\% | (51) | 15\% | (77) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (145) | 12\% | (202) | 46\% | (766) | 16\% | (267) | 17\% | (291) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (73) | 13\% | (101) | 35\% | (272) | 17\% | (134) | 26\% | (207) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (161) | 16\% | (209) | 48\% | (638) | 13\% | (173) | 12\% | (154) | 1336 |
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Table MCBR2_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (244) | 14\% | (316) | 43\% | (954) | 14\% | (318) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | $11 \%$ | (53) | 11\% | (53) | $32 \%$ | (152) | 17\% | (82) | 29\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (20) | 15\% | (47) | 39\% | (120) | 17\% | (52) | 23\% | (71) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (26) | 23\% | (62) | 45\% | (122) | 12\% | (32) | 11\% | (29) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (135) | 14\% | (148) | 48\% | (517) | 13\% | (141) | 12\% | (126) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (82) | 12\% | (98) | 35\% | (274) | 17\% | (134) | 25\% | (201) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (152) | 16\% | (204) | 48\% | (626) | 13\% | (171) | 12\% | (154) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (62) | 10\% | (48) | 32\% | (151) | 16\% | (77) | 29\% | (135) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 16\% | (51) | 39\% | (123) | 18\% | (57) | 21\% | (66) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (23) | 19\% | (42) | 42\% | (91) | 16\% | (34) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (129) | 15\% | (163) | 49\% | (535) | 13\% | (137) | 12\% | (128) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (80) | 13\% | (109) | 40\% | (345) | 18\% | (153) | 20\% | (173) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (32) | 13\% | (38) | 38\% | (108) | 13\% | (38) | 25\% | (72) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (48) | 21\% | (74) | 49\% | (171) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 6\% | (22) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (26) | 9\% | (24) | 47\% | (124) | 18\% | (48) | 17\% | (45) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 19\% | (18) | 15\% | (15) | 53\% | (52) | 6\% | (6) | 7\% | (7) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (11) | 25\% | (28) | 43\% | (48) | 9\% | (10) | 14\% | (15) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 17\% | (14) | 14\% | (12) | 39\% | (32) | 15\% | (12) | 15\% | (12) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 10\% | (14) | 13\% | (19) | 52\% | (74) | 10\% | (14) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (156) | 20\% | (201) | 44\% | (451) | 11\% | (116) | 10\% | (100) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (42) | 8\% | (53) | 36\% | (239) | 20\% | (134) | 30\% | (199) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 7\% | (6) | 54\% | (46) | 23\% | (19) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (45) | 13\% | (56) | 52\% | (217) | $11 \%$ | (46) | 14\% | (57) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | $14 \%$ | (110) | 19\% | (147) | 44\% | (342) | $11 \%$ | (88) | $11 \%$ | (87) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (45) | 8\% | (46) | 31\% | (175) | 20\% | (109) | 33\% | (184) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (101) | 20\% | (138) | 45\% | (316) | 11\% | (77) | 10\% | (70) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (53) | 9\% | (56) | 34\% | (218) | 21\% | (133) | 29\% | (188) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 10\% | (8) | $52 \%$ | (45) | 18\% | (15) | 17\% | (15) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (87) | 15\% | (114) | 49\% | (371) | 12\% | (92) | 12\% | (95) | 759 |
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Table MCBR2_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (244) | $14 \%$ | (316) | 43\% | (954) | 14\% | (318) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 11\% | (138) | 13\% | (158) | 39\% | (477) | 16\% | (189) | 21\% | (253) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 11\% | (106) | 16\% | (158) | 48\% | (476) | 13\% | (129) | 12\% | (115) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (53) | 17\% | (67) | 42\% | (165) | $11 \%$ | (43) | 17\% | (66) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 8\% | (35) | 15\% | (70) | 44\% | (205) | 16\% | (76) | 16\% | (76) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (77) | $12 \%$ | (98) | 46\% | (376) | 16\% | (130) | 17\% | (142) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 15\% | (79) | 16\% | (81) | 40\% | (207) | 13\% | (69) | 16\% | (83) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (185) | $12 \%$ | (272) | 47\% | (1041) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (356) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | $11 \%$ | (114) | 15\% | (161) | 44\% | (467) | 14\% | (153) | 16\% | (167) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (71) | 10\% | (111) | 50\% | (574) | 17\% | (194) | 17\% | (188) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $11 \%$ | (72) | 16\% | (106) | 50\% | (327) | 14\% | (89) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (53) | 19\% | (68) | 47\% | (169) | 11\% | (39) | 8\% | (29) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (45) | 9\% | (66) | 48\% | (358) | 16\% | (121) | 21\% | (161) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (15) | 8\% | (33) | 43\% | (187) | 22\% | (98) | 24\% | (104) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (26) | $14 \%$ | (47) | 56\% | (187) | 12\% | (41) | 9\% | (31) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 15\% | (92) | 19\% | (116) | $44 \%$ | (266) | 13\% | (78) | 8\% | (47) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (39) | $11 \%$ | (59) | 48\% | (255) | 14\% | (76) | 19\% | (102) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (21) | 7\% | (47) | 46\% | (305) | 19\% | (125) | 25\% | (162) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (109) | 17\% | (155) | 49\% | (444) | 12\% | (114) | 10\% | (90) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (43) | 9\% | (56) | 52\% | (342) | 17\% | (112) | 15\% | (100) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (34) | 10\% | (61) | 40\% | (255) | 19\% | (121) | 26\% | (166) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 17\% | (73) | 19\% | (82) | 45\% | (191) | 11\% | (45) | 8\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 7\% | (36) | 15\% | (73) | 52\% | (253) | 14\% | (69) | 12\% | (57) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (19) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 50\% | (153) | 17\% | (52) | 16\% | (48) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 7\% | (23) | 55\% | (189) | 17\% | (60) | 15\% | (51) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (22) | 14\% | (45) | 37\% | (123) | 17\% | (56) | 26\% | (86) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (12) | 5\% | (15) | 43\% | (132) | 21\% | (65) | 26\% | (80) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (95) | 19\% | (123) | 44\% | (289) | 12\% | (80) | 10\% | (67) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (38) | $11 \%$ | (68) | 54\% | (349) | 16\% | (102) | 13\% | (87) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (43) | 9\% | (56) | 39\% | (260) | 19\% | (128) | 26\% | (176) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (89) | 10\% | (148) | 52\% | (791) | 16\% | (244) | 16\% | (240) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (51) | 15\% | (67) | 39\% | (172) | 17\% | (74) | 18\% | (79) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (45) | 23\% | (57) | $32 \%$ | (77) | 12\% | (28) | 15\% | (36) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (68) | 10\% | (110) | 56\% | (624) | 14\% | (158) | 14\% | (160) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 8\% | (56) | 13\% | (90) | 43\% | (295) | 18\% | (124) | 17\% | (115) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (61) | 18\% | (72) | $31 \%$ | (122) | 16\% | (64) | 20\% | (80) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (141) | $11 \%$ | (197) | 46\% | (793) | 17\% | (285) | 18\% | (305) | 1722 |

[^63]Table MCBR2_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (185) | 12\% | (272) | 47\% | (1041) | 16\% | (346) | 16\% | (356) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 11\% | (37) | 20\% | (71) | 47\% | (166) | 10\% | (33) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 11\% | (30) | 16\% | (44) | 52\% | (144) | 12\% | (32) | 9\% | (24) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 7\% | (14) | 15\% | (31) | 51\% | (104) | 14\% | (29) | 13\% | (26) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (109) | 13\% | (139) | 40\% | (429) | 17\% | (186) | 19\% | (201) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 22\% | (32) | 43\% | (61) | 14\% | (20) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 13\% | (10) | 15\% | (12) | 48\% | (38) | 14\% | (11) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (27) | 10\% | (50) | 56\% | (283) | 13\% | (65) | 16\% | (81) | 506 |
| Something Else | $4 \%$ | (17) | 10\% | (40) | 56\% | (229) | 16\% | (64) | 14\% | (58) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (22) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 43\% | (69) | 14\% | (22) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (79) | 16\% | (107) | 42\% | (283) | 13\% | (90) | 18\% | (119) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (45) | 9\% | (66) | 47\% | (359) | 21\% | (157) | 18\% | (133) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (106) | $21 \%$ | (151) | 44\% | (319) | 12\% | (86) | 9\% | (65) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (55) | 9\% | (82) | 48\% | (452) | 18\% | (167) | 19\% | (178) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (25) | 7\% | (40) | 50\% | (270) | 17\% | (93) | 21\% | (113) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (82) | 16\% | (103) | 41\% | (267) | 16\% | (108) | 14\% | (95) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (27) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 33\% | (51) | 17\% | (26) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (13) | 19\% | (34) | 42\% | (74) | 14\% | (24) | 19\% | (33) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (9) | 8\% | (9) | 57\% | (65) | 12\% | (13) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 8\% | (11) | 16\% | (22) | 58\% | (81) | 11\% | (15) | 7\% | (10) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (35) | 48\% | (238) | 18\% | (89) | 24\% | (121) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (19) | 7\% | (22) | 60\% | (184) | 14\% | (42) | 12\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 10\% | (15) | 51\% | (81) | 18\% | (29) | 15\% | (24) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (34) | 9\% | (36) | 43\% | (162) | 17\% | (63) | 22\% | (86) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (151) | 13\% | (236) | 48\% | (878) | 16\% | (283) | 15\% | (270) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 14\% | (72) | $21 \%$ | (109) | 40\% | (212) | 11\% | (58) | 15\% | (78) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (113) | 10\% | (163) | 50\% | (829) | 17\% | (288) | 17\% | (278) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (56) | 12\% | (96) | 37\% | (291) | 18\% | (142) | 26\% | (201) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 9\% | (122) | 13\% | (174) | 52\% | (699) | 14\% | (193) | $11 \%$ | (149) | 1336 |

[^64]Table MCBR2_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  | No impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $8 \%$ | $(185)$ | $12 \%$ | $(272)$ | $47 \%$ | $(1041)$ | $16 \%$ | $(346)$ | $16 \%$ | $(356)$ |
| Total N in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | $(113)$ | $12 \%$ | $(145)$ | $42 \%$ | $(508)$ | $17 \%$ | $(206)$ | $20 \%$ | $(244)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $7 \%$ | $(72)$ | $13 \%$ | $(127)$ | $54 \%$ | $(532)$ | $14 \%$ | $(141)$ | $11 \%$ | $(112)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $12 \%$ | $(48)$ | $17 \%$ | $(66)$ | $44 \%$ | $(171)$ | $12 \%$ | $(48)$ | $15 \%$ | $(61)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $5 \%$ | $(25)$ | $9 \%$ | $(41)$ | $52 \%$ | $(240)$ | $18 \%$ | $(81)$ | $16 \%$ | $(76)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | $(54)$ | $10 \%$ | $(83)$ | $49 \%$ | $(407)$ | $17 \%$ | $(138)$ | $17 \%$ | $(142)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | $(59)$ | $16 \%$ | $(82)$ | $43 \%$ | $(223)$ | $15 \%$ | $(79)$ | $15 \%$ | $(77)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 13\% | (280) | 47\% | (1045) | 15\% | (337) | 16\% | (362) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (109) | 14\% | (146) | 45\% | (474) | 15\% | (163) | 16\% | (169) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (68) | 12\% | (134) | 50\% | (571) | 15\% | (174) | 17\% | (193) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 10\% | (65) | 19\% | (122) | 48\% | (317) | 14\% | (93) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 14\% | (51) | 18\% | (63) | 49\% | (174) | 11\% | (40) | 8\% | (30) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (46) | $9 \%$ | (69) | 49\% | (365) | 14\% | (108) | 22\% | (164) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (15) | 6\% | (26) | 43\% | (189) | 22\% | (95) | 25\% | (110) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 6\% | (19) | 17\% | (58) | 55\% | (182) | 15\% | (50) | 7\% | (23) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 15\% | (91) | 19\% | (113) | $44 \%$ | (265) | 13\% | (78) | 9\% | (53) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (35) | 13\% | (71) | 48\% | (255) | 12\% | (63) | 20\% | (107) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (23) | 6\% | (38) | 48\% | (314) | 18\% | (119) | 25\% | (165) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (110) | 18\% | (160) | 50\% | (454) | 11\% | (104) | 9\% | (83) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 5\% | (33) | 9\% | (57) | 51\% | (336) | 17\% | (114) | 17\% | (112) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (34) | 10\% | (62) | 40\% | (255) | 19\% | (118) | 26\% | (167) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 17\% | (73) | 18\% | (75) | 46\% | (196) | 12\% | (52) | 6\% | (27) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (37) | 18\% | (85) | 53\% | (259) | 11\% | (52) | $11 \%$ | (55) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 4\% | (12) | 10\% | (31) | 50\% | (154) | 17\% | (50) | 19\% | (58) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (21) | 8\% | (26) | 52\% | (181) | 18\% | (63) | 16\% | (54) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | 12\% | (40) | 37\% | (124) | 18\% | (60) | 25\% | (84) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (10) | 7\% | (22) | 43\% | (131) | 19\% | (58) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (97) | 21\% | (135) | 43\% | (281) | 11\% | (75) | 10\% | (66) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (36) | $11 \%$ | (68) | 55\% | (354) | 15\% | (99) | 13\% | (87) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (36) | 9\% | (57) | 39\% | (262) | 19\% | (126) | 28\% | (183) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 5\% | (72) | 10\% | (154) | 53\% | (808) | 15\% | (228) | 17\% | (250) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (58) | 15\% | (68) | 35\% | (156) | 19\% | (83) | 18\% | (78) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 24\% | (58) | $33 \%$ | (80) | 11\% | (26) | $14 \%$ | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (55) | 9\% | (104) | 58\% | (647) | 14\% | (155) | $14 \%$ | (159) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 9\% | (64) | 15\% | (99) | 40\% | (272) | 18\% | (124) | 18\% | (121) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 14\% | (57) | 19\% | (77) | 31\% | (125) | 14\% | (57) | 21\% | (82) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (131) | 12\% | (210) | 46\% | (784) | 16\% | (282) | 18\% | (314) | 1722 |

[^65]Table MCBR2_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 13\% | (280) | 47\% | (1045) | 15\% | (337) | 16\% | (362) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 8\% | (29) | 23\% | (80) | 44\% | (154) | 12\% | (42) | 13\% | (45) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 11\% | (31) | 17\% | (47) | 56\% | (153) | 9\% | (23) | 7\% | (20) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 7\% | (15) | 11\% | (23) | 53\% | (108) | 15\% | (31) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (93) | 15\% | (159) | 39\% | (417) | 19\% | (198) | 18\% | (197) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 15\% | (21) | 49\% | (71) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (12) | 14\% | (11) | 52\% | (41) | 9\% | (7) | 11\% | (9) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (28) | 11\% | (56) | 56\% | (284) | 11\% | (53) | 17\% | (85) | 506 |
| Something Else | 4\% | (15) | 8\% | (34) | 57\% | (232) | 15\% | (63) | 16\% | (64) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (29) | 14\% | (22) | 48\% | (76) | 11\% | (18) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 11\% | (72) | 15\% | (104) | 41\% | (281) | 15\% | (104) | 17\% | (117) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 4\% | (33) | 11\% | (84) | 47\% | (354) | 20\% | (152) | 18\% | (137) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (106) | 20\% | (147) | 45\% | (324) | 12\% | (86) | 9\% | (63) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 5\% | (50) | 10\% | (92) | 47\% | (441) | 17\% | (162) | 20\% | (188) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 4\% | (22) | 8\% | (41) | 52\% | (280) | 16\% | (89) | 20\% | (110) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (88) | 15\% | (99) | 41\% | (270) | 15\% | (100) | 15\% | (98) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (24) | 24\% | (37) | $32 \%$ | (49) | 18\% | (28) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (12) | 17\% | (30) | 42\% | (75) | 13\% | (24) | 21\% | (37) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 2\% | (3) | 11\% | (12) | 57\% | (66) | 14\% | (16) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 6\% | (9) | 27\% | (37) | 48\% | (68) | 12\% | (17) | 7\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (14) | 4\% | (22) | 49\% | (245) | 19\% | (93) | 25\% | (123) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (17) | 9\% | (26) | 59\% | (181) | 14\% | (41) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (10) | 11\% | (17) | 58\% | (92) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (36) | 10\% | (37) | 41\% | (156) | 18\% | (67) | 22\% | (85) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (141) | 13\% | (243) | 49\% | (889) | 15\% | (269) | 15\% | (277) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $14 \%$ | (76) | 18\% | (97) | 41\% | (218) | 13\% | (69) | 13\% | (69) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (101) | 11\% | (183) | 50\% | (827) | 16\% | (267) | 17\% | (292) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (58) | 11\% | (83) | 38\% | (303) | 17\% | (133) | 27\% | (209) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (112) | 15\% | (196) | 52\% | (691) | 14\% | (189) | 11\% | (149) | 1336 |

[^66]Table MCBR2_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 13\% | (280) | 47\% | (1045) | 15\% | (337) | 16\% | (362) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (37) | 10\% | (45) | 37\% | (177) | 16\% | (76) | 30\% | (141) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (21) | 12\% | (38) | 41\% | (126) | 19\% | (58) | 22\% | (68) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (16) | 22\% | (59) | 47\% | (127) | 15\% | (40) | 10\% | (28) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (96) | 13\% | (137) | 53\% | (564) | 14\% | (149) | 11\% | (120) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (54) | 12\% | (92) | $38 \%$ | (302) | 18\% | (141) | 25\% | (201) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (113) | 13\% | (176) | 52\% | (686) | 14\% | (184) | 11\% | (149) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (36) | 10\% | (45) | 38\% | (178) | 16\% | (74) | 29\% | (138) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (18) | 15\% | (47) | $39 \%$ | (123) | 21\% | (67) | 20\% | (63) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (20) | 17\% | (37) | 44\% | (96) | 17\% | (36) | 12\% | (27) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (93) | 13\% | (139) | $54 \%$ | (590) | 14\% | (148) | 11\% | (122) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (56) | 11\% | (96) | 47\% | (403) | 17\% | (143) | 19\% | (163) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (21) | 12\% | (35) | 44\% | (126) | 12\% | (34) | 25\% | (72) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (43) | 16\% | (56) | 52\% | (182) | 13\% | (47) | 7\% | (24) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (15) | 8\% | (21) | 50\% | (133) | 20\% | (53) | 17\% | (45) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 7\% | (7) | 21\% | (20) | 55\% | (54) | 9\% | (8) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 11\% | (13) | 23\% | (26) | 34\% | (38) | 17\% | (19) | 14\% | (16) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 21\% | (18) | 13\% | (11) | 38\% | (31) | 14\% | (11) | 15\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 3\% | (5) | 12\% | (17) | 55\% | (78) | 15\% | (21) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 13\% | (132) | 18\% | (187) | 48\% | (490) | 12\% | (119) | 9\% | (97) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (27) | 6\% | (41) | 39\% | (260) | 21\% | (142) | 29\% | (196) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 6\% | (5) | 60\% | (51) | 21\% | (18) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $4 \%$ | (17) | 11\% | (47) | 58\% | (242) | 13\% | (57) | 14\% | (58) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 12\% | (97) | 16\% | (127) | 49\% | (377) | 11\% | (88) | 11\% | (86) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (31) | 8\% | (44) | $34 \%$ | (190) | 20\% | (109) | 33\% | (183) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (89) | 17\% | (120) | 49\% | (347) | 11\% | (78) | 10\% | (70) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (37) | 8\% | (49) | 37\% | (238) | 20\% | (130) | 30\% | (193) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 11\% | (9) | 55\% | (48) | 17\% | (15) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (49) | 13\% | (102) | 54\% | (410) | 15\% | (113) | 11\% | (86) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 13\% | (280) | 47\% | (1045) | 15\% | (337) | 16\% | (362) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 9\% | (110) | 12\% | (147) | 43\% | (521) | 15\% | (188) | 21\% | (251) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 7\% | (67) | 14\% | (133) | 53\% | (524) | 15\% | (149) | 11\% | (111) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (46) | 19\% | (74) | 41\% | (161) | 13\% | (51) | 16\% | (61) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $4 \%$ | (21) | 10\% | (47) | 51\% | (238) | 19\% | (88) | 15\% | (68) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (56) | 9\% | (78) | 51\% | (424) | 14\% | (119) | 18\% | (147) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (53) | 16\% | (81) | 43\% | (222) | 15\% | (78) | 16\% | (86) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 14\% | (306) | 44\% | (963) | 16\% | (345) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (125) | 16\% | (171) | 41\% | (439) | 15\% | (161) | 16\% | (166) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (93) | 12\% | (135) | 46\% | (524) | 16\% | (185) | 18\% | (201) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 13\% | (84) | 20\% | (129) | 45\% | (295) | $14 \%$ | (89) | 9\% | (59) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (55) | 20\% | (72) | 42\% | (151) | 12\% | (43) | 10\% | (35) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (63) | 11\% | (80) | 43\% | (324) | 16\% | (117) | 22\% | (167) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 6\% | (24) | $44 \%$ | (193) | 22\% | (96) | 24\% | (106) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 10\% | (35) | 17\% | (55) | 49\% | (162) | 15\% | (48) | $9 \%$ | (31) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 16\% | (98) | 22\% | (132) | 40\% | (241) | 13\% | (77) | 9\% | (51) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 14\% | (74) | 43\% | (226) | 14\% | (75) | 20\% | (108) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $4 \%$ | (29) | 7\% | (44) | 46\% | (304) | 18\% | (119) | 25\% | (164) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (140) | 19\% | (172) | 43\% | (390) | 13\% | (118) | 10\% | (92) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (47) | 10\% | (64) | 48\% | (314) | 18\% | (114) | 17\% | (113) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (31) | 11\% | (71) | 41\% | (259) | 18\% | (113) | 26\% | (163) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 20\% | (83) | 21\% | (90) | 41\% | (173) | 12\% | (51) | 6\% | (27) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (57) | 17\% | (82) | 44\% | (217) | $14 \%$ | (67) | 13\% | (65) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (23) | 11\% | (34) | 46\% | (140) | 17\% | (52) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (24) | 9\% | (30) | 50\% | (174) | 18\% | (62) | 16\% | (56) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (19) | 14\% | (47) | 38\% | (126) | 17\% | (58) | 25\% | (83) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (11) | $8 \%$ | (24) | 44\% | (133) | 18\% | (55) | 26\% | (80) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (118) | 21\% | (137) | 38\% | (247) | 12\% | (81) | 11\% | (71) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (42) | 14\% | (88) | 50\% | (325) | 17\% | (107) | 13\% | (82) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (39) | $9 \%$ | (61) | 38\% | (255) | 19\% | (129) | 27\% | (179) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (123) | 11\% | (162) | 48\% | (733) | 16\% | (237) | 17\% | (258) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $11 \%$ | (49) | 18\% | (80) | 35\% | (157) | 19\% | (83) | 17\% | (74) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 26\% | (64) | 30\% | (72) | 10\% | (25) | 15\% | (36) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (84) | 12\% | (129) | 51\% | (573) | 14\% | (159) | 16\% | (176) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (69) | 16\% | (106) | 40\% | (273) | 18\% | (119) | 17\% | (113) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (65) | 18\% | (70) | 29\% | (117) | 17\% | (68) | 20\% | (79) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (150) | 13\% | (232) | 43\% | (746) | 16\% | (278) | 18\% | (316) | 1722 |

[^67]Table MCBR2_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 14\% | (306) | 44\% | (963) | 16\% | (345) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (51) | 19\% | (65) | 42\% | (148) | 11\% | (40) | 13\% | (45) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 17\% | (48) | 17\% | (47) | 41\% | (112) | 14\% | (40) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (20) | 13\% | (27) | 51\% | (105) | 14\% | (28) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (122) | 15\% | (157) | 37\% | (397) | 18\% | (187) | 19\% | (200) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 16\% | (23) | 21\% | (30) | 43\% | (61) | 16\% | (22) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 18\% | (14) | 18\% | (14) | 44\% | (35) | 13\% | (10) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (38) | 11\% | (56) | 50\% | (254) | 14\% | (71) | 17\% | (87) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (20) | 12\% | (49) | 53\% | (216) | 13\% | (54) | 17\% | (69) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 15\% | (23) | 19\% | (31) | 43\% | (68) | 15\% | (24) | 7\% | (11) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (80) | 17\% | (116) | 39\% | (263) | 14\% | (96) | 18\% | (123) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 11\% | (83) | 44\% | (336) | 19\% | (141) | 18\% | (140) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (120) | 22\% | (161) | 39\% | (284) | 13\% | (93) | 9\% | (67) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (70) | 10\% | (94) | 46\% | (426) | 17\% | (161) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (28) | 9\% | (51) | 47\% | (253) | 17\% | (91) | 22\% | (118) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (99) | 16\% | (108) | 35\% | (231) | 17\% | (110) | 16\% | (106) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 13\% | (21) | 25\% | (38) | 36\% | (56) | 16\% | (24) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (13) | 20\% | (36) | 40\% | (71) | 14\% | (25) | 18\% | (33) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 6\% | (6) | 10\% | (12) | 56\% | (64) | 15\% | (17) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (17) | 19\% | (27) | 54\% | (76) | 10\% | (14) | 4\% | (5) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (24) | 7\% | (33) | 46\% | (230) | 17\% | (87) | 25\% | (122) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (30) | 11\% | (33) | 52\% | (159) | 13\% | (39) | 14\% | (44) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 5\% | (7) | 13\% | (20) | 47\% | (75) | 18\% | (29) | 17\% | (28) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 11\% | (40) | 39\% | (149) | 17\% | (65) | 24\% | (90) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (181) | 15\% | (266) | 45\% | (814) | 15\% | (281) | 15\% | (277) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (89) | 21\% | (109) | 38\% | (201) | 11\% | (57) | 14\% | (73) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (129) | 12\% | (197) | 46\% | (762) | 17\% | (288) | 18\% | (295) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (59) | 12\% | (98) | 37\% | (293) | 18\% | (140) | 25\% | (197) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (153) | 15\% | (204) | 47\% | (622) | 15\% | (196) | 12\% | (161) | 1336 |

[^68]Table MCBR2_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (218) | 14\% | (306) | 44\% | (963) | 16\% | (345) | 17\% | (368) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (42) | 11\% | (54) | 35\% | (165) | 17\% | (81) | 28\% | (134) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (17) | 14\% | (44) | 41\% | (127) | 19\% | (60) | 20\% | (62) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 22\% | (59) | 42\% | (115) | 17\% | (45) | 11\% | (30) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (131) | 14\% | (145) | 48\% | (507) | 14\% | (151) | 12\% | (131) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (54) | 13\% | (99) | 38\% | (301) | 18\% | (141) | 25\% | (194) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (152) | 15\% | (202) | 46\% | (605) | 14\% | (190) | 12\% | (160) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (40) | 10\% | (48) | 37\% | (174) | 16\% | (77) | 28\% | (133) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 4\% | (14) | 16\% | (51) | 40\% | (127) | 20\% | (64) | 19\% | (61) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 22\% | (47) | 39\% | (84) | 17\% | (37) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (131) | 14\% | (155) | 48\% | (520) | 14\% | (153) | 12\% | (134) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (76) | 12\% | (103) | 42\% | (362) | 18\% | (153) | 19\% | (166) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (24) | 12\% | (35) | 37\% | (106) | 18\% | (52) | 25\% | (72) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (49) | 20\% | (70) | 46\% | (160) | 12\% | (42) | 8\% | (30) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (24) | 7\% | (20) | 48\% | (129) | 19\% | (51) | 16\% | (43) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (12) | 15\% | (14) | 54\% | (53) | 9\% | (9) | 10\% | (10) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (15) | 20\% | (23) | 42\% | (47) | 12\% | (13) | 12\% | (14) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (13) | 13\% | (11) | 40\% | (33) | 14\% | (11) | 17\% | (14) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 5\% | (6) | 21\% | (29) | 51\% | (72) | 10\% | (14) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (157) | 19\% | (190) | 44\% | (446) | 13\% | (132) | 10\% | (99) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 4\% | (26) | 8\% | (56) | 39\% | (259) | 20\% | (136) | 29\% | (190) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | $4 \%$ | (3) | 56\% | (47) | $21 \%$ | (17) | 19\% | (16) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (34) | 14\% | (57) | 50\% | (209) | 14\% | (58) | 15\% | (63) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 16\% | (120) | 17\% | (133) | 42\% | (326) | 13\% | (103) | 12\% | (91) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (27) | 9\% | (50) | 35\% | (197) | 19\% | (108) | 32\% | (177) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (105) | 17\% | (122) | 44\% | (310) | 13\% | (93) | 10\% | (73) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 9\% | (58) | 38\% | (243) | 21\% | (134) | 28\% | (179) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 7\% | (6) | 54\% | (47) | 16\% | (13) | 21\% | (18) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (79) | 15\% | (117) | 48\% | (363) | 14\% | (104) | 13\% | (97) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable | Much less <br> favorable | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?

## Software companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (249) | 15\% | (333) | 41\% | (905) | 15\% | (335) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (154) | 16\% | (173) | 39\% | (411) | 14\% | (144) | 17\% | (180) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (95) | 14\% | (160) | 43\% | (494) | 17\% | (191) | 17\% | (199) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (98) | 21\% | (136) | 42\% | (277) | 12\% | (82) | 10\% | (62) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (67) | $21 \%$ | (74) | 40\% | (142) | $12 \%$ | (44) | 9\% | (31) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 9\% | (65) | 11\% | (85) | 43\% | (320) | 14\% | (108) | 23\% | (173) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (18) | 9\% | (38) | 38\% | (166) | 23\% | (101) | 26\% | (112) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (44) | 19\% | (62) | 44\% | (147) | 14\% | (47) | 10\% | (33) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (110) | 22\% | (133) | 39\% | (235) | 12\% | (71) | 8\% | (50) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 11\% | (57) | 14\% | (75) | 43\% | (230) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 21\% | (109) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (30) | 9\% | (59) | 41\% | (270) | 20\% | (130) | 26\% | (170) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (149) | 21\% | (192) | 41\% | (377) | 12\% | (105) | 10\% | (89) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 9\% | (58) | 10\% | (68) | 45\% | (295) | 19\% | (123) | 17\% | (108) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (42) | 11\% | (73) | 37\% | (233) | 17\% | (107) | 29\% | (181) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (91) | 22\% | (95) | 39\% | (166) | 10\% | (42) | 7\% | (31) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (58) | 20\% | (97) | 43\% | (211) | 13\% | (63) | 12\% | (58) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 10\% | (32) | 11\% | (33) | 44\% | (134) | 17\% | (52) | 18\% | (55) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (26) | 10\% | (35) | 46\% | (161) | $21 \%$ | (71) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (32) | 14\% | (46) | 33\% | (111) | 15\% | (51) | 28\% | (93) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (11) | 9\% | (27) | 40\% | (122) | 18\% | (56) | 29\% | (88) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 20\% | (132) | 22\% | (145) | 35\% | (229) | 12\% | (79) | 11\% | (69) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (53) | 14\% | (91) | 48\% | (308) | 15\% | (97) | 15\% | (95) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (48) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 35\% | (234) | 18\% | (122) | 28\% | (188) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (131) | 13\% | (197) | 45\% | (685) | 16\% | (235) | 17\% | (264) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (65) | 18\% | (79) | 32\% | (144) | 17\% | (75) | 18\% | (81) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 22\% | (53) | 23\% | (57) | 31\% | (76) | 10\% | (24) | 14\% | (34) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (103) | 13\% | (149) | 48\% | (536) | 15\% | (172) | 14\% | (161) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (69) | 16\% | (109) | 38\% | (261) | 16\% | (107) | 20\% | (136) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (78) | 19\% | (75) | 27\% | (108) | 14\% | (55) | 21\% | (82) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (177) | 14\% | (240) | 41\% | (706) | 15\% | (266) | 19\% | (333) | 1722 |

[^69]Table MCBR2_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (249) | 15\% | (333) | 41\% | (905) | 15\% | (335) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | $21 \%$ | (72) | 18\% | (64) | 38\% | (132) | 11\% | (38) | 13\% | (44) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 17\% | (45) | 22\% | (61) | 41\% | (112) | 12\% | (33) | 8\% | (23) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 16\% | (32) | 43\% | (87) | 17\% | (35) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (142) | 15\% | (160) | 35\% | (371) | 17\% | (176) | 20\% | (215) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 25\% | (36) | 40\% | (57) | 14\% | (20) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 19\% | (15) | 20\% | (16) | 44\% | (35) | 11\% | (8) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 9\% | (47) | 13\% | (64) | 50\% | (254) | 12\% | (59) | 16\% | (81) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (24) | 14\% | (56) | 46\% | (188) | 17\% | (70) | 17\% | (69) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (22) | 24\% | (37) | 40\% | (63) | 14\% | (22) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (103) | 16\% | (106) | 36\% | (241) | 14\% | (98) | 19\% | (129) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 14\% | (104) | 40\% | (305) | 19\% | (146) | 19\% | (146) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (141) | 22\% | (162) | 37\% | (272) | 11\% | (81) | 10\% | (70) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (79) | 11\% | (104) | 43\% | (398) | 18\% | (164) | 20\% | (189) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (30) | 12\% | (68) | 43\% | (235) | 17\% | (89) | 22\% | (120) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (103) | 17\% | (109) | 38\% | (248) | 13\% | (85) | 17\% | (109) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (36) | 21\% | (32) | $34 \%$ | (53) | 12\% | (18) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (18) | 20\% | (36) | $33 \%$ | (60) | 16\% | (29) | 20\% | (35) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (5) | $11 \%$ | (13) | $51 \%$ | (59) | 15\% | (17) | 17\% | (20) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 16\% | (22) | 26\% | (36) | 44\% | (62) | 9\% | (13) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (20) | 9\% | (47) | 42\% | (206) | 19\% | (92) | 26\% | (131) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (31) | 10\% | (30) | 52\% | (158) | 15\% | (47) | 13\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 18\% | (28) | 38\% | (60) | 21\% | (33) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (45) | 11\% | (43) | 35\% | (134) | 19\% | (73) | 23\% | (86) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | $11 \%$ | (203) | 16\% | (291) | 42\% | (770) | 14\% | (262) | 16\% | (292) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (98) | 23\% | (122) | 35\% | (187) | 9\% | (48) | 14\% | (74) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (151) | 13\% | (211) | 43\% | (718) | 17\% | (286) | 18\% | (304) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (73) | 13\% | (105) | 33\% | (261) | 16\% | (129) | 28\% | (219) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (165) | 17\% | (227) | 45\% | (598) | 14\% | (191) | 12\% | (156) | 1336 |

[^70]Table MCBR2_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (249) | 15\% | (333) | 41\% | (905) | 15\% | (335) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (50) | 13\% | (61) | 30\% | (142) | 15\% | (70) | $32 \%$ | (153) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (23) | 14\% | (44) | 38\% | (118) | 19\% | (59) | 21\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (17) | 25\% | (69) | 43\% | (115) | 14\% | (38) | 12\% | (32) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $14 \%$ | (148) | 15\% | (158) | 45\% | (483) | 14\% | (153) | 12\% | (124) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (71) | 13\% | (99) | 34\% | (268) | 17\% | (135) | 27\% | (215) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (163) | 17\% | (222) | 44\% | (581) | 15\% | (190) | $12 \%$ | (152) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (46) | 12\% | (58) | 30\% | (141) | 16\% | (77) | 32\% | (151) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 13\% | (42) | 40\% | (128) | 19\% | (59) | 20\% | (65) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (23) | 20\% | (43) | 42\% | (90) | 14\% | (29) | 14\% | (31) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (141) | 16\% | (178) | 45\% | (491) | 15\% | (161) | 11\% | (121) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (79) | 12\% | (106) | 43\% | (367) | 15\% | (131) | 21\% | (177) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (29) | 13\% | (38) | 35\% | (100) | 16\% | (47) | 26\% | (74) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 14\% | (50) | 21\% | (72) | 44\% | (154) | 13\% | (46) | 8\% | (28) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (30) | 10\% | (26) | 41\% | (110) | 22\% | (59) | 16\% | (43) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 28\% | (27) | 42\% | (41) | 9\% | (9) | 9\% | (8) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 19\% | (21) | 26\% | (29) | 32\% | (36) | 14\% | (16) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (16) | 20\% | (16) | 36\% | (30) | 9\% | (8) | 15\% | (13) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (11) | 14\% | (19) | 48\% | (67) | 13\% | (19) | 18\% | (25) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (174) | 20\% | (206) | 41\% | (423) | 12\% | (125) | 9\% | (97) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (31) | 9\% | (58) | 35\% | (231) | 20\% | (134) | 32\% | (212) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (2) | 4\% | (3) | 54\% | (45) | 27\% | (23) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (41) | 16\% | (66) | 48\% | (204) | 13\% | (53) | $14 \%$ | (57) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 17\% | (130) | 19\% | (149) | 42\% | (322) | 12\% | (90) | 11\% | (83) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (37) | 10\% | (54) | 30\% | (168) | 19\% | (104) | 35\% | (195) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 16\% | (115) | 19\% | (133) | 44\% | (309) | 12\% | (81) | 9\% | (65) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 10\% | (64) | 32\% | (210) | 20\% | (129) | 31\% | (203) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 9\% | (8) | 47\% | (41) | 25\% | (21) | 16\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (86) | 17\% | (128) | 45\% | (345) | 14\% | (103) | 13\% | (97) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable | Somewhat <br> more favorable | No impact | Somewhat less <br> favorable |  |  |  |  | Much less <br> favorable | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(249)$ | $15 \%$ | $(333)$ | $41 \%$ | $(905)$ | $15 \%$ | $(335)$ | $17 \%$ | $(379)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $12 \%$ | $(147)$ | $14 \%$ | $(166)$ | $37 \%$ | $(453)$ | $16 \%$ | $(192)$ | $21 \%$ | $(257)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(102)$ | $17 \%$ | $(167)$ | $46 \%$ | $(452)$ | $14 \%$ | $(142)$ | $12 \%$ | $(122)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $16 \%$ | $(63)$ | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ | $40 \%$ | $(156)$ | $11 \%$ | $(43)$ | $17 \%$ | $(67)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $7 \%$ | $(33)$ | $14 \%$ | $(66)$ | $43 \%$ | $(201)$ | $20 \%$ | $(92)$ | $15 \%$ | $(70)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(74)$ | $14 \%$ | $(116)$ | $43 \%$ | $(357)$ | $15 \%$ | $(123)$ | $19 \%$ | $(153)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $15 \%$ | $(78)$ | $17 \%$ | $(87)$ | $37 \%$ | $(191)$ | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ | $17 \%$ | $(88)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR2_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (251) | 15\% | (319) | 40\% | (876) | 14\% | (303) | 20\% | (450) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (161) | 16\% | (172) | 36\% | (385) | 12\% | (131) | 20\% | (212) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (90) | 13\% | (147) | 43\% | (491) | 15\% | (172) | 21\% | (238) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 17\% | (110) | 20\% | (128) | 40\% | (264) | 13\% | (83) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (65) | 17\% | (60) | 45\% | (161) | 9\% | (33) | 11\% | (39) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (54) | 12\% | (91) | 39\% | (291) | 14\% | (105) | 28\% | (209) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (22) | 9\% | (40) | 37\% | (161) | 19\% | (82) | 30\% | (132) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 16\% | (52) | 16\% | (52) | 45\% | (148) | 14\% | (46) | 10\% | (34) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (114) | $21 \%$ | (126) | 39\% | (235) | 10\% | (59) | $11 \%$ | (65) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 15\% | (78) | 40\% | (212) | 12\% | (64) | 24\% | (128) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (28) | 9\% | (62) | 39\% | (256) | 17\% | (114) | 30\% | (199) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 17\% | (158) | 21\% | (194) | 40\% | (366) | 10\% | (94) | $11 \%$ | (100) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (55) | 8\% | (52) | 48\% | (314) | 15\% | (99) | 20\% | (133) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | 12\% | (74) | $31 \%$ | (197) | 17\% | (111) | $34 \%$ | (217) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 24\% | (101) | 23\% | (97) | 37\% | (156) | 8\% | (33) | 9\% | (36) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (57) | 20\% | (96) | 43\% | (210) | 12\% | (61) | 13\% | (64) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 10\% | (31) | 9\% | (28) | 45\% | (137) | 14\% | (44) | 21\% | (65) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 7\% | (24) | 51\% | (177) | 16\% | (55) | 19\% | (67) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (29) | 14\% | (46) | 28\% | (93) | 16\% | (54) | 33\% | (110) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (9) | 9\% | (27) | 34\% | (104) | 18\% | (56) | 35\% | (107) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (143) | 23\% | (148) | 33\% | (214) | 11\% | (75) | 11\% | (74) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (51) | 12\% | (77) | 49\% | (318) | 14\% | (93) | 16\% | (105) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (49) | 10\% | (69) | $31 \%$ | (206) | 16\% | (107) | 35\% | (233) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (123) | 12\% | (177) | 45\% | (679) | 14\% | (208) | 21\% | (325) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (73) | 18\% | (81) | 31\% | (138) | 15\% | (68) | 19\% | (84) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 23\% | (55) | 25\% | (61) | 25\% | (60) | 11\% | (27) | 17\% | (40) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (93) | 11\% | (127) | 49\% | (545) | 13\% | (142) | 19\% | (215) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 12\% | (84) | 17\% | (115) | 34\% | (232) | 14\% | (98) | 22\% | (151) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (75) | 20\% | (78) | 25\% | (99) | 16\% | (63) | 21\% | (83) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (171) | 14\% | (239) | 39\% | (669) | 15\% | (253) | 23\% | (389) | 1722 |

[^71]Table MCBR2_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (251) | 15\% | (319) | 40\% | (876) | 14\% | (303) | 20\% | (450) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (57) | 21\% | (74) | 38\% | (134) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 13\% | (46) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 20\% | (55) | 18\% | (49) | 42\% | (115) | 9\% | (24) | 12\% | (32) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 15\% | (31) | 45\% | (92) | 13\% | (26) | 14\% | (29) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (145) | 15\% | (158) | 32\% | (345) | 15\% | (161) | 24\% | (255) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 24\% | (35) | 38\% | (55) | 14\% | (20) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 21\% | (17) | 20\% | (16) | 38\% | (30) | 12\% | (9) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (41) | 13\% | (65) | 49\% | (250) | 10\% | (51) | 20\% | (99) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (25) | 11\% | (46) | 48\% | (197) | 15\% | (61) | 19\% | (80) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 15\% | (24) | 23\% | (36) | 38\% | (60) | 15\% | (23) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 16\% | (106) | 14\% | (98) | 35\% | (235) | 12\% | (84) | 23\% | (156) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 13\% | (101) | 39\% | (294) | 18\% | (134) | 23\% | (171) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (132) | 23\% | (165) | 38\% | (272) | 10\% | (72) | 12\% | (84) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (83) | 11\% | (105) | 40\% | (376) | 16\% | (150) | 24\% | (220) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (36) | 9\% | (49) | 42\% | (229) | 15\% | (82) | 27\% | (146) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (111) | 19\% | (124) | $31 \%$ | (205) | 14\% | (93) | 18\% | (121) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 23\% | (35) | 22\% | (33) | 32\% | (49) | 12\% | (18) | 12\% | (18) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (15) | 25\% | (45) | 30\% | (54) | $11 \%$ | (19) | 26\% | (46) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 4\% | (5) | 9\% | (11) | 56\% | (64) | 6\% | (7) | 24\% | (28) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 20\% | (27) | 20\% | (28) | 41\% | (58) | 14\% | (19) | 5\% | (7) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $5 \%$ | (22) | 7\% | (37) | 43\% | (213) | 14\% | (70) | $31 \%$ | (154) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (23) | 8\% | (26) | 52\% | (157) | 17\% | (50) | 16\% | (48) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (12) | 10\% | (16) | 49\% | (78) | 17\% | (26) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (48) | 11\% | (44) | $33 \%$ | (128) | 15\% | (57) | 28\% | (105) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | $11 \%$ | (204) | 15\% | (276) | 41\% | (749) | 14\% | (246) | 19\% | (344) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (89) | 22\% | (117) | 34\% | (182) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 15\% | (81) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 10\% | (162) | 12\% | (202) | 42\% | (695) | 15\% | (243) | 22\% | (369) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (58) | 13\% | (99) | 31\% | (243) | 15\% | (120) | 34\% | (267) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 14\% | (189) | 16\% | (217) | 44\% | (588) | 13\% | (172) | 13\% | (170) | 1336 |

[^72]Table MCBR2_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (251) | 15\% | (319) | 40\% | (876) | 14\% | (303) | 20\% | (450) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (38) | 11\% | (53) | 29\% | (138) | 14\% | (69) | 38\% | (179) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (20) | 15\% | (46) | 34\% | (105) | 16\% | (51) | 28\% | (88) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (31) | 21\% | (58) | 39\% | (107) | 14\% | (39) | 14\% | (37) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 15\% | (158) | 15\% | (160) | 45\% | (481) | 13\% | (133) | 13\% | (133) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (63) | 11\% | (86) | $32 \%$ | (253) | 16\% | (123) | 34\% | (265) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (173) | 17\% | (218) | 44\% | (575) | 13\% | (172) | 13\% | (171) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (43) | 9\% | (41) | 30\% | (140) | 14\% | (68) | 38\% | (179) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 14\% | (45) | 35\% | (112) | 17\% | (55) | 27\% | (85) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (25) | 22\% | (48) | 35\% | (76) | 17\% | (36) | 14\% | (31) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $14 \%$ | (148) | 16\% | (170) | 46\% | (499) | 12\% | (136) | 13\% | (140) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (77) | 14\% | (118) | 37\% | (319) | 15\% | (130) | 25\% | (216) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (32) | 10\% | (30) | 36\% | (104) | 14\% | (40) | 28\% | (82) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 17\% | (58) | 20\% | (69) | 44\% | (156) | 11\% | (39) | 8\% | (29) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (16) | 11\% | (30) | 42\% | (111) | 18\% | (49) | 23\% | (60) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (16) | 18\% | (18) | 45\% | (44) | 10\% | (10) | $11 \%$ | (10) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 18\% | (20) | 20\% | (23) | 38\% | (43) | 13\% | (14) | 10\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 19\% | (16) | 19\% | (15) | 31\% | (26) | 14\% | (12) | 16\% | (13) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 11\% | (16) | 11\% | (16) | 52\% | (74) | 6\% | (9) | 19\% | (27) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 18\% | (180) | 20\% | (207) | 41\% | (417) | 11\% | (117) | 10\% | (105) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (31) | 9\% | (61) | 31\% | (204) | 17\% | (112) | 39\% | (258) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 7\% | (6) | 47\% | (40) | 22\% | (19) | 20\% | (17) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (37) | 11\% | (45) | 51\% | (215) | 13\% | (56) | 16\% | (68) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (141) | 20\% | (157) | 39\% | (303) | 11\% | (81) | 12\% | (92) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (30) | 11\% | (60) | 27\% | (148) | 16\% | (88) | 42\% | (232) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (126) | 20\% | (139) | 41\% | (287) | 11\% | (79) | 10\% | (74) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (38) | 10\% | (66) | 29\% | (185) | 18\% | (114) | 38\% | (244) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 14\% | (12) | 46\% | (40) | 16\% | (14) | 22\% | (19) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (86) | 13\% | (98) | 48\% | (365) | 13\% | (97) | 15\% | (113) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR2_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you have a more or less favorable view of the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (251) | 15\% | (319) | 40\% | (876) | 14\% | (303) | 20\% | (450) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 12\% | (143) | 15\% | (183) | $34 \%$ | (414) | 14\% | (169) | 25\% | (308) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 11\% | (109) | 14\% | (137) | 47\% | (462) | 14\% | (135) | 14\% | (142) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (52) | 18\% | (72) | 37\% | (146) | $11 \%$ | (44) | 20\% | (80) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 8\% | (35) | 12\% | (56) | 47\% | (215) | 16\% | (74) | 18\% | (82) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 10\% | (80) | 12\% | (98) | 41\% | (334) | 14\% | (118) | 23\% | (193) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 16\% | (84) | 18\% | (93) | 35\% | (181) | 13\% | (67) | 18\% | (94) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Airlines

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (211) | 14\% | (311) | 46\% | (1014) | 14\% | (317) | 16\% | (347) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (124) | 16\% | (171) | 44\% | (465) | 14\% | (147) | 15\% | (154) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (87) | 12\% | (140) | 48\% | (549) | 15\% | (170) | 17\% | (193) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (91) | 19\% | (122) | 46\% | (301) | 13\% | (87) | 8\% | (54) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (53) | 24\% | (85) | 44\% | (156) | 11\% | (38) | 7\% | (26) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (54) | 10\% | (76) | 46\% | (345) | 15\% | (113) | 22\% | (162) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $3 \%$ | (13) | 7\% | (28) | 49\% | (212) | 18\% | (79) | 24\% | (104) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 11\% | (37) | 15\% | (49) | 49\% | (163) | 15\% | (50) | 10\% | (32) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (100) | 24\% | (142) | $42 \%$ | (250) | $11 \%$ | (68) | 7\% | (40) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 12\% | (65) | 47\% | (250) | 12\% | (64) | 20\% | (104) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $3 \%$ | (20) | 7\% | (47) | 49\% | (322) | 18\% | (120) | 23\% | (149) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (127) | 19\% | (176) | 47\% | (426) | 10\% | (95) | 9\% | (86) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (36) | $9 \%$ | (60) | 54\% | (352) | 17\% | (110) | 14\% | (94) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (47) | 12\% | (74) | 37\% | (236) | 18\% | (112) | 26\% | (167) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (78) | 20\% | (86) | 45\% | (189) | $9 \%$ | (38) | 8\% | (33) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (50) | 19\% | (90) | 49\% | (237) | 12\% | (57) | 11\% | (53) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (16) | 13\% | (38) | 49\% | (150) | 18\% | (54) | 16\% | (47) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (20) | 6\% | (22) | 58\% | (202) | 16\% | (56) | 13\% | (46) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (30) | 14\% | (47) | $38 \%$ | (126) | 17\% | (55) | 22\% | (74) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (17) | $9 \%$ | (27) | $36 \%$ | (110) | 19\% | (57) | 31\% | (93) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 17\% | (109) | 20\% | (129) | 42\% | (272) | 12\% | (80) | 10\% | (64) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (46) | 14\% | (89) | 53\% | (343) | 12\% | (80) | 13\% | (87) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (43) | 10\% | (65) | 38\% | (254) | 19\% | (127) | 26\% | (176) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (111) | 12\% | (175) | 50\% | (761) | 14\% | (218) | 16\% | (248) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (50) | 18\% | (81) | 39\% | (173) | 16\% | (72) | 15\% | (68) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (50) | 23\% | (56) | 33\% | (80) | 11\% | (27) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (88) | $11 \%$ | (118) | 53\% | (596) | 13\% | (150) | 15\% | (169) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (58) | 17\% | (114) | 43\% | (290) | 17\% | (112) | 16\% | (107) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (65) | 20\% | (79) | 32\% | (128) | 14\% | (54) | 18\% | (71) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (151) | 13\% | (218) | 47\% | (803) | 15\% | (255) | 17\% | (295) | 1722 |

[^73]Table MCBR3_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Airlines

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (211) | 14\% | (311) | 46\% | (1014) | 14\% | (317) | 16\% | (347) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (48) | 22\% | (76) | 40\% | (141) | 14\% | (48) | 10\% | (36) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (44) | 24\% | (65) | 41\% | (113) | 8\% | (22) | 11\% | (31) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 8\% | (16) | 14\% | (28) | 48\% | (98) | 19\% | (39) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (116) | 15\% | (164) | 39\% | (415) | 15\% | (163) | 19\% | (206) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 21\% | (30) | 47\% | (66) | 9\% | (12) | 7\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 10\% | (8) | 19\% | (15) | 50\% | (40) | 11\% | (9) | 9\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (37) | 10\% | (50) | 57\% | (291) | 11\% | (58) | 14\% | (71) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (26) | 13\% | (52) | 50\% | (202) | 18\% | (75) | 13\% | (52) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 15\% | (24) | 20\% | (32) | 46\% | (72) | 8\% | (12) | 11\% | (18) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (96) | 15\% | (103) | 39\% | (263) | 15\% | (105) | 16\% | (111) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (44) | 13\% | (102) | 45\% | (345) | 17\% | (132) | 18\% | (137) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (115) | 24\% | (173) | 41\% | (300) | 10\% | (69) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (66) | 10\% | (94) | 48\% | (450) | 16\% | (152) | 18\% | (173) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (30) | 8\% | (45) | 49\% | (264) | 18\% | (96) | 20\% | (106) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (89) | 19\% | (125) | 39\% | (257) | 14\% | (92) | 14\% | (92) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 21\% | (33) | 25\% | (39) | $31 \%$ | (47) | 14\% | (21) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (21) | 13\% | (23) | 45\% | (81) | 13\% | (23) | 17\% | (30) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 3\% | (4) | 10\% | (12) | 62\% | (72) | 9\% | (10) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (17) | 26\% | (37) | 40\% | (56) | 15\% | (21) | 7\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 5\% | (26) | 50\% | (250) | 16\% | (78) | 26\% | (127) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (22) | 9\% | (28) | 56\% | (170) | 16\% | (48) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (11) | 13\% | (21) | 52\% | (82) | 15\% | (24) | 13\% | (21) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (40) | 11\% | (42) | 40\% | (154) | 18\% | (70) | 19\% | (74) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (171) | 15\% | (269) | 47\% | (860) | 14\% | (247) | 15\% | (273) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (92) | 21\% | (112) | 38\% | (203) | 10\% | (54) | 13\% | (68) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (119) | 12\% | (199) | 49\% | (812) | 16\% | (263) | 17\% | (279) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (75) | 13\% | (105) | 36\% | (283) | 16\% | (125) | 25\% | (200) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (128) | 15\% | (202) | 51\% | (686) | 13\% | (178) | 11\% | (141) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Airlines

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (211) | 14\% | (311) | 46\% | (1014) | 14\% | (317) | 16\% | (347) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (52) | 12\% | (59) | 32\% | (152) | 15\% | (73) | 30\% | (140) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 15\% | (46) | 42\% | (131) | 17\% | (52) | 19\% | (59) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (25) | 19\% | (52) | 43\% | (117) | 18\% | (48) | 11\% | (28) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (104) | 14\% | (150) | 53\% | (569) | 12\% | (130) | 11\% | (112) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (78) | 13\% | (100) | 36\% | (281) | 17\% | (134) | 25\% | (198) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (125) | 15\% | (190) | 52\% | (676) | 13\% | (176) | 11\% | (140) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (51) | 10\% | (46) | 33\% | (154) | 16\% | (76) | 30\% | (144) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 17\% | (53) | 40\% | (127) | 18\% | (58) | 17\% | (54) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 16\% | (34) | 45\% | (97) | 16\% | (34) | 13\% | (28) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (104) | 14\% | (156) | 53\% | (579) | 13\% | (142) | 10\% | (112) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (71) | 13\% | (114) | 44\% | (381) | 16\% | (140) | 18\% | (153) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 15\% | (44) | 39\% | (112) | 13\% | (37) | 24\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (52) | 15\% | (52) | 53\% | (185) | 11\% | (40) | 6\% | (23) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | 11\% | (31) | 47\% | (124) | 16\% | (43) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (14) | 13\% | (12) | 48\% | (47) | 17\% | (16) | 8\% | (7) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (11) | 22\% | (24) | 40\% | (44) | 18\% | (20) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (8) | 25\% | (21) | 46\% | (38) | 8\% | (7) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (9) | 9\% | (12) | 58\% | (82) | 10\% | (14) | 16\% | (23) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 13\% | (132) | 19\% | (199) | 47\% | (487) | 11\% | (116) | 9\% | (92) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 10\% | (64) | 37\% | (244) | 20\% | (133) | 28\% | (190) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 9\% | (8) | 60\% | (51) | 22\% | (19) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (44) | 10\% | (41) | 55\% | (231) | 11\% | (48) | 14\% | (57) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 12\% | (94) | 19\% | (146) | 47\% | (364) | 12\% | (94) | 10\% | (77) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (40) | 10\% | (53) | 33\% | (185) | 18\% | (102) | 32\% | (177) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (93) | 17\% | (123) | 49\% | (342) | 12\% | (85) | 9\% | (61) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (42) | 10\% | (66) | 35\% | (228) | 20\% | (128) | 28\% | (183) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 10\% | (9) | 54\% | (47) | 17\% | (15) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (70) | 15\% | (112) | $52 \%$ | (398) | 12\% | (89) | 12\% | (90) | 759 |

[^74]Table MCBR3_1: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Airlines

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  | Somewhat <br> more likely |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  | Much less likely | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $10 \%$ | $(211)$ | $14 \%$ | $(311)$ | $46 \%$ | $(1014)$ | $14 \%$ | $(317)$ | $16 \%$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $10 \%$ | $(121)$ | $14 \%$ | $(167)$ | $42 \%$ | $(514)$ | $15 \%$ | $(178)$ | $19 \%$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $9 \%$ | $(90)$ | $15 \%$ | $(144)$ | $51 \%$ | $(500)$ | $14 \%$ | $(139)$ | $11 \%$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $12 \%$ | $(47)$ | $19 \%$ | $(73)$ | $42 \%$ | $(167)$ | $11 \%$ | $(44)$ | $16 \%$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $7 \%$ | $(30)$ | $11 \%$ | $(53)$ | $51 \%$ | $(234)$ | $17 \%$ | $(79)$ | $14 \%$ |
| 4-Region: South | $9 \%$ | $(77)$ | $12 \%$ | $(99)$ | $46 \%$ | $(380)$ | $15 \%$ | $(67)$ | $(121)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | $(57)$ | $17 \%$ | $(86)$ | $45 \%$ | $(232)$ | $14 \%$ | $(74)$ | $14 \%$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (201) | 13\% | (275) | 49\% | (1083) | $14 \%$ | (308) | 15\% | (332) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (120) | 14\% | (149) | 47\% | (504) | 13\% | (135) | 14\% | (154) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (81) | 11\% | (126) | 51\% | (579) | 15\% | (173) | 16\% | (179) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 13\% | (87) | 14\% | (90) | 52\% | (342) | 13\% | (82) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (54) | 23\% | (82) | 46\% | (165) | 9\% | (31) | 7\% | (26) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (44) | 10\% | (78) | 48\% | (362) | 16\% | (117) | 20\% | (150) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 6\% | (25) | 49\% | (214) | 18\% | (78) | $24 \%$ | (103) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 9\% | (28) | 12\% | (38) | 58\% | (193) | 12\% | (40) | 9\% | (31) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (107) | 20\% | (118) | 45\% | (271) | $11 \%$ | (65) | 6\% | (38) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (33) | 14\% | (76) | 49\% | (258) | 13\% | (70) | 18\% | (93) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | $4 \%$ | (24) | 6\% | (40) | 50\% | (330) | 18\% | (121) | $22 \%$ | (145) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (124) | 17\% | (154) | 51\% | (463) | 10\% | (88) | 9\% | (83) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | 9\% | (56) | $54 \%$ | (351) | 16\% | (106) | 14\% | (94) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (33) | 10\% | (65) | 42\% | (269) | 18\% | (114) | $24 \%$ | (156) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (77) | 19\% | (80) | 46\% | (195) | 9\% | (38) | 8\% | (35) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (47) | 15\% | (74) | 55\% | (268) | 10\% | (51) | 10\% | (48) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (22) | $11 \%$ | (33) | $51 \%$ | (155) | 15\% | (47) | 16\% | (48) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (22) | 7\% | (23) | 57\% | (196) | 17\% | (60) | 13\% | (46) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (21) | 11\% | (36) | 46\% | (153) | 15\% | (51) | 21\% | (71) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (12) | 9\% | (29) | 38\% | (116) | $21 \%$ | (63) | 28\% | (85) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (106) | 18\% | (120) | 45\% | (297) | $11 \%$ | (72) | 9\% | (59) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (37) | 13\% | (81) | 56\% | (359) | 13\% | (85) | 13\% | (82) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (47) | 8\% | (53) | 41\% | (273) | 19\% | (126) | 25\% | (165) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (99) | 10\% | (156) | 53\% | (804) | $14 \%$ | (215) | 16\% | (239) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (56) | 14\% | (62) | 43\% | (191) | 16\% | (71) | 14\% | (64) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 23\% | (57) | $36 \%$ | (88) | 9\% | (23) | 12\% | (30) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (78) | 10\% | (114) | 55\% | (616) | 14\% | (155) | 14\% | (159) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (62) | 12\% | (84) | 46\% | (316) | 16\% | (110) | 16\% | (109) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (61) | 20\% | (78) | 38\% | (152) | $11 \%$ | (44) | 16\% | (64) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (138) | 12\% | (205) | 49\% | (846) | 15\% | (252) | 16\% | (280) | 1722 |

[^75]Table MCBR3_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (201) | 13\% | (275) | 49\% | (1083) | 14\% | (308) | 15\% | (332) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (44) | 15\% | (51) | 52\% | (180) | 9\% | (32) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (43) | 17\% | (45) | 47\% | (130) | 12\% | (32) | 9\% | (24) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (20) | 12\% | (25) | 53\% | (107) | 12\% | (24) | 14\% | (28) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (109) | 14\% | (148) | 42\% | (447) | 15\% | (158) | 19\% | (202) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 19\% | (27) | 49\% | (69) | 9\% | (13) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 10\% | (8) | 16\% | (13) | 58\% | (46) | 8\% | (6) | 8\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (30) | 9\% | (45) | 60\% | (303) | 12\% | (58) | 14\% | (68) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (28) | 10\% | (41) | 53\% | (218) | 18\% | (73) | 12\% | (49) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (26) | 17\% | (27) | 47\% | (74) | 10\% | (15) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (84) | 16\% | (107) | 41\% | (278) | 15\% | (101) | 16\% | (108) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (50) | 10\% | (77) | 49\% | (375) | 16\% | (125) | 17\% | (132) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (122) | 19\% | (135) | 45\% | (325) | 10\% | (73) | 10\% | (70) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (54) | 10\% | (91) | 51\% | (478) | 15\% | (144) | 18\% | (167) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (25) | 9\% | (49) | 52\% | (280) | 17\% | (91) | 18\% | (96) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (84) | 16\% | (105) | 43\% | (284) | 15\% | (100) | 12\% | (81) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (27) | 21\% | (32) | 38\% | (58) | 16\% | (24) | 8\% | (13) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 9\% | (17) | 17\% | (31) | 46\% | (82) | 12\% | (22) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (5) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 61\% | (70) | $11 \%$ | (13) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 8\% | (11) | 16\% | (23) | 58\% | (81) | 8\% | (12) | 9\% | (13) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (13) | 8\% | (40) | 49\% | (246) | 15\% | (73) | 25\% | (125) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (34) | 6\% | (19) | 56\% | (170) | 14\% | (43) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 9\% | (14) | 59\% | (93) | 14\% | (22) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (39) | 11\% | (43) | 40\% | (152) | 17\% | (67) | 21\% | (81) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (162) | 13\% | (232) | 51\% | (932) | 13\% | (242) | 14\% | (251) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (91) | 17\% | (91) | 42\% | (224) | 10\% | (55) | 13\% | (68) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (110) | 11\% | (184) | 51\% | (859) | 15\% | (254) | 16\% | (264) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (64) | 11\% | (83) | 40\% | (316) | 16\% | (129) | 25\% | (194) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (130) | 14\% | (189) | 54\% | (719) | 12\% | (167) | 10\% | (132) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (201) | 13\% | (275) | 49\% | (1083) | 14\% | (308) | 15\% | (332) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (48) | 9\% | (44) | 37\% | (177) | 15\% | (72) | 29\% | (136) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (16) | 13\% | (40) | 45\% | (139) | 19\% | (58) | 19\% | (58) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (20) | 20\% | (55) | 44\% | (120) | 18\% | (49) | 10\% | (28) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (110) | 13\% | (134) | 56\% | (599) | 11\% | (118) | 10\% | (104) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (59) | 12\% | (91) | 39\% | (310) | 18\% | (139) | 24\% | (190) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (130) | 14\% | (178) | 54\% | (708) | 12\% | (158) | 10\% | (134) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (41) | 10\% | (45) | 36\% | (170) | 17\% | (79) | 29\% | (137) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (18) | 14\% | (46) | 44\% | (141) | 19\% | (60) | 17\% | (54) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (23) | 17\% | (38) | 44\% | (95) | 18\% | (38) | 11\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (107) | 13\% | (141) | 56\% | (614) | 11\% | (119) | 10\% | (111) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (70) | 12\% | (101) | 46\% | (395) | 17\% | (144) | 17\% | (150) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (27) | 10\% | (30) | 42\% | (121) | 16\% | (46) | 23\% | (65) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (47) | 16\% | (56) | 55\% | (195) | 10\% | (36) | 5\% | (17) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | 10\% | (28) | 48\% | (128) | 14\% | (36) | 20\% | (53) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (12) | 11\% | (11) | 61\% | (59) | 10\% | (10) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (11) | 19\% | (21) | 47\% | (52) | 13\% | (15) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (8) | 15\% | (13) | 57\% | (47) | 6\% | (5) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 4\% | (6) | 10\% | (14) | 61\% | (86) | 12\% | (17) | 13\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (144) | 17\% | (171) | $51 \%$ | (522) | 9\% | (96) | 9\% | (91) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (30) | 8\% | (51) | 40\% | (267) | 21\% | (138) | 27\% | (180) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 5\% | (4) | 61\% | (52) | 24\% | (20) | 9\% | (8) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (27) | 11\% | (48) | 57\% | (241) | 12\% | (52) | 12\% | (52) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (103) | 17\% | (128) | 49\% | (378) | 11\% | (87) | 10\% | (78) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (29) | 10\% | (54) | 36\% | (203) | 18\% | (102) | 30\% | (169) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (95) | 16\% | (112) | $52 \%$ | (367) | 10\% | (72) | 8\% | (58) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (40) | 9\% | (57) | 38\% | (247) | 20\% | (126) | 27\% | (176) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 11\% | (9) | 55\% | (47) | 18\% | (15) | 17\% | (15) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (66) | 13\% | (96) | 55\% | (419) | 12\% | (94) | 11\% | (83) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_2: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Automotive brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more likely |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 11\% | (238) | 53\% | (1163) | 12\% | (262) | 16\% | (360) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (114) | 13\% | (134) | 51\% | (539) | 10\% | (107) | 16\% | (168) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (63) | 9\% | (104) | 55\% | (624) | 14\% | (155) | 17\% | (192) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (77) | 16\% | (103) | 51\% | (336) | 11\% | (69) | 11\% | (70) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (59) | 18\% | (64) | 50\% | (180) | 7\% | (25) | 8\% | (29) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (36) | 8\% | (60) | 52\% | (394) | 13\% | (97) | 22\% | (164) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 1\% | (5) | 3\% | (11) | 58\% | (253) | 16\% | (71) | 22\% | (95) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 6\% | (21) | 16\% | (51) | 54\% | (180) | 13\% | (41) | 11\% | (37) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (107) | 17\% | (103) | 48\% | (288) | 8\% | (49) | 9\% | (52) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (33) | 12\% | (61) | 53\% | (280) | 10\% | (51) | 20\% | (106) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 2\% | (14) | 3\% | (18) | 57\% | (375) | 17\% | (111) | 21\% | (141) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (111) | 15\% | (141) | 53\% | (483) | 9\% | (82) | 10\% | (95) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | 7\% | (43) | 57\% | (372) | 15\% | (100) | 15\% | (99) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 4\% | (28) | 8\% | (54) | 48\% | (308) | 13\% | (80) | 26\% | (166) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (75) | 17\% | (74) | 48\% | (203) | 8\% | (32) | 9\% | (40) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (37) | 14\% | (67) | 57\% | (280) | 10\% | (50) | $11 \%$ | (55) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (21) | 7\% | (23) | 55\% | (168) | 12\% | (38) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (17) | 6\% | (20) | 59\% | (204) | 18\% | (62) | 12\% | (43) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (18) | 11\% | (37) | 51\% | (169) | $11 \%$ | (36) | 21\% | (71) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (10) | 6\% | (17) | 46\% | (139) | 14\% | (44) | 31\% | (95) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (89) | 15\% | (97) | 52\% | (338) | 10\% | (65) | 10\% | (66) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (39) | 10\% | (65) | 58\% | (375) | 11\% | (68) | 15\% | (97) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (40) | 8\% | (54) | 45\% | (298) | 16\% | (104) | 25\% | (167) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 5\% | (81) | 8\% | (126) | 58\% | (876) | 11\% | (174) | 17\% | (256) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (51) | 13\% | (58) | 45\% | (198) | 15\% | (68) | 16\% | (69) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (45) | 22\% | (54) | 37\% | (89) | 8\% | (20) | 14\% | (35) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (61) | 8\% | (88) | 60\% | (675) | $11 \%$ | (124) | 15\% | (174) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (54) | 12\% | (80) | 49\% | (336) | 14\% | (96) | 17\% | (115) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (63) | 18\% | (71) | 38\% | (152) | $11 \%$ | (42) | 18\% | (71) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (129) | 10\% | (167) | 53\% | (914) | 12\% | (212) | 17\% | (299) | 1722 |

[^76]Table MCBR3_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 11\% | (238) | 53\% | (1163) | 12\% | (262) | 16\% | (360) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (41) | 16\% | (54) | 49\% | (170) | 11\% | (37) | 14\% | (47) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (32) | 18\% | (49) | 51\% | (140) | 6\% | (16) | 13\% | (37) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 8\% | (16) | 11\% | (22) | 53\% | (109) | 17\% | (34) | $11 \%$ | (23) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (101) | $11 \%$ | (120) | 46\% | (492) | 14\% | (147) | 19\% | (204) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (25) | 17\% | (24) | 48\% | (68) | 10\% | (14) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 3\% | (3) | 12\% | (10) | 66\% | (53) | 9\% | (7) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (27) | 8\% | (41) | 63\% | (320) | 9\% | (45) | 14\% | (73) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (21) | 10\% | (43) | 57\% | (231) | 12\% | (49) | 16\% | (65) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (26) | 15\% | (24) | 47\% | (73) | 10\% | (16) | 11\% | (18) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (85) | 14\% | (96) | 43\% | (294) | 13\% | (91) | 17\% | (113) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (35) | 8\% | (62) | 55\% | (417) | 13\% | (102) | 19\% | (145) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (107) | 19\% | (138) | 47\% | (343) | 9\% | (63) | 10\% | (73) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 5\% | (50) | 7\% | (70) | 54\% | (505) | 14\% | (127) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $4 \%$ | (21) | 6\% | (30) | 58\% | (315) | 13\% | (72) | 19\% | (103) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | $14 \%$ | (91) | 15\% | (99) | 44\% | (290) | 11\% | (72) | 16\% | (102) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (29) | 18\% | (28) | 37\% | (57) | 15\% | (23) | 11\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (13) | 14\% | (25) | 50\% | (90) | 10\% | (18) | 18\% | (33) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 3\% | (4) | 8\% | (9) | 64\% | (73) | $11 \%$ | (13) | 14\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 6\% | (8) | 10\% | (14) | 62\% | (87) | 10\% | (14) | 12\% | (17) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $2 \%$ | (9) | 2\% | (11) | 60\% | (297) | 13\% | (65) | 23\% | (115) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (18) | 9\% | (28) | 61\% | (185) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 4\% | (7) | 15\% | (24) | 53\% | (83) | 15\% | (23) | 13\% | (21) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (39) | 7\% | (26) | 47\% | (180) | 17\% | (63) | 19\% | (74) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (138) | 12\% | (212) | 54\% | (983) | $11 \%$ | (199) | 16\% | (286) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 15\% | (80) | 16\% | (87) | 47\% | (248) | 8\% | (44) | 13\% | (69) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (97) | 9\% | (151) | 55\% | (915) | 13\% | (218) | 17\% | (291) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (63) | 10\% | (82) | 43\% | (342) | 13\% | (99) | 26\% | (201) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (106) | 11\% | (151) | 58\% | (779) | $11 \%$ | (150) | $11 \%$ | (151) | 1336 |

[^77]Table MCBR3_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | $11 \%$ | (238) | 53\% | (1163) | 12\% | (262) | 16\% | (360) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (43) | 9\% | (44) | 42\% | (199) | $11 \%$ | (53) | 29\% | (138) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (20) | 12\% | (38) | 46\% | (143) | 15\% | (46) | 20\% | (64) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (23) | 16\% | (44) | 51\% | (138) | 14\% | (38) | 10\% | (28) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 8\% | (83) | 10\% | (107) | 60\% | (641) | 10\% | (111) | 12\% | (123) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (66) | 10\% | (78) | 43\% | (339) | 14\% | (108) | 25\% | (199) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (101) | 11\% | (148) | 58\% | (760) | $11 \%$ | (147) | 12\% | (151) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (42) | 9\% | (40) | 40\% | (189) | 12\% | (58) | 30\% | (142) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (24) | 12\% | (38) | 47\% | (150) | 16\% | (50) | 18\% | (57) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (23) | 12\% | (27) | 49\% | (107) | 14\% | (31) | 13\% | (28) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (78) | 11\% | (121) | 60\% | (653) | $11 \%$ | (117) | 11\% | (123) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (62) | 9\% | (80) | 51\% | (439) | 14\% | (124) | 18\% | (156) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (22) | 13\% | (37) | 44\% | (128) | 12\% | (35) | 23\% | (67) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (45) | 10\% | (34) | 60\% | (213) | 10\% | (35) | 7\% | (24) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $4 \%$ | (10) | 8\% | (22) | 55\% | (147) | 14\% | (37) | 19\% | (51) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 15\% | (14) | 55\% | (53) | 11\% | (11) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (16) | 18\% | (20) | 45\% | (50) | 7\% | (8) | 16\% | (18) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 6\% | (5) | 19\% | (16) | 51\% | (42) | 8\% | (7) | 15\% | (13) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 3\% | (5) | 10\% | (14) | 65\% | (91) | 5\% | (6) | 18\% | (25) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 12\% | (123) | 16\% | (159) | 53\% | (543) | 10\% | (98) | 10\% | (101) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 4\% | (28) | 6\% | (42) | 46\% | (309) | 16\% | (104) | 28\% | (184) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 4\% | (4) | 65\% | (55) | 16\% | (13) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (24) | 8\% | (34) | 60\% | (254) | 11\% | (46) | 15\% | (64) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (99) | 14\% | (106) | 53\% | (407) | 10\% | (78) | 11\% | (84) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (29) | 8\% | (43) | 42\% | (237) | 15\% | (82) | 30\% | (168) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (88) | 14\% | (101) | 55\% | (389) | 10\% | (67) | 8\% | (59) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (40) | 7\% | (45) | 43\% | (281) | 15\% | (96) | 29\% | (184) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 2\% | (2) | 65\% | (56) | 12\% | (10) | 18\% | (16) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (46) | 11\% | (86) | 58\% | (437) | 12\% | (89) | 13\% | (101) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_3: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Baby food brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (177) | 11\% | (238) | 53\% | (1163) | 12\% | (262) | 16\% | (360) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | (108) | 11\% | (128) | 49\% | (593) | 13\% | (154) | 19\% | (233) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 7\% | (70) | 11\% | (110) | 58\% | (570) | $11 \%$ | (108) | 13\% | (126) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (47) | 17\% | (65) | 47\% | (184) | 9\% | (34) | 16\% | (63) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 7\% | (34) | 7\% | (30) | 57\% | (265) | 13\% | (61) | 15\% | (71) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 6\% | (46) | 9\% | (75) | 54\% | (444) | 14\% | (116) | 17\% | (143) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 9\% | (49) | 13\% | (67) | 52\% | (270) | 10\% | (51) | 16\% | (83) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (242) | 15\% | (337) | 45\% | (982) | 14\% | (306) | 15\% | (333) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (152) | 18\% | (187) | 43\% | (453) | 12\% | (123) | 14\% | (147) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (90) | 13\% | (150) | 46\% | (529) | 16\% | (183) | 16\% | (187) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (95) | 23\% | (153) | 44\% | (285) | 11\% | (71) | 8\% | (51) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | $21 \%$ | (77) | 44\% | (156) | 10\% | (35) | 6\% | (21) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (61) | 9\% | (71) | 45\% | (340) | 16\% | (117) | 22\% | (162) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (18) | 8\% | (37) | 46\% | (201) | 19\% | (82) | 23\% | (99) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 9\% | (30) | 22\% | (74) | 46\% | (151) | 14\% | (47) | 9\% | (29) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 21\% | (125) | 23\% | (138) | 42\% | (251) | 9\% | (51) | 6\% | (34) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 10\% | (53) | 13\% | (71) | 45\% | (238) | 13\% | (69) | 19\% | (101) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (27) | 7\% | (49) | 48\% | (314) | 19\% | (124) | 22\% | (145) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 17\% | (158) | 20\% | (181) | 45\% | (415) | 9\% | (80) | 9\% | (79) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | 13\% | (88) | 48\% | (316) | 17\% | (110) | 14\% | (94) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 11\% | (68) | 40\% | (251) | 18\% | (116) | 25\% | (160) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 24\% | (101) | 20\% | (86) | 42\% | (180) | 7\% | (30) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (57) | 19\% | (95) | 48\% | (235) | 10\% | (50) | 11\% | (52) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (20) | 18\% | (56) | 45\% | (138) | 14\% | (42) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (24) | 9\% | (32) | $51 \%$ | (178) | 20\% | (68) | 13\% | (44) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (32) | 14\% | (45) | 41\% | (135) | 15\% | (51) | 21\% | (69) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (9) | 7\% | (23) | 38\% | (117) | 21\% | (65) | 30\% | (91) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (118) | 23\% | (150) | 38\% | (248) | 12\% | (76) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (59) | 14\% | (91) | 53\% | (340) | 11\% | (70) | 13\% | (84) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (49) | $11 \%$ | (72) | 38\% | (250) | 19\% | (125) | 25\% | (168) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (124) | 14\% | (210) | 49\% | (743) | 13\% | (198) | 16\% | (238) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (59) | 17\% | (74) | 37\% | (165) | 18\% | (80) | 15\% | (66) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 24\% | (60) | 22\% | (53) | 30\% | (74) | 11\% | (28) | 12\% | (30) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (90) | 14\% | (153) | 52\% | (580) | 13\% | (144) | 14\% | (154) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 11\% | (78) | 15\% | (100) | 41\% | (280) | 16\% | (109) | 17\% | (114) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (74) | 21\% | (84) | $31 \%$ | (122) | 13\% | (53) | 16\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (175) | 14\% | (233) | 45\% | (778) | 14\% | (249) | 17\% | (286) | 1722 |

[^78]Table MCBR3_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| more likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table MCBR3_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (242) | 15\% | (337) | 45\% | (982) | 14\% | (306) | 15\% | (333) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (55) | 10\% | (47) | 35\% | (166) | 16\% | (76) | 28\% | (131) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 17\% | (53) | 37\% | (116) | 18\% | (57) | 20\% | (63) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (29) | 26\% | (71) | 37\% | (101) | 16\% | (42) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (127) | 15\% | (157) | 52\% | (556) | $11 \%$ | (120) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (79) | 13\% | (102) | 35\% | (279) | 17\% | (136) | 24\% | (193) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (145) | 17\% | (217) | 50\% | (652) | 12\% | (163) | 10\% | (131) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (49) | 10\% | (49) | 33\% | (158) | 18\% | (83) | 28\% | (133) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (30) | 17\% | (53) | 38\% | (121) | 16\% | (52) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (27) | 16\% | (35) | 41\% | (89) | 20\% | (42) | 11\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (118) | 17\% | (182) | 52\% | (563) | $11 \%$ | (121) | 10\% | (108) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (87) | 14\% | (124) | 42\% | (361) | 16\% | (141) | 17\% | (147) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 16\% | (46) | 34\% | (99) | 17\% | (48) | 24\% | (70) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (56) | 16\% | (57) | $52 \%$ | (184) | 9\% | (33) | 7\% | (23) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (25) | 12\% | (32) | 47\% | (126) | 15\% | (40) | 16\% | (44) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 11\% | (11) | 20\% | (19) | 51\% | (50) | 12\% | (12) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 16\% | (18) | 17\% | (19) | 45\% | (50) | 10\% | (11) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 14\% | (11) | 18\% | (15) | 47\% | (39) | 9\% | (8) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (8) | 18\% | (26) | 52\% | (74) | 9\% | (13) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (172) | 20\% | (201) | 45\% | (460) | 10\% | (105) | 8\% | (87) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (36) | 10\% | (65) | 37\% | (245) | 21\% | (138) | 27\% | (183) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 10\% | (8) | 57\% | (48) | 18\% | (16) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (32) | 15\% | (63) | 54\% | (228) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 17\% | (134) | 17\% | (133) | 45\% | (348) | 10\% | (80) | 10\% | (80) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (44) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 33\% | (186) | 18\% | (103) | 30\% | (166) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (125) | 17\% | (121) | 46\% | (325) | $11 \%$ | (76) | 8\% | (57) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (48) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 35\% | (225) | 19\% | (124) | 28\% | (179) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 9\% | (8) | 54\% | (47) | 15\% | (13) | 21\% | (18) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (67) | 18\% | (135) | 51\% | (385) | 12\% | (93) | 10\% | (79) | 759 |

[^79]Table MCBR3_4: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Banks, credit cards or other financial institutions

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely | Much less likely | Total N |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | $(242)$ | $15 \%$ | $(337)$ | $45 \%$ | $(982)$ | $14 \%$ | $(306)$ | $15 \%$ | $(333)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $12 \%$ | $(146)$ | $14 \%$ | $(176)$ | $40 \%$ | $(492)$ | $14 \%$ | $(172)$ | $19 \%$ | $(230)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(96)$ | $16 \%$ | $(161)$ | $50 \%$ | $(490)$ | $14 \%$ | $(134)$ | $11 \%$ | $(103)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $15 \%$ | $(59)$ | $18 \%$ | $(70)$ | $40 \%$ | $(156)$ | $11 \%$ | $(44)$ | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $8 \%$ | $(37)$ | $15 \%$ | $(67)$ | $48 \%$ | $(224)$ | $15 \%$ | $(68)$ | $14 \%$ | $(66)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $8 \%$ | $(69)$ | $14 \%$ | $(113)$ | $46 \%$ | $(378)$ | $16 \%$ | $(131)$ | $16 \%$ | $(134)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ | $17 \%$ | $(87)$ | $43 \%$ | $(224)$ | $12 \%$ | $(63)$ | $13 \%$ | $(70)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (223) | 15\% | (337) | 46\% | (1013) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (128) | 18\% | (186) | 44\% | (469) | 12\% | (130) | 14\% | (149) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (95) | 13\% | (150) | 48\% | (544) | 15\% | (175) | 15\% | (174) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (103) | 20\% | (130) | $41 \%$ | (271) | 14\% | (94) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (54) | 25\% | (89) | 44\% | (157) | 8\% | (30) | 8\% | (28) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (50) | 12\% | (89) | 48\% | (362) | 14\% | (105) | 19\% | (144) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (16) | 7\% | (29) | 51\% | (223) | 17\% | (76) | $21 \%$ | (93) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (42) | 19\% | (63) | 42\% | (140) | 15\% | (51) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (109) | 23\% | (138) | 40\% | (242) | $11 \%$ | (68) | 7\% | (43) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (43) | 16\% | (85) | 48\% | (255) | $11 \%$ | (58) | 17\% | (90) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (24) | 7\% | (44) | $52 \%$ | (345) | 17\% | (113) | 20\% | (133) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (137) | 23\% | (209) | 44\% | (403) | 9\% | (85) | 9\% | (79) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 50\% | (328) | 18\% | (115) | 14\% | (92) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | $9 \%$ | (54) | 44\% | (282) | 16\% | (104) | $24 \%$ | (152) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 19\% | (80) | 26\% | (108) | $41 \%$ | (172) | 8\% | (34) | 7\% | (30) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (57) | $21 \%$ | (100) | 47\% | (230) | $11 \%$ | (51) | 10\% | (49) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (19) | $14 \%$ | (42) | 47\% | (143) | 17\% | (51) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | $9 \%$ | (32) | 53\% | (185) | 19\% | (64) | 12\% | (42) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (29) | $11 \%$ | (36) | 46\% | (154) | 13\% | (45) | $21 \%$ | (69) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (15) | 6\% | (18) | $42 \%$ | (128) | 20\% | (59) | $27 \%$ | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (116) | 25\% | (161) | 40\% | (259) | 8\% | (55) | 10\% | (63) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (37) | 13\% | (86) | 54\% | (346) | 15\% | (94) | 13\% | (81) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (50) | 10\% | (66) | $41 \%$ | (269) | 18\% | (123) | 24\% | (156) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (127) | 13\% | (202) | 50\% | (750) | 14\% | (208) | 15\% | (225) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (53) | 16\% | (71) | 41\% | (180) | 16\% | (72) | 15\% | (67) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 17\% | (43) | 26\% | (63) | $34 \%$ | (83) | 10\% | (24) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (97) | 14\% | (159) | 51\% | (570) | 13\% | (149) | 13\% | (146) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 10\% | (65) | 13\% | (92) | 45\% | (303) | 16\% | (110) | 16\% | (111) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (61) | 22\% | (86) | 35\% | (140) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 16\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (151) | $14 \%$ | (236) | 47\% | (817) | 14\% | (243) | 16\% | (274) | 1722 |

[^80]Table MCBR3_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (223) | 15\% | (337) | 46\% | (1013) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (49) | 23\% | (80) | 38\% | (134) | 13\% | (45) | 12\% | (41) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 18\% | (49) | 22\% | (60) | 40\% | (111) | 11\% | (30) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (23) | 20\% | (41) | 42\% | (85) | 15\% | (31) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (122) | 16\% | (167) | 40\% | (428) | 15\% | (156) | 18\% | (192) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 19\% | (28) | 50\% | (72) | 8\% | (11) | 5\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (10) | 25\% | (20) | 47\% | (37) | 10\% | (8) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (39) | 14\% | (69) | 53\% | (267) | 12\% | (58) | 14\% | (72) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (27) | 13\% | (53) | 51\% | (209) | 18\% | (72) | 11\% | (47) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (25) | 20\% | (31) | 49\% | (77) | 8\% | (12) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (90) | 17\% | (116) | 41\% | (275) | 15\% | (98) | 14\% | (98) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (57) | 12\% | (93) | 46\% | (350) | 17\% | (127) | 17\% | (133) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (122) | 23\% | (166) | 42\% | (305) | 9\% | (63) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (71) | 11\% | (103) | 48\% | (444) | 17\% | (154) | 17\% | (161) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (29) | 12\% | (67) | 49\% | (264) | 16\% | (87) | 17\% | (93) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (93) | 19\% | (123) | 40\% | (260) | 14\% | (94) | 13\% | (85) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (30) | 21\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (48) | 16\% | (25) | 12\% | (19) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (12) | 20\% | (36) | 41\% | (74) | 15\% | (27) | 16\% | (29) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (9) | 9\% | (11) | 59\% | (68) | 13\% | (15) | 11\% | (13) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 13\% | (18) | 29\% | (41) | 44\% | (61) | 7\% | (10) | 7\% | (10) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $4 \%$ | (21) | 7\% | (35) | 52\% | (258) | 15\% | (72) | 22\% | (109) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (28) | 14\% | (42) | 54\% | (163) | 11\% | (32) | 13\% | (40) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (13) | 10\% | (17) | 51\% | (81) | 19\% | (29) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | $11 \%$ | (42) | 42\% | (159) | 17\% | (65) | $21 \%$ | (79) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (186) | 16\% | (295) | 47\% | (854) | 13\% | (240) | 13\% | (244) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (93) | 21\% | (112) | 41\% | (216) | 9\% | (46) | 12\% | (61) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (130) | 13\% | (225) | 48\% | (797) | 15\% | (258) | 16\% | (262) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (72) | 10\% | (82) | 40\% | (315) | 16\% | (127) | 24\% | (189) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (140) | 19\% | (250) | 49\% | (654) | 12\% | (165) | 10\% | (127) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (223) | 15\% | (337) | 46\% | (1013) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (49) | 8\% | (40) | 39\% | (185) | 14\% | (69) | 28\% | (134) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (24) | 14\% | (43) | 42\% | (130) | 19\% | (59) | 18\% | (56) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 23\% | (61) | 42\% | (114) | 19\% | (51) | 8\% | (22) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 11\% | (118) | 18\% | (189) | $51 \%$ | (540) | $11 \%$ | (113) | 10\% | (105) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (76) | 11\% | (86) | 39\% | (310) | 17\% | (130) | 24\% | (187) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (134) | 19\% | (242) | 49\% | (637) | 13\% | (168) | 10\% | (127) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (50) | 8\% | (37) | 37\% | (173) | 16\% | (77) | 29\% | (135) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (26) | 15\% | (49) | 43\% | (137) | 17\% | (53) | 16\% | (52) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (18) | 22\% | (48) | 42\% | (92) | 18\% | (38) | 9\% | (20) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (116) | 18\% | (194) | 50\% | (546) | 12\% | (130) | 10\% | (107) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (76) | 13\% | (115) | 44\% | (378) | 17\% | (144) | 17\% | (147) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (29) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 42\% | (120) | 14\% | (40) | 23\% | (66) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (43) | 19\% | (65) | 54\% | (191) | 9\% | (31) | 6\% | (21) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (25) | 14\% | (37) | 47\% | (125) | 14\% | (37) | 16\% | (43) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 17\% | (17) | 13\% | (13) | 46\% | (45) | 18\% | (18) | 6\% | (5) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (15) | 23\% | (26) | 34\% | (38) | 18\% | (20) | 11\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 5\% | (4) | 28\% | (23) | 46\% | (38) | 8\% | (6) | 13\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (13) | 18\% | (26) | 55\% | (77) | 6\% | (9) | 12\% | (16) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (140) | 23\% | (234) | 46\% | (467) | 9\% | (97) | 8\% | (86) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (39) | 7\% | (47) | 42\% | (277) | 19\% | (126) | 27\% | (177) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 8\% | (7) | 61\% | (51) | 20\% | (17) | 10\% | (8) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (42) | 11\% | (48) | 51\% | (216) | 15\% | (64) | 12\% | (50) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (100) | 23\% | (174) | 45\% | (351) | 10\% | (74) | 10\% | (75) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (40) | 7\% | (38) | 39\% | (216) | 18\% | (101) | 29\% | (163) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (91) | 23\% | (159) | 47\% | (327) | 10\% | (67) | 8\% | (60) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (46) | 8\% | (54) | 40\% | (259) | 18\% | (119) | 26\% | (168) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 9\% | (8) | 56\% | (48) | 19\% | (17) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (82) | 15\% | (115) | 50\% | (377) | 13\% | (102) | 11\% | (83) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_5: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Clothing companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely | Much less likely | Total N |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $10 \%$ | $(223)$ | $15 \%$ | $(337)$ | $46 \%$ | $(1013)$ | $14 \%$ | $(304)$ | $15 \%$ | $(323)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $10 \%$ | $(119)$ | $15 \%$ | $(186)$ | $43 \%$ | $(527)$ | $13 \%$ | $(163)$ | $18 \%$ | $(221)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $11 \%$ | $(104)$ | $15 \%$ | $(151)$ | $49 \%$ | $(486)$ | $14 \%$ | $(142)$ | $10 \%$ | $(102)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $13 \%$ | $(50)$ | $19 \%$ | $(75)$ | $43 \%$ | $(169)$ | $12 \%$ | $(46)$ | $14 \%$ | $(54)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $9 \%$ | $(40)$ | $13 \%$ | $(58)$ | $50 \%$ | $(231)$ | $16 \%$ | $(73)$ | $13 \%$ | $(61)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $8 \%$ | $(66)$ | $13 \%$ | $(106)$ | $48 \%$ | $(398)$ | $14 \%$ | $(114)$ | $17 \%$ | $(140)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | $(68)$ | $19 \%$ | $(98)$ | $41 \%$ | $(215)$ | $14 \%$ | $(71)$ | $13 \%$ | $(68)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (180) | 13\% | (287) | 50\% | (1097) | 13\% | (294) | 16\% | (343) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (105) | 14\% | (148) | 48\% | (506) | 13\% | (138) | 16\% | (165) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (75) | 12\% | (139) | 52\% | (590) | 14\% | (156) | 16\% | (178) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 11\% | (75) | 19\% | (124) | 48\% | (316) | 13\% | (83) | 9\% | (57) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (56) | 22\% | (77) | 48\% | (170) | 8\% | (28) | 7\% | (26) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (37) | 10\% | (76) | 49\% | (367) | 14\% | (109) | 22\% | (162) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (12) | 2\% | (9) | 56\% | (244) | 17\% | (74) | 23\% | (98) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 7\% | (24) | 17\% | (58) | 49\% | (161) | 16\% | (52) | 11\% | (36) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (101) | $22 \%$ | (132) | 46\% | (278) | 8\% | (51) | 6\% | (38) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (31) | 14\% | (75) | 49\% | (261) | 13\% | (67) | 18\% | (97) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 2\% | (16) | 3\% | (21) | 55\% | (361) | 17\% | (111) | 23\% | (150) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (114) | 19\% | (172) | 51\% | (463) | 9\% | (79) | 9\% | (84) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 5\% | (35) | 8\% | (55) | 55\% | (361) | 16\% | (104) | 15\% | (98) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (32) | 9\% | (60) | 43\% | (272) | 17\% | (111) | 25\% | (161) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 16\% | (70) | 20\% | (83) | 46\% | (196) | 9\% | (38) | 9\% | (37) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (44) | 18\% | (89) | 55\% | (267) | 8\% | (41) | 10\% | (47) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (17) | 8\% | (23) | 53\% | (163) | 16\% | (49) | 18\% | (54) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (18) | 9\% | (32) | 57\% | (198) | 16\% | (55) | 13\% | (44) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (19) | 12\% | (42) | 44\% | (147) | 15\% | (51) | 22\% | (74) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (13) | 6\% | (18) | 41\% | (125) | 20\% | (60) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (101) | 20\% | (128) | 46\% | (300) | 10\% | (64) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 5\% | (31) | 12\% | (78) | 58\% | (372) | 12\% | (78) | 13\% | (85) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (38) | 9\% | (60) | 41\% | (271) | 18\% | (121) | 26\% | (173) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (88) | 10\% | (154) | 54\% | (821) | 13\% | (202) | 16\% | (246) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (48) | 17\% | (75) | 42\% | (188) | 15\% | (67) | 15\% | (67) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 18\% | (44) | 24\% | (57) | 36\% | (87) | 10\% | (25) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (65) | 12\% | (130) | 56\% | (627) | 12\% | (138) | 14\% | (161) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (58) | 12\% | (79) | 47\% | (322) | 16\% | (110) | 16\% | (112) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 14\% | (57) | 19\% | (77) | 37\% | (148) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 18\% | (70) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (134) | 12\% | (203) | 50\% | (856) | 14\% | (238) | 17\% | (291) | 1722 |

[^81]Table MCBR3_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (180) | 13\% | (287) | 50\% | (1097) | 13\% | (294) | 16\% | (343) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (35) | 19\% | (67) | 49\% | (170) | 10\% | (36) | 12\% | (41) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (32) | 20\% | (56) | 49\% | (135) | 8\% | (23) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 7\% | (14) | 13\% | (27) | 52\% | (106) | 16\% | (33) | 11\% | (23) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (107) | 14\% | (145) | 43\% | (462) | 15\% | (155) | 18\% | (195) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 14\% | (20) | 21\% | (30) | 48\% | (68) | 11\% | (15) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (9) | 17\% | (14) | 52\% | (41) | 9\% | (7) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (23) | 9\% | (48) | 60\% | (302) | 11\% | (53) | 16\% | (79) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (20) | 12\% | (49) | 55\% | (224) | 15\% | (62) | 13\% | (53) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (22) | 21\% | (32) | 47\% | (73) | 11\% | (17) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (82) | 16\% | (109) | 41\% | (280) | 15\% | (98) | 16\% | (109) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (39) | 11\% | (81) | $52 \%$ | (392) | 15\% | (115) | 17\% | (133) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (105) | 20\% | (148) | 47\% | (340) | 10\% | (70) | 9\% | (62) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (54) | 9\% | (83) | 50\% | (469) | 15\% | (144) | 20\% | (184) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $4 \%$ | (21) | 10\% | (55) | 53\% | (288) | 15\% | (80) | 18\% | (97) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (86) | 16\% | (102) | 43\% | (282) | 14\% | (89) | 15\% | (95) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (25) | 26\% | (39) | 33\% | (51) | 17\% | (26) | 8\% | (13) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 6\% | (10) | 18\% | (33) | 46\% | (83) | 13\% | (22) | 17\% | (30) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 8\% | (9) | 65\% | (75) | 9\% | (10) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (14) | 22\% | (31) | 48\% | (68) | 10\% | (15) | 9\% | (12) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $2 \%$ | (9) | $4 \%$ | (22) | 56\% | (277) | 14\% | (68) | 24\% | (119) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (19) | 9\% | (28) | 58\% | (175) | 14\% | (43) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (10) | 14\% | (22) | 54\% | (86) | 13\% | (21) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (32) | 11\% | (41) | 43\% | (163) | 17\% | (65) | 21\% | (79) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (148) | 14\% | (246) | 51\% | (933) | 13\% | (228) | 14\% | (264) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 15\% | (78) | 20\% | (105) | 42\% | (222) | 11\% | (59) | 12\% | (64) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (102) | 11\% | (181) | 52\% | (874) | 14\% | (235) | 17\% | (279) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (61) | 12\% | (92) | 40\% | (312) | 16\% | (127) | 25\% | (196) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (113) | 14\% | (190) | 55\% | (736) | 12\% | (156) | 11\% | (142) | 1336 |

[^82]Table MCBR3_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (180) | 13\% | (287) | 50\% | (1097) | 13\% | (294) | 16\% | (343) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (44) | 10\% | (49) | 36\% | (173) | 15\% | (74) | 28\% | (135) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (16) | 14\% | (43) | 45\% | (139) | 17\% | (53) | 19\% | (61) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 18\% | (49) | 49\% | (132) | 16\% | (42) | 9\% | (25) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (91) | 13\% | (141) | 57\% | (604) | $11 \%$ | (114) | 11\% | (116) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (61) | 12\% | (93) | 39\% | (308) | 17\% | (135) | 24\% | (192) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (106) | 14\% | (186) | 55\% | (724) | $11 \%$ | (148) | 11\% | (144) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (41) | 10\% | (46) | 35\% | (167) | 17\% | (79) | 29\% | (139) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (19) | 15\% | (47) | 45\% | (141) | 18\% | (57) | 17\% | (53) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (19) | 17\% | (37) | 46\% | (99) | 16\% | (34) | 12\% | (26) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (87) | 14\% | (149) | 57\% | (625) | 10\% | (114) | 11\% | (118) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (66) | 10\% | (87) | 49\% | (424) | 15\% | (128) | 18\% | (155) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (21) | 13\% | (39) | 42\% | (121) | 13\% | (38) | 24\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 10\% | (35) | 17\% | (59) | 57\% | (200) | $11 \%$ | (38) | 6\% | (20) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 5\% | (13) | 8\% | (22) | 53\% | (143) | 16\% | (44) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (12) | 15\% | (15) | 49\% | (48) | 17\% | (17) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (16) | 22\% | (25) | 40\% | (44) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 13\% | (15) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 11\% | (9) | 27\% | (22) | 41\% | (34) | 6\% | (5) | 14\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (9) | 13\% | (18) | $59 \%$ | (83) | 8\% | (12) | 14\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 12\% | (128) | 18\% | (180) | 50\% | (513) | $11 \%$ | (110) | 9\% | (93) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (27) | 7\% | (49) | 42\% | (279) | 19\% | (126) | 28\% | (186) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 4\% | (4) | 70\% | (59) | 16\% | (13) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (25) | 13\% | (54) | 58\% | (244) | 10\% | (42) | 13\% | (56) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (99) | 17\% | (131) | 50\% | (388) | 10\% | (75) | 11\% | (82) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (32) | 8\% | (43) | 38\% | (213) | 18\% | (102) | 30\% | (169) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 12\% | (86) | 17\% | (120) | 52\% | (367) | 9\% | (67) | 9\% | (63) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 8\% | (53) | 40\% | (258) | 17\% | (111) | 28\% | (183) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (1) | 5\% | (4) | 65\% | (57) | 15\% | (13) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (52) | 14\% | (106) | 55\% | (415) | 13\% | (101) | 11\% | (85) | 759 |

[^83]Table MCBR3_6: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Beauty/cosmetic brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (180) | 13\% | (287) | 50\% | (1097) | 13\% | (294) | 16\% | (343) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | (105) | 12\% | (148) | 46\% | (562) | 14\% | (166) | 19\% | (236) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (75) | 14\% | (138) | 54\% | (535) | 13\% | (128) | 11\% | (107) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (46) | 18\% | (72) | 45\% | (178) | 10\% | (41) | 15\% | (58) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (27) | 10\% | (48) | 55\% | (254) | 15\% | (69) | 14\% | (65) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 6\% | (50) | $11 \%$ | (93) | 51\% | (419) | 14\% | (118) | 17\% | (144) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 11\% | (57) | 14\% | (74) | 47\% | (247) | 13\% | (66) | 15\% | (77) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 14\% | (310) | 46\% | (1016) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (153) | $14 \%$ | (154) | 45\% | (475) | 13\% | (134) | 14\% | (146) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (101) | 14\% | (156) | 48\% | (541) | 14\% | (163) | 15\% | (176) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (105) | $21 \%$ | (135) | 43\% | (285) | 12\% | (81) | 8\% | (49) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (65) | 22\% | (79) | 45\% | (161) | 8\% | (27) | 7\% | (25) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (62) | 10\% | (75) | 47\% | (354) | $14 \%$ | (104) | 21\% | (155) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (22) | 5\% | (21) | 49\% | (215) | 19\% | (85) | 21\% | (93) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 15\% | (50) | 17\% | (55) | 45\% | (149) | 15\% | (50) | 9\% | (28) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (115) | 24\% | (142) | 42\% | (254) | 9\% | (51) | 6\% | (37) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (49) | 14\% | (75) | 46\% | (246) | 12\% | (64) | 18\% | (98) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (35) | 5\% | (35) | 51\% | (335) | 18\% | (116) | 21\% | (139) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 18\% | (168) | 20\% | (181) | 45\% | (407) | 9\% | (78) | 9\% | (78) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (42) | 10\% | (64) | 52\% | (342) | 17\% | (114) | 14\% | (90) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | 10\% | (65) | 42\% | (266) | 17\% | (106) | 24\% | (155) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (100) | $21 \%$ | (88) | $41 \%$ | (172) | 8\% | (33) | 7\% | (31) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (68) | 19\% | (94) | 48\% | (235) | 9\% | (45) | 10\% | (47) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (25) | 9\% | (27) | 50\% | (153) | 17\% | (53) | 16\% | (48) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (17) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 55\% | (190) | 18\% | (61) | 12\% | (42) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (29) | 12\% | (39) | 45\% | (150) | $14 \%$ | (48) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 9\% | (26) | 38\% | (116) | 19\% | (58) | 29\% | (88) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (126) | 23\% | (150) | 40\% | (261) | 10\% | (64) | 8\% | (54) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (51) | 14\% | (88) | 53\% | (342) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 14\% | (90) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 9\% | (62) | 7\% | (45) | 41\% | (273) | 19\% | (125) | 24\% | (158) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (144) | 12\% | (176) | 50\% | (759) | 14\% | (205) | 15\% | (228) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (59) | 18\% | (79) | 39\% | (172) | 15\% | (68) | 15\% | (65) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (52) | 22\% | (55) | $34 \%$ | (84) | 10\% | (24) | 12\% | (29) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (115) | 12\% | (136) | 51\% | (572) | 12\% | (140) | 14\% | (157) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (69) | 14\% | (96) | 45\% | (307) | 16\% | (109) | 15\% | (99) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 18\% | (70) | 19\% | (78) | $34 \%$ | (136) | 12\% | (48) | 17\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (170) | 13\% | (222) | 47\% | (804) | $14 \%$ | (247) | 16\% | (279) | 1722 |

[^84]Table MCBR3_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 14\% | (310) | 46\% | (1016) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (59) | 20\% | (69) | 44\% | (154) | 8\% | (29) | 11\% | (39) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 21\% | (58) | 20\% | (56) | 41\% | (113) | 8\% | (23) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 16\% | (32) | 48\% | (99) | 13\% | (27) | 9\% | (19) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (141) | 14\% | (146) | 41\% | (437) | 14\% | (151) | 18\% | (189) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 19\% | (26) | 22\% | (31) | 48\% | (68) | 6\% | (8) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 13\% | (11) | 25\% | (20) | 41\% | (33) | 16\% | (12) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (43) | 13\% | (66) | 54\% | (273) | 12\% | (61) | 13\% | (63) | 506 |
| Something Else | 8\% | (34) | 12\% | (47) | 50\% | (204) | 16\% | (65) | 14\% | (57) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (32) | 49\% | (77) | 6\% | (9) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (113) | 15\% | (105) | 39\% | (263) | 14\% | (94) | 15\% | (103) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (58) | 11\% | (82) | 48\% | (365) | 16\% | (119) | 18\% | (137) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (125) | 22\% | (163) | 44\% | (319) | 7\% | (50) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (77) | 10\% | (98) | 48\% | (444) | 16\% | (153) | 17\% | (163) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 10\% | (52) | 9\% | (50) | 47\% | (252) | 17\% | (94) | 17\% | (92) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (97) | 17\% | (109) | 43\% | (282) | 12\% | (81) | 13\% | (86) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 21\% | (33) | 25\% | (39) | 33\% | (51) | 11\% | (17) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $14 \%$ | (25) | 17\% | (30) | 40\% | (72) | 11\% | (19) | 18\% | (33) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | $4 \%$ | (4) | 14\% | (16) | 60\% | (68) | 10\% | (11) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 14\% | (19) | 23\% | (32) | 46\% | (64) | 12\% | (17) | 6\% | (8) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (31) | 5\% | (23) | 50\% | (249) | 16\% | (78) | 23\% | (115) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (28) | 14\% | (42) | 52\% | (157) | 15\% | (47) | 10\% | (30) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (16) | 12\% | (20) | 46\% | (73) | 17\% | (27) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (36) | 13\% | (48) | 41\% | (155) | 19\% | (73) | 18\% | (69) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | $12 \%$ | (218) | 14\% | (262) | 47\% | (860) | 12\% | (225) | 14\% | (253) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 21\% | (109) | 18\% | (97) | 41\% | (219) | 8\% | (44) | 11\% | (59) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (145) | 13\% | (213) | 48\% | (797) | 15\% | (253) | 16\% | (263) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (77) | 12\% | (94) | 38\% | (297) | 16\% | (129) | 24\% | (189) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 13\% | (170) | 16\% | (211) | 50\% | (674) | 11\% | (152) | 10\% | (130) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (254) | 14\% | (310) | 46\% | (1016) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (323) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (51) | 9\% | (45) | 37\% | (174) | 16\% | (77) | 27\% | (130) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (26) | 16\% | (49) | 40\% | (124) | 17\% | (52) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (31) | 21\% | (56) | 43\% | (118) | 16\% | (44) | 8\% | (23) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (138) | 15\% | (155) | 52\% | (557) | 10\% | (108) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (78) | 12\% | (95) | 38\% | (297) | 17\% | (137) | 23\% | (183) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (164) | 15\% | (198) | 51\% | (663) | 12\% | (152) | 10\% | (132) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (51) | 9\% | (45) | 35\% | (163) | 17\% | (81) | 28\% | (132) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (27) | 16\% | (50) | 42\% | (134) | 17\% | (56) | 16\% | (51) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (28) | 17\% | (38) | 42\% | (92) | 15\% | (33) | 12\% | (27) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (136) | 15\% | (160) | $52 \%$ | (571) | 11\% | (120) | 10\% | (105) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 10\% | (83) | 13\% | (112) | 45\% | (384) | 16\% | (136) | 17\% | (145) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 11\% | (31) | 10\% | (29) | 41\% | (118) | 14\% | (39) | 25\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (47) | 18\% | (63) | 53\% | (188) | 10\% | (34) | 5\% | (19) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 12\% | (33) | 8\% | (21) | 50\% | (134) | 15\% | (39) | 15\% | (41) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 18\% | (17) | 19\% | (18) | 43\% | (42) | 13\% | (13) | 7\% | (7) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 16\% | (18) | 23\% | (25) | 39\% | (43) | 11\% | (12) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (7) | 29\% | (24) | 36\% | (30) | 13\% | (11) | 13\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 13\% | (18) | 12\% | (17) | 54\% | (77) | 9\% | (13) | 12\% | (16) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (173) | 20\% | (208) | 45\% | (463) | 10\% | (99) | 8\% | (81) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 7\% | (48) | 41\% | (275) | 20\% | (135) | 26\% | (175) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 2\% | (2) | 70\% | (59) | 16\% | (14) | 11\% | (9) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (46) | 12\% | (52) | 52\% | (217) | 12\% | (49) | 14\% | (57) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 16\% | (125) | 19\% | (144) | 46\% | (359) | 9\% | (73) | 10\% | (74) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (42) | 7\% | (42) | 36\% | (200) | 20\% | (114) | 29\% | (161) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 16\% | (111) | 19\% | (136) | 47\% | (327) | 10\% | (70) | 8\% | (59) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (50) | 8\% | (54) | 38\% | (246) | 20\% | (128) | 26\% | (168) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (2) | 8\% | (7) | 59\% | (51) | 13\% | (11) | 17\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (90) | 14\% | (109) | 51\% | (391) | 11\% | (87) | 11\% | (82) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_7: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fast food/casual companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely | Much less likely | Total N |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $12 \%$ | $(254)$ | $14 \%$ | $(310)$ | $46 \%$ | $(1016)$ | $14 \%$ | $(297)$ | $15 \%$ | $(323)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $11 \%$ | $(135)$ | $13 \%$ | $(163)$ | $43 \%$ | $(524)$ | $14 \%$ | $(174)$ | $18 \%$ | $(221)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $12 \%$ | $(120)$ | $15 \%$ | $(147)$ | $50 \%$ | $(492)$ | $13 \%$ | $(124)$ | $10 \%$ | $(102)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | $(57)$ | $19 \%$ | $(76)$ | $41 \%$ | $(163)$ | $11 \%$ | $(45)$ | $14 \%$ | $(53)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $10 \%$ | $(45)$ | $12 \%$ | $(57)$ | $47 \%$ | $(219)$ | $16 \%$ | $(73)$ | $15 \%$ | $(68)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $11 \%$ | $(88)$ | $11 \%$ | $(91)$ | $47 \%$ | $(390)$ | $14 \%$ | $(117)$ | $17 \%$ | $(138)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | $(65)$ | $17 \%$ | $(86)$ | $47 \%$ | $(243)$ | $12 \%$ | $(62)$ | $12 \%$ | $(64)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 13\% | (276) | 50\% | (1107) | 13\% | (289) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (124) | 15\% | (156) | 48\% | (508) | 12\% | (130) | 14\% | (144) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (79) | 11\% | (120) | 53\% | (599) | 14\% | (159) | 16\% | (182) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (82) | 18\% | (115) | 53\% | (346) | 10\% | (65) | 7\% | (48) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (58) | 22\% | (79) | 44\% | (157) | 10\% | (35) | 8\% | (28) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (48) | 9\% | (66) | 50\% | (379) | 15\% | (112) | 19\% | (146) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 4\% | (16) | 52\% | (225) | 18\% | (78) | 24\% | (103) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (27) | 17\% | (55) | 57\% | (189) | 10\% | (34) | 8\% | (27) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (108) | 20\% | (120) | 45\% | (271) | 10\% | (60) | 7\% | (40) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 13\% | (69) | 49\% | (262) | 13\% | (67) | 17\% | (91) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 2\% | (16) | 5\% | (32) | 54\% | (354) | 17\% | (112) | 22\% | (145) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (124) | 18\% | (164) | 51\% | (464) | 10\% | (87) | 8\% | (72) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (41) | 7\% | (47) | 57\% | (372) | 15\% | (100) | 14\% | (92) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (66) | 43\% | (271) | 16\% | (102) | 25\% | (161) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (77) | 21\% | (88) | 47\% | (200) | 8\% | (35) | 5\% | (23) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 10\% | (47) | 16\% | (76) | 54\% | (264) | 11\% | (52) | 10\% | (49) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (20) | 9\% | (28) | 54\% | (164) | 15\% | (46) | 15\% | (47) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (21) | 5\% | (18) | 60\% | (208) | 16\% | (54) | 13\% | (45) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (26) | 12\% | (40) | 43\% | (144) | 15\% | (49) | 22\% | (73) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (11) | 8\% | (26) | 42\% | (127) | 17\% | (52) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (105) | 18\% | (119) | 48\% | (317) | 9\% | (57) | 9\% | (56) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (37) | 11\% | (73) | 56\% | (361) | 14\% | (93) | 12\% | (80) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (47) | 10\% | (67) | 40\% | (266) | 17\% | (113) | 26\% | (171) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (106) | 10\% | (146) | 55\% | (829) | 13\% | (200) | 15\% | (231) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (54) | 16\% | (69) | 43\% | (190) | 15\% | (65) | 15\% | (65) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 17\% | (42) | 25\% | (61) | 36\% | (87) | 10\% | (24) | 12\% | (29) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (73) | 10\% | (110) | 58\% | (652) | 12\% | (138) | 13\% | (148) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (71) | 12\% | (83) | 45\% | (308) | 16\% | (107) | 17\% | (113) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (58) | 21\% | (84) | 37\% | (147) | 11\% | (45) | 16\% | (64) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (147) | 11\% | (197) | 50\% | (856) | 14\% | (242) | 16\% | (279) | 1722 |

[^85]Table MCBR3_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 13\% | (276) | 50\% | (1107) | 13\% | (289) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (48) | 15\% | (54) | 52\% | (180) | 9\% | (33) | 10\% | (35) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (40) | 23\% | (62) | 48\% | (133) | 5\% | (15) | 9\% | (24) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 7\% | (15) | 8\% | (17) | 58\% | (118) | 16\% | (33) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | $11 \%$ | (119) | 13\% | (140) | 44\% | (464) | 14\% | (152) | 18\% | (189) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (21) | 22\% | (32) | 49\% | (70) | 8\% | (11) | 7\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (10) | 22\% | (18) | 55\% | (43) | 8\% | (6) | 3\% | (2) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (28) | 8\% | (43) | 61\% | (307) | 11\% | (57) | 14\% | (72) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (25) | $11 \%$ | (44) | 55\% | (223) | 16\% | (63) | 13\% | (53) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 13\% | (21) | 21\% | (33) | 47\% | (74) | 9\% | (15) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 14\% | (96) | 14\% | (96) | 43\% | (291) | 14\% | (92) | 15\% | (103) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (46) | 11\% | (82) | 50\% | (383) | 16\% | (118) | 17\% | (131) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (108) | 20\% | (145) | 48\% | (349) | 9\% | (64) | 8\% | (59) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (64) | 9\% | (84) | 51\% | (477) | 15\% | (137) | 18\% | (173) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (31) | 9\% | (47) | 52\% | (281) | 16\% | (88) | 17\% | (94) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (91) | 17\% | (112) | 42\% | (276) | 13\% | (87) | 14\% | (88) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (25) | 23\% | (35) | 36\% | (55) | 15\% | (23) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (19) | 12\% | (22) | 46\% | (82) | 16\% | (28) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 3\% | (3) | 6\% | (7) | 70\% | (80) | 5\% | (6) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 7\% | (10) | 21\% | (29) | 58\% | (81) | 9\% | (12) | 5\% | (8) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (17) | 5\% | (23) | 53\% | (262) | 15\% | (72) | 25\% | (122) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (20) | 10\% | (31) | 61\% | (186) | 13\% | (38) | 9\% | (28) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (16) | 10\% | (17) | 53\% | (84) | 14\% | (23) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 8\% | (30) | 46\% | (176) | 16\% | (61) | 21\% | (78) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (165) | 14\% | (247) | 51\% | (931) | 13\% | (229) | $14 \%$ | (247) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (87) | 19\% | (100) | 44\% | (234) | 9\% | (50) | 11\% | (59) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (115) | 11\% | (176) | 52\% | (873) | 14\% | (240) | 16\% | (267) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (66) | 10\% | (81) | 40\% | (316) | 15\% | (122) | 26\% | (202) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (127) | 14\% | (189) | 56\% | (742) | 12\% | (157) | 9\% | (121) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 13\% | (276) | 50\% | (1107) | 13\% | (289) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (48) | 9\% | (43) | 37\% | (178) | 14\% | (66) | 30\% | (142) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (19) | 12\% | (38) | 44\% | (137) | 18\% | (56) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (28) | 18\% | (50) | 47\% | (128) | 16\% | (43) | 8\% | (22) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (99) | 13\% | (139) | 58\% | (614) | 11\% | (114) | 9\% | (99) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (71) | 10\% | (78) | 39\% | (312) | 16\% | (128) | 25\% | (201) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (117) | 14\% | (188) | 56\% | (729) | 12\% | (157) | 9\% | (117) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (45) | 8\% | (38) | $36 \%$ | (171) | 16\% | (76) | 30\% | (143) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (27) | 13\% | (41) | 44\% | (141) | 16\% | (52) | 18\% | (58) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (25) | 18\% | (39) | 47\% | (102) | 15\% | (33) | 8\% | (17) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (91) | 14\% | (149) | 57\% | (627) | 11\% | (124) | 9\% | (101) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (72) | 11\% | (95) | 48\% | (414) | 15\% | (131) | 17\% | (147) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (20) | 12\% | (35) | 41\% | (118) | 15\% | (44) | 25\% | (72) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (39) | 15\% | (52) | 59\% | (207) | 9\% | (33) | 6\% | (20) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (22) | 7\% | (19) | $52 \%$ | (139) | 16\% | (43) | 17\% | (45) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (14) | 13\% | (13) | 51\% | (50) | 17\% | (16) | 5\% | (4) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 23\% | (25) | 49\% | (54) | 9\% | (10) | 8\% | (9) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 7\% | (6) | 26\% | (21) | 48\% | (40) | 6\% | (5) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 11\% | (16) | 11\% | (16) | 60\% | (85) | 5\% | (7) | 12\% | (17) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (139) | 18\% | (183) | 51\% | (524) | 10\% | (103) | 7\% | (76) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 7\% | (49) | 41\% | (271) | 18\% | (123) | 28\% | (188) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 1\% | (1) | 71\% | (60) | 17\% | (15) | 11\% | (9) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (28) | 10\% | (44) | 60\% | (251) | 11\% | (48) | 12\% | (51) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (101) | 16\% | (122) | 51\% | (397) | 10\% | (81) | 9\% | (73) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (35) | 8\% | (46) | 36\% | (200) | 19\% | (108) | 30\% | (169) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (91) | 15\% | (109) | 53\% | (375) | 10\% | (70) | 8\% | (59) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (45) | 9\% | (57) | 38\% | (245) | 20\% | (128) | 27\% | (173) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 8\% | (7) | 53\% | (46) | 18\% | (16) | 19\% | (16) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (66) | 13\% | (100) | 58\% | (441) | 10\% | (75) | 10\% | (77) | 759 |
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Table MCBR3_8: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Fitness brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (202) | 13\% | (276) | 50\% | (1107) | 13\% | (289) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 9\% | (114) | $11 \%$ | (140) | 46\% | (559) | 14\% | (176) | 19\% | (227) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 9\% | (88) | 14\% | (137) | 56\% | (548) | 12\% | (114) | 10\% | (98) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 13\% | (49) | 17\% | (68) | 47\% | (184) | 9\% | (36) | 14\% | (57) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (26) | 12\% | (54) | 53\% | (244) | 15\% | (70) | 15\% | (68) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 7\% | (61) | $11 \%$ | (87) | 52\% | (427) | 14\% | (118) | 16\% | (132) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 13\% | (66) | 13\% | (67) | 49\% | (253) | 13\% | (65) | 13\% | (68) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (228) | 12\% | (268) | 49\% | (1070) | 14\% | (311) | 15\% | (324) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (139) | 13\% | (141) | 47\% | (497) | 13\% | (137) | 14\% | (148) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (88) | 11\% | (127) | 50\% | (573) | 15\% | (175) | 15\% | (175) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (96) | 16\% | (107) | 48\% | (316) | 13\% | (84) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (66) | 22\% | (79) | 44\% | (157) | 8\% | (28) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (55) | 9\% | (64) | 49\% | (367) | 16\% | (120) | 19\% | (146) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 2\% | (11) | $4 \%$ | (18) | 53\% | (230) | 18\% | (80) | 22\% | (98) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (39) | 14\% | (47) | 49\% | (163) | 15\% | (48) | 10\% | (34) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (114) | 20\% | (122) | 45\% | (267) | 10\% | (60) | 6\% | (36) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 12\% | (64) | 49\% | (258) | 13\% | (69) | 17\% | (92) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (21) | 5\% | (30) | 53\% | (349) | 18\% | (121) | 21\% | (138) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (139) | 18\% | (160) | 49\% | (444) | 10\% | (87) | 9\% | (81) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (49) | 7\% | (47) | 55\% | (356) | 17\% | (114) | 13\% | (87) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 10\% | (61) | 42\% | (270) | 17\% | (110) | 24\% | (156) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (88) | 18\% | (77) | 47\% | (198) | 7\% | (30) | 7\% | (30) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 11\% | (51) | 17\% | (82) | 50\% | (246) | 12\% | (57) | 11\% | (51) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (25) | 8\% | (24) | 51\% | (156) | 17\% | (53) | 16\% | (48) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 7\% | (23) | 58\% | (200) | 17\% | (61) | 11\% | (39) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (26) | 12\% | (40) | 43\% | (143) | 16\% | (53) | 21\% | (71) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (14) | 7\% | (21) | 42\% | (127) | 19\% | (57) | 28\% | (85) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (115) | 18\% | (116) | 46\% | (300) | 10\% | (64) | 9\% | (60) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (44) | 11\% | (73) | 55\% | (356) | 14\% | (92) | 12\% | (80) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (48) | 9\% | (59) | 40\% | (268) | 19\% | (125) | 25\% | (163) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (132) | 9\% | (136) | 53\% | (802) | 14\% | (217) | 15\% | (225) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (49) | 17\% | (75) | 41\% | (183) | 16\% | (70) | 15\% | (66) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | 23\% | (56) | 35\% | (85) | 10\% | (24) | 13\% | (32) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (101) | 9\% | (106) | 55\% | (617) | 14\% | (155) | 13\% | (142) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (59) | 12\% | (83) | 47\% | (317) | 16\% | (110) | 16\% | (111) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (67) | 20\% | (78) | $34 \%$ | (136) | 12\% | (46) | 18\% | (70) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (160) | 11\% | (198) | 49\% | (842) | 14\% | (249) | 16\% | (273) | 1722 |

[^86]Table MCBR3_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (228) | 12\% | (268) | 49\% | (1070) | 14\% | (311) | 15\% | (324) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (43) | 17\% | (59) | 46\% | (162) | 12\% | (41) | 13\% | (45) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (45) | 15\% | (42) | 48\% | (132) | 11\% | (30) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 11\% | (22) | 14\% | (28) | 47\% | (96) | 16\% | (32) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (117) | 14\% | (149) | 42\% | (443) | 15\% | (161) | 18\% | (194) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (25) | 22\% | (31) | 47\% | (67) | 7\% | (9) | 7\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 16\% | (13) | 59\% | (47) | 6\% | (5) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (35) | 7\% | (38) | 58\% | (293) | 14\% | (73) | 13\% | (67) | 506 |
| Something Else | 10\% | (40) | 9\% | (37) | 54\% | (219) | 16\% | (63) | 12\% | (49) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 46\% | (72) | 7\% | (11) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | $14 \%$ | (98) | 15\% | (105) | 42\% | (282) | 13\% | (92) | 15\% | (102) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (56) | 10\% | (75) | 49\% | (369) | 17\% | (129) | 17\% | (132) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (113) | 20\% | (145) | 46\% | (330) | 9\% | (69) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (75) | 8\% | (78) | 49\% | (457) | 16\% | (153) | 18\% | (172) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (39) | 8\% | (45) | 52\% | (283) | 17\% | (90) | 15\% | (83) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (88) | 17\% | (113) | 43\% | (280) | 13\% | (88) | 13\% | (85) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (28) | 24\% | (36) | 35\% | (53) | 15\% | (23) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (15) | 15\% | (27) | 44\% | (79) | 15\% | (27) | 17\% | (31) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 8\% | (9) | 6\% | (7) | 62\% | (71) | 10\% | (11) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 15\% | (20) | 17\% | (23) | 43\% | (60) | 15\% | (21) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (18) | 4\% | (21) | 54\% | (268) | 16\% | (78) | 22\% | (111) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (32) | 7\% | (23) | 59\% | (181) | 10\% | (32) | 12\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 11\% | (17) | 11\% | (17) | 49\% | (78) | 20\% | (32) | 9\% | (15) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (40) | 8\% | (31) | 44\% | (167) | 18\% | (69) | 20\% | (75) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (187) | 13\% | (237) | 50\% | (903) | 13\% | (243) | 14\% | (249) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (99) | 19\% | (99) | 39\% | (207) | 12\% | (64) | 11\% | (60) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (129) | 10\% | (169) | 52\% | (863) | 15\% | (247) | 16\% | (264) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (67) | $11 \%$ | (88) | 39\% | (309) | 17\% | (130) | 24\% | (193) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (149) | 13\% | (178) | 54\% | (716) | 13\% | (167) | 9\% | (126) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (228) | 12\% | (268) | 49\% | (1070) | 14\% | (311) | 15\% | (324) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (47) | 10\% | (50) | 36\% | (173) | 16\% | (74) | 28\% | (132) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (19) | 12\% | (39) | 44\% | (136) | 18\% | (56) | 20\% | (61) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (28) | 18\% | (47) | 48\% | (129) | 15\% | (42) | 9\% | (25) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 11\% | (121) | 12\% | (130) | 55\% | (587) | 12\% | (125) | 10\% | (101) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (72) | 11\% | (85) | 39\% | (308) | 17\% | (135) | 24\% | (189) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (143) | 13\% | (165) | 54\% | (703) | 13\% | (170) | 10\% | (127) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (49) | 9\% | (43) | 36\% | (169) | 16\% | (78) | 28\% | (134) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 14\% | (43) | 44\% | (139) | 18\% | (58) | 17\% | (55) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 15\% | (32) | 47\% | (102) | 18\% | (38) | 10\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (122) | 12\% | (133) | 55\% | (601) | 12\% | (132) | 10\% | (105) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (76) | 12\% | (100) | 47\% | (400) | 17\% | (143) | 16\% | (141) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | $11 \%$ | (32) | 40\% | (115) | 15\% | (43) | 25\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (57) | 14\% | (49) | 54\% | (188) | 10\% | (36) | 6\% | (21) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (17) | 10\% | (26) | 50\% | (134) | 16\% | (42) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (14) | 16\% | (16) | $51 \%$ | (50) | 14\% | (13) | 5\% | (5) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (17) | 18\% | (20) | 46\% | (51) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 9\% | (10) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 14\% | (12) | 14\% | (12) | 48\% | (40) | $11 \%$ | (9) | 13\% | (10) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 5\% | (7) | 10\% | (14) | 66\% | (93) | 8\% | (11) | 12\% | (17) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (147) | 18\% | (186) | 48\% | (492) | $11 \%$ | (115) | 8\% | (84) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (33) | 7\% | (46) | 42\% | (280) | 19\% | (129) | 27\% | (178) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | $4 \%$ | (3) | 3\% | (2) | 64\% | (54) | $22 \%$ | (19) | 7\% | (6) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (44) | 8\% | (34) | 57\% | (241) | $11 \%$ | (47) | 13\% | (55) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (118) | 16\% | (126) | 48\% | (374) | $11 \%$ | (82) | 9\% | (73) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (37) | 7\% | (41) | 38\% | (211) | 18\% | (100) | 30\% | (169) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (103) | 17\% | (116) | $51 \%$ | (356) | 10\% | (70) | 8\% | (58) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (42) | 9\% | (56) | 39\% | (252) | 19\% | (120) | 27\% | (177) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (2) | 8\% | (7) | 57\% | (49) | 19\% | (17) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (79) | 12\% | (89) | 54\% | (409) | 14\% | (104) | 10\% | (78) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_9: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Food delivery companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more likely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 12\% | (268) | 50\% | (1101) | 14\% | (304) | 14\% | (318) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (135) | 12\% | (132) | 47\% | (504) | 13\% | (135) | 15\% | (155) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (75) | 12\% | (136) | 52\% | (597) | 15\% | (168) | 14\% | (163) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (75) | 17\% | (109) | 53\% | (345) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 8\% | (52) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (69) | 18\% | (66) | 45\% | (162) | 9\% | (34) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (56) | $9 \%$ | (65) | 49\% | (370) | 15\% | (116) | 19\% | (143) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $2 \%$ | (9) | 6\% | (28) | 51\% | (224) | 18\% | (80) | 22\% | (95) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (26) | 14\% | (46) | 56\% | (187) | 14\% | (46) | 8\% | (26) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (111) | 18\% | (111) | 47\% | (279) | 9\% | (54) | 7\% | (44) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (44) | 13\% | (70) | 48\% | (257) | 13\% | (68) | 17\% | (92) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (22) | 5\% | (36) | 53\% | (348) | 18\% | (120) | 20\% | (134) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (120) | 17\% | (158) | $51 \%$ | (467) | 10\% | (88) | 9\% | (79) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (50) | 8\% | (54) | 54\% | (353) | 17\% | (108) | 13\% | (87) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (39) | 9\% | (56) | $44 \%$ | (281) | 17\% | (108) | 24\% | (152) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 20\% | (86) | 17\% | (72) | 46\% | (194) | 9\% | (37) | 8\% | (36) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 7\% | (34) | 18\% | (86) | 56\% | (274) | 10\% | (51) | 9\% | (43) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (25) | 8\% | (25) | 52\% | (158) | 15\% | (46) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (25) | 8\% | (28) | 56\% | (194) | 18\% | (62) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 46\% | (152) | 16\% | (52) | 21\% | (69) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 7\% | (21) | $42 \%$ | (129) | 18\% | (56) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (106) | 17\% | (114) | 48\% | (311) | 10\% | (66) | 9\% | (57) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (39) | 12\% | (75) | 58\% | (371) | 12\% | (75) | 13\% | (84) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 8\% | (51) | 9\% | (58) | 41\% | (273) | 19\% | (124) | 24\% | (159) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (106) | 10\% | (152) | 54\% | (818) | $14 \%$ | (212) | 15\% | (225) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (56) | 15\% | (65) | 44\% | (193) | 16\% | (69) | 14\% | (60) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 21\% | (51) | 37\% | (90) | 9\% | (22) | 14\% | (33) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (71) | 10\% | (113) | 57\% | (636) | $14 \%$ | (151) | 13\% | (150) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | $11 \%$ | (74) | 12\% | (79) | 47\% | (321) | 15\% | (104) | 15\% | (103) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (65) | 19\% | (76) | 36\% | (144) | 12\% | (48) | 16\% | (65) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (166) | $11 \%$ | (190) | 50\% | (856) | $14 \%$ | (238) | 16\% | (271) | 1722 |

[^87]Table MCBR3_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 12\% | (268) | 50\% | (1101) | 14\% | (304) | 14\% | (318) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (44) | 16\% | (57) | 48\% | (169) | 11\% | (38) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 11\% | (31) | 20\% | (55) | 48\% | (131) | 11\% | (31) | 10\% | (26) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (13) | 11\% | (22) | 56\% | (113) | 17\% | (35) | 10\% | (21) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (121) | 13\% | (141) | 43\% | (456) | 14\% | (153) | 18\% | (193) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 17\% | (24) | 18\% | (26) | 52\% | (74) | 7\% | (9) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (10) | 12\% | (10) | 64\% | (51) | 6\% | (5) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (30) | 9\% | (44) | 61\% | (308) | 14\% | (69) | 11\% | (56) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (25) | 12\% | (47) | 52\% | (212) | 17\% | (68) | 14\% | (56) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (26) | 18\% | (29) | 50\% | (78) | 7\% | (11) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (88) | 15\% | (102) | 41\% | (280) | 15\% | (101) | 16\% | (107) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (54) | 10\% | (78) | 50\% | (378) | 15\% | (116) | 18\% | (134) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (119) | 19\% | (136) | 47\% | (341) | 9\% | (63) | 9\% | (66) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (64) | 9\% | (86) | $51 \%$ | (472) | 16\% | (148) | 17\% | (163) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (26) | 9\% | (46) | 53\% | (288) | 17\% | (92) | 16\% | (89) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (98) | 15\% | (98) | 45\% | (294) | 12\% | (80) | 13\% | (85) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (37) | 18\% | (28) | $36 \%$ | (55) | 12\% | (19) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 5\% | (9) | 15\% | (27) | 50\% | (89) | 13\% | (24) | 16\% | (29) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 7\% | (8) | 9\% | (11) | 59\% | (68) | $11 \%$ | (13) | 13\% | (15) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 6\% | (9) | 23\% | (32) | 51\% | (71) | 13\% | (18) | 7\% | (10) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 6\% | (29) | 53\% | (261) | 16\% | (80) | 22\% | (111) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (27) | 7\% | (21) | 59\% | (180) | 14\% | (41) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 4\% | (6) | 14\% | (22) | 53\% | (84) | 19\% | (29) | 11\% | (17) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (45) | 8\% | (32) | 42\% | (159) | 18\% | (69) | 20\% | (76) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (165) | 13\% | (236) | 52\% | (942) | 13\% | (234) | 13\% | (242) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 17\% | (90) | 17\% | (90) | 45\% | (237) | 10\% | (50) | 12\% | (62) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (119) | 11\% | (178) | 52\% | (864) | 15\% | (253) | 15\% | (257) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (77) | 10\% | (80) | 40\% | (316) | 16\% | (129) | 24\% | (185) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 9\% | (123) | 14\% | (183) | 56\% | (743) | 12\% | (161) | 10\% | (128) | 1336 |

[^88]Table MCBR3_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (209) | 12\% | (268) | 50\% | (1101) | $14 \%$ | (304) | 14\% | (318) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (54) | 9\% | (41) | 38\% | (179) | 17\% | (79) | 26\% | (123) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 13\% | (39) | 44\% | (138) | 16\% | (50) | 20\% | (62) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 18\% | (48) | 46\% | (126) | 19\% | (52) | 9\% | (24) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (101) | 13\% | (135) | 58\% | (617) | 10\% | (109) | 10\% | (104) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (73) | 11\% | (86) | 39\% | (309) | 18\% | (139) | 23\% | (182) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (122) | 13\% | (172) | 56\% | (733) | 12\% | (154) | 10\% | (127) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (47) | 9\% | (41) | 36\% | (171) | 18\% | (87) | 27\% | (126) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 14\% | (46) | 43\% | (138) | 16\% | (52) | 18\% | (56) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 16\% | (36) | 48\% | (104) | 18\% | (38) | 8\% | (17) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (101) | 13\% | (137) | 58\% | (629) | $11 \%$ | (116) | 10\% | (110) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (72) | 10\% | (85) | 49\% | (421) | 16\% | (136) | 17\% | (145) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (22) | 14\% | (39) | 39\% | (112) | 16\% | (46) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (45) | 13\% | (47) | 60\% | (210) | 9\% | (33) | 5\% | (17) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (18) | 11\% | (30) | 49\% | (131) | 17\% | (46) | 15\% | (41) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 10\% | (10) | 58\% | (57) | 15\% | (15) | 4\% | (4) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 16\% | (18) | 17\% | (19) | 40\% | (44) | 13\% | (14) | 14\% | (16) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (11) | 17\% | (14) | 56\% | (46) | 4\% | (3) | 10\% | (8) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (11) | 16\% | (23) | 57\% | (80) | 6\% | (9) | 13\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (142) | 17\% | (173) | 51\% | (525) | 10\% | (99) | 8\% | (86) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (38) | 7\% | (45) | 42\% | (277) | 20\% | (133) | 26\% | (173) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 3\% | (3) | 70\% | (59) | 17\% | (15) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (29) | 11\% | (47) | 57\% | (239) | 13\% | (55) | 12\% | (51) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (102) | 17\% | (131) | 50\% | (391) | 10\% | (76) | 10\% | (74) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (35) | 7\% | (42) | 38\% | (213) | 19\% | (106) | 29\% | (162) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (91) | 16\% | (111) | 53\% | (376) | 10\% | (68) | 8\% | (57) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (43) | 9\% | (60) | 39\% | (252) | 18\% | (119) | 27\% | (172) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 2\% | (1) | 8\% | (7) | $59 \%$ | (51) | 18\% | (15) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (73) | 11\% | (87) | 56\% | (421) | 13\% | (101) | 10\% | (78) | 759 |

[^89]Table MCBR3_10: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home improvement brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (184) | 12\% | (255) | $51 \%$ | (1118) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (111) | 14\% | (145) | 47\% | (500) | 13\% | (137) | 16\% | (169) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (72) | 10\% | (110) | 54\% | (618) | 15\% | (167) | 15\% | (171) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $11 \%$ | (71) | 17\% | (112) | 51\% | (333) | 12\% | (81) | 9\% | (59) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (55) | 20\% | (73) | 48\% | (172) | 9\% | (30) | 7\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (47) | 7\% | (50) | 52\% | (389) | 15\% | (112) | 20\% | (153) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $2 \%$ | (10) | 5\% | (21) | $51 \%$ | (224) | 18\% | (80) | 23\% | (102) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 5\% | (17) | 16\% | (53) | 53\% | (177) | 15\% | (50) | 10\% | (35) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (104) | 19\% | (116) | 47\% | (279) | 10\% | (58) | 7\% | (42) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (38) | $11 \%$ | (56) | 53\% | (279) | 12\% | (63) | 18\% | (94) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 4\% | (25) | 53\% | (351) | 18\% | (118) | 22\% | (146) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (118) | 15\% | (136) | 54\% | (490) | 10\% | (87) | 9\% | (81) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 5\% | (36) | 9\% | (57) | 55\% | (357) | 17\% | (108) | 14\% | (95) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (30) | 10\% | (62) | 43\% | (271) | 17\% | (109) | 26\% | (165) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (76) | 19\% | (82) | 46\% | (195) | 9\% | (38) | 8\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (42) | $11 \%$ | (54) | 60\% | (295) | 10\% | (49) | 10\% | (49) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (19) | 8\% | (25) | 52\% | (158) | 15\% | (46) | 19\% | (59) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (17) | 9\% | (32) | 57\% | (199) | 18\% | (62) | 10\% | (36) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 5\% | (17) | 12\% | (38) | 44\% | (147) | 16\% | (52) | 23\% | (78) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (13) | 8\% | (23) | 41\% | (124) | 19\% | (56) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (93) | 15\% | (98) | 50\% | (329) | 11\% | (73) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (42) | 10\% | (67) | 57\% | (365) | 13\% | (83) | 14\% | (88) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (66) | 42\% | (278) | 18\% | (117) | 25\% | (165) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (87) | 9\% | (138) | 56\% | (850) | 13\% | (200) | 16\% | (238) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $11 \%$ | (50) | 15\% | (66) | 41\% | (181) | 17\% | (77) | 16\% | (69) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (47) | $21 \%$ | (51) | 35\% | (86) | $11 \%$ | (27) | 13\% | (33) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (59) | 9\% | (104) | 58\% | (654) | 13\% | (144) | 14\% | (159) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 9\% | (58) | $11 \%$ | (78) | 48\% | (324) | 16\% | (111) | 16\% | (109) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (66) | 18\% | (73) | 35\% | (140) | 12\% | (48) | 18\% | (72) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (144) | $11 \%$ | (181) | 50\% | (862) | $14 \%$ | (247) | 17\% | (287) | 1722 |

[^90]Table MCBR3_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (184) | 12\% | (255) | 51\% | (1118) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (35) | 14\% | (49) | 53\% | (186) | 10\% | (36) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (34) | 15\% | (41) | 54\% | (148) | 8\% | (23) | 11\% | (29) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 3\% | (6) | 16\% | (33) | 53\% | (108) | 16\% | (33) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (111) | 13\% | (133) | 44\% | (463) | 14\% | (154) | 19\% | (203) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 21\% | (30) | 48\% | (68) | 9\% | (13) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | 8\% | (7) | 13\% | (10) | 65\% | (52) | 7\% | (5) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (26) | 7\% | (34) | 61\% | (307) | 13\% | (66) | 14\% | (73) | 506 |
| Something Else | $4 \%$ | (18) | 12\% | (48) | 56\% | (228) | 16\% | (65) | 12\% | (49) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (22) | 20\% | (32) | 45\% | (71) | 10\% | (16) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (80) | 15\% | (100) | 43\% | (294) | 15\% | (100) | 15\% | (103) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (45) | 10\% | (75) | 51\% | (384) | 15\% | (116) | 18\% | (139) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 14\% | (105) | 19\% | (139) | 46\% | (331) | 11\% | (77) | 10\% | (74) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (54) | 8\% | (72) | 53\% | (491) | 15\% | (140) | 19\% | (176) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (25) | 8\% | (43) | 55\% | (296) | 16\% | (87) | 17\% | (90) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (92) | 15\% | (98) | 44\% | (290) | 13\% | (86) | 14\% | (89) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (29) | 19\% | (29) | 35\% | (54) | 17\% | (26) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 5\% | (9) | 12\% | (22) | 50\% | (89) | 14\% | (24) | 19\% | (34) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (5) | 11\% | (12) | 59\% | (68) | 12\% | (14) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 5\% | (6) | 21\% | (29) | 55\% | (77) | 12\% | (17) | 8\% | (11) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (13) | 5\% | (23) | 53\% | (263) | 15\% | (76) | 24\% | (120) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (19) | 7\% | (22) | 61\% | (186) | 12\% | (36) | 14\% | (42) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 12\% | (19) | 58\% | (92) | 16\% | (25) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (39) | 8\% | (29) | 44\% | (170) | 16\% | (62) | 21\% | (82) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (144) | 12\% | (226) | 52\% | (948) | 13\% | (242) | 14\% | (259) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 15\% | (81) | 19\% | (101) | 43\% | (225) | 10\% | (51) | 13\% | (71) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (102) | 9\% | (154) | 53\% | (893) | 15\% | (253) | 16\% | (269) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (63) | 11\% | (87) | 40\% | (316) | 15\% | (120) | 26\% | (201) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (112) | 12\% | (165) | 56\% | (753) | 13\% | (175) | 10\% | (132) | 1336 |

[^91]Table MCBR3_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (184) | 12\% | (255) | 51\% | (1118) | $14 \%$ | (304) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (44) | 10\% | (49) | 38\% | (181) | 13\% | (62) | 30\% | (141) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (19) | 12\% | (38) | 43\% | (135) | 19\% | (58) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (24) | 19\% | (51) | 44\% | (119) | 18\% | (49) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 8\% | (88) | 11\% | (114) | $59 \%$ | (634) | 12\% | (125) | 10\% | (105) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (64) | $11 \%$ | (84) | 39\% | (311) | 16\% | (129) | 25\% | (201) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (109) | 12\% | (156) | 57\% | (744) | 13\% | (167) | 10\% | (132) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (42) | 9\% | (44) | 36\% | (170) | 15\% | (72) | 31\% | (144) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (22) | 13\% | (40) | 45\% | (141) | 18\% | (58) | 18\% | (56) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (23) | 17\% | (37) | 45\% | (96) | 20\% | (42) | 8\% | (17) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (86) | 11\% | (119) | 59\% | (648) | $11 \%$ | (124) | 11\% | (115) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (65) | 11\% | (91) | 49\% | (420) | 16\% | (134) | 17\% | (150) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 7\% | (20) | 11\% | (33) | 42\% | (121) | 17\% | (48) | 23\% | (67) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (41) | 16\% | (56) | 57\% | (200) | 10\% | (34) | 6\% | (21) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 5\% | (15) | 7\% | (17) | 53\% | (140) | 15\% | (41) | 20\% | (53) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 13\% | (13) | 59\% | (57) | 9\% | (9) | 5\% | (5) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (16) | 21\% | (23) | 42\% | (47) | $11 \%$ | (13) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 8\% | (7) | 14\% | (12) | 55\% | (46) | 8\% | (6) | 15\% | (12) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (8) | 7\% | (10) | 61\% | (86) | 13\% | (18) | 14\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 13\% | (130) | 15\% | (158) | 53\% | (540) | $11 \%$ | (109) | 9\% | (88) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (25) | 7\% | (47) | 42\% | (280) | 19\% | (129) | 28\% | (187) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 1\% | (1) | 66\% | (56) | $21 \%$ | (18) | 11\% | (9) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (28) | 12\% | (50) | 57\% | (241) | 11\% | (47) | 13\% | (56) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (104) | 14\% | (112) | 52\% | (401) | 10\% | (78) | 10\% | (79) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (32) | 7\% | (37) | 36\% | (202) | 20\% | (114) | $31 \%$ | (172) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (92) | 15\% | (104) | 54\% | (383) | 9\% | (66) | 8\% | (59) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (40) | 7\% | (45) | 38\% | (248) | 19\% | (124) | 29\% | (189) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 6\% | (5) | 60\% | (52) | 20\% | (17) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (51) | 13\% | (102) | 57\% | (432) | 13\% | (96) | 11\% | (80) | 759 |

[^92]Table MCBR3_11: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Home-sharing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (184) | 12\% | (255) | 51\% | (1118) | 14\% | (304) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | (108) | 10\% | (125) | 47\% | (574) | 14\% | (175) | 19\% | (234) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 8\% | (75) | 13\% | (130) | 55\% | (544) | 13\% | (128) | 11\% | (107) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $14 \%$ | (53) | 18\% | (69) | 45\% | (178) | 9\% | (36) | 14\% | (57) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (26) | 7\% | (34) | 56\% | (258) | 18\% | (81) | 14\% | (63) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 6\% | (49) | 10\% | (78) | 53\% | (436) | 14\% | (115) | 18\% | (146) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | (55) | 14\% | (73) | 47\% | (246) | 14\% | (71) | 14\% | (75) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (193) | 14\% | (312) | 47\% | (1040) | 14\% | (318) | 15\% | (337) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (115) | 17\% | (182) | 44\% | (469) | 13\% | (135) | 15\% | (161) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (78) | 11\% | (130) | 50\% | (571) | 16\% | (183) | 16\% | (177) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (78) | 19\% | (123) | 49\% | (319) | 12\% | (80) | 8\% | (55) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 14\% | (51) | 25\% | (88) | 42\% | (152) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 8\% | (28) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (49) | 10\% | (73) | 47\% | (357) | 16\% | (117) | 21\% | (156) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (28) | 49\% | (213) | 19\% | (82) | 23\% | (99) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 7\% | (23) | 17\% | (56) | 53\% | (177) | 13\% | (42) | 10\% | (33) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (101) | 23\% | (135) | 42\% | (252) | 12\% | (69) | 7\% | (41) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (41) | 14\% | (73) | 47\% | (249) | 14\% | (77) | 17\% | (91) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 7\% | (44) | 50\% | (333) | 17\% | (113) | 23\% | (150) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (119) | 20\% | (182) | 47\% | (432) | 10\% | (91) | 10\% | (87) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 9\% | (59) | 54\% | (353) | 17\% | (109) | 15\% | (95) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (36) | 11\% | (71) | 40\% | (255) | 18\% | (118) | 25\% | (156) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (77) | 23\% | (99) | 42\% | (179) | 9\% | (36) | 8\% | (33) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (42) | 17\% | (83) | 52\% | (254) | $11 \%$ | (55) | $11 \%$ | (54) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (17) | 12\% | (36) | 50\% | (152) | 15\% | (45) | 18\% | (55) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (19) | 7\% | (23) | 58\% | (201) | 18\% | (64) | 11\% | (40) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (20) | 14\% | (47) | 42\% | (138) | 16\% | (53) | 22\% | (73) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 8\% | (24) | 38\% | (116) | 21\% | (64) | 27\% | (83) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (105) | 21\% | (136) | 43\% | (280) | 11\% | (72) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 7\% | (45) | 12\% | (79) | 55\% | (356) | 12\% | (75) | 14\% | (89) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (30) | 11\% | (75) | 39\% | (260) | 21\% | (137) | 24\% | (161) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (95) | 11\% | (168) | 52\% | (787) | 15\% | (220) | 16\% | (242) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (51) | 18\% | (79) | 40\% | (176) | 16\% | (73) | 15\% | (65) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 27\% | (66) | 32\% | (77) | 10\% | (25) | 12\% | (30) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (66) | 10\% | (117) | 55\% | (612) | 14\% | (156) | 15\% | (170) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (58) | 17\% | (114) | 44\% | (299) | 15\% | (105) | 16\% | (106) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (69) | 20\% | (81) | 32\% | (129) | 14\% | (57) | 16\% | (62) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (146) | 13\% | (230) | 47\% | (805) | 15\% | (258) | 16\% | (283) | 1722 |

[^93]Table MCBR3_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (193) | 14\% | (312) | 47\% | (1040) | 14\% | (318) | 15\% | (337) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 11\% | (38) | 22\% | (75) | 44\% | (155) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 12\% | (42) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (34) | 20\% | (54) | 47\% | (129) | 9\% | (25) | 12\% | (33) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (13) | 14\% | (28) | 52\% | (106) | 17\% | (35) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (107) | 16\% | (169) | 39\% | (419) | 16\% | (167) | 19\% | (202) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 21\% | (30) | 45\% | (64) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | 11\% | (9) | 16\% | (13) | 53\% | (42) | 13\% | (10) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 5\% | (26) | 9\% | (48) | 61\% | (311) | 10\% | (51) | 14\% | (70) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (29) | 13\% | (52) | 50\% | (204) | 18\% | (74) | 12\% | (49) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (23) | 20\% | (32) | 43\% | (69) | 11\% | (18) | 11\% | (17) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (85) | 18\% | (122) | 38\% | (255) | 17\% | (114) | 15\% | (102) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (48) | 12\% | (93) | 47\% | (356) | 16\% | (124) | 18\% | (140) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 14\% | (104) | 24\% | (176) | 43\% | (312) | 9\% | (69) | 9\% | (65) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (61) | 9\% | (88) | 50\% | (463) | 16\% | (148) | 19\% | (173) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (27) | 9\% | (48) | 49\% | (265) | 19\% | (101) | 18\% | (100) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | $14 \%$ | (92) | 17\% | (114) | 42\% | (272) | 14\% | (90) | 13\% | (86) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (27) | 29\% | (45) | 29\% | (45) | 14\% | (21) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (14) | 18\% | (32) | 46\% | (81) | 13\% | (23) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 7\% | (8) | 8\% | (10) | 60\% | (69) | 13\% | (15) | 12\% | (13) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 7\% | (9) | 21\% | (29) | 49\% | (68) | 13\% | (18) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (15) | 7\% | (37) | 49\% | (243) | 16\% | (78) | 25\% | (123) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (18) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 59\% | (178) | 13\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (34) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (10) | 8\% | (12) | 52\% | (82) | 19\% | (31) | 14\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (36) | 12\% | (44) | 40\% | (154) | 18\% | (69) | 20\% | (78) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (157) | 15\% | (268) | 49\% | (886) | 14\% | (249) | 14\% | (259) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $14 \%$ | (76) | 23\% | (123) | 39\% | (207) | 10\% | (51) | 14\% | (72) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (116) | 11\% | (189) | 50\% | (833) | 16\% | (267) | 16\% | (266) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (57) | 14\% | (113) | 37\% | (290) | 18\% | (138) | 24\% | (190) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (128) | 15\% | (196) | 53\% | (703) | 12\% | (167) | $11 \%$ | (143) | 1336 |

[^94]Table MCBR3_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (193) | 14\% | (312) | 47\% | (1040) | 14\% | (318) | 15\% | (337) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (42) | 13\% | (61) | 34\% | (164) | 16\% | (78) | 27\% | (131) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (15) | 16\% | (51) | 41\% | (126) | 19\% | (60) | 19\% | (59) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 9\% | (24) | 19\% | (52) | 44\% | (120) | 16\% | (45) | 11\% | (30) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (104) | 13\% | (144) | 55\% | (583) | $11 \%$ | (122) | $11 \%$ | (113) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (60) | 13\% | (100) | 37\% | (289) | 19\% | (151) | 24\% | (189) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (122) | 15\% | (199) | 53\% | (692) | 12\% | (157) | $11 \%$ | (139) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (43) | 10\% | (48) | 34\% | (160) | 18\% | (86) | 29\% | (135) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (17) | 17\% | (53) | 41\% | (129) | 20\% | (65) | 17\% | (54) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 17\% | (36) | 43\% | (93) | 19\% | (40) | 12\% | (25) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (101) | 15\% | (163) | 55\% | (598) | $11 \%$ | (117) | 10\% | (113) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (71) | 13\% | (108) | 47\% | (401) | 17\% | (144) | 16\% | (135) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (23) | 14\% | (40) | 38\% | (110) | 14\% | (42) | 26\% | (74) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (38) | 15\% | (53) | 55\% | (195) | $11 \%$ | (40) | 7\% | (26) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (16) | 14\% | (37) | 47\% | (125) | 15\% | (41) | 18\% | (47) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 15\% | (15) | 16\% | (16) | 47\% | (45) | 17\% | (16) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | $11 \%$ | (12) | 22\% | (24) | 40\% | (44) | 13\% | (15) | 15\% | (17) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (8) | 22\% | (18) | 48\% | (39) | 7\% | (6) | 14\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (10) | 12\% | (17) | 57\% | (80) | 10\% | (14) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $14 \%$ | (140) | 20\% | (203) | 47\% | (482) | 10\% | (105) | 9\% | (94) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (26) | 9\% | (60) | 40\% | (267) | 20\% | (135) | 27\% | (179) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 2\% | (2) | 1\% | (1) | 66\% | (56) | 22\% | (18) | 9\% | (8) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (24) | 11\% | (48) | 56\% | (234) | 14\% | (58) | 13\% | (56) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (106) | 18\% | (142) | 46\% | (353) | 12\% | (96) | 10\% | (77) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (31) | 10\% | (55) | 35\% | (196) | 19\% | (104) | 31\% | (172) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (96) | 18\% | (127) | 47\% | (333) | 12\% | (86) | 9\% | (62) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (38) | 10\% | (64) | 37\% | (240) | 20\% | (130) | 27\% | (174) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 5\% | (4) | 62\% | (54) | 16\% | (14) | 17\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (57) | 15\% | (113) | 54\% | (414) | 12\% | (88) | 12\% | (87) | 759 |

[^95]Table MCBR3_12: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Hotels

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  | Somewhat <br> more likely |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  |  | Much less likely |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total N

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | $14 \%$ | (309) | 47\% | (1026) | 14\% | (307) | 16\% | (342) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (128) | 17\% | (177) | 44\% | (468) | 13\% | (139) | 14\% | (151) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (88) | 12\% | (133) | 49\% | (559) | 15\% | (167) | 17\% | (192) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (90) | 20\% | (134) | 45\% | (296) | 11\% | (75) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (65) | $21 \%$ | (75) | 45\% | (161) | 10\% | (35) | 6\% | (22) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (44) | 10\% | (73) | 49\% | (367) | 14\% | (109) | 21\% | (158) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (17) | 6\% | (27) | 47\% | (203) | 20\% | (88) | 23\% | (102) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 10\% | (32) | 18\% | (60) | 47\% | (157) | 15\% | (48) | 10\% | (34) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (116) | 22\% | (129) | 43\% | (259) | 9\% | (55) | 7\% | (40) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (38) | 13\% | (69) | 49\% | (258) | 12\% | (66) | 19\% | (99) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (19) | 7\% | (45) | 49\% | (324) | 19\% | (127) | 22\% | (144) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 15\% | (135) | 20\% | (181) | 46\% | (415) | 10\% | (90) | 10\% | (91) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | 10\% | (64) | 54\% | (352) | 16\% | (101) | 14\% | (90) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (65) | 41\% | (258) | 18\% | (115) | 25\% | (161) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (89) | 22\% | (93) | 41\% | (175) | 9\% | (37) | 7\% | (31) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (46) | 18\% | (88) | 49\% | (240) | 11\% | (53) | 12\% | (61) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (17) | 13\% | (41) | 51\% | (155) | 15\% | (45) | 16\% | (49) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 8\% | (27) | 7\% | (24) | 57\% | (198) | 16\% | (56) | 12\% | (42) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (22) | 13\% | (43) | 42\% | (138) | 17\% | (57) | $21 \%$ | (71) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (15) | 7\% | (21) | 40\% | (120) | 19\% | (58) | 29\% | (89) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (101) | $21 \%$ | (138) | 41\% | (268) | 13\% | (83) | 10\% | (64) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (51) | 14\% | (90) | 54\% | (346) | 11\% | (68) | 14\% | (89) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (43) | 9\% | (61) | 40\% | (268) | 19\% | (125) | 25\% | (166) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (105) | 13\% | (190) | 51\% | (772) | 13\% | (203) | 16\% | (242) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (59) | 14\% | (62) | 39\% | (175) | 18\% | (80) | 15\% | (68) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (51) | 24\% | (58) | 32\% | (79) | 10\% | (24) | 13\% | (32) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (81) | 11\% | (126) | 54\% | (602) | 13\% | (149) | 15\% | (164) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (69) | 15\% | (104) | 43\% | (290) | 16\% | (106) | 16\% | (112) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (66) | 20\% | (80) | 34\% | (134) | 13\% | (52) | 17\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (151) | 13\% | (225) | 47\% | (813) | 14\% | (249) | 17\% | (285) | 1722 |

[^96]Table MCBR3_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 14\% | (309) | 47\% | (1026) | 14\% | (307) | 16\% | (342) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (46) | 20\% | (71) | 43\% | (150) | 12\% | (40) | 12\% | (43) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 13\% | (37) | 22\% | (61) | 43\% | (119) | 8\% | (21) | 13\% | (36) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | $14 \%$ | (28) | 11\% | (23) | 46\% | (94) | 18\% | (37) | 11\% | (21) | 204 |
| All Christian | $11 \%$ | (116) | 15\% | (157) | 41\% | (431) | 15\% | (157) | 19\% | (202) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 19\% | (28) | 19\% | (27) | 45\% | (64) | 11\% | (15) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 11\% | (8) | 17\% | (14) | 57\% | (45) | 10\% | (8) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (34) | 10\% | (52) | 57\% | (286) | 12\% | (61) | 14\% | (73) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (29) | 14\% | (59) | 49\% | (200) | 16\% | (66) | 13\% | (54) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 18\% | (28) | 18\% | (29) | 43\% | (68) | 11\% | (17) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (91) | 16\% | (111) | 39\% | (261) | 15\% | (103) | 17\% | (112) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (52) | 13\% | (101) | 47\% | (357) | 15\% | (116) | 18\% | (135) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (116) | 22\% | (159) | 43\% | (311) | 10\% | (70) | 10\% | (69) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (66) | 11\% | (101) | 48\% | (447) | 16\% | (147) | 18\% | (172) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (33) | 9\% | (49) | 50\% | (269) | 16\% | (89) | 19\% | (101) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 13\% | (87) | 18\% | (117) | 40\% | (265) | 14\% | (93) | $14 \%$ | (92) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 26\% | (39) | 19\% | (29) | 31\% | (48) | 14\% | (21) | $11 \%$ | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (21) | 14\% | (24) | 48\% | (86) | 10\% | (18) | 16\% | (29) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 4\% | (4) | 10\% | (12) | 62\% | (71) | 9\% | (10) | 15\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 6\% | (8) | 24\% | (34) | 48\% | (67) | 13\% | (18) | 9\% | (13) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 7\% | (35) | 49\% | (241) | 17\% | (85) | 24\% | (118) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (26) | 11\% | (34) | 56\% | (170) | 13\% | (40) | 11\% | (35) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 9\% | (14) | 15\% | (24) | 50\% | (79) | 13\% | (21) | 13\% | (20) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (39) | 13\% | (48) | 40\% | (152) | 17\% | (66) | 20\% | (77) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (177) | 14\% | (261) | 48\% | (874) | 13\% | (241) | 15\% | (266) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (85) | 21\% | (110) | 41\% | (216) | 10\% | (51) | 13\% | (68) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (131) | 12\% | (199) | 48\% | (810) | 15\% | (256) | 16\% | (275) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 9\% | (67) | 12\% | (91) | 37\% | (295) | 18\% | (141) | 25\% | (193) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (139) | 16\% | (215) | 51\% | (684) | 12\% | (155) | 11\% | (143) | 1336 |

[^97]Table MCBR3_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (215) | 14\% | (309) | 47\% | (1026) | 14\% | (307) | 16\% | (342) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (43) | 12\% | (55) | 35\% | (169) | 16\% | (78) | 28\% | (131) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (25) | 11\% | (35) | 41\% | (126) | 20\% | (62) | 20\% | (62) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (23) | 22\% | (61) | 43\% | (118) | 16\% | (44) | 9\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $11 \%$ | (116) | 14\% | (154) | 53\% | (567) | 10\% | (111) | 11\% | (117) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (64) | 12\% | (95) | $37 \%$ | (294) | 18\% | (142) | 25\% | (195) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (137) | 16\% | (209) | 51\% | (667) | 12\% | (156) | 11\% | (139) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (41) | 11\% | (52) | $34 \%$ | (161) | 17\% | (81) | 29\% | (137) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 13\% | (42) | 42\% | (134) | 19\% | (60) | 18\% | (58) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (20) | 19\% | (41) | 43\% | (93) | 20\% | (42) | 9\% | (20) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (117) | 15\% | (167) | 53\% | (574) | 10\% | (114) | 11\% | (120) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (80) | 11\% | (98) | 46\% | (394) | 15\% | (130) | 18\% | (157) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 14\% | (40) | 37\% | (108) | 17\% | (51) | 23\% | (66) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (47) | 18\% | (62) | 53\% | (186) | 9\% | (32) | 7\% | (25) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 8\% | (21) | 9\% | (24) | 48\% | (129) | 17\% | (46) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (10) | 16\% | (15) | 56\% | (55) | 13\% | (13) | 5\% | (4) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 13\% | (15) | 29\% | (32) | 35\% | (39) | 10\% | (11) | 12\% | (14) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 12\% | (10) | 20\% | (17) | 47\% | (39) | 9\% | (8) | 11\% | (9) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 6\% | (8) | 15\% | (22) | 54\% | (76) | 11\% | (15) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 14\% | (148) | 20\% | (204) | 46\% | (468) | 10\% | (107) | 9\% | (97) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (28) | 8\% | (53) | 40\% | (265) | 21\% | (139) | 27\% | (181) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | $4 \%$ | (3) | 65\% | (55) | 16\% | (14) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (38) | 12\% | (49) | 56\% | (237) | 11\% | (45) | 12\% | (52) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (116) | 18\% | (138) | 47\% | (360) | 10\% | (79) | 11\% | (81) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (30) | 9\% | (49) | 37\% | (207) | 19\% | (107) | 30\% | (165) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 16\% | (111) | 17\% | (122) | 48\% | (336) | 11\% | (76) | 8\% | (58) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (36) | 9\% | (59) | 38\% | (246) | 19\% | (124) | 28\% | (181) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 9\% | (8) | 56\% | (48) | 17\% | (15) | 17\% | (15) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (68) | 15\% | (116) | 52\% | (396) | 12\% | (92) | 12\% | (88) | 759 |

[^98]Table MCBR3_13: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Insurance companies

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  | Much less likely |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total N

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | 11\% | (251) | 51\% | (1112) | 13\% | (284) | 17\% | (371) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (107) | 14\% | (146) | 48\% | (512) | 11\% | (122) | 16\% | (174) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (75) | 9\% | (105) | 53\% | (600) | 14\% | (163) | 17\% | (196) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (77) | 15\% | (100) | 50\% | (326) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 12\% | (78) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 14\% | (50) | 20\% | (70) | 49\% | (175) | 9\% | (32) | 9\% | (31) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (41) | 8\% | (63) | 51\% | (385) | 14\% | (109) | 20\% | (153) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | $4 \%$ | (18) | 52\% | (226) | 16\% | (70) | 25\% | (109) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 7\% | (22) | 11\% | (38) | 56\% | (185) | 13\% | (41) | 14\% | (45) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (101) | 20\% | (120) | 44\% | (263) | 10\% | (62) | 9\% | (54) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (37) | 12\% | (65) | $52 \%$ | (276) | 11\% | (61) | 18\% | (93) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 2\% | (14) | 4\% | (28) | 54\% | (354) | 16\% | (108) | 23\% | (154) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (107) | 16\% | (144) | 53\% | (482) | 9\% | (81) | 11\% | (98) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (39) | 7\% | (46) | 55\% | (359) | 16\% | (107) | 15\% | (101) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (36) | 10\% | (61) | 43\% | (271) | 15\% | (97) | 27\% | (171) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 16\% | (68) | 19\% | (81) | 47\% | (199) | 8\% | (35) | 10\% | (41) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 8\% | (39) | 13\% | (63) | 58\% | (283) | 9\% | (46) | 12\% | (58) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (16) | 7\% | (21) | 55\% | (167) | 15\% | (47) | 18\% | (55) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 7\% | (26) | 55\% | (192) | 17\% | (60) | 13\% | (46) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (23) | 13\% | (45) | 44\% | (146) | 12\% | (40) | 24\% | (78) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (13) | 5\% | (16) | 41\% | (125) | 19\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (93) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 15\% | (98) | 16\% | (107) | 46\% | (300) | 12\% | (81) | 11\% | (69) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (40) | 12\% | (75) | 57\% | (366) | 11\% | (72) | 14\% | (91) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (36) | 8\% | (53) | 44\% | (293) | 16\% | (105) | 27\% | (176) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 5\% | (82) | 8\% | (125) | 55\% | (834) | 13\% | (200) | 18\% | (271) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (55) | 15\% | (66) | 43\% | (192) | 14\% | (64) | 15\% | (67) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 18\% | (45) | 25\% | (60) | 35\% | (86) | 9\% | (21) | 13\% | (32) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (59) | 8\% | (86) | 57\% | (634) | 13\% | (150) | 17\% | (192) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (58) | 13\% | (91) | 48\% | (325) | 14\% | (93) | 17\% | (113) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (65) | 18\% | (73) | 38\% | (152) | 10\% | (42) | 17\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (139) | 12\% | (200) | 50\% | (867) | 12\% | (211) | 18\% | (305) | 1722 |

[^99]Table MCBR3_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | 11\% | (251) | 51\% | (1112) | 13\% | (284) | 17\% | (371) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (36) | 16\% | (57) | 51\% | (178) | $11 \%$ | (38) | 12\% | (41) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 11\% | (30) | 14\% | (37) | 50\% | (136) | 12\% | (33) | 14\% | (37) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (13) | 7\% | (14) | 53\% | (108) | 20\% | (41) | 14\% | (28) | 204 |
| All Christian | 9\% | (98) | 12\% | (130) | 45\% | (479) | 15\% | (155) | 19\% | (203) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 12\% | (18) | 23\% | (32) | 49\% | (70) | 7\% | (9) | 9\% | (13) | 143 |
| Atheist | 12\% | (9) | 14\% | (11) | 56\% | (44) | 5\% | (4) | 14\% | (11) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (28) | 7\% | (37) | 59\% | (300) | 13\% | (64) | 15\% | (77) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (29) | 10\% | (41) | 53\% | (218) | 13\% | (52) | 17\% | (67) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 12\% | (18) | 21\% | (33) | 47\% | (75) | 7\% | (12) | 13\% | (20) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 13\% | (86) | 14\% | (92) | 42\% | (288) | 13\% | (85) | 19\% | (128) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (37) | 10\% | (73) | 52\% | (398) | 16\% | (120) | 17\% | (132) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (111) | 19\% | (138) | 45\% | (327) | 9\% | (68) | 11\% | (81) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 5\% | (48) | 8\% | (75) | 54\% | (503) | 14\% | (134) | 19\% | (173) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $4 \%$ | (22) | 7\% | (38) | 52\% | (282) | 15\% | (82) | 22\% | (116) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (97) | 16\% | (105) | 43\% | (284) | 12\% | (77) | 14\% | (92) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 15\% | (23) | 26\% | (39) | 35\% | (54) | 13\% | (20) | 11\% | (17) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 5\% | (8) | 17\% | (30) | 45\% | (80) | 13\% | (22) | 21\% | (38) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 7\% | (9) | 7\% | (8) | 61\% | (71) | 10\% | (11) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 6\% | (9) | 12\% | (17) | 57\% | (80) | 14\% | (19) | 10\% | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | $2 \%$ | (12) | 4\% | (22) | 54\% | (266) | 15\% | (72) | 25\% | (124) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 5\% | (15) | 6\% | (18) | 61\% | (184) | 14\% | (44) | 14\% | (44) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 6\% | (9) | 8\% | (13) | 59\% | (93) | 12\% | (19) | 16\% | (25) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 8\% | (30) | 9\% | (35) | 42\% | (160) | 20\% | (75) | 21\% | (81) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (152) | 12\% | (216) | $52 \%$ | (952) | $11 \%$ | (209) | 16\% | (290) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 15\% | (79) | 17\% | (90) | 43\% | (228) | 10\% | (54) | 15\% | (77) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (103) | 10\% | (161) | 53\% | (884) | 14\% | (230) | 18\% | (293) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (62) | 11\% | (89) | 39\% | (308) | 15\% | (120) | 27\% | (209) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (113) | 12\% | (160) | 57\% | (755) | 12\% | (156) | 11\% | (152) | 1336 |

[^100]Table MCBR3_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (182) | $11 \%$ | (251) | 51\% | (1112) | 13\% | (284) | 17\% | (371) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (40) | 11\% | (52) | 37\% | (177) | 14\% | (66) | 30\% | (141) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (22) | 12\% | (37) | 42\% | (131) | 17\% | (54) | 22\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (21) | 16\% | (42) | 51\% | (139) | 16\% | (42) | 10\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 9\% | (92) | 11\% | (118) | 58\% | (616) | 11\% | (114) | 12\% | (126) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (64) | 11\% | (89) | 39\% | (309) | 15\% | (118) | 26\% | (209) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (109) | 12\% | (157) | 56\% | (738) | 12\% | (152) | 12\% | (152) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (44) | 9\% | (43) | 35\% | (164) | 15\% | (70) | 32\% | (151) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 15\% | (46) | 46\% | (145) | 15\% | (48) | 18\% | (58) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (19) | 15\% | (33) | 46\% | (100) | 19\% | (40) | 11\% | (24) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (90) | 11\% | (124) | 58\% | (638) | 10\% | (112) | 12\% | (128) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (68) | 10\% | (86) | 49\% | (425) | 15\% | (128) | 18\% | (154) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 6\% | (18) | 10\% | (28) | 43\% | (124) | 14\% | (41) | 27\% | (77) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (42) | 15\% | (54) | 56\% | (196) | 9\% | (31) | 8\% | (29) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 4\% | (11) | 8\% | (21) | 51\% | (136) | 17\% | (45) | 20\% | (54) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 13\% | (13) | 12\% | (12) | 51\% | (50) | 14\% | (13) | 10\% | (9) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 10\% | (11) | 17\% | (19) | 49\% | (55) | 12\% | (14) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 8\% | (7) | 21\% | (17) | 48\% | (40) | 9\% | (8) | 14\% | (11) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (13) | 10\% | (13) | 61\% | (86) | 3\% | (4) | 17\% | (24) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 12\% | (124) | 16\% | (161) | 53\% | (540) | 10\% | (101) | 10\% | (98) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (32) | 8\% | (51) | 41\% | (275) | 18\% | (117) | 29\% | (192) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 1\% | (1) | 69\% | (58) | 16\% | (13) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (26) | 9\% | (39) | 56\% | (237) | 12\% | (52) | 16\% | (68) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 12\% | (90) | 16\% | (121) | 52\% | (401) | 10\% | (75) | 11\% | (87) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (39) | 7\% | (41) | 38\% | (211) | 16\% | (91) | $31 \%$ | (176) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (90) | 15\% | (108) | 53\% | (376) | 10\% | (68) | 9\% | (61) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (39) | 8\% | (53) | 41\% | (265) | 15\% | (99) | 30\% | (191) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 8\% | (7) | 56\% | (49) | 23\% | (20) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (52) | 11\% | (80) | 56\% | (422) | 13\% | (98) | 14\% | (107) | 759 |

[^101]Table MCBR3_14: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Liquor/alcoholic beverage brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $8 \%$ | $(182)$ | $11 \%$ | $(251)$ | $51 \%$ | $(1112)$ | $13 \%$ | $(284)$ | $17 \%$ | $(371)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | $(110)$ | $12 \%$ | $(143)$ | $47 \%$ | $(567)$ | $13 \%$ | $(155)$ | $20 \%$ | $(242)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $7 \%$ | $(72)$ | $11 \%$ | $(108)$ | $55 \%$ | $(545)$ | $13 \%$ | $(129)$ | $13 \%$ | $(129)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $11 \%$ | $(45)$ | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ | $47 \%$ | $(186)$ | $9 \%$ | $(37)$ | $16 \%$ | $(62)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $4 \%$ | $(21)$ | $7 \%$ | $(31)$ | $57 \%$ | $(263)$ | $15 \%$ | $(71)$ | $16 \%$ | $(75)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | $(57)$ | $10 \%$ | $(81)$ | $50 \%$ | $(415)$ | $14 \%$ | $(113)$ | $19 \%$ | $(158)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $11 \%$ | $(60)$ | $14 \%$ | $(74)$ | $48 \%$ | $(248)$ | $12 \%$ | $(63)$ | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (278) | 15\% | (338) | 44\% | (977) | 14\% | (313) | 13\% | (295) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 15\% | (158) | 16\% | (166) | 44\% | (468) | 13\% | (143) | 12\% | (128) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | $11 \%$ | (120) | 15\% | (172) | 45\% | (509) | 15\% | (170) | 15\% | (167) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 17\% | (113) | 19\% | (126) | 44\% | (287) | 12\% | (76) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 21\% | (75) | 25\% | (89) | 41\% | (145) | 8\% | (30) | 5\% | (19) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 9\% | (68) | 12\% | (88) | 45\% | (341) | 16\% | (121) | 18\% | (133) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 5\% | (23) | 8\% | (35) | 47\% | (204) | 19\% | (85) | 21\% | (90) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (39) | 19\% | (64) | 46\% | (151) | 13\% | (43) | 10\% | (34) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (138) | 22\% | (133) | 40\% | (240) | 10\% | (57) | 5\% | (30) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | $11 \%$ | (57) | 15\% | (79) | 45\% | (238) | $14 \%$ | (76) | 15\% | (82) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 5\% | (33) | 9\% | (60) | 48\% | (318) | 19\% | (124) | 19\% | (125) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 17\% | (158) | $21 \%$ | (195) | 43\% | (395) | 10\% | (89) | 8\% | (75) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 10\% | (67) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 50\% | (326) | 17\% | (110) | 12\% | (77) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (53) | $11 \%$ | (72) | 40\% | (255) | 18\% | (114) | 22\% | (143) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (89) | 21\% | (88) | 43\% | (184) | 8\% | (34) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (69) | 22\% | (106) | 43\% | (211) | $11 \%$ | (55) | 10\% | (46) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $11 \%$ | (35) | 12\% | (37) | 46\% | (142) | 16\% | (49) | 14\% | (43) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 9\% | (32) | 10\% | (34) | 53\% | (184) | 18\% | (61) | 10\% | (34) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (34) | 12\% | (40) | 43\% | (142) | 18\% | (60) | 17\% | (56) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (19) | 10\% | (32) | 37\% | (113) | 18\% | (53) | 28\% | (86) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (142) | $21 \%$ | (137) | 37\% | (242) | $11 \%$ | (75) | 9\% | (59) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (60) | 13\% | (86) | 53\% | (340) | 13\% | (82) | 12\% | (76) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 9\% | (57) | 12\% | (79) | 39\% | (258) | 19\% | (129) | 21\% | (140) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (155) | 13\% | (198) | 49\% | (741) | 14\% | (209) | 14\% | (210) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (72) | 17\% | (78) | 37\% | (164) | 17\% | (74) | 13\% | (57) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 21\% | (51) | 26\% | (63) | 30\% | (72) | 12\% | (30) | $11 \%$ | (28) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (110) | 13\% | (150) | 51\% | (573) | 13\% | (144) | 13\% | (143) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 13\% | (91) | 14\% | (93) | 42\% | (289) | 16\% | (111) | 14\% | (97) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 19\% | (76) | 24\% | (95) | 29\% | (115) | 15\% | (58) | 14\% | (54) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (204) | 14\% | (248) | 45\% | (770) | 15\% | (255) | 14\% | (244) | 1722 |

[^102]Table MCBR3_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (278) | 15\% | (338) | 44\% | (977) | 14\% | (313) | 13\% | (295) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (67) | 18\% | (64) | 41\% | (142) | 9\% | (31) | 13\% | (45) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 15\% | (42) | 20\% | (54) | 45\% | (123) | 11\% | (31) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (32) | 17\% | (35) | 41\% | (83) | 13\% | (27) | 13\% | (26) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (152) | 15\% | (159) | 39\% | (416) | 14\% | (152) | 17\% | (185) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 25\% | (35) | 44\% | (63) | 7\% | (10) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (12) | 19\% | (15) | 48\% | (38) | 12\% | (10) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 10\% | (50) | 14\% | (69) | 52\% | (264) | 14\% | (70) | 10\% | (53) | 506 |
| Something Else | 9\% | (37) | 15\% | (60) | 48\% | (196) | 17\% | (71) | 11\% | (44) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 22\% | (35) | 42\% | (66) | 8\% | (13) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (100) | 18\% | (119) | 39\% | (262) | 15\% | (99) | 14\% | (98) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 11\% | (85) | 12\% | (93) | 45\% | (341) | 16\% | (118) | 16\% | (122) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 20\% | (144) | 20\% | (147) | 41\% | (297) | 10\% | (74) | 9\% | (63) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 10\% | (93) | 13\% | (118) | 45\% | (422) | 16\% | (150) | 16\% | (150) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (42) | 13\% | (73) | 48\% | (257) | 16\% | (89) | 15\% | (81) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (114) | 18\% | (118) | 39\% | (253) | 15\% | (98) | 11\% | (71) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (36) | $21 \%$ | (33) | 30\% | (45) | 17\% | (27) | 8\% | (12) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 13\% | (23) | 18\% | (32) | 40\% | (72) | 15\% | (26) | 15\% | (26) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 14\% | (17) | 56\% | (64) | 10\% | (11) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 14\% | (19) | 27\% | (38) | 41\% | (57) | 8\% | (11) | 10\% | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (26) | 8\% | (41) | 49\% | (242) | 17\% | (84) | $21 \%$ | (103) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (33) | 12\% | (36) | 55\% | (167) | 11\% | (32) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 13\% | (21) | 15\% | (23) | 48\% | (75) | 15\% | (24) | 9\% | (15) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | $14 \%$ | (54) | 10\% | (38) | 39\% | (150) | 19\% | (72) | 18\% | (67) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (224) | 16\% | (299) | 45\% | (826) | 13\% | (241) | 13\% | (228) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (99) | 23\% | (124) | 37\% | (197) | 10\% | (53) | 11\% | (56) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 11\% | (178) | 13\% | (214) | 47\% | (780) | 16\% | (260) | 14\% | (239) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (88) | 13\% | (105) | 38\% | (297) | 17\% | (132) | $21 \%$ | (164) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | $14 \%$ | (181) | 17\% | (227) | 47\% | (633) | 13\% | (171) | 9\% | (125) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (278) | 15\% | (338) | 44\% | (977) | 14\% | (313) | 13\% | (295) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (59) | 12\% | (58) | 36\% | (173) | 15\% | (69) | 24\% | (116) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (29) | 15\% | (47) | 40\% | (124) | 20\% | (62) | 16\% | (48) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (26) | 20\% | (55) | 44\% | (120) | 16\% | (44) | 9\% | (25) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 15\% | (155) | 16\% | (171) | 48\% | (513) | 12\% | (127) | 9\% | (99) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (88) | 13\% | (105) | 36\% | (288) | 18\% | (140) | 21\% | (168) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (176) | 17\% | (220) | 48\% | (627) | 13\% | (167) | 9\% | (120) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (56) | 12\% | (58) | 34\% | (161) | 17\% | (79) | 25\% | (119) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (32) | 15\% | (47) | 40\% | (127) | 19\% | (62) | 15\% | (49) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (27) | 18\% | (40) | 42\% | (91) | 18\% | (38) | 9\% | (20) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 14\% | (149) | 17\% | (180) | 49\% | (536) | 12\% | (128) | 9\% | (99) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (104) | 14\% | (119) | 43\% | (371) | 16\% | (141) | 15\% | (125) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | $11 \%$ | (31) | 13\% | (38) | 38\% | (111) | 16\% | (47) | 21\% | (62) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (57) | 21\% | (74) | 47\% | (165) | 11\% | (39) | 5\% | (16) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $11 \%$ | (29) | 10\% | (27) | 47\% | (124) | 17\% | (44) | 16\% | (43) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 24\% | (23) | 12\% | (11) | 44\% | (43) | 14\% | (13) | 7\% | (7) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 26\% | (29) | 37\% | (41) | 7\% | (8) | 18\% | (20) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (11) | 18\% | (15) | 49\% | (40) | 10\% | (9) | 9\% | (8) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (9) | 18\% | (25) | 57\% | (80) | 9\% | (12) | 10\% | (14) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 18\% | (181) | 21\% | (212) | 43\% | (440) | 11\% | (114) | 8\% | (78) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (43) | 10\% | (66) | 39\% | (261) | 20\% | (135) | 24\% | (161) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 5\% | (4) | 5\% | (4) | 59\% | (50) | 22\% | (19) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (51) | 13\% | (55) | 53\% | (222) | 11\% | (45) | 11\% | (48) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (140) | 20\% | (153) | 42\% | (323) | 11\% | (88) | 9\% | (70) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (43) | $11 \%$ | (62) | 35\% | (194) | 21\% | (115) | 26\% | (144) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 18\% | (126) | 20\% | (139) | 44\% | (308) | 11\% | (77) | 8\% | (54) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (52) | 11\% | (71) | 37\% | (237) | 20\% | (129) | 24\% | (156) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 8\% | (7) | 52\% | (45) | 21\% | (18) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (94) | 15\% | (117) | $51 \%$ | (387) | 12\% | (88) | 10\% | (73) | 759 |

[^103]Table MCBR3_15: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Local businesses

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely | Much less likely | Total N |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $13 \%$ | $(278)$ | $15 \%$ | $(338)$ | $44 \%$ | $(977)$ | $14 \%$ | $(313)$ | $13 \%$ | $(295)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $13 \%$ | $(158)$ | $15 \%$ | $(183)$ | $40 \%$ | $(488)$ | $15 \%$ | $(188)$ | $16 \%$ | $(200)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $12 \%$ | $(120)$ | $16 \%$ | $(155)$ | $50 \%$ | $(489)$ | $13 \%$ | $(125)$ | $10 \%$ | $(95)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $16 \%$ | $(64)$ | $18 \%$ | $(72)$ | $39 \%$ | $(155)$ | $13 \%$ | $(50)$ | $13 \%$ | $(52)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $10 \%$ | $(46)$ | $14 \%$ | $(65)$ | $48 \%$ | $(220)$ | $16 \%$ | $(74)$ | $12 \%$ | $(57)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $10 \%$ | $(81)$ | $13 \%$ | $(106)$ | $47 \%$ | $(388)$ | $15 \%$ | $(122)$ | $15 \%$ | $(127)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $17 \%$ | $(86)$ | $18 \%$ | $(95)$ | $41 \%$ | $(213)$ | $13 \%$ | $(67)$ | $11 \%$ | $(58)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (253) | 15\% | (340) | 44\% | (960) | 12\% | (268) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (148) | 19\% | (197) | 40\% | (428) | 10\% | (109) | 17\% | (179) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (106) | 13\% | (144) | 47\% | (531) | 14\% | (159) | 17\% | (199) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 17\% | (111) | 20\% | (128) | 45\% | (293) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 20\% | (72) | 23\% | (82) | $41 \%$ | (145) | 8\% | (27) | 9\% | (31) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (54) | 12\% | (91) | $43 \%$ | (322) | 14\% | (104) | 24\% | (179) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | $4 \%$ | (17) | 9\% | (39) | 46\% | (199) | 15\% | (67) | 26\% | (115) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (44) | 17\% | (57) | 48\% | (158) | 12\% | (41) | 10\% | (32) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | $21 \%$ | (128) | 22\% | (134) | 40\% | (242) | 9\% | (53) | 7\% | (42) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (50) | 16\% | (87) | 43\% | (229) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 20\% | (104) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (25) | 8\% | (56) | 45\% | (296) | 16\% | (105) | 27\% | (177) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 18\% | (167) | 22\% | (197) | 44\% | (401) | 8\% | (76) | 8\% | (70) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (46) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 49\% | (322) | 15\% | (96) | 18\% | (115) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 37\% | (237) | 15\% | (96) | 30\% | (193) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 23\% | (99) | 24\% | (100) | $41 \%$ | (174) | 6\% | (26) | 6\% | (25) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (68) | 20\% | (97) | 46\% | (227) | 10\% | (51) | 9\% | (45) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (24) | 15\% | (46) | 44\% | (135) | 12\% | (38) | 20\% | (63) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (21) | 8\% | (27) | 54\% | (187) | 17\% | (58) | 15\% | (53) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | 15\% | (51) | $36 \%$ | (119) | 14\% | (46) | 28\% | (92) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 6\% | (20) | 39\% | (117) | 16\% | (50) | 33\% | (101) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (138) | 22\% | (142) | 39\% | (252) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (53) | 16\% | (104) | 49\% | (316) | 12\% | (80) | 14\% | (91) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (43) | 12\% | (79) | 37\% | (245) | $14 \%$ | (91) | $31 \%$ | (206) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (140) | 13\% | (195) | 48\% | (726) | 12\% | (180) | 18\% | (270) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (65) | 17\% | (77) | 37\% | (162) | 16\% | (70) | 16\% | (70) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (49) | 28\% | (68) | 29\% | (71) | 7\% | (18) | 16\% | (38) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 10\% | (109) | 12\% | (132) | 50\% | (561) | 13\% | (144) | 16\% | (175) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | $11 \%$ | (77) | 17\% | (119) | $41 \%$ | (282) | $11 \%$ | (77) | 18\% | (126) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 17\% | (68) | 22\% | (89) | 29\% | (117) | 12\% | (47) | 19\% | (77) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (173) | 14\% | (249) | 44\% | (757) | 12\% | (215) | 19\% | (329) | 1722 |

[^104]Table MCBR3_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (253) | 15\% | (340) | 44\% | (960) | 12\% | (268) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (53) | 24\% | (83) | 39\% | (136) | 9\% | (33) | 13\% | (44) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 20\% | (54) | 23\% | (62) | 40\% | (110) | 10\% | (26) | 8\% | (22) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 15\% | (30) | 45\% | (93) | 13\% | (27) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (138) | 16\% | (173) | 36\% | (387) | 13\% | (133) | 22\% | (233) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 23\% | (33) | 43\% | (62) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 14\% | (11) | 27\% | (21) | 45\% | (36) | 12\% | (10) | 2\% | (1) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (32) | $11 \%$ | (58) | 56\% | (281) | 10\% | (52) | 16\% | (83) | 506 |
| Something Else | 11\% | (47) | 14\% | (56) | 47\% | (193) | 14\% | (58) | 13\% | (54) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 22\% | (34) | 42\% | (66) | 10\% | (15) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (104) | 17\% | (114) | 37\% | (251) | 11\% | (78) | 19\% | (132) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (78) | 14\% | (108) | 42\% | (319) | 15\% | (112) | 19\% | (144) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (130) | 22\% | (163) | 40\% | (287) | 10\% | (70) | 10\% | (76) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (82) | 13\% | (119) | 45\% | (420) | 14\% | (129) | 20\% | (183) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 8\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (59) | 47\% | (252) | 13\% | (69) | 22\% | (120) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (106) | 19\% | (122) | 38\% | (248) | 12\% | (78) | 15\% | (101) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (37) | 26\% | (40) | 23\% | (36) | 18\% | (28) | 8\% | (13) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (22) | 20\% | (36) | 37\% | (67) | 10\% | (18) | 20\% | (36) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 15\% | (17) | 58\% | (66) | 6\% | (6) | 17\% | (20) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 15\% | (21) | $22 \%$ | (30) | 43\% | (60) | 14\% | (19) | 6\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (19) | 8\% | (42) | 46\% | (228) | 13\% | (66) | 29\% | (142) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (25) | 12\% | (37) | 57\% | (172) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 11\% | (17) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 52\% | (83) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 12\% | (44) | 13\% | (50) | 38\% | (147) | 13\% | (50) | 24\% | (91) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | $11 \%$ | (209) | 16\% | (290) | 45\% | (813) | 12\% | (218) | 16\% | (288) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (102) | $21 \%$ | (111) | 38\% | (202) | 8\% | (42) | 13\% | (71) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (151) | 14\% | (229) | 45\% | (758) | 13\% | (226) | 18\% | (307) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (78) | 12\% | (97) | 35\% | (272) | 13\% | (100) | $31 \%$ | (241) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 13\% | (170) | 18\% | (241) | 48\% | (638) | 12\% | (159) | 10\% | (129) | 1336 |

[^105]Table MCBR3_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (253) | 15\% | (340) | 44\% | (960) | 12\% | (268) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (50) | 10\% | (49) | 33\% | (155) | 11\% | (52) | 36\% | (169) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (27) | 15\% | (47) | 38\% | (117) | 15\% | (48) | 23\% | (71) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (31) | 26\% | (70) | 39\% | (105) | 14\% | (37) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 13\% | (139) | 16\% | (171) | 50\% | (533) | 11\% | (122) | 10\% | (102) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (79) | 12\% | (91) | 34\% | (270) | 13\% | (104) | $31 \%$ | (244) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (162) | 18\% | (233) | 48\% | (629) | 12\% | (158) | 10\% | (127) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (51) | 10\% | (45) | 30\% | (142) | 12\% | (56) | 38\% | (177) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (28) | 15\% | (46) | 40\% | (128) | 15\% | (48) | 21\% | (67) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (24) | 20\% | (43) | 41\% | (90) | 17\% | (37) | 10\% | (22) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (137) | 17\% | (190) | 49\% | (539) | $11 \%$ | (121) | 10\% | (105) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (97) | 14\% | (118) | 41\% | (357) | 12\% | (106) | 21\% | (183) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (26) | 12\% | (35) | 38\% | (110) | 14\% | (41) | 27\% | (78) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (53) | 18\% | (62) | 50\% | (176) | 10\% | (33) | 8\% | (28) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (23) | 15\% | (39) | 46\% | (123) | 12\% | (33) | 18\% | (48) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (19) | 11\% | (10) | 52\% | (50) | 14\% | (13) | 5\% | (4) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (15) | 32\% | (36) | 34\% | (38) | 14\% | (15) | 6\% | (7) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (8) | 22\% | (18) | 43\% | (35) | 16\% | (13) | 10\% | (8) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (12) | 16\% | (23) | 50\% | (71) | 10\% | (14) | 16\% | (22) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 17\% | (177) | 23\% | (235) | 43\% | (439) | 9\% | (95) | 8\% | (79) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 9\% | (58) | 35\% | (232) | 18\% | (117) | 34\% | (224) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 1\% | (1) | 61\% | (52) | 23\% | (19) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (40) | 11\% | (45) | 56\% | (237) | 9\% | (37) | 15\% | (62) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 17\% | (133) | 20\% | (152) | 43\% | (336) | 11\% | (82) | 9\% | (72) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (34) | 11\% | (59) | $31 \%$ | (171) | 15\% | (83) | 38\% | (211) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 17\% | (120) | 20\% | (141) | 45\% | (314) | 11\% | (74) | 8\% | (54) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (42) | 11\% | (70) | 32\% | (209) | 16\% | (104) | 34\% | (221) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 6\% | (5) | 54\% | (47) | 17\% | (15) | 22\% | (19) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 12\% | (90) | 16\% | (124) | 51\% | (387) | 10\% | (74) | 11\% | (85) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_16: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Media companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (253) | 15\% | (340) | 44\% | (960) | 12\% | (268) | 17\% | (379) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $11 \%$ | (135) | 15\% | (187) | 39\% | (474) | 13\% | (158) | 22\% | (262) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (118) | 16\% | (154) | 49\% | (485) | $11 \%$ | (110) | $12 \%$ | (117) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (56) | 20\% | (80) | 40\% | (156) | $11 \%$ | (45) | 14\% | (57) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 9\% | (42) | 13\% | (61) | 48\% | (222) | 14\% | (65) | 16\% | (73) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 10\% | (82) | 13\% | (110) | 44\% | (359) | 12\% | (103) | $21 \%$ | (170) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 14\% | (73) | 17\% | (90) | 43\% | (223) | $11 \%$ | (55) | 15\% | (79) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (199) | 13\% | (279) | 50\% | (1109) | 13\% | (282) | 15\% | (331) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (118) | 15\% | (158) | 48\% | (508) | $11 \%$ | (120) | 15\% | (158) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (81) | 11\% | (121) | 53\% | (601) | 14\% | (162) | 15\% | (174) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 13\% | (88) | 15\% | (101) | 53\% | (345) | 10\% | (69) | 8\% | (52) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 15\% | (55) | 22\% | (79) | 46\% | (165) | 9\% | (32) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (41) | 10\% | (72) | 51\% | (381) | 15\% | (112) | 19\% | (145) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 6\% | (27) | 50\% | (219) | 16\% | (69) | 24\% | (107) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 9\% | (29) | 12\% | (41) | 57\% | (189) | 12\% | (41) | 10\% | (32) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (110) | 20\% | (121) | 46\% | (277) | 9\% | (53) | 7\% | (39) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (34) | 14\% | (77) | 50\% | (263) | 12\% | (65) | 17\% | (92) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (17) | 6\% | (38) | 52\% | (345) | 17\% | (111) | 22\% | (147) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 14\% | (127) | 19\% | (173) | 50\% | (454) | 9\% | (78) | 9\% | (80) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 6\% | (40) | 58\% | (375) | 16\% | (108) | 14\% | (89) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (32) | 10\% | (66) | 44\% | (280) | 15\% | (96) | 25\% | (162) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 19\% | (82) | 21\% | (91) | 44\% | (186) | 7\% | (30) | 8\% | (35) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (46) | 17\% | (82) | 55\% | (267) | 10\% | (48) | 9\% | (45) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (15) | 8\% | (25) | 56\% | (172) | 15\% | (45) | 16\% | (49) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (25) | 4\% | (15) | 59\% | (203) | 18\% | (63) | 12\% | (40) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (21) | 13\% | (42) | 45\% | (150) | 14\% | (46) | 22\% | (74) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 3\% | (10) | 8\% | (24) | 43\% | (130) | 17\% | (51) | 29\% | (89) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (107) | 21\% | (135) | 45\% | (295) | 9\% | (56) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (36) | $11 \%$ | (70) | 59\% | (380) | 13\% | (81) | 12\% | (79) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (48) | 8\% | (51) | 41\% | (275) | 18\% | (119) | 26\% | (171) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (105) | 10\% | (150) | 55\% | (832) | 13\% | (191) | 16\% | (235) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (49) | 17\% | (74) | 42\% | (184) | 16\% | (71) | 15\% | (64) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 18\% | (44) | 23\% | (55) | 38\% | (93) | 8\% | (20) | 13\% | (32) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 6\% | (72) | 9\% | (104) | 58\% | (654) | 13\% | (141) | 13\% | (149) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (63) | 14\% | (95) | 46\% | (316) | 14\% | (94) | 17\% | (114) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (63) | 20\% | (80) | 35\% | (139) | 12\% | (47) | 17\% | (68) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (145) | 12\% | (198) | 50\% | (864) | 14\% | (235) | 16\% | (279) | 1722 |

[^106]Table MCBR3_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (199) | 13\% | (279) | 50\% | (1109) | 13\% | (282) | 15\% | (331) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (51) | 16\% | (56) | 50\% | (176) | 8\% | (27) | 11\% | (40) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 13\% | (36) | 20\% | (55) | 51\% | (139) | 6\% | (16) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (17) | 13\% | (26) | 52\% | (106) | 15\% | (31) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (114) | 14\% | (147) | 43\% | (455) | 14\% | (151) | 19\% | (197) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | $14 \%$ | (20) | 23\% | (33) | 48\% | (68) | 8\% | (11) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | 10\% | (8) | 18\% | (14) | 58\% | (46) | 6\% | (5) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (32) | 9\% | (44) | 61\% | (307) | 11\% | (55) | 14\% | (68) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (25) | 10\% | (41) | 57\% | (233) | 15\% | (60) | 12\% | (49) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 13\% | (21) | 22\% | (35) | 45\% | (72) | 10\% | (15) | 9\% | (15) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (83) | 16\% | (107) | 42\% | (283) | 14\% | (98) | 16\% | (107) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (51) | 10\% | (75) | 52\% | (396) | 14\% | (106) | 17\% | (131) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 17\% | (124) | 19\% | (138) | 46\% | (337) | 8\% | (58) | 10\% | (69) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (53) | 9\% | (87) | 52\% | (486) | 15\% | (139) | 18\% | (169) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 4\% | (22) | 10\% | (54) | 53\% | (286) | 16\% | (85) | 17\% | (93) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (94) | 17\% | (112) | 42\% | (276) | 12\% | (80) | 14\% | (92) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (25) | 26\% | (39) | 35\% | (54) | 14\% | (22) | 9\% | (13) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 9\% | (16) | 16\% | (29) | 48\% | (85) | 13\% | (24) | 13\% | (24) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 8\% | (10) | 65\% | (75) | 9\% | (10) | 12\% | (14) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 11\% | (15) | 17\% | (24) | 55\% | (77) | 7\% | (10) | 10\% | (14) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 5\% | (23) | 54\% | (266) | 14\% | (68) | 25\% | (124) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 6\% | (20) | 8\% | (24) | 62\% | (188) | 13\% | (39) | 11\% | (34) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 5\% | (7) | 11\% | (17) | 56\% | (89) | 18\% | (28) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (34) | 12\% | (44) | 43\% | (162) | 16\% | (62) | 21\% | (79) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (165) | 13\% | (235) | 52\% | (947) | 12\% | (220) | 14\% | (253) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (84) | 19\% | (101) | 43\% | (226) | 10\% | (51) | 13\% | (67) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (115) | 11\% | (178) | 53\% | (883) | 14\% | (231) | 16\% | (265) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (59) | 11\% | (87) | 41\% | (326) | 15\% | (118) | 25\% | (196) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (130) | 14\% | (188) | 55\% | (736) | 11\% | (150) | 10\% | (132) | 1336 |

[^107]Table MCBR3_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (199) | 13\% | (279) | 50\% | (1109) | 13\% | (282) | 15\% | (331) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (43) | 10\% | (48) | 39\% | (183) | 14\% | (68) | 28\% | (133) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (16) | 12\% | (38) | 46\% | (143) | 16\% | (50) | 20\% | (64) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (17) | 16\% | (43) | 49\% | (133) | 19\% | (51) | 9\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 11\% | (113) | 14\% | (145) | 57\% | (603) | 9\% | (98) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (62) | 11\% | (85) | 41\% | (324) | 16\% | (125) | 25\% | (193) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (118) | 15\% | (191) | 55\% | (720) | 11\% | (149) | 10\% | (130) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (37) | 10\% | (49) | 37\% | (177) | 16\% | (75) | 28\% | (134) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (24) | 12\% | (37) | 46\% | (147) | 16\% | (50) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 6\% | (13) | 19\% | (42) | 47\% | (101) | 18\% | (38) | 10\% | (22) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (105) | 14\% | (149) | 57\% | (619) | 10\% | (111) | 10\% | (109) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (64) | 11\% | (91) | 49\% | (425) | 15\% | (131) | 17\% | (150) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 9\% | (25) | 13\% | (39) | 40\% | (115) | 14\% | (41) | 24\% | (70) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 13\% | (45) | 14\% | (49) | 58\% | (205) | 9\% | (31) | 6\% | (20) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (17) | 11\% | (29) | 50\% | (134) | 16\% | (43) | 16\% | (44) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (12) | 18\% | (17) | 53\% | (52) | 12\% | (11) | 6\% | (5) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (16) | 21\% | (23) | 42\% | (47) | 11\% | (12) | 11\% | (12) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (8) | 18\% | (15) | 56\% | (46) | 6\% | (5) | $11 \%$ | (9) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 8\% | (11) | 12\% | (16) | 60\% | (85) | 6\% | (9) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 13\% | (138) | 19\% | (191) | 50\% | (511) | 9\% | (93) | 9\% | (90) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (26) | 7\% | (47) | 44\% | (290) | 18\% | (122) | 27\% | (182) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 4\% | (3) | 68\% | (57) | 19\% | (16) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (34) | 9\% | (37) | 59\% | (249) | 12\% | (49) | 12\% | (51) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (106) | 19\% | (147) | 48\% | (373) | 9\% | (70) | 10\% | (78) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (28) | 8\% | (47) | 39\% | (219) | 17\% | (96) | 30\% | (167) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 13\% | (94) | 18\% | (125) | 51\% | (356) | 10\% | (68) | 8\% | (59) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 9\% | (60) | 41\% | (264) | 17\% | (109) | 28\% | (179) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 11\% | (9) | 59\% | (51) | 16\% | (14) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (66) | 11\% | (83) | 58\% | (437) | 12\% | (91) | $11 \%$ | (81) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_17: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Office supply brands

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely | Much less likely | Total N |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $9 \%$ | $(199)$ | $13 \%$ | $(279)$ | $50 \%$ | $(1109)$ | $13 \%$ | $(282)$ | $15 \%$ | $(331)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $9 \%$ | $(113)$ | $13 \%$ | $(163)$ | $46 \%$ | $(555)$ | $13 \%$ | $(157)$ | $19 \%$ | $(229)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $9 \%$ | $(86)$ | $12 \%$ | $(116)$ | $56 \%$ | $(554)$ | $13 \%$ | $(125)$ | $10 \%$ | $(103)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $12 \%$ | $(47)$ | $17 \%$ | $(69)$ | $45 \%$ | $(179)$ | $10 \%$ | $(39)$ | $15 \%$ | $(60)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $6 \%$ | $(29)$ | $11 \%$ | $(49)$ | $54 \%$ | $(250)$ | $16 \%$ | $(76)$ | $13 \%$ | $(58)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $7 \%$ | $(56)$ | $10 \%$ | $(84)$ | $53 \%$ | $(438)$ | $13 \%$ | $(106)$ | $17 \%$ | $(141)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | $(68)$ | $15 \%$ | $(77)$ | $47 \%$ | $(242)$ | $12 \%$ | $(61)$ | $14 \%$ | $(72)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $11 \%$ | (232) | 13\% | (289) | 48\% | (1061) | 13\% | (294) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (141) | 14\% | (152) | 46\% | (491) | $11 \%$ | (121) | 15\% | (156) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (91) | 12\% | (136) | 50\% | (570) | 15\% | (173) | 15\% | (169) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (100) | 19\% | (123) | 46\% | (304) | 12\% | (77) | 8\% | (52) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 18\% | (64) | 19\% | (67) | 48\% | (170) | 8\% | (29) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (55) | 10\% | (72) | 49\% | (368) | 13\% | (99) | 21\% | (157) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (13) | 6\% | (26) | 50\% | (219) | 20\% | (89) | 20\% | (89) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $11 \%$ | (38) | 17\% | (56) | 48\% | (159) | 14\% | (47) | 10\% | (32) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 20\% | (117) | 20\% | (119) | 45\% | (269) | 9\% | (56) | 6\% | (38) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (48) | 13\% | (68) | 48\% | (256) | $11 \%$ | (58) | 19\% | (101) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (21) | 7\% | (43) | 53\% | (347) | 17\% | (114) | 20\% | (135) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (141) | 18\% | (161) | 49\% | (447) | 10\% | (87) | 8\% | (75) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (44) | 10\% | (66) | 53\% | (345) | 16\% | (101) | 15\% | (96) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (47) | 10\% | (61) | 42\% | (269) | 17\% | (105) | 24\% | (154) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (89) | 19\% | (79) | 45\% | (192) | 7\% | (30) | 8\% | (34) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | $11 \%$ | (53) | 17\% | (82) | 52\% | (255) | 12\% | (57) | 9\% | (42) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (22) | $11 \%$ | (34) | 51\% | (157) | 13\% | (39) | 18\% | (55) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (22) | 9\% | (33) | 54\% | (188) | 18\% | (63) | 12\% | (41) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 9\% | (30) | 12\% | (39) | $43 \%$ | (142) | 16\% | (53) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 7\% | (22) | 42\% | (126) | 17\% | (53) | 28\% | (86) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 17\% | (113) | 20\% | (130) | 43\% | (280) | $11 \%$ | (72) | 9\% | (60) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (50) | 12\% | (79) | 56\% | (363) | $11 \%$ | (74) | 12\% | (78) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (49) | $9 \%$ | (57) | 42\% | (275) | 18\% | (118) | 25\% | (165) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (128) | 11\% | (160) | 53\% | (794) | 13\% | (198) | 15\% | (233) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (56) | 16\% | (72) | 41\% | (183) | 16\% | (71) | 14\% | (61) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 20\% | (48) | 23\% | (56) | $34 \%$ | (84) | 10\% | (25) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (92) | 11\% | (126) | 55\% | (615) | 12\% | (133) | 14\% | (155) | 1121 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 11\% | (77) | 12\% | (84) | 45\% | (305) | 16\% | (112) | 15\% | (103) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 16\% | (63) | 20\% | (78) | 35\% | (141) | 12\% | (49) | 17\% | (67) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (164) | 12\% | (213) | 49\% | (837) | 14\% | (239) | 16\% | (269) | 1722 |

[^108]Table MCBR3_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (232) | 13\% | (289) | 48\% | (1061) | 13\% | (294) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 17\% | (60) | 16\% | (55) | 45\% | (157) | 10\% | (36) | 12\% | (41) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 15\% | (41) | 17\% | (47) | 48\% | (131) | 9\% | (24) | $11 \%$ | (30) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (27) | 14\% | (29) | 45\% | (93) | 15\% | (31) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 12\% | (127) | 14\% | (150) | 42\% | (452) | 14\% | (145) | 18\% | (191) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 19\% | (27) | 21\% | (31) | 47\% | (66) | 8\% | (11) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 9\% | (7) | 18\% | (14) | 48\% | (38) | 19\% | (15) | 7\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (31) | 11\% | (55) | $56 \%$ | (284) | 14\% | (69) | 13\% | (67) | 506 |
| Something Else | 10\% | (40) | 9\% | (38) | $54 \%$ | (220) | 13\% | (54) | 13\% | (54) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 21\% | (34) | 46\% | (73) | 8\% | (12) | 8\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (103) | 15\% | (99) | 41\% | (278) | 13\% | (86) | 16\% | (111) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (61) | 11\% | (81) | 50\% | (380) | 15\% | (110) | 17\% | (127) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (129) | 20\% | (143) | 45\% | (325) | 8\% | (61) | 9\% | (67) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (76) | 9\% | (85) | 49\% | (462) | 16\% | (146) | 18\% | (166) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 5\% | (27) | 11\% | (61) | $51 \%$ | (275) | 16\% | (87) | 17\% | (92) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (96) | 17\% | (109) | 42\% | (277) | 12\% | (79) | 14\% | (93) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (29) | 22\% | (33) | 39\% | (60) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 12\% | (21) | 14\% | (25) | 46\% | (82) | 12\% | (21) | 16\% | (29) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 9\% | (10) | 7\% | (8) | 62\% | (71) | 10\% | (12) | 12\% | (14) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 11\% | (15) | 21\% | (29) | 47\% | (66) | 13\% | (18) | 8\% | (12) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (16) | 7\% | (36) | $51 \%$ | (253) | 17\% | (83) | 22\% | (109) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 10\% | (31) | 9\% | (28) | 55\% | (169) | 14\% | (44) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (13) | 13\% | (21) | 52\% | (82) | 12\% | (20) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 11\% | (43) | $12 \%$ | (46) | 40\% | (154) | 15\% | (58) | $21 \%$ | (81) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (189) | 13\% | (243) | 50\% | (907) | 13\% | (236) | 13\% | (244) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (101) | 18\% | (94) | 42\% | (225) | 9\% | (50) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (131) | 12\% | (195) | 50\% | (836) | 15\% | (244) | 16\% | (265) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 10\% | (76) | 12\% | (95) | 37\% | (291) | 17\% | (131) | 25\% | (194) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (147) | 14\% | (189) | $54 \%$ | (726) | $11 \%$ | (152) | 9\% | (123) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR3_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (232) | 13\% | (289) | 48\% | (1061) | 13\% | (294) | 15\% | (325) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 11\% | (52) | 9\% | (44) | 37\% | (174) | 15\% | (72) | 28\% | (134) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (23) | 16\% | (51) | 38\% | (118) | 19\% | (59) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (23) | 19\% | (52) | 49\% | (131) | 17\% | (45) | 7\% | (20) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $12 \%$ | (124) | 13\% | (137) | 56\% | (594) | 10\% | (107) | 10\% | (103) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (75) | 12\% | (93) | 38\% | (301) | 17\% | (134) | 24\% | (186) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (143) | 14\% | (186) | 54\% | (701) | $11 \%$ | (148) | 10\% | (130) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (52) | 8\% | (39) | 36\% | (168) | 16\% | (77) | 29\% | (135) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 17\% | (54) | 42\% | (133) | 18\% | (57) | 16\% | (51) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (22) | 18\% | (39) | 47\% | (101) | 16\% | (34) | 9\% | (20) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (121) | 13\% | (147) | 55\% | (600) | 10\% | (113) | 10\% | (110) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (79) | $12 \%$ | (99) | 47\% | (400) | 16\% | (134) | 17\% | (147) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 10\% | (28) | $11 \%$ | (32) | 38\% | (111) | 15\% | (43) | 26\% | (76) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (52) | 15\% | (52) | 55\% | (193) | 10\% | (35) | 5\% | (19) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 11\% | (30) | 10\% | (25) | 49\% | (132) | 16\% | (43) | 14\% | (37) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 11\% | (11) | 23\% | (22) | $51 \%$ | (50) | 10\% | (10) | 5\% | (4) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (14) | 20\% | (22) | 48\% | (53) | $7 \%$ | (8) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (8) | 19\% | (16) | 47\% | (39) | 10\% | (8) | 15\% | (13) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (10) | 14\% | (20) | 59\% | (83) | 9\% | (13) | 11\% | (16) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (156) | 18\% | (182) | 49\% | (503) | 10\% | (98) | 8\% | (85) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (41) | 7\% | (48) | 41\% | (271) | 19\% | (128) | 27\% | (179) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 6\% | (5) | 60\% | (51) | 24\% | (20) | 9\% | (8) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (34) | 13\% | (53) | 56\% | (235) | $11 \%$ | (46) | 12\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (109) | 17\% | (133) | 49\% | (381) | 9\% | (74) | 10\% | (78) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (38) | 8\% | (46) | 37\% | (206) | 19\% | (103) | 29\% | (164) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (99) | 18\% | (124) | 50\% | (351) | 10\% | (69) | 9\% | (61) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (45) | 10\% | (62) | 39\% | (252) | 18\% | (115) | 27\% | (173) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 4\% | (4) | 62\% | (53) | $21 \%$ | (18) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (86) | 13\% | (99) | 53\% | (401) | 12\% | (93) | 11\% | (80) | 759 |

[^109]Table MCBR3_18: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Packaged food brands, such as potato chips

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (174) | $12 \%$ | (270) | $51 \%$ | (1120) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 10\% | (104) | 15\% | (158) | 48\% | (509) | 13\% | (135) | 15\% | (157) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 6\% | (70) | 10\% | (112) | $54 \%$ | (611) | 14\% | (162) | 16\% | (183) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $11 \%$ | (74) | 16\% | (107) | 53\% | (346) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 9\% | (57) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | $14 \%$ | (49) | 23\% | (82) | 45\% | (162) | 10\% | (37) | 8\% | (28) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (40) | 8\% | (62) | 50\% | (374) | 15\% | (114) | $21 \%$ | (161) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 2\% | (10) | 5\% | (20) | $54 \%$ | (238) | 17\% | (75) | $21 \%$ | (93) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (26) | 13\% | (44) | 57\% | (189) | $11 \%$ | (37) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 15\% | (92) | $21 \%$ | (126) | 46\% | (275) | $11 \%$ | (65) | 7\% | (41) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (32) | 13\% | (66) | 49\% | (258) | 14\% | (73) | 19\% | (101) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (17) | 5\% | (31) | 55\% | (364) | 16\% | (107) | 21\% | (139) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 12\% | (108) | 17\% | (159) | 53\% | (482) | 9\% | (83) | 9\% | (80) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 4\% | (28) | 8\% | (52) | 55\% | (358) | 18\% | (117) | 15\% | (97) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (37) | 9\% | (59) | 44\% | (280) | 15\% | (97) | 26\% | (163) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 16\% | (66) | 20\% | (87) | 48\% | (205) | 9\% | (37) | 7\% | (28) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (42) | 15\% | (72) | 57\% | (276) | 9\% | (46) | 11\% | (52) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $4 \%$ | (13) | $11 \%$ | (33) | 49\% | (149) | 19\% | (57) | 17\% | (53) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 4\% | (15) | 5\% | (18) | 60\% | (209) | 17\% | (60) | 13\% | (44) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (24) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 47\% | (155) | 12\% | (41) | 23\% | (75) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (13) | 7\% | (22) | $41 \%$ | (125) | 19\% | (56) | 29\% | (87) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 13\% | (88) | 17\% | (114) | 49\% | (320) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 9\% | (61) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (42) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 57\% | (367) | 13\% | (82) | 13\% | (84) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (37) | 10\% | (64) | 41\% | (274) | 18\% | (118) | 26\% | (171) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (84) | 10\% | (145) | 56\% | (846) | 13\% | (194) | 16\% | (243) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (50) | 15\% | (66) | 42\% | (185) | 18\% | (78) | 15\% | (66) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 16\% | (40) | 24\% | (60) | $36 \%$ | (89) | 10\% | (26) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (73) | 8\% | (92) | 58\% | (646) | 13\% | (145) | 15\% | (165) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 7\% | (49) | 13\% | (91) | 49\% | (335) | 15\% | (102) | 15\% | (105) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 13\% | (52) | 22\% | (87) | 35\% | (139) | 13\% | (50) | 18\% | (70) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (127) | 12\% | (199) | $51 \%$ | (875) | 14\% | (234) | 17\% | (287) | 1722 |

[^110]Table MCBR3_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (174) | $12 \%$ | (270) | 51\% | (1120) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (42) | 17\% | (60) | 50\% | (175) | 9\% | (32) | 11\% | (40) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 13\% | (34) | 18\% | (49) | 48\% | (131) | 11\% | (30) | 11\% | (29) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 6\% | (12) | 11\% | (22) | 56\% | (114) | 16\% | (33) | 11\% | (23) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (102) | 13\% | (141) | 44\% | (468) | 14\% | (154) | 19\% | (199) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 16\% | (23) | 20\% | (29) | 46\% | (66) | 10\% | (14) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 9\% | (8) | 14\% | (11) | 59\% | (47) | 7\% | (5) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 4\% | (21) | 9\% | (48) | 61\% | (310) | 12\% | (60) | 13\% | (67) | 506 |
| Something Else | 5\% | (20) | 10\% | (41) | 56\% | (229) | 15\% | (63) | 13\% | (54) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 16\% | (25) | 18\% | (29) | 45\% | (71) | 11\% | (17) | 11\% | (17) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (81) | $14 \%$ | (95) | 44\% | (299) | 14\% | (94) | 16\% | (109) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (38) | 11\% | (83) | 51\% | (386) | 16\% | (118) | 18\% | (135) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 13\% | (96) | 20\% | (147) | 47\% | (344) | 10\% | (70) | 9\% | (67) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 6\% | (56) | 8\% | (74) | 51\% | (477) | 17\% | (155) | 18\% | (172) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 4\% | (22) | 9\% | (49) | 55\% | (299) | 13\% | (72) | 19\% | (100) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 11\% | (75) | 17\% | (110) | 46\% | (300) | 12\% | (78) | 14\% | (91) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (28) | 23\% | (35) | $32 \%$ | (49) | 16\% | (25) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (17) | 15\% | (26) | 46\% | (82) | 14\% | (25) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 3\% | (4) | 9\% | (10) | 61\% | (70) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 10\% | (15) | 13\% | (18) | 57\% | (80) | 9\% | (13) | 10\% | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 2\% | (10) | $5 \%$ | (24) | 55\% | (273) | 15\% | (75) | 23\% | (114) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 7\% | (21) | 9\% | (26) | 57\% | (172) | 16\% | (48) | 12\% | (37) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 3\% | (4) | 12\% | (20) | 59\% | (93) | 13\% | (21) | 13\% | (20) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (35) | 9\% | (33) | 46\% | (175) | 16\% | (62) | 20\% | (76) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 8\% | (139) | 13\% | (237) | 52\% | (945) | 13\% | (235) | 14\% | (263) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 14\% | (75) | 18\% | (94) | 44\% | (232) | 10\% | (55) | 14\% | (72) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 6\% | (99) | 11\% | (176) | 53\% | (887) | 14\% | (242) | 16\% | (267) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (54) | 11\% | (90) | 41\% | (325) | 15\% | (116) | 26\% | (202) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 8\% | (112) | 13\% | (173) | 56\% | (750) | 13\% | (170) | 10\% | (131) | 1336 |

[^111]Table MCBR3_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 8\% | (174) | 12\% | (270) | 51\% | (1120) | 14\% | (297) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (42) | 10\% | (49) | 38\% | (180) | 13\% | (63) | 30\% | (142) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $4 \%$ | (12) | 13\% | (41) | 46\% | (144) | 17\% | (53) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (21) | 17\% | (46) | 46\% | (124) | 19\% | (52) | 10\% | (28) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 8\% | (91) | 12\% | (128) | 59\% | (626) | 11\% | (118) | 10\% | (103) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (56) | 11\% | (89) | 40\% | (316) | 16\% | (126) | 26\% | (202) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (109) | 13\% | (172) | 57\% | (742) | 12\% | (157) | 10\% | (128) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (39) | 10\% | (45) | 37\% | (175) | 14\% | (65) | 31\% | (147) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (17) | 14\% | (44) | 44\% | (141) | 19\% | (60) | 17\% | (56) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (20) | 16\% | (35) | 47\% | (102) | 16\% | (35) | 11\% | (24) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (89) | 13\% | (137) | 59\% | (640) | 11\% | (122) | 9\% | (104) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 7\% | (59) | 11\% | (93) | 49\% | (422) | 15\% | (132) | 18\% | (154) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (22) | 12\% | (35) | 45\% | (131) | 13\% | (37) | 22\% | (63) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (43) | 13\% | (46) | 58\% | (203) | 9\% | (33) | 8\% | (27) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 6\% | (15) | 10\% | (25) | 52\% | (140) | 15\% | (40) | 18\% | (47) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 6\% | (6) | 19\% | (19) | 59\% | (57) | 10\% | (9) | 6\% | (6) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 11\% | (13) | 22\% | (24) | 40\% | (44) | 15\% | (17) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (11) | 17\% | (14) | 46\% | (38) | 12\% | (10) | 11\% | (9) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 3\% | (5) | 10\% | (13) | 60\% | (84) | 13\% | (18) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $12 \%$ | (123) | 17\% | (178) | 52\% | (538) | 10\% | (100) | 8\% | (86) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (27) | 6\% | (42) | 42\% | (283) | 19\% | (128) | 28\% | (187) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 4\% | (3) | 68\% | (58) | 18\% | (15) | 9\% | (7) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (23) | 11\% | (46) | 57\% | (240) | 12\% | (52) | 14\% | (59) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 12\% | (90) | 18\% | (137) | 51\% | (394) | 10\% | (78) | 10\% | (76) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (30) | 8\% | (44) | 37\% | (209) | 18\% | (100) | 31\% | (175) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 12\% | (82) | 18\% | (125) | 53\% | (370) | 10\% | (67) | 8\% | (58) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (36) | 8\% | (53) | 40\% | (259) | 18\% | (115) | 28\% | (184) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 5\% | (4) | 57\% | (49) | 23\% | (20) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (55) | 11\% | (83) | 58\% | (441) | 13\% | (96) | 11\% | (85) | 759 |

[^112]Table MCBR3_19: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Rental cars

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely | Somewhat <br> more likely | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $8 \%$ | $(174)$ | $12 \%$ | $(270)$ | $51 \%$ | $(1120)$ | $14 \%$ | $(297)$ | $15 \%$ | $(339)$ |
| Much less likely |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total N

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 7\% | (157) | 12\% | (256) | 52\% | (1144) | 14\% | (303) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 9\% | (99) | 15\% | (154) | 49\% | (523) | $11 \%$ | (121) | 15\% | (164) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 5\% | (57) | 9\% | (102) | 55\% | (621) | 16\% | (182) | 15\% | (176) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 11\% | (70) | 15\% | (96) | 54\% | (355) | 13\% | (86) | 7\% | (47) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 10\% | (37) | 23\% | (82) | 48\% | (171) | 8\% | (30) | 11\% | (38) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 5\% | (40) | 9\% | (65) | 51\% | (383) | 15\% | (110) | 20\% | (153) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 2\% | (10) | 3\% | (13) | 54\% | (236) | 17\% | (76) | 23\% | (102) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 6\% | (20) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 59\% | (195) | 17\% | (55) | 8\% | (25) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 14\% | (84) | 21\% | (127) | 48\% | (285) | 9\% | (53) | 9\% | (51) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 6\% | (33) | 12\% | (62) | 51\% | (270) | 13\% | (68) | 19\% | (99) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 2\% | (15) | 4\% | (30) | 54\% | (357) | 18\% | (116) | 22\% | (142) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 11\% | (96) | 17\% | (156) | 54\% | (495) | 10\% | (90) | 8\% | (76) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 5\% | (31) | 7\% | (47) | 57\% | (369) | 16\% | (104) | 16\% | (102) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 5\% | (30) | 8\% | (54) | 44\% | (280) | 17\% | (110) | 26\% | (162) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 15\% | (65) | 20\% | (86) | 50\% | (213) | 6\% | (26) | 8\% | (34) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 6\% | (31) | 14\% | (70) | 58\% | (282) | 13\% | (63) | 9\% | (42) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (15) | 10\% | (30) | 53\% | (161) | 14\% | (44) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 4\% | (15) | 5\% | (17) | 60\% | (208) | 17\% | (60) | 13\% | (46) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (19) | 12\% | (38) | 45\% | (149) | 15\% | (51) | 22\% | (74) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (11) | 5\% | (15) | 43\% | (131) | 19\% | (59) | 29\% | (88) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 14\% | (90) | 18\% | (115) | 49\% | (318) | 11\% | (74) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 5\% | (30) | 10\% | (66) | 60\% | (386) | 12\% | (75) | 13\% | (87) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 5\% | (31) | 8\% | (56) | 43\% | (285) | 18\% | (118) | 26\% | (173) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 5\% | (71) | 8\% | (126) | 57\% | (863) | 14\% | (206) | 16\% | (246) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 11\% | (49) | 16\% | (71) | 42\% | (184) | 17\% | (76) | 14\% | (64) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 15\% | (36) | 24\% | (59) | 40\% | (97) | 9\% | (22) | 12\% | (30) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 5\% | (54) | 8\% | (95) | 59\% | (658) | 13\% | (151) | 15\% | (164) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (54) | 12\% | (79) | 50\% | (339) | 15\% | (102) | 16\% | (107) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 12\% | (49) | $21 \%$ | (83) | 37\% | (147) | 13\% | (50) | 17\% | (69) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 7\% | (113) | 11\% | (197) | 51\% | (877) | 14\% | (242) | 17\% | (293) | 1722 |

[^113]Table MCBR3_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 7\% | (157) | 12\% | (256) | 52\% | (1144) | 14\% | (303) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 8\% | (27) | 16\% | (56) | 56\% | (194) | 10\% | (34) | 11\% | (38) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (33) | 13\% | (36) | 55\% | (152) | 10\% | (28) | 9\% | (26) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 5\% | (10) | 12\% | (24) | 56\% | (115) | 16\% | (33) | 10\% | (21) | 204 |
| All Christian | 8\% | (90) | 13\% | (141) | 45\% | (481) | 15\% | (161) | 18\% | (191) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 13\% | (18) | 23\% | (33) | 50\% | (72) | 8\% | (11) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 10\% | (8) | 17\% | (14) | 56\% | (45) | 9\% | (7) | 8\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 4\% | (23) | 7\% | (37) | 61\% | (309) | 12\% | (62) | 15\% | (76) | 506 |
| Something Else | 4\% | (18) | 8\% | (31) | 58\% | (237) | 15\% | (62) | 15\% | (59) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 12\% | (19) | $21 \%$ | (34) | 48\% | (75) | 11\% | (17) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 10\% | (69) | $14 \%$ | (97) | 46\% | (309) | 13\% | (89) | 17\% | (113) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 5\% | (36) | 9\% | (70) | 52\% | (397) | 17\% | (127) | 17\% | (130) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 12\% | (88) | 20\% | (145) | 49\% | (355) | 10\% | (70) | 9\% | (67) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 5\% | (51) | 7\% | (69) | 53\% | (497) | 16\% | (148) | 18\% | (170) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 3\% | (18) | 8\% | (42) | 54\% | (293) | 16\% | (85) | 19\% | (103) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 11\% | (75) | 16\% | (104) | 47\% | (309) | 12\% | (81) | 13\% | (85) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (24) | 22\% | (33) | 36\% | (55) | 18\% | (27) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (14) | 16\% | (28) | 46\% | (82) | 13\% | (22) | 18\% | (32) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (5) | 8\% | (9) | 62\% | (71) | 10\% | (12) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 5\% | (7) | 20\% | (28) | 50\% | (70) | 17\% | (24) | 7\% | (10) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 3\% | (13) | 4\% | (19) | 53\% | (265) | 15\% | (74) | 25\% | (125) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | $5 \%$ | (15) | $7 \%$ | (21) | 63\% | (192) | 13\% | (39) | 12\% | (37) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 2\% | (3) | 9\% | (14) | 62\% | (99) | 14\% | (23) | 12\% | (20) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (36) | 7\% | (26) | 47\% | (180) | 16\% | (61) | 21\% | (79) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 7\% | (120) | 13\% | (230) | 53\% | (964) | 13\% | (242) | 14\% | (261) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 13\% | (69) | 19\% | (98) | 44\% | (234) | 12\% | (61) | 13\% | (66) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 5\% | (87) | 9\% | (158) | 54\% | (910) | 14\% | (242) | 16\% | (274) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (56) | 10\% | (80) | 41\% | (321) | 16\% | (125) | 26\% | (206) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 7\% | (93) | 13\% | (174) | 58\% | (774) | 12\% | (166) | 10\% | (129) | 1336 |

[^114]Table MCBR3_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 7\% | (157) | 12\% | (256) | $52 \%$ | (1144) | $14 \%$ | (303) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (40) | 9\% | (44) | 39\% | (183) | 14\% | (69) | 29\% | (139) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (16) | 11\% | (35) | 44\% | (137) | 18\% | (56) | 22\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 6\% | (16) | 18\% | (49) | 51\% | (138) | 17\% | (46) | 8\% | (22) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 7\% | (78) | 12\% | (125) | 60\% | (636) | $11 \%$ | (120) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (54) | 11\% | (87) | 40\% | (313) | 17\% | (133) | 26\% | (202) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (96) | 12\% | (162) | 58\% | (756) | 12\% | (163) | 10\% | (131) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (37) | 10\% | (46) | 36\% | (170) | 16\% | (76) | 30\% | (142) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 5\% | (17) | 13\% | (42) | 45\% | (143) | 18\% | (57) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (16) | 14\% | (29) | 49\% | (106) | 20\% | (42) | 10\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 7\% | (80) | 12\% | (133) | 60\% | (650) | $11 \%$ | (121) | 10\% | (108) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 6\% | (52) | 10\% | (89) | 51\% | (435) | 16\% | (134) | 17\% | (149) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 6\% | (16) | 15\% | (43) | 43\% | (123) | 12\% | (36) | 24\% | (71) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (42) | 12\% | (43) | 58\% | (205) | 10\% | (35) | 8\% | (26) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 5\% | (14) | 9\% | (23) | 52\% | (140) | 17\% | (45) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 8\% | (7) | 16\% | (16) | 55\% | (53) | 16\% | (16) | 5\% | (5) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 11\% | (12) | 15\% | (16) | 48\% | (53) | 15\% | (17) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (8) | 15\% | (13) | 52\% | (43) | 13\% | (11) | 10\% | (8) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 3\% | (5) | 9\% | (13) | 65\% | (92) | 7\% | (10) | 16\% | (22) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 11\% | (108) | 18\% | (180) | 53\% | (546) | 10\% | (105) | 8\% | (86) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (30) | 6\% | (42) | 41\% | (276) | 19\% | (127) | 29\% | (192) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 4\% | (4) | 66\% | (55) | 17\% | (14) | 12\% | (10) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $4 \%$ | (17) | 7\% | (31) | 63\% | (265) | 13\% | (56) | 12\% | (52) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 11\% | (82) | 16\% | (127) | $52 \%$ | (405) | 10\% | (78) | $11 \%$ | (82) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 5\% | (28) | 9\% | (49) | 37\% | (209) | 17\% | (95) | $31 \%$ | (175) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 10\% | (73) | 17\% | (120) | 54\% | (378) | 10\% | (71) | 9\% | (62) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (35) | 9\% | (56) | 40\% | (256) | 18\% | (115) | 29\% | (185) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 4\% | (4) | 62\% | (54) | 18\% | (16) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 6\% | (48) | 10\% | (77) | 59\% | (451) | 13\% | (102) | 11\% | (81) | 759 |

[^115]Table MCBR3_20: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Ride-hailing companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 7\% | (157) | 12\% | (256) | 52\% | (1144) | 14\% | (303) | 15\% | (340) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 8\% | (94) | 12\% | (140) | 47\% | (576) | 13\% | (164) | 20\% | (242) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 6\% | (63) | 12\% | (116) | 58\% | (567) | 14\% | (139) | 10\% | (98) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 11\% | (43) | 18\% | (69) | 47\% | (185) | $11 \%$ | (42) | 14\% | (54) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 3\% | (15) | 8\% | (35) | 57\% | (263) | 17\% | (78) | 15\% | (71) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 6\% | (48) | 9\% | (76) | 53\% | (441) | 14\% | (119) | 17\% | (140) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (50) | 15\% | (76) | 49\% | (255) | 12\% | (63) | 14\% | (75) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 15\% | (319) | 48\% | (1055) | 13\% | (276) | 15\% | (330) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (130) | 17\% | (181) | 46\% | (493) | 10\% | (107) | 14\% | (151) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (89) | 12\% | (139) | 49\% | (562) | 15\% | (169) | 16\% | (179) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (89) | 20\% | (131) | 48\% | (313) | 10\% | (67) | 8\% | (56) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | 21\% | (75) | 46\% | (164) | 7\% | (25) | 8\% | (27) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 6\% | (48) | $11 \%$ | (85) | 48\% | (362) | $14 \%$ | (103) | 20\% | (153) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (15) | 6\% | (28) | 50\% | (217) | 19\% | (82) | 22\% | (95) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (40) | 16\% | (53) | 50\% | (167) | 12\% | (40) | 10\% | (33) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (109) | 23\% | (140) | 44\% | (264) | 8\% | (46) | 7\% | (40) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (42) | 14\% | (73) | 48\% | (253) | 12\% | (64) | 19\% | (99) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (20) | 7\% | (49) | 52\% | (343) | 17\% | (110) | 21\% | (137) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (143) | 20\% | (182) | 47\% | (430) | 9\% | (82) | 8\% | (75) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 54\% | (349) | 14\% | (90) | 16\% | (104) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | 10\% | (66) | 43\% | (276) | 16\% | (104) | 24\% | (151) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (89) | 23\% | (96) | $44 \%$ | (185) | 7\% | (28) | 6\% | (26) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | $11 \%$ | (54) | 18\% | (85) | 50\% | (245) | $11 \%$ | (54) | 10\% | (49) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 5\% | (15) | 14\% | (43) | 50\% | (153) | $11 \%$ | (35) | 19\% | (59) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (23) | 8\% | (28) | 57\% | (196) | 16\% | (55) | 13\% | (45) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 8\% | (26) | 12\% | (41) | 47\% | (155) | 13\% | (44) | 20\% | (67) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (12) | 8\% | (26) | 40\% | (121) | 20\% | (60) | 28\% | (85) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 17\% | (110) | $21 \%$ | (137) | 42\% | (278) | 10\% | (68) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (53) | 13\% | (82) | 56\% | (359) | $11 \%$ | (69) | 13\% | (82) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (46) | $11 \%$ | (75) | 40\% | (267) | 17\% | (114) | 24\% | (161) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 8\% | (120) | 12\% | (185) | 52\% | (786) | 13\% | (190) | 15\% | (232) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 12\% | (53) | 17\% | (75) | 42\% | (186) | $14 \%$ | (63) | 15\% | (67) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 25\% | (60) | $34 \%$ | (84) | 9\% | (23) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (92) | 12\% | (134) | 54\% | (604) | 12\% | (132) | 14\% | (160) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 9\% | (63) | 14\% | (97) | 47\% | (319) | $14 \%$ | (97) | 15\% | (105) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 16\% | (65) | 22\% | (88) | 33\% | (132) | 12\% | (47) | 17\% | (66) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 9\% | (155) | 14\% | (238) | 48\% | (831) | 13\% | (224) | 16\% | (273) | 1722 |

[^116]Table MCBR3_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 15\% | (319) | 48\% | (1055) | 13\% | (276) | 15\% | (330) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (49) | 21\% | (72) | 45\% | (158) | 9\% | (30) | 11\% | (40) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (45) | 18\% | (50) | 44\% | (119) | 8\% | (23) | 13\% | (37) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 9\% | (19) | 15\% | (31) | 51\% | (105) | 14\% | (29) | 10\% | (20) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (119) | 15\% | (160) | 42\% | (448) | 13\% | (142) | 18\% | (194) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 15\% | (22) | 25\% | (35) | 48\% | (69) | 6\% | (8) | 6\% | (9) | 143 |
| Atheist | 15\% | (12) | 17\% | (14) | 49\% | (39) | 13\% | (10) | 6\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 6\% | (30) | 12\% | (63) | 57\% | (289) | 10\% | (52) | 14\% | (72) | 506 |
| Something Else | 9\% | (36) | 12\% | (47) | 52\% | (211) | 15\% | (63) | 12\% | (51) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 14\% | (23) | 24\% | (39) | 47\% | (74) | 6\% | (9) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (100) | 17\% | (114) | 39\% | (263) | 14\% | (95) | 16\% | (106) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (52) | 11\% | (85) | 51\% | (384) | 14\% | (108) | 17\% | (131) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (116) | 23\% | (168) | 43\% | (313) | 8\% | (60) | 9\% | (68) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (69) | 10\% | (91) | 51\% | (474) | 14\% | (131) | 18\% | (171) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (34) | 11\% | (61) | 50\% | (269) | 16\% | (85) | 17\% | (91) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 15\% | (95) | 16\% | (106) | 43\% | (282) | 12\% | (77) | 14\% | (95) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 20\% | (30) | 24\% | (37) | 35\% | (53) | 13\% | (20) | 8\% | (12) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 6\% | (11) | 19\% | (34) | 46\% | (81) | 9\% | (17) | 20\% | (35) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 10\% | (12) | 63\% | (72) | 12\% | (14) | 10\% | (12) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 14\% | (20) | $21 \%$ | (30) | 48\% | (67) | 10\% | (14) | 6\% | (9) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (18) | 7\% | (37) | 51\% | (253) | 16\% | (78) | 22\% | (110) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (24) | 14\% | (43) | 55\% | (167) | 12\% | (35) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (15) | 13\% | (20) | 51\% | (81) | 13\% | (20) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 12\% | (45) | 41\% | (156) | 20\% | (74) | 18\% | (70) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (183) | 15\% | (274) | 49\% | (900) | $11 \%$ | (201) | 14\% | (260) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 18\% | (97) | 20\% | (107) | 41\% | (219) | 9\% | (46) | $11 \%$ | (60) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (123) | 13\% | (213) | 50\% | (837) | 14\% | (229) | 16\% | (270) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (59) | 13\% | (106) | 38\% | (303) | 16\% | (122) | 25\% | (196) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 11\% | (153) | 15\% | (207) | 53\% | (707) | 11\% | (142) | 10\% | (128) | 1336 |

[^117]Table MCBR3_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 15\% | (319) | 48\% | (1055) | 13\% | (276) | 15\% | (330) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 9\% | (45) | 12\% | (55) | 37\% | (174) | 14\% | (69) | 28\% | (133) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (15) | 16\% | (51) | 41\% | (129) | 17\% | (53) | 20\% | (63) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 8\% | (22) | 21\% | (57) | 46\% | (126) | 14\% | (39) | 10\% | (27) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $12 \%$ | (131) | 14\% | (150) | 55\% | (581) | 10\% | (103) | 9\% | (101) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (68) | 14\% | (108) | $38 \%$ | (303) | 16\% | (124) | 24\% | (186) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (137) | 15\% | (203) | 53\% | (693) | 11\% | (141) | 10\% | (134) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (44) | 12\% | (54) | 35\% | (167) | 15\% | (71) | 29\% | (136) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 7\% | (23) | 17\% | (54) | 43\% | (137) | 17\% | (53) | 16\% | (50) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 8\% | (17) | 18\% | (39) | 45\% | (96) | 19\% | (40) | 11\% | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (120) | 15\% | (164) | 55\% | (597) | 9\% | (101) | 10\% | (111) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (77) | 12\% | (104) | 46\% | (396) | 15\% | (133) | 18\% | (151) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (22) | 13\% | (37) | 38\% | (110) | 15\% | (43) | 26\% | (76) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 15\% | (54) | 15\% | (54) | 54\% | (191) | 9\% | (31) | 6\% | (22) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (18) | 16\% | (42) | 50\% | (134) | 13\% | (35) | 14\% | (39) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 10\% | (10) | 20\% | (19) | 55\% | (54) | 9\% | (8) | 7\% | (7) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 17\% | (19) | 24\% | (27) | 44\% | (48) | 7\% | (8) | 8\% | (9) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 13\% | (11) | 18\% | (15) | 48\% | (40) | 9\% | (8) | 12\% | (10) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (10) | 15\% | (21) | 58\% | (82) | 7\% | (10) | 13\% | (18) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (154) | 20\% | (205) | 48\% | (492) | 9\% | (88) | 8\% | (85) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (34) | 8\% | (53) | 42\% | (279) | 18\% | (122) | 27\% | (180) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 1\% | (1) | 65\% | (55) | 18\% | (15) | 12\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 7\% | (29) | 14\% | (61) | 54\% | (228) | 12\% | (50) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (113) | 19\% | (150) | 47\% | (363) | 9\% | (72) | 10\% | (76) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (34) | 9\% | (49) | 38\% | (212) | 17\% | (94) | 30\% | (170) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (100) | 20\% | (140) | 48\% | (335) | 9\% | (66) | 9\% | (63) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (40) | 10\% | (65) | 41\% | (262) | 17\% | (109) | 26\% | (171) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 5\% | (5) | 63\% | (54) | 13\% | (12) | 18\% | (16) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (79) | 14\% | (106) | 53\% | (404) | 12\% | (88) | 11\% | (81) | 759 |

[^118]Table MCBR3_21: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact? Soda brands

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 15\% | (319) | 48\% | (1055) | 13\% | (276) | 15\% | (330) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (121) | $14 \%$ | (170) | 45\% | (542) | 13\% | (154) | 19\% | (228) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 10\% | (98) | 15\% | (149) | $52 \%$ | (513) | 12\% | (121) | 10\% | (102) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 14\% | (56) | 18\% | (70) | 44\% | (173) | 9\% | (37) | 15\% | (58) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 7\% | (32) | 13\% | (60) | 49\% | (228) | 16\% | (72) | 15\% | (70) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 8\% | (69) | 12\% | (100) | 49\% | (408) | 13\% | (109) | 17\% | (139) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 12\% | (63) | 17\% | (89) | 47\% | (246) | 11\% | (58) | 12\% | (64) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (200) | 14\% | (318) | 47\% | (1028) | 14\% | (315) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 11\% | (120) | 16\% | (175) | 44\% | (465) | 14\% | (152) | 14\% | (150) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 7\% | (80) | 13\% | (143) | 50\% | (564) | 14\% | (163) | 17\% | (189) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 12\% | (78) | $21 \%$ | (135) | 48\% | (317) | 13\% | (82) | 7\% | (43) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (59) | $21 \%$ | (74) | 44\% | (158) | 10\% | (36) | 8\% | (30) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (49) | $11 \%$ | (80) | 46\% | (344) | 15\% | (112) | 22\% | (166) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (14) | 7\% | (29) | 48\% | (209) | 19\% | (84) | 23\% | (100) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 8\% | (25) | 20\% | (65) | 53\% | (175) | 12\% | (40) | 8\% | (27) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 18\% | (105) | 21\% | (124) | 44\% | (262) | 12\% | (71) | 6\% | (37) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (44) | 15\% | (78) | 45\% | (236) | 12\% | (66) | 20\% | (106) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 3\% | (20) | 7\% | (45) | 49\% | (326) | 19\% | (124) | 22\% | (144) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (122) | 21\% | (189) | 48\% | (435) | 9\% | (86) | 9\% | (79) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (40) | 10\% | (64) | 52\% | (339) | 17\% | (112) | 15\% | (97) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (38) | 10\% | (65) | 40\% | (254) | 18\% | (116) | 26\% | (163) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 18\% | (77) | 24\% | (100) | 43\% | (184) | 9\% | (37) | 6\% | (26) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 9\% | (45) | 18\% | (89) | $51 \%$ | (251) | 10\% | (50) | $11 \%$ | (53) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (18) | 12\% | (37) | 47\% | (145) | 18\% | (54) | 17\% | (52) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (21) | 8\% | (27) | 56\% | (195) | 17\% | (58) | 13\% | (45) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 7\% | (25) | $11 \%$ | (38) | 41\% | (136) | 19\% | (62) | 22\% | (72) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (13) | 9\% | (27) | 39\% | (118) | 18\% | (55) | 30\% | (91) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 16\% | (106) | $22 \%$ | (146) | 42\% | (276) | 10\% | (68) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (41) | 13\% | (87) | 54\% | (348) | 13\% | (87) | 13\% | (83) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 6\% | (38) | 9\% | (58) | 40\% | (262) | 19\% | (128) | 27\% | (176) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 6\% | (97) | 12\% | (184) | 51\% | (775) | 14\% | (216) | 16\% | (239) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 13\% | (57) | 16\% | (70) | 39\% | (171) | 17\% | (77) | 15\% | (68) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 26\% | (63) | 34\% | (82) | 9\% | (21) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 7\% | (81) | 12\% | (131) | 53\% | (595) | 15\% | (168) | 13\% | (147) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 8\% | (57) | 15\% | (103) | 44\% | (301) | 14\% | (99) | 18\% | (122) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 16\% | (63) | $21 \%$ | (85) | 33\% | (133) | 12\% | (48) | 18\% | (70) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (142) | 13\% | (225) | 47\% | (804) | 15\% | (258) | 17\% | (293) | 1722 |

[^119]Table MCBR3_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ess likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (200) | 14\% | (318) | 47\% | (1028) | 14\% | (315) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 12\% | (41) | 20\% | (68) | 45\% | (156) | 13\% | (45) | 11\% | (39) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 14\% | (38) | 26\% | (70) | 44\% | (120) | 7\% | (19) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 10\% | (20) | 11\% | (23) | 51\% | (105) | 18\% | (38) | 9\% | (19) | 204 |
| All Christian | 10\% | (110) | 15\% | (158) | 39\% | (419) | 16\% | (173) | 19\% | (204) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 14\% | (19) | 24\% | (35) | 49\% | (70) | 8\% | (11) | 5\% | (7) | 143 |
| Atheist | 11\% | (8) | 21\% | (17) | 56\% | (45) | 10\% | (8) | 2\% | (2) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (38) | $11 \%$ | (56) | 57\% | (290) | 11\% | (55) | 13\% | (67) | 506 |
| Something Else | 6\% | (24) | 13\% | (52) | 50\% | (205) | 17\% | (68) | 14\% | (59) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 13\% | (20) | 23\% | (36) | 47\% | (74) | 8\% | (12) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 12\% | (80) | 16\% | (107) | 40\% | (270) | 15\% | (102) | 18\% | (120) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 7\% | (52) | 13\% | (97) | 45\% | (344) | 18\% | (136) | 17\% | (131) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 15\% | (108) | 23\% | (169) | 42\% | (307) | 10\% | (76) | 9\% | (65) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 7\% | (68) | 10\% | (90) | 49\% | (454) | 15\% | (142) | 19\% | (181) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $4 \%$ | (24) | 11\% | (59) | 49\% | (268) | 18\% | (97) | 17\% | (93) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (90) | 18\% | (119) | 42\% | (274) | 12\% | (78) | 14\% | (93) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 19\% | (29) | 26\% | (40) | 30\% | (46) | 14\% | (22) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (15) | 16\% | (28) | 36\% | (64) | $21 \%$ | (37) | 19\% | (34) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 5\% | (6) | 12\% | (13) | 62\% | (71) | 7\% | (9) | 14\% | (16) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 7\% | (10) | 23\% | (33) | 51\% | (71) | 11\% | (15) | 8\% | (11) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 4\% | (20) | 5\% | (24) | 49\% | (243) | 18\% | (89) | 24\% | (120) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (24) | 12\% | (35) | 57\% | (173) | 14\% | (42) | 10\% | (31) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | $4 \%$ | (7) | 15\% | (24) | 54\% | (86) | 15\% | (23) | 12\% | (18) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 9\% | (35) | 14\% | (54) | 39\% | (147) | 18\% | (70) | 20\% | (75) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 9\% | (165) | 15\% | (264) | 48\% | (881) | 13\% | (244) | 14\% | (263) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (86) | 21\% | (111) | 38\% | (201) | 13\% | (68) | 12\% | (63) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 7\% | (114) | 12\% | (207) | 49\% | (827) | 15\% | (247) | 17\% | (276) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 8\% | (63) | 11\% | (89) | 38\% | (301) | 16\% | (129) | 26\% | (206) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 10\% | (127) | 17\% | (224) | 51\% | (683) | 13\% | (173) | 10\% | (128) | 1336 |

[^120]Table MCBR3_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (200) | 14\% | (318) | 47\% | (1028) | 14\% | (315) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (46) | 10\% | (49) | 36\% | (170) | 13\% | (64) | $31 \%$ | (146) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 5\% | (17) | 13\% | (39) | 42\% | (131) | 21\% | (65) | 19\% | (59) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 7\% | (18) | 24\% | (66) | 42\% | (114) | 19\% | (52) | 8\% | (21) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 10\% | (109) | 15\% | (158) | 53\% | (569) | 11\% | (121) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (65) | 12\% | (91) | 37\% | (291) | 17\% | (137) | 26\% | (205) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (122) | 16\% | (212) | 52\% | (676) | 13\% | (172) | 10\% | (126) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (40) | 10\% | (49) | $34 \%$ | (162) | 15\% | (71) | 32\% | (149) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (25) | 13\% | (42) | 40\% | (129) | 21\% | (66) | 18\% | (56) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (21) | 19\% | (42) | 43\% | (92) | 18\% | (40) | 10\% | (21) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 9\% | (101) | 16\% | (170) | 53\% | (584) | 12\% | (133) | 10\% | (104) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 8\% | (65) | 13\% | (115) | 45\% | (391) | 15\% | (133) | 18\% | (156) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 8\% | (23) | 10\% | (29) | 40\% | (116) | 14\% | (41) | 28\% | (80) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | $12 \%$ | (42) | 19\% | (67) | 53\% | (185) | 11\% | (39) | 5\% | (18) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (24) | 9\% | (24) | 48\% | (129) | 18\% | (48) | 16\% | (42) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 14\% | (13) | 16\% | (15) | 53\% | (52) | 13\% | (12) | 5\% | (5) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 15\% | (17) | 23\% | (26) | 34\% | (38) | 18\% | (20) | 10\% | (11) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 10\% | (8) | 26\% | (21) | 46\% | (38) | 7\% | (6) | 11\% | (9) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 5\% | (8) | 14\% | (20) | 57\% | (80) | 11\% | (16) | 12\% | (17) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 13\% | (132) | 21\% | (216) | 48\% | (492) | 10\% | (104) | 8\% | (81) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 5\% | (31) | 8\% | (55) | 38\% | (253) | 20\% | (130) | 30\% | (197) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 3\% | (3) | 61\% | (51) | 23\% | (19) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (36) | 11\% | (45) | 55\% | (231) | 15\% | (61) | 11\% | (48) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (105) | 19\% | (146) | 47\% | (367) | 11\% | (84) | 9\% | (73) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (34) | 9\% | (47) | $34 \%$ | (192) | 19\% | (106) | 32\% | (178) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 14\% | (98) | 19\% | (131) | 50\% | (349) | 10\% | (73) | 7\% | (52) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (40) | 9\% | (57) | 36\% | (236) | 19\% | (123) | 29\% | (190) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | 7\% | (6) | 55\% | (47) | 25\% | (21) | 12\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (61) | 16\% | (120) | 52\% | (396) | 13\% | (97) | 11\% | (85) | 759 |

[^121]Table MCBR3_22: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Software companies

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 9\% | (200) | $14 \%$ | (318) | 47\% | (1028) | 14\% | (315) | 15\% | (339) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 10\% | (116) | $14 \%$ | (172) | 42\% | (511) | 15\% | (183) | 19\% | (234) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 9\% | (85) | 15\% | (146) | 53\% | (517) | 13\% | (131) | 11\% | (105) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 12\% | (46) | 18\% | (71) | 42\% | (165) | 12\% | (48) | 16\% | (63) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 6\% | (27) | 15\% | (70) | 49\% | (226) | 18\% | (83) | 12\% | (58) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 8\% | (65) | $11 \%$ | (89) | 49\% | (402) | 15\% | (121) | 18\% | (147) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 12\% | (63) | 17\% | (87) | 45\% | (236) | 12\% | (63) | $14 \%$ | (71) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR3_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 13\% | (293) | 45\% | (988) | 14\% | (299) | 18\% | (402) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 12\% | (131) | 16\% | (168) | 41\% | (436) | 13\% | (139) | 18\% | (188) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 8\% | (88) | 11\% | (124) | 48\% | (552) | 14\% | (160) | 19\% | (214) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 14\% | (91) | 19\% | (126) | 47\% | (306) | 10\% | (69) | 10\% | (63) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 16\% | (57) | 20\% | (73) | 45\% | (161) | 10\% | (37) | 8\% | (30) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 7\% | (52) | 9\% | (67) | 42\% | (316) | 16\% | (121) | 26\% | (195) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 4\% | (19) | 6\% | (27) | 47\% | (204) | 17\% | (72) | 26\% | (114) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 11\% | (37) | 16\% | (54) | 48\% | (158) | 14\% | (46) | $11 \%$ | (36) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 17\% | (105) | 22\% | (132) | 44\% | (267) | 8\% | (48) | 8\% | (48) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 9\% | (47) | 12\% | (64) | 43\% | (228) | 14\% | (76) | 22\% | (116) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (24) | 5\% | (36) | 46\% | (306) | 18\% | (116) | 27\% | (177) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (142) | 18\% | (167) | 47\% | (429) | 9\% | (86) | 10\% | (88) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (41) | 9\% | (56) | 51\% | (331) | 16\% | (101) | 19\% | (122) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 6\% | (36) | 11\% | (70) | 36\% | (228) | 17\% | (111) | 30\% | (191) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $21 \%$ | (87) | 22\% | (92) | 41\% | (175) | 8\% | (35) | 8\% | (34) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 11\% | (54) | 15\% | (75) | 52\% | (254) | 10\% | (51) | $11 \%$ | (54) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 8\% | (24) | 10\% | (29) | 47\% | (143) | 15\% | (46) | 21\% | (63) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 5\% | (17) | 8\% | (27) | 54\% | (188) | 16\% | (55) | 17\% | (60) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 6\% | (20) | 14\% | (47) | 35\% | (117) | 17\% | (57) | 27\% | (91) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (16) | 7\% | (23) | 36\% | (111) | 18\% | (54) | 33\% | (100) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 19\% | (123) | 20\% | (133) | 42\% | (277) | 9\% | (59) | 9\% | (62) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 6\% | (39) | $11 \%$ | (71) | 54\% | (346) | 14\% | (90) | 15\% | (99) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (44) | 9\% | (62) | 35\% | (231) | 18\% | (117) | 31\% | (209) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 7\% | (113) | $11 \%$ | (161) | 49\% | (735) | 14\% | (208) | 20\% | (295) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $14 \%$ | (61) | 16\% | (71) | 39\% | (174) | 16\% | (70) | 15\% | (68) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 19\% | (46) | 25\% | (61) | 32\% | (79) | 8\% | (20) | 16\% | (38) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (92) | 10\% | (111) | 52\% | (583) | 13\% | (143) | 17\% | (192) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (66) | 14\% | (98) | 41\% | (280) | 15\% | (102) | 20\% | (135) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 15\% | (61) | 21\% | (84) | $31 \%$ | (125) | 13\% | (53) | 19\% | (75) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 8\% | (145) | 13\% | (227) | 45\% | (774) | 14\% | (239) | 20\% | (337) | 1722 |

[^122]Table MCBR3_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 13\% | (293) | 45\% | (988) | 14\% | (299) | 18\% | (402) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 13\% | (45) | 20\% | (70) | 43\% | (150) | 10\% | (36) | 14\% | (49) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 18\% | (50) | 16\% | (43) | 42\% | (115) | 11\% | (29) | 14\% | (38) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (25) | 11\% | (23) | 48\% | (99) | 15\% | (31) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (117) | 15\% | (159) | 38\% | (405) | 14\% | (154) | 22\% | (229) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 18\% | (26) | 21\% | (29) | 45\% | (65) | 9\% | (12) | 7\% | (10) | 143 |
| Atheist | $11 \%$ | (9) | 26\% | (21) | 47\% | (38) | 9\% | (7) | 7\% | (5) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (39) | 8\% | (41) | 56\% | (282) | 11\% | (53) | 18\% | (90) | 506 |
| Something Else | 7\% | (28) | 11\% | (43) | 49\% | (198) | 18\% | (72) | 16\% | (66) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 17\% | (27) | 20\% | (31) | 43\% | (68) | 11\% | (17) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | $12 \%$ | (83) | 17\% | (117) | 37\% | (251) | 13\% | (89) | 20\% | (137) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 8\% | (60) | 10\% | (77) | 45\% | (342) | 17\% | (131) | 20\% | (151) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 16\% | (115) | 21\% | (149) | 42\% | (307) | 11\% | (77) | 11\% | (78) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (73) | 9\% | (85) | 46\% | (433) | 15\% | (143) | 21\% | (200) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (32) | 11\% | (59) | 46\% | (247) | 15\% | (79) | 23\% | (124) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 14\% | (89) | 17\% | (111) | 40\% | (259) | 14\% | (89) | 16\% | (107) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (26) | 25\% | (39) | $31 \%$ | (48) | 16\% | (25) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 9\% | (16) | 16\% | (28) | 42\% | (76) | 12\% | (22) | 20\% | (36) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 7\% | (8) | 8\% | (10) | 60\% | (68) | 6\% | (7) | 19\% | (22) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 12\% | (16) | 23\% | (32) | 49\% | (68) | 10\% | (14) | 8\% | (11) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (25) | 5\% | (24) | 47\% | (231) | 15\% | (76) | 28\% | (139) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (28) | 9\% | (28) | 52\% | (159) | 14\% | (42) | 16\% | (48) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 7\% | (11) | 13\% | (21) | 49\% | (78) | 16\% | (25) | 14\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (37) | 10\% | (39) | $38 \%$ | (145) | 19\% | (72) | 23\% | (89) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 10\% | (182) | 14\% | (254) | 46\% | (843) | 12\% | (227) | 17\% | (313) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 16\% | (85) | 21\% | (112) | 38\% | (199) | 11\% | (57) | 14\% | (75) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 8\% | (135) | 11\% | (180) | 47\% | (788) | 14\% | (242) | 20\% | (327) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 7\% | (56) | 12\% | (97) | 34\% | (271) | 16\% | (123) | 30\% | (240) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (157) | 14\% | (192) | 50\% | (672) | 12\% | (160) | 12\% | (155) | 1336 |

[^123]Table MCBR3_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 10\% | (219) | 13\% | (293) | 45\% | (988) | 14\% | (299) | 18\% | (402) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 8\% | (38) | 12\% | (56) | 32\% | (153) | 14\% | (67) | 34\% | (162) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 6\% | (17) | 13\% | (41) | 38\% | (119) | 18\% | (56) | 25\% | (78) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (33) | 18\% | (48) | 39\% | (106) | 18\% | (49) | 13\% | (35) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $12 \%$ | (125) | 14\% | (144) | 53\% | (566) | 10\% | (111) | 11\% | (120) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (63) | 12\% | (94) | $34 \%$ | (268) | 16\% | (130) | 30\% | (236) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (148) | 14\% | (182) | 51\% | (665) | 12\% | (156) | 12\% | (158) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (42) | 11\% | (51) | 30\% | (140) | 15\% | (71) | 35\% | (167) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 6\% | (20) | 13\% | (43) | 40\% | (127) | 18\% | (59) | 22\% | (69) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (24) | 17\% | (37) | 40\% | (86) | 18\% | (39) | 14\% | (30) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (125) | 13\% | (145) | $53 \%$ | (579) | 11\% | (116) | 12\% | (128) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 9\% | (77) | 13\% | (110) | 41\% | (350) | 16\% | (138) | 21\% | (185) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 5\% | (16) | 14\% | (41) | 41\% | (118) | 10\% | (30) | 29\% | (84) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 12\% | (40) | 14\% | (48) | 55\% | (193) | 13\% | (45) | 7\% | (25) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (23) | 10\% | (26) | 41\% | (109) | 19\% | (52) | 22\% | (57) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (15) | 17\% | (17) | 49\% | (48) | 13\% | (13) | 4\% | (4) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 14\% | (16) | 26\% | (29) | 42\% | (47) | 9\% | (10) | 8\% | (9) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 16\% | (13) | 16\% | (13) | 46\% | (38) | 9\% | (7) | 13\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 13\% | (19) | 6\% | (9) | 59\% | (84) | 3\% | (4) | 19\% | (26) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (149) | 18\% | (186) | 48\% | (488) | 10\% | (104) | 10\% | (97) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $4 \%$ | (29) | 9\% | (59) | 34\% | (225) | 19\% | (126) | 34\% | (227) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | - | (0) | 6\% | (5) | 60\% | (51) | 20\% | (17) | 14\% | (12) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (41) | 10\% | (42) | 53\% | (223) | 12\% | (50) | 15\% | (65) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 14\% | (105) | 17\% | (135) | 47\% | (367) | 11\% | (87) | 10\% | (80) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 6\% | (31) | 9\% | (53) | $31 \%$ | (173) | 18\% | (100) | 36\% | (201) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (102) | 17\% | (121) | 49\% | (342) | 10\% | (71) | 10\% | (67) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 6\% | (38) | 10\% | (62) | 32\% | (207) | 19\% | (124) | 33\% | (216) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 3\% | (3) | 5\% | (4) | 56\% | (48) | 14\% | (12) | 22\% | (19) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (73) | 14\% | (104) | 51\% | (391) | 12\% | (91) | 13\% | (100) | 759 |

[^124]Table MCBR3_23: If each of the following types of brands made public statements on social media about political division, would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from the brand, or would it have no impact?
Sports leagues

| Demographic | Much more <br> likely |  | Somewhat <br> more likely |  | No impact | Somewhat less <br> likely |  | Much less likely | Total N |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $10 \%$ | $(219)$ | $13 \%$ | $(293)$ | $45 \%$ | $(988)$ | $14 \%$ | $(299)$ | $18 \%$ | $(402)$ |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $10 \%$ | $(121)$ | $12 \%$ | $(151)$ | $41 \%$ | $(503)$ | $15 \%$ | $(177)$ | $22 \%$ | $(263)$ |
| Voted in 2014: No | $10 \%$ | $(99)$ | $14 \%$ | $(141)$ | $49 \%$ | $(484)$ | $12 \%$ | $(121)$ | $14 \%$ | $(139)$ |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $12 \%$ | $(46)$ | $18 \%$ | $(71)$ | $42 \%$ | $(165)$ | $10 \%$ | $(40)$ | $18 \%$ | $(70)$ |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $7 \%$ | $(32)$ | $11 \%$ | $(53)$ | $49 \%$ | $(227)$ | $15 \%$ | $(68)$ | $18 \%$ | $(83)$ |
| 4-Region: South | $8 \%$ | $(65)$ | $10 \%$ | $(82)$ | $46 \%$ | $(377)$ | $15 \%$ | $(123)$ | $21 \%$ | $(177)$ |
| 4-Region: West | $15 \%$ | $(76)$ | $17 \%$ | $(86)$ | $42 \%$ | $(218)$ | $13 \%$ | $(67)$ | $14 \%$ | $(72)$ |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_1: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Formal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (384) | 44\% | (964) | 24\% | (530) | 15\% | (322) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (217) | $46 \%$ | (485) | $21 \%$ | (219) | 13\% | (140) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (167) | 42\% | (479) | 27\% | (311) | 16\% | (181) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 23\% | (152) | 50\% | (329) | 19\% | (127) | 7\% | (47) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 27\% | (97) | 44\% | (157) | 18\% | (63) | 11\% | (40) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 14\% | (104) | $42 \%$ | (312) | 27\% | (206) | 17\% | (130) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (31) | $38 \%$ | (166) | $31 \%$ | (135) | 24\% | (105) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 22\% | (72) | 49\% | (162) | 22\% | (74) | 7\% | (23) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 27\% | (164) | 49\% | (295) | 15\% | (91) | 8\% | (49) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (80) | 40\% | (212) | 27\% | (141) | 18\% | (98) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (61) | $41 \%$ | (272) | 30\% | (197) | 20\% | (129) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 23\% | (211) | 48\% | (437) | $21 \%$ | (190) | 8\% | (73) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (98) | 43\% | (277) | 26\% | (170) | 16\% | (107) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 12\% | (75) | $39 \%$ | (250) | 27\% | (169) | 22\% | (142) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 30\% | (126) | 47\% | (198) | 17\% | (74) | 6\% | (26) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 17\% | (85) | 49\% | (239) | 24\% | (117) | 10\% | (47) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 14\% | (44) | $44 \%$ | (135) | 25\% | (77) | 16\% | (50) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 16\% | (54) | $41 \%$ | (142) | 27\% | (94) | 16\% | (56) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 14\% | (47) | 46\% | (152) | 21\% | (69) | 19\% | (64) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (28) | $32 \%$ | (98) | 33\% | (100) | 26\% | (78) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 27\% | (180) | 45\% | (292) | 18\% | (120) | 10\% | (63) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | $11 \%$ | (73) | 50\% | (324) | 26\% | (170) | 12\% | (77) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 16\% | (104) | 37\% | (248) | 27\% | (177) | 20\% | (135) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (236) | $44 \%$ | (660) | 25\% | (378) | 16\% | (238) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (85) | $44 \%$ | (196) | 24\% | (109) | 12\% | (54) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 26\% | (63) | 44\% | (108) | 18\% | (43) | 12\% | (30) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 16\% | (176) | 44\% | (495) | 25\% | (285) | 15\% | (165) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 17\% | (113) | 44\% | (298) | 24\% | (164) | 15\% | (105) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 24\% | (95) | 43\% | (171) | 20\% | (81) | 13\% | (51) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 16\% | (274) | 43\% | (735) | 25\% | (436) | 16\% | (276) | 1722 |

[^125]Table MCBR4_1: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Formal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (384) | 44\% | (964) | 24\% | (530) | 15\% | (322) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 23\% | (80) | 50\% | (174) | 17\% | (61) | 10\% | (34) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 25\% | (68) | 50\% | (138) | 16\% | (43) | 9\% | (25) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 21\% | (42) | 45\% | (91) | 25\% | (51) | 10\% | (20) | 204 |
| All Christian | 19\% | (206) | 41\% | (436) | 24\% | (253) | 16\% | (169) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 22\% | (32) | 45\% | (63) | 23\% | (33) | 10\% | (15) | 143 |
| Atheist | 24\% | (19) | 48\% | (38) | 22\% | (18) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 14\% | (72) | 47\% | (236) | 24\% | (122) | 15\% | (76) | 506 |
| Something Else | 13\% | (54) | 47\% | (191) | 26\% | (105) | $14 \%$ | (58) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (33) | 43\% | (68) | 25\% | (39) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 23\% | (156) | 41\% | (281) | $21 \%$ | (144) | 14\% | (97) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 13\% | (101) | 44\% | (331) | 27\% | (204) | 16\% | (124) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 26\% | (191) | 50\% | (360) | 16\% | (113) | 8\% | (60) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 13\% | (121) | 42\% | (392) | 30\% | (277) | 15\% | (144) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (72) | 39\% | (212) | 26\% | (140) | 22\% | (118) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 22\% | (142) | 45\% | (295) | $21 \%$ | (138) | 12\% | (79) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (37) | 48\% | (73) | 14\% | (22) | 14\% | (21) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (33) | 44\% | (79) | 23\% | (41) | $14 \%$ | (25) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (13) | 38\% | (44) | 32\% | (36) | 19\% | (22) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 24\% | (33) | 55\% | (77) | 17\% | (24) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 10\% | (47) | 39\% | (194) | 30\% | (147) | 22\% | (108) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (45) | 46\% | (139) | 27\% | (81) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (31) | 40\% | (64) | 26\% | (41) | $14 \%$ | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 17\% | (66) | 35\% | (133) | 26\% | (100) | $21 \%$ | (82) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (318) | 46\% | (831) | 24\% | (430) | 13\% | (240) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 25\% | (132) | 45\% | (239) | 18\% | (94) | 12\% | (64) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (252) | 43\% | (725) | 26\% | (436) | 15\% | (258) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (121) | 36\% | (285) | 24\% | (192) | 24\% | (188) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 19\% | (256) | 48\% | (642) | 23\% | (311) | 9\% | (127) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_1: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Formal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (384) | 44\% | (964) | 24\% | (530) | 15\% | (322) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (73) | $33 \%$ | (158) | 24\% | (116) | 27\% | (129) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 15\% | (48) | 41\% | (128) | 24\% | (76) | 19\% | (59) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (40) | 51\% | (139) | 26\% | (70) | 8\% | (23) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (217) | 47\% | (504) | 23\% | (241) | 10\% | (104) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (114) | 37\% | (290) | 25\% | (201) | 23\% | (185) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (253) | 48\% | (624) | 24\% | (310) | 9\% | (121) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (66) | $33 \%$ | (155) | 24\% | (115) | 29\% | (136) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (48) | 42\% | (134) | 27\% | (86) | 15\% | (49) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (36) | 46\% | (98) | 27\% | (59) | 10\% | (22) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (216) | 48\% | (526) | 23\% | (251) | 9\% | (99) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (131) | 45\% | (387) | 26\% | (223) | 14\% | (119) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 16\% | (47) | 40\% | (115) | 20\% | (58) | 24\% | (69) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (80) | 46\% | (162) | 24\% | (85) | 7\% | (24) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (35) | 44\% | (118) | 26\% | (69) | 17\% | (46) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 26\% | (25) | 44\% | (43) | 19\% | (19) | 11\% | (11) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 24\% | (27) | 45\% | (50) | 19\% | (21) | 13\% | (14) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 24\% | (20) | 41\% | (33) | 19\% | (16) | 17\% | (14) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 14\% | (20) | 40\% | (57) | 28\% | (39) | 18\% | (26) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (240) | 49\% | (502) | 20\% | (203) | 8\% | (80) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (69) | 36\% | (238) | 29\% | (193) | 25\% | (166) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 44\% | (37) | 30\% | (25) | 18\% | (15) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (66) | 44\% | (187) | 26\% | (108) | 14\% | (60) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 23\% | (178) | 47\% | (365) | 20\% | (154) | 10\% | (77) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (61) | 36\% | (200) | 28\% | (154) | 26\% | (143) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 23\% | (163) | 48\% | (335) | 19\% | (137) | 10\% | (69) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (72) | 37\% | (238) | 29\% | (186) | 23\% | (151) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 44\% | (38) | 31\% | (27) | 16\% | (14) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (139) | 46\% | (351) | 24\% | (180) | 12\% | (89) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_1: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Formal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable | Total N |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $17 \%$ | $(384)$ | $44 \%$ | $(964)$ | $24 \%$ | $(530)$ | $15 \%$ | $(322)$ | 2200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $17 \%$ | $(207)$ | $42 \%$ | $(505)$ | $24 \%$ | $(296)$ | $17 \%$ | $(208)$ | 1216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $18 \%$ | $(177)$ | $47 \%$ | $(459)$ | $24 \%$ | $(234)$ | $12 \%$ | $(114)$ | 984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $19 \%$ | $(76)$ | $47 \%$ | $(183)$ | $20 \%$ | $(78)$ | $14 \%$ | $(56)$ | 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $13 \%$ | $(60)$ | $48 \%$ | $(222)$ | $23 \%$ | $(104)$ | $16 \%$ | $(76)$ | 462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $17 \%$ | $(138)$ | $39 \%$ | $(319)$ | $29 \%$ | $(236)$ | $16 \%$ | $(31)$ | 824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $21 \%$ | $(110)$ | $46 \%$ | $(239)$ | $21 \%$ | $(111)$ | $11 \%$ | $(59)$ | 520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_2: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Informal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 43\% | (951) | 26\% | (567) | 16\% | (356) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 18\% | (193) | 44\% | (463) | 25\% | (263) | 13\% | (143) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 12\% | (133) | 43\% | (488) | 27\% | (304) | 19\% | (213) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (142) | 42\% | (274) | 25\% | (166) | 11\% | (74) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 23\% | (81) | 44\% | (157) | 23\% | (83) | 10\% | (36) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 10\% | (79) | 42\% | (318) | 28\% | (212) | 19\% | (142) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 6\% | (24) | 46\% | (201) | 24\% | (106) | 24\% | (105) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 22\% | (72) | 41\% | (135) | 26\% | (85) | 12\% | (40) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (139) | 44\% | (265) | 23\% | (139) | 9\% | (56) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | $11 \%$ | (58) | 43\% | (227) | 27\% | (144) | 19\% | (103) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (52) | 44\% | (289) | 27\% | (181) | 21\% | (136) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 20\% | (185) | 46\% | (421) | 24\% | (217) | 10\% | (89) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (81) | 43\% | (278) | 26\% | (172) | 19\% | (121) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (61) | 39\% | (251) | 28\% | (178) | 23\% | (146) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (104) | 46\% | (193) | 22\% | (94) | 8\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 16\% | (80) | 47\% | (228) | 25\% | (123) | 12\% | (57) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (45) | 42\% | (127) | 26\% | (78) | 18\% | (55) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 10\% | (35) | 44\% | (151) | 27\% | (94) | 19\% | (66) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (43) | 43\% | (143) | 27\% | (91) | 17\% | (55) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (18) | 36\% | (108) | 29\% | (88) | 30\% | (91) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 22\% | (143) | 47\% | (305) | 23\% | (148) | 9\% | (58) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 14\% | (92) | 46\% | (293) | 26\% | (168) | 14\% | (91) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (70) | 38\% | (254) | 27\% | (182) | 24\% | (158) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 13\% | (201) | 42\% | (642) | 27\% | (409) | 17\% | (261) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 16\% | (70) | 44\% | (194) | 26\% | (115) | 15\% | (65) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 23\% | (55) | 47\% | (115) | 18\% | (43) | 13\% | (31) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (152) | 43\% | (482) | 28\% | (315) | 15\% | (172) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 13\% | (90) | 46\% | (311) | 21\% | (144) | 20\% | (136) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 21\% | (83) | 40\% | (158) | 27\% | (108) | 12\% | (48) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (235) | 43\% | (747) | 25\% | (438) | 18\% | (302) | 1722 |

[^126]Table MCBR4_2: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Informal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 43\% | (951) | 26\% | (567) | 16\% | (356) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 22\% | (76) | 45\% | (156) | 23\% | (81) | 10\% | (36) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 22\% | (61) | 42\% | (117) | 24\% | (67) | 11\% | (30) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 14\% | (29) | 43\% | (87) | $31 \%$ | (63) | 12\% | (25) | 204 |
| All Christian | 14\% | (153) | 42\% | (449) | 26\% | (274) | 18\% | (188) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 25\% | (35) | 40\% | (57) | 26\% | (37) | 10\% | (14) | 143 |
| Atheist | 20\% | (16) | 47\% | (37) | 24\% | (19) | 9\% | (7) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 13\% | (67) | 46\% | (233) | 26\% | (129) | 15\% | (77) | 506 |
| Something Else | 14\% | (56) | 43\% | (174) | 26\% | (107) | 17\% | (71) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 23\% | (36) | 40\% | (63) | 26\% | (41) | 11\% | (18) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (118) | 43\% | (289) | 22\% | (146) | 18\% | (125) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | $11 \%$ | (86) | 42\% | (317) | 30\% | (230) | 17\% | (127) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (162) | 47\% | (338) | 21\% | (155) | 10\% | (70) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | $11 \%$ | (103) | 43\% | (402) | 29\% | (275) | 17\% | (154) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | $11 \%$ | (62) | 39\% | (210) | 25\% | (138) | 24\% | (131) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 19\% | (122) | 44\% | (286) | 24\% | (159) | 13\% | (87) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (27) | 49\% | (75) | 19\% | (29) | 14\% | (22) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | $11 \%$ | (20) | 44\% | (78) | 30\% | (53) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 16\% | (19) | 37\% | (43) | 24\% | (27) | 23\% | (26) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 20\% | (28) | 39\% | (54) | 31\% | (44) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (40) | 45\% | (225) | 25\% | (123) | 22\% | (108) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 18\% | (55) | 38\% | (116) | $31 \%$ | (93) | 13\% | (40) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (15) | 47\% | (74) | 24\% | (39) | 19\% | (30) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 14\% | (55) | 39\% | (148) | 27\% | (101) | 20\% | (78) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 15\% | (271) | 44\% | (803) | 26\% | (466) | 15\% | (279) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 22\% | (115) | 44\% | (231) | 22\% | (118) | 12\% | (66) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (211) | 43\% | (720) | 27\% | (450) | 17\% | (291) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (94) | 37\% | (294) | 26\% | (201) | 25\% | (198) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 17\% | (225) | 46\% | (621) | 26\% | (342) | $11 \%$ | (148) | 1336 |

[^127]Table MCBR4_2: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Informal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 15\% | (326) | 43\% | (951) | 26\% | (567) | 16\% | (356) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 13\% | (61) | $36 \%$ | (171) | 27\% | (126) | 25\% | (118) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 11\% | (33) | 39\% | (123) | 24\% | (75) | 26\% | (80) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 13\% | (36) | 46\% | (123) | 30\% | (81) | 11\% | (30) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 18\% | (189) | 47\% | (497) | 24\% | (261) | 11\% | (119) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (87) | 39\% | (308) | 26\% | (203) | 24\% | (191) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (228) | 45\% | (593) | 26\% | (340) | 11\% | (148) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (59) | 35\% | (164) | 26\% | (120) | 27\% | (129) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (28) | 46\% | (145) | 26\% | (83) | 20\% | (62) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (35) | $39 \%$ | (84) | $32 \%$ | (70) | 13\% | (27) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (193) | 47\% | (508) | 25\% | (271) | 11\% | (120) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 14\% | (123) | $41 \%$ | (349) | 28\% | (237) | 18\% | (151) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 13\% | (37) | $36 \%$ | (104) | 25\% | (72) | 26\% | (76) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 17\% | (61) | 53\% | (188) | 21\% | (75) | 8\% | (27) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (20) | 47\% | (125) | 29\% | (78) | 17\% | (44) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 28\% | (27) | 39\% | (38) | 21\% | (20) | 12\% | (12) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 12\% | (13) | 52\% | (58) | 24\% | (27) | 12\% | (13) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 15\% | (12) | 44\% | (36) | 28\% | (23) | 13\% | (11) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 23\% | (33) | 37\% | (52) | 25\% | (36) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 20\% | (205) | 49\% | (497) | 21\% | (217) | 10\% | (106) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (54) | 38\% | (251) | 29\% | (191) | 26\% | (170) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 16\% | (13) | 38\% | (32) | $33 \%$ | (28) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (53) | 40\% | (169) | $31 \%$ | (129) | 17\% | (70) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (140) | 51\% | (392) | 21\% | (164) | 10\% | (78) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (61) | 37\% | (204) | 26\% | (145) | 26\% | (147) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 16\% | (116) | 51\% | (360) | 21\% | (151) | 11\% | (77) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (71) | 40\% | (257) | 25\% | (165) | 24\% | (154) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 47\% | (41) | 31\% | (27) | 15\% | (13) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (130) | 39\% | (293) | 29\% | (224) | 15\% | (113) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_2: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Informal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $15 \%$ | $(326)$ | $43 \%$ | $(951)$ | $26 \%$ | $(567)$ | $16 \%$ | $(356)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $13 \%$ | $(163)$ | $46 \%$ | $(561)$ | $22 \%$ | $(272)$ | $18 \%$ | $(220)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $17 \%$ | $(163)$ | $40 \%$ | $(390)$ | $30 \%$ | $(295)$ | $14 \%$ | $(136)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $17 \%$ | $(68)$ | $45 \%$ | $(177)$ | $22 \%$ | $(88)$ | $15 \%$ | $(60)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $11 \%$ | $(50)$ | $45 \%$ | $(207)$ | $28 \%$ | $(128)$ | $17 \%$ | $(78)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $15 \%$ | $(123)$ | $40 \%$ | $(329)$ | $27 \%$ | $(226)$ | $18 \%$ | $(147)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $16 \%$ | $(85)$ | $46 \%$ | $(238)$ | $24 \%$ | $(126)$ | $14 \%$ | $(71)$ |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_3: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Long

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (256) | 29\% | (629) | $38 \%$ | (827) | 22\% | (488) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 14\% | (154) | 32\% | (342) | 35\% | (367) | 19\% | (199) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 9\% | (102) | 25\% | (287) | 40\% | (461) | 25\% | (288) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 16\% | (104) | $34 \%$ | (224) | 35\% | (230) | 15\% | (97) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 20\% | (73) | $31 \%$ | (111) | 34\% | (122) | 14\% | (51) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 9\% | (68) | 24\% | (181) | 40\% | (297) | 27\% | (205) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 3\% | (12) | 26\% | (112) | $41 \%$ | (178) | 31\% | (134) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 13\% | (44) | $31 \%$ | (102) | $39 \%$ | (128) | 17\% | (58) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | $21 \%$ | (125) | 35\% | (209) | $32 \%$ | (191) | 12\% | (74) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | $11 \%$ | (57) | 26\% | (139) | 37\% | (198) | 26\% | (138) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 4\% | (27) | 25\% | (167) | $41 \%$ | (272) | 29\% | (193) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 16\% | (150) | 33\% | (297) | $36 \%$ | (331) | 15\% | (134) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 8\% | (54) | 27\% | (177) | $42 \%$ | (276) | 22\% | (146) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (51) | 24\% | (156) | 35\% | (221) | 33\% | (208) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 22\% | (92) | 38\% | (160) | 29\% | (125) | $11 \%$ | (48) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (59) | 28\% | (137) | $42 \%$ | (206) | 18\% | (87) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 9\% | (29) | 27\% | (82) | $44 \%$ | (135) | 19\% | (59) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (25) | 27\% | (95) | $41 \%$ | (140) | 25\% | (86) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (33) | 30\% | (100) | $32 \%$ | (107) | 28\% | (92) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 6\% | (18) | 18\% | (56) | $38 \%$ | (114) | 38\% | (115) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (117) | 32\% | (211) | 37\% | (241) | 13\% | (85) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 8\% | (51) | 30\% | (193) | $41 \%$ | (266) | 21\% | (134) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (65) | 24\% | (161) | $34 \%$ | (224) | $32 \%$ | (214) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (148) | 28\% | (426) | $39 \%$ | (584) | 23\% | (355) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 14\% | (64) | 28\% | (125) | $37 \%$ | (166) | 20\% | (89) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 18\% | (44) | 32\% | (78) | $32 \%$ | (78) | 18\% | (43) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (91) | 29\% | (324) | 42\% | (469) | 21\% | (237) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 14\% | (99) | 27\% | (181) | $34 \%$ | (233) | 25\% | (169) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 17\% | (66) | $31 \%$ | (124) | $32 \%$ | (126) | 21\% | (82) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | $11 \%$ | (190) | 27\% | (467) | $38 \%$ | (653) | 24\% | (411) | 1722 |

[^128]Table MCBR4_3: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Long

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (256) | 29\% | (629) | 38\% | (827) | 22\% | (488) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 16\% | (56) | $31 \%$ | (110) | 38\% | (133) | 15\% | (51) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 15\% | (42) | 35\% | (95) | 35\% | (95) | 15\% | (42) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 12\% | (23) | 33\% | (67) | 39\% | (80) | 17\% | (34) | 204 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (141) | 27\% | (290) | 35\% | (370) | 25\% | (263) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | $38 \%$ | (54) | 26\% | (37) | 16\% | (23) | 143 |
| Atheist | 9\% | (7) | $36 \%$ | (29) | 38\% | (30) | 17\% | (14) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 7\% | (37) | 27\% | (136) | 46\% | (231) | 20\% | (102) | 506 |
| Something Else | 10\% | (42) | 29\% | (120) | 39\% | (159) | 21\% | (86) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (30) | 37\% | (59) | 26\% | (40) | 18\% | (28) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 16\% | (107) | 30\% | (201) | $31 \%$ | (214) | 23\% | (156) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 9\% | (71) | 26\% | (196) | 41\% | (309) | 24\% | (184) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 20\% | (145) | 35\% | (254) | $33 \%$ | (239) | 12\% | (88) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 8\% | (76) | 24\% | (222) | 42\% | (395) | 26\% | (240) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 6\% | (35) | 28\% | (153) | 36\% | (193) | 30\% | (160) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (109) | $31 \%$ | (205) | 33\% | (216) | 19\% | (124) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 18\% | (28) | 38\% | (59) | 27\% | (41) | 16\% | (25) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 8\% | (15) | 25\% | (44) | 39\% | (69) | 28\% | (51) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 12\% | (14) | 25\% | (29) | $36 \%$ | (42) | 27\% | (31) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 13\% | (18) | $36 \%$ | (50) | 39\% | (55) | 13\% | (18) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 5\% | (23) | 24\% | (120) | 40\% | (200) | $31 \%$ | (153) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 11\% | (34) | 26\% | (80) | 45\% | (138) | 17\% | (53) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 10\% | (15) | 28\% | (44) | 42\% | (66) | 21\% | (34) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 13\% | (48) | 25\% | (95) | 34\% | (130) | 28\% | (108) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 11\% | (207) | 29\% | (534) | 38\% | (698) | 21\% | (380) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 20\% | (105) | 35\% | (184) | 27\% | (145) | 18\% | (95) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (151) | 27\% | (445) | 41\% | (682) | 24\% | (393) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | $11 \%$ | (85) | 26\% | (205) | $31 \%$ | (247) | $32 \%$ | (250) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (163) | 30\% | (400) | $41 \%$ | (547) | 17\% | (226) | 1336 |

[^129]Table MCBR4_3: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Long

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 12\% | (256) | 29\% | (629) | 38\% | (827) | 22\% | (488) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (59) | 24\% | (112) | 29\% | (140) | 35\% | (164) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 8\% | (25) | 30\% | (93) | $34 \%$ | (107) | 27\% | (85) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 14\% | (37) | 29\% | (80) | 40\% | (107) | 17\% | (47) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (125) | 30\% | (321) | $41 \%$ | (440) | 17\% | (180) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (88) | 26\% | (204) | $32 \%$ | (250) | $31 \%$ | (247) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (152) | 29\% | (386) | 42\% | (546) | 17\% | (225) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (53) | 23\% | (108) | 30\% | (142) | 36\% | (169) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (35) | 30\% | (96) | $34 \%$ | (108) | 25\% | (78) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 15\% | (33) | 27\% | (58) | 40\% | (86) | 18\% | (39) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (119) | 30\% | (328) | $42 \%$ | (460) | 17\% | (185) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | $11 \%$ | (96) | 27\% | (228) | 40\% | (345) | 22\% | (191) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 12\% | (35) | 24\% | (71) | 28\% | (82) | 35\% | (101) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 17\% | (58) | $33 \%$ | (116) | 36\% | (127) | 14\% | (51) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | $4 \%$ | (12) | 30\% | (81) | 43\% | (114) | 22\% | (60) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 12\% | (12) | 35\% | (35) | $34 \%$ | (33) | 19\% | (18) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 21\% | (23) | $31 \%$ | (35) | 27\% | (31) | 20\% | (23) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 9\% | (7) | $38 \%$ | (31) | 37\% | (31) | 16\% | (14) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 9\% | (12) | 24\% | (34) | 46\% | (65) | 22\% | (31) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 16\% | (162) | 34\% | (351) | $36 \%$ | (365) | 14\% | (146) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (49) | 21\% | (138) | 37\% | (246) | 35\% | (234) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 4\% | (3) | $34 \%$ | (28) | 35\% | (29) | 28\% | (23) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (41) | 27\% | (112) | 44\% | (185) | 20\% | (84) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (118) | 33\% | (259) | 36\% | (282) | 15\% | (115) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 7\% | (41) | 24\% | (134) | 33\% | (182) | 36\% | (200) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (103) | 33\% | (235) | 38\% | (267) | 14\% | (98) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (55) | 24\% | (153) | $34 \%$ | (221) | 34\% | (218) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 1\% | (1) | $37 \%$ | (32) | $34 \%$ | (30) | 28\% | (24) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (96) | 28\% | (209) | 40\% | (306) | 19\% | (147) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_3: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Long

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $12 \%$ | $(256)$ | $29 \%$ | $(629)$ | $38 \%$ | $(827)$ | $22 \%$ | $(488)$ | 2200 |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $11 \%$ | $(140)$ | $29 \%$ | $(347)$ | $35 \%$ | $(422)$ | $25 \%$ | $(308)$ | 1216 |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $12 \%$ | $(116)$ | $29 \%$ | $(283)$ | $41 \%$ | $(406)$ | $18 \%$ | $(180)$ | 984 |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $17 \%$ | $(67)$ | $32 \%$ | $(125)$ | $31 \%$ | $(120)$ | $21 \%$ | $(81)$ | 394 |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $6 \%$ | $(27)$ | $28 \%$ | $(127)$ | $43 \%$ | $(200)$ | $23 \%$ | $(107)$ | 462 |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $11 \%$ | $(94)$ | $26 \%$ | $(218)$ | $38 \%$ | $(312)$ | $24 \%$ | $(200)$ | 824 |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $13 \%$ | $(67)$ | $30 \%$ | $(158)$ | $38 \%$ | $(195)$ | $19 \%$ | $(99)$ | 520 |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_4: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Short

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { favorable }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $19 \%$ | $(428)$ | $44 \%$ | $(969)$ | $23 \%$ | $(498)$ | $14 \%$ | $(305)$ | Somewhat |
| unfavorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

[^130]Table MCBR4_4: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Short

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (428) | 44\% | (969) | 23\% | (498) | 14\% | (305) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 23\% | (80) | 50\% | (176) | 17\% | (59) | 10\% | (35) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 27\% | (74) | 45\% | (124) | 20\% | (56) | 7\% | (20) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 21\% | (43) | 45\% | (92) | 23\% | (47) | 11\% | (22) | 204 |
| All Christian | 20\% | (213) | 43\% | (453) | 21\% | (229) | 16\% | (169) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 34\% | (48) | 40\% | (57) | 22\% | (32) | $4 \%$ | (6) | 143 |
| Atheist | 24\% | (19) | 51\% | (41) | 20\% | (16) | 5\% | (4) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (84) | 46\% | (234) | 23\% | (118) | 14\% | (70) | 506 |
| Something Else | 16\% | (64) | 45\% | (185) | 26\% | (105) | 14\% | (55) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 32\% | (51) | 40\% | (63) | 22\% | (35) | 6\% | (9) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 22\% | (148) | 44\% | (301) | 19\% | (132) | 14\% | (97) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 16\% | (124) | 42\% | (318) | 26\% | (197) | 16\% | (121) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (195) | 48\% | (348) | 18\% | (129) | 7\% | (52) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (145) | 44\% | (412) | 25\% | (234) | 15\% | (143) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 16\% | (88) | 39\% | (209) | 25\% | (135) | 20\% | (109) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 24\% | (160) | 43\% | (282) | 21\% | (140) | 11\% | (72) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 29\% | (45) | 41\% | (63) | 21\% | (32) | 9\% | (14) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (27) | 51\% | (91) | 19\% | (34) | 15\% | (27) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 16\% | (18) | 40\% | (46) | 27\% | (31) | 17\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 24\% | (33) | 60\% | (83) | 13\% | (19) | 3\% | (4) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (65) | 39\% | (193) | 26\% | (130) | 22\% | (108) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (49) | 47\% | (143) | 24\% | (73) | 13\% | (40) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 20\% | (32) | 43\% | (67) | 25\% | (39) | 13\% | (20) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | $21 \%$ | (79) | 36\% | (137) | 23\% | (86) | 21\% | (79) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 19\% | (349) | 46\% | (831) | 23\% | (412) | 12\% | (226) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 27\% | (141) | 43\% | (227) | 19\% | (103) | 11\% | (58) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 17\% | (287) | 44\% | (742) | 24\% | (396) | 15\% | (247) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (119) | 37\% | (294) | 26\% | (203) | 22\% | (170) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 22\% | (298) | 48\% | (637) | 21\% | (275) | 9\% | (126) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_4: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Short

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (428) | 44\% | (969) | 23\% | (498) | 14\% | (305) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (71) | $33 \%$ | (157) | 27\% | (129) | 25\% | (119) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 16\% | (49) | $44 \%$ | (137) | 24\% | (74) | 16\% | (51) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 18\% | (48) | 49\% | (133) | 26\% | (71) | 7\% | (19) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 23\% | (250) | 47\% | (505) | 19\% | (204) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (119) | 38\% | (300) | 26\% | (208) | 21\% | (163) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (290) | 47\% | (617) | $21 \%$ | (274) | 10\% | (128) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (71) | $32 \%$ | (152) | 27\% | (129) | 26\% | (121) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (48) | 47\% | (148) | 25\% | (79) | 13\% | (42) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (39) | 43\% | (92) | 29\% | (63) | 10\% | (22) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (251) | 48\% | (525) | 19\% | (211) | 10\% | (106) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 17\% | (149) | 42\% | (358) | 27\% | (230) | 14\% | (123) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 20\% | (57) | 35\% | (101) | 24\% | (70) | 21\% | (62) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (87) | 49\% | (174) | 18\% | (63) | 8\% | (28) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 13\% | (35) | 49\% | (132) | 22\% | (59) | 15\% | (41) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 21\% | (20) | 52\% | (51) | 19\% | (19) | 8\% | (8) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 25\% | (28) | 47\% | (52) | 15\% | (16) | $14 \%$ | (15) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 22\% | (18) | 53\% | (44) | 14\% | (12) | $11 \%$ | (9) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 24\% | (34) | 41\% | (58) | 21\% | (30) | 14\% | (19) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 26\% | (266) | 48\% | (494) | 18\% | (183) | 8\% | (82) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $11 \%$ | (74) | 35\% | (236) | 30\% | (199) | 24\% | (157) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 14\% | (12) | 38\% | (32) | $32 \%$ | (27) | 15\% | (13) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (75) | 49\% | (205) | 21\% | (88) | 13\% | (53) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 26\% | (205) | 47\% | (366) | 17\% | (134) | 9\% | (70) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 13\% | (73) | 34\% | (187) | 30\% | (167) | 23\% | (131) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (180) | 48\% | (339) | 17\% | (122) | 9\% | (62) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 13\% | (87) | 35\% | (229) | 29\% | (186) | 22\% | (145) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 13\% | (11) | 43\% | (37) | $34 \%$ | (29) | 10\% | (9) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 20\% | (150) | 47\% | (360) | 21\% | (160) | 12\% | (88) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_4: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Short

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_5: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Funny

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (419) | $38 \%$ | (832) | 24\% | (532) | 19\% | (418) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (216) | $39 \%$ | (414) | 23\% | (245) | 18\% | (186) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 18\% | (203) | 37\% | (417) | 25\% | (287) | 20\% | (232) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 27\% | (180) | $39 \%$ | (255) | 22\% | (145) | 12\% | (76) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 29\% | (103) | 39\% | (141) | 21\% | (75) | 11\% | (39) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 14\% | (106) | 39\% | (293) | 25\% | (187) | 22\% | (165) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (30) | 33\% | (143) | 29\% | (125) | 32\% | (138) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 27\% | (88) | $36 \%$ | (118) | 24\% | (80) | 13\% | (44) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 30\% | (178) | $41 \%$ | (248) | 20\% | (122) | 9\% | (52) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 18\% | (95) | $38 \%$ | (202) | 21\% | (112) | 23\% | (122) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (52) | 37\% | (241) | 29\% | (192) | 26\% | (174) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 24\% | (220) | 40\% | (363) | 22\% | (197) | 14\% | (131) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 17\% | (108) | 39\% | (255) | 26\% | (167) | 19\% | (122) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 14\% | (90) | 33\% | (213) | 26\% | (168) | 26\% | (165) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 29\% | (123) | $39 \%$ | (166) | 20\% | (83) | 12\% | (51) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 20\% | (97) | 40\% | (197) | 23\% | (114) | 16\% | (80) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (47) | 40\% | (121) | 27\% | (81) | 18\% | (56) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 18\% | (61) | 39\% | (134) | 25\% | (85) | 19\% | (66) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 14\% | (46) | $38 \%$ | (127) | 24\% | (81) | 24\% | (79) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 15\% | (45) | 28\% | (86) | 29\% | (87) | 28\% | (86) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 26\% | (171) | $41 \%$ | (266) | 20\% | (132) | 13\% | (86) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 15\% | (99) | 40\% | (261) | 25\% | (162) | 19\% | (123) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 16\% | (107) | 33\% | (219) | 26\% | (170) | 25\% | (168) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 17\% | (258) | $38 \%$ | (578) | 24\% | (370) | 20\% | (306) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 21\% | (93) | $37 \%$ | (165) | 25\% | (110) | 17\% | (76) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (68) | 36\% | (88) | 21\% | (52) | 15\% | (36) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 17\% | (190) | 39\% | (432) | 26\% | (294) | 18\% | (206) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 20\% | (136) | 36\% | (245) | 22\% | (150) | 22\% | (151) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 23\% | (92) | 39\% | (155) | 22\% | (89) | 15\% | (61) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 18\% | (309) | 37\% | (642) | 24\% | (418) | 21\% | (354) | 1722 |

[^131]Table MCBR4_5: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Funny

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (419) | 38\% | (832) | 24\% | (532) | 19\% | (418) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 26\% | (91) | 44\% | (153) | 18\% | (64) | 12\% | (41) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (70) | 41\% | (112) | 21\% | (57) | 13\% | (35) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 19\% | (40) | 38\% | (78) | 28\% | (57) | 14\% | (30) | 204 |
| All Christian | 19\% | (205) | $36 \%$ | (383) | 23\% | (248) | 21\% | (227) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 26\% | (37) | 40\% | (56) | 22\% | (31) | 13\% | (18) | 143 |
| Atheist | 22\% | (18) | $38 \%$ | (31) | 24\% | (19) | 15\% | (12) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 14\% | (71) | 44\% | (222) | 24\% | (124) | 18\% | (89) | 506 |
| Something Else | 21\% | (88) | $34 \%$ | (139) | 27\% | (109) | 18\% | (72) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 24\% | (37) | 39\% | (62) | 22\% | (35) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 27\% | (180) | 35\% | (237) | 21\% | (142) | 18\% | (120) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (108) | $36 \%$ | (271) | 28\% | (211) | 22\% | (171) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 25\% | (183) | $41 \%$ | (294) | 23\% | (165) | 11\% | (83) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (146) | $36 \%$ | (340) | 26\% | (246) | 22\% | (202) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 17\% | (90) | $36 \%$ | (197) | 22\% | (121) | 25\% | (133) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 23\% | (153) | 39\% | (253) | 22\% | (141) | 16\% | (107) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 28\% | (44) | 40\% | (61) | 19\% | (29) | 13\% | (20) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 18\% | (32) | 39\% | (69) | 21\% | (38) | 22\% | (39) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 27\% | (31) | 26\% | (30) | 28\% | (32) | 19\% | (22) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 28\% | (39) | 39\% | (55) | 24\% | (34) | 9\% | (12) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 8\% | (40) | 35\% | (172) | 28\% | (141) | 29\% | (144) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (49) | 39\% | (120) | 28\% | (84) | 17\% | (52) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 19\% | (31) | 46\% | (73) | 21\% | (33) | 14\% | (22) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (61) | $32 \%$ | (122) | 26\% | (98) | 26\% | (101) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 20\% | (358) | 39\% | (710) | 24\% | (434) | 17\% | (317) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (148) | 38\% | (202) | 19\% | (99) | 15\% | (80) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (270) | 38\% | (630) | 26\% | (433) | 20\% | (338) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 18\% | (141) | $34 \%$ | (268) | 23\% | (185) | 25\% | (193) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (267) | $39 \%$ | (523) | 25\% | (332) | 16\% | (214) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_5: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Funny

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (419) | 38\% | (832) | 24\% | (532) | 19\% | (418) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 19\% | (90) | 31\% | (147) | 24\% | (113) | 27\% | (126) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 16\% | (51) | 39\% | (121) | 23\% | (72) | 21\% | (67) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 20\% | (54) | 43\% | (116) | 22\% | (59) | 15\% | (41) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 20\% | (212) | 38\% | (406) | 26\% | (274) | 16\% | (173) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 17\% | (136) | 34\% | (272) | 24\% | (189) | 24\% | (193) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (261) | 39\% | (506) | 25\% | (328) | 16\% | (213) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (86) | $31 \%$ | (146) | 23\% | (108) | 28\% | (133) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (51) | 40\% | (126) | 26\% | (81) | 19\% | (60) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 19\% | (40) | 42\% | (90) | 24\% | (51) | 16\% | (35) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (221) | 38\% | (417) | 25\% | (277) | 16\% | (178) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 19\% | (163) | 38\% | (324) | 24\% | (210) | 19\% | (163) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 18\% | (51) | 34\% | (98) | 22\% | (63) | 26\% | (76) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 23\% | (81) | 43\% | (152) | 23\% | (82) | 10\% | (36) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (25) | 36\% | (96) | 28\% | (75) | 26\% | (71) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 28\% | (28) | 46\% | (45) | 15\% | (15) | 10\% | (10) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 23\% | (26) | 30\% | (34) | 26\% | (29) | 20\% | (23) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 20\% | (17) | 40\% | (33) | 24\% | (20) | 16\% | (13) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 20\% | (28) | 35\% | (49) | 27\% | (38) | 18\% | (26) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 23\% | (234) | 42\% | (427) | 22\% | (227) | 13\% | (136) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 13\% | (85) | $33 \%$ | (219) | 26\% | (176) | 28\% | (187) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 23\% | (20) | 26\% | (22) | 32\% | (27) | 19\% | (16) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 19\% | (79) | 39\% | (164) | 23\% | (99) | 19\% | (79) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 22\% | (172) | 42\% | (321) | 22\% | (169) | 15\% | (112) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 13\% | (71) | $31 \%$ | (171) | 28\% | (155) | 29\% | (161) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 21\% | (150) | 42\% | (298) | 22\% | (156) | 14\% | (100) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $14 \%$ | (90) | 32\% | (207) | 26\% | (166) | 28\% | (184) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 14\% | (12) | 39\% | (34) | 33\% | (28) | 14\% | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 21\% | (163) | 39\% | (293) | 24\% | (182) | 16\% | (121) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_5: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Funny

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_6: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Serious

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (476) | 44\% | (972) | 20\% | (442) | 14\% | (310) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 23\% | (247) | 45\% | (474) | 20\% | (208) | 13\% | (133) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 20\% | (229) | 44\% | (498) | 21\% | (235) | 16\% | (177) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 27\% | (180) | 47\% | (309) | 17\% | (113) | 8\% | (53) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 25\% | (90) | 44\% | (159) | 22\% | (78) | 8\% | (30) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 18\% | (134) | 44\% | (329) | 21\% | (157) | 17\% | (130) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 16\% | (71) | 40\% | (175) | 21\% | (94) | 22\% | (97) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 24\% | (81) | $44 \%$ | (145) | 21\% | (68) | $11 \%$ | (37) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 29\% | (171) | 50\% | (300) | 16\% | (97) | 5\% | (31) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 20\% | (105) | 40\% | (214) | 23\% | (122) | 17\% | (89) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 16\% | (107) | 44\% | (292) | 19\% | (127) | 20\% | (133) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 28\% | (258) | 47\% | (430) | 16\% | (145) | 9\% | (79) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 16\% | (106) | 44\% | (288) | 26\% | (166) | 14\% | (91) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 18\% | (112) | 40\% | (254) | 21\% | (131) | 22\% | (140) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $32 \%$ | (135) | 46\% | (194) | 15\% | (63) | 8\% | (32) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 25\% | (123) | 49\% | (237) | 17\% | (82) | 10\% | (47) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 15\% | (45) | $46 \%$ | (141) | 26\% | (80) | 13\% | (39) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 18\% | (61) | $42 \%$ | (147) | 25\% | (86) | 15\% | (52) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 20\% | (67) | $42 \%$ | (139) | 19\% | (64) | 19\% | (62) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 15\% | (45) | $38 \%$ | (115) | 22\% | (67) | 26\% | (78) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 33\% | (214) | 46\% | (302) | 13\% | (86) | 8\% | (52) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 19\% | (121) | 46\% | (297) | 22\% | (144) | 13\% | (82) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 15\% | (102) | 42\% | (278) | 21\% | (142) | 21\% | (141) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 20\% | (295) | 43\% | (655) | 22\% | (328) | 16\% | (235) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $24 \%$ | (108) | $46 \%$ | (206) | 18\% | (81) | $11 \%$ | (49) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 30\% | (72) | 46\% | (112) | 14\% | (34) | $11 \%$ | (26) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 21\% | (230) | 45\% | (508) | 21\% | (232) | 13\% | (151) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 21\% | (146) | 43\% | (292) | 20\% | (135) | 16\% | (109) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 25\% | (100) | 43\% | (173) | 19\% | (76) | 13\% | (50) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 20\% | (341) | 44\% | (757) | 21\% | (361) | 15\% | (263) | 1722 |

[^132]Table MCBR4_6: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Serious

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (476) | 44\% | (972) | 20\% | (442) | 14\% | (310) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 20\% | (70) | 48\% | (169) | 19\% | (66) | 13\% | (45) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 31\% | (84) | 46\% | (127) | 13\% | (37) | 9\% | (26) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 25\% | (50) | 43\% | (88) | 22\% | (44) | 10\% | (21) | 204 |
| All Christian | 22\% | (236) | 42\% | (447) | 20\% | (211) | 16\% | (170) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 27\% | (39) | 49\% | (70) | 18\% | (26) | 6\% | (8) | 143 |
| Atheist | 30\% | (24) | 45\% | (36) | 18\% | (14) | 8\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 19\% | (97) | 49\% | (246) | 19\% | (98) | 13\% | (66) | 506 |
| Something Else | 20\% | (80) | 43\% | (174) | 23\% | (94) | 15\% | (59) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 26\% | (40) | 47\% | (74) | 20\% | (31) | 7\% | (12) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 26\% | (179) | 41\% | (281) | 17\% | (117) | 15\% | (101) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 17\% | (131) | 43\% | (325) | 24\% | (182) | 16\% | (123) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (199) | 49\% | (355) | 15\% | (107) | 9\% | (64) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 17\% | (163) | 44\% | (414) | 24\% | (221) | 14\% | (135) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 21\% | (114) | 38\% | (203) | $21 \%$ | (114) | 20\% | (111) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 26\% | (172) | 44\% | (286) | 18\% | (121) | 12\% | (75) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 22\% | (34) | $51 \%$ | (78) | 16\% | (24) | 12\% | (18) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (26) | 54\% | (96) | 18\% | (32) | 13\% | (24) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 20\% | (23) | $41 \%$ | (47) | 24\% | (27) | 15\% | (17) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 27\% | (37) | 46\% | (65) | 16\% | (23) | 11\% | (15) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 18\% | (91) | 39\% | (194) | 20\% | (102) | 22\% | (110) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 19\% | (58) | 47\% | (143) | 25\% | (76) | 9\% | (28) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 22\% | (34) | 39\% | (62) | 24\% | (39) | 15\% | (23) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 23\% | (86) | 39\% | (149) | 19\% | (73) | 19\% | (73) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 21\% | (389) | 45\% | (823) | 20\% | (369) | 13\% | (237) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (150) | 43\% | (228) | 18\% | (93) | $11 \%$ | (58) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 20\% | (326) | 45\% | (744) | 21\% | (349) | 15\% | (252) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 19\% | (151) | 37\% | (290) | 22\% | (170) | 22\% | (176) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (317) | 48\% | (644) | 19\% | (251) | 9\% | (124) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_6: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Serious

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (476) | 44\% | (972) | 20\% | (442) | 14\% | (310) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 20\% | (97) | $34 \%$ | (164) | 19\% | (92) | 26\% | (122) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 17\% | (53) | $41 \%$ | (126) | 25\% | (77) | 17\% | (54) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 19\% | (51) | 49\% | (133) | 25\% | (67) | 8\% | (20) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (267) | 48\% | (511) | 17\% | (184) | 10\% | (104) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (152) | 37\% | (289) | 22\% | (176) | 22\% | (173) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (307) | 49\% | (635) | 19\% | (254) | 9\% | (113) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 22\% | (102) | $32 \%$ | (150) | 20\% | (95) | 26\% | (125) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 16\% | (50) | 44\% | (139) | 25\% | (80) | 15\% | (48) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (50) | 42\% | (91) | 26\% | (56) | $9 \%$ | (19) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (257) | 50\% | (544) | 18\% | (197) | $9 \%$ | (94) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 20\% | (171) | 43\% | (368) | 24\% | (204) | 14\% | (118) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (48) | 42\% | (120) | 18\% | (53) | 23\% | (67) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (87) | 49\% | (172) | 18\% | (63) | 8\% | (29) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 23\% | (62) | $41 \%$ | (110) | 20\% | (53) | 16\% | (42) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 30\% | (29) | 44\% | (43) | 13\% | (13) | 13\% | (13) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 26\% | (29) | 42\% | (46) | 19\% | (21) | 13\% | (15) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 25\% | (21) | 54\% | (45) | 14\% | (12) | 6\% | (5) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 20\% | (29) | 49\% | (69) | 17\% | (24) | 15\% | (21) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 28\% | (290) | 49\% | (506) | 14\% | (144) | 8\% | (85) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 14\% | (94) | 37\% | (248) | 24\% | (160) | 25\% | (164) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 12\% | (11) | 43\% | (37) | 28\% | (24) | 16\% | (13) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 19\% | (80) | 43\% | (181) | 27\% | (113) | $11 \%$ | (47) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 28\% | (220) | 46\% | (360) | 16\% | (123) | 9\% | (72) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 16\% | (91) | 37\% | (206) | 22\% | (125) | 24\% | (136) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 30\% | (211) | 47\% | (330) | 14\% | (99) | 9\% | (63) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 16\% | (107) | 38\% | (244) | 24\% | (152) | 22\% | (144) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 22\% | (19) | 39\% | (34) | 26\% | (22) | 13\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (139) | 48\% | (363) | 22\% | (166) | 12\% | (92) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_6: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Serious

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 22\% | (476) | 44\% | (972) | 20\% | (442) | 14\% | (310) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 22\% | (270) | 42\% | (507) | 20\% | (243) | 16\% | (195) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 21\% | (205) | 47\% | (465) | 20\% | (200) | 12\% | (115) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 26\% | (101) | 45\% | (177) | 15\% | (57) | 15\% | (59) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 17\% | (79) | 45\% | (206) | 24\% | (111) | 14\% | (66) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 22\% | (179) | 42\% | (344) | 21\% | (174) | 15\% | (127) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 22\% | (117) | 47\% | (245) | 19\% | (101) | 11\% | (58) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_7: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Heartfelt

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 28\% | (615) | $41 \%$ | (912) | 17\% | (372) | 14\% | (301) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 28\% | (294) | $41 \%$ | (440) | 18\% | (189) | 13\% | (138) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 28\% | (320) | $41 \%$ | (472) | 16\% | (183) | 14\% | (163) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $34 \%$ | (223) | 43\% | (282) | 17\% | (113) | 6\% | (37) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | $31 \%$ | (112) | 43\% | (155) | 16\% | (59) | 9\% | (33) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 26\% | (199) | $38 \%$ | (287) | 18\% | (132) | 18\% | (134) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 19\% | (81) | 43\% | (188) | 16\% | (69) | 22\% | (98) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 29\% | (96) | $44 \%$ | (145) | 21\% | (69) | 6\% | (21) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | $36 \%$ | (216) | 44\% | (264) | 13\% | (80) | 6\% | (38) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 26\% | (140) | $38 \%$ | (203) | 19\% | (98) | 17\% | (90) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 24\% | (157) | 40\% | (264) | 16\% | (107) | 20\% | (132) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 37\% | (333) | $42 \%$ | (382) | 14\% | (127) | 8\% | (69) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 24\% | (158) | $42 \%$ | (273) | 19\% | (121) | 15\% | (100) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 19\% | (123) | $41 \%$ | (258) | 19\% | (123) | 21\% | (132) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $38 \%$ | (160) | $41 \%$ | (174) | 13\% | (56) | 8\% | (34) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 36\% | (174) | 43\% | (208) | 15\% | (71) | 7\% | (35) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 22\% | (68) | $42 \%$ | (128) | 22\% | (67) | 14\% | (43) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 26\% | (90) | $42 \%$ | (145) | 16\% | (54) | 17\% | (57) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 20\% | (67) | $42 \%$ | (138) | 20\% | (66) | 18\% | (61) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 18\% | (56) | $39 \%$ | (120) | 19\% | (58) | 23\% | (70) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 42\% | (277) | 40\% | (260) | 10\% | (64) | 8\% | (54) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 25\% | (159) | $41 \%$ | (263) | 22\% | (140) | 13\% | (83) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 20\% | (133) | $44 \%$ | (289) | 17\% | (111) | 20\% | (130) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 26\% | (387) | $41 \%$ | (623) | 18\% | (273) | 15\% | (228) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 30\% | (133) | 45\% | (198) | 15\% | (65) | $11 \%$ | (47) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 39\% | (94) | 37\% | (91) | 14\% | (33) | $11 \%$ | (26) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 27\% | (305) | 40\% | (453) | 19\% | (210) | 14\% | (154) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 28\% | (193) | 42\% | (284) | 15\% | (103) | 15\% | (101) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 29\% | (117) | $44 \%$ | (176) | 15\% | (59) | 12\% | (47) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 27\% | (460) | 42\% | (720) | 17\% | (288) | 15\% | (254) | 1722 |

[^133]Table MCBR4_7: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Heartfelt

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 28\% | (615) | 41\% | (912) | 17\% | (372) | $14 \%$ | (301) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 33\% | (116) | 41\% | (143) | 17\% | (59) | 9\% | (31) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 36\% | (98) | 37\% | (101) | 17\% | (46) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 28\% | (57) | 45\% | (91) | 18\% | (37) | 9\% | (19) | 204 |
| All Christian | 29\% | (307) | 40\% | (425) | 16\% | (170) | 15\% | (161) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 33\% | (47) | 41\% | (58) | 18\% | (26) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 26\% | (20) | 53\% | (42) | 12\% | (10) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 25\% | (129) | 46\% | (234) | 17\% | (85) | $11 \%$ | (58) | 506 |
| Something Else | 27\% | (111) | 37\% | (153) | 20\% | (81) | 16\% | (63) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 32\% | (50) | 40\% | (63) | 18\% | (29) | 10\% | (16) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 31\% | (208) | 38\% | (259) | 15\% | (103) | 16\% | (109) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 27\% | (203) | 40\% | (307) | 19\% | (142) | 14\% | (108) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 34\% | (245) | 46\% | (333) | 14\% | (100) | 7\% | (47) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 26\% | (245) | 41\% | (387) | 18\% | (172) | 14\% | (130) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 23\% | (125) | 36\% | (192) | 18\% | (99) | 23\% | (124) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 34\% | (219) | 40\% | (262) | 16\% | (104) | 10\% | (69) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 28\% | (43) | 47\% | (71) | 16\% | (24) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 31\% | (55) | 36\% | (65) | 18\% | (32) | 15\% | (26) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 25\% | (28) | 37\% | (43) | 22\% | (25) | 16\% | (18) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 36\% | (50) | 42\% | (59) | 19\% | (27) | 3\% | (4) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 20\% | (98) | 43\% | (212) | 16\% | (80) | $21 \%$ | (106) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 26\% | (79) | 44\% | (134) | 18\% | (55) | 12\% | (36) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 27\% | (42) | 41\% | (66) | 15\% | (24) | 17\% | (27) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 25\% | (97) | 36\% | (138) | 17\% | (64) | 22\% | (82) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 28\% | (518) | 43\% | (774) | 17\% | (308) | 12\% | (219) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 32\% | (171) | 36\% | (192) | 19\% | (100) | 12\% | (65) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 27\% | (444) | 43\% | (720) | 16\% | (271) | $14 \%$ | (236) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 20\% | (158) | 39\% | (304) | 20\% | (160) | $21 \%$ | (165) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 33\% | (446) | 43\% | (571) | 14\% | (193) | 9\% | (127) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_7: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Heartfelt

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 28\% | (615) | 41\% | (912) | 17\% | (372) | 14\% | (301) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 21\% | (101) | 35\% | (165) | 21\% | (101) | 23\% | (109) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 18\% | (57) | 45\% | (139) | 19\% | (59) | 18\% | (56) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 26\% | (70) | 47\% | (128) | 17\% | (47) | 9\% | (26) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 35\% | (376) | 42\% | (443) | 14\% | (146) | 9\% | (101) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (145) | 40\% | (315) | 20\% | (162) | 21\% | (168) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 34\% | (445) | 42\% | (549) | 15\% | (195) | 9\% | (120) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (89) | 36\% | (169) | 20\% | (96) | 25\% | (118) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (56) | 46\% | (145) | 21\% | (66) | 16\% | (50) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (56) | 44\% | (95) | 18\% | (38) | 12\% | (27) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 36\% | (388) | 42\% | (454) | 14\% | (157) | 8\% | (93) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 25\% | (215) | 43\% | (374) | 19\% | (161) | 13\% | (110) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 19\% | (56) | 40\% | (116) | 18\% | (53) | 22\% | (64) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 36\% | (128) | 42\% | (148) | 14\% | (50) | 7\% | (25) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 26\% | (69) | 38\% | (102) | 17\% | (47) | 19\% | (50) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 48\% | (47) | 33\% | (32) | 10\% | (10) | 9\% | (8) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 32\% | (35) | 42\% | (47) | 13\% | (14) | 13\% | (15) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 36\% | (30) | 42\% | (35) | 15\% | (13) | 6\% | (5) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 25\% | (35) | 41\% | (58) | 17\% | (24) | 17\% | (24) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 37\% | (380) | 44\% | (450) | 12\% | (125) | 7\% | (70) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 17\% | (110) | 39\% | (258) | 20\% | (134) | 25\% | (164) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 24\% | (20) | 37\% | (31) | 23\% | (20) | 16\% | (14) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 25\% | (104) | 41\% | (172) | 22\% | (92) | 13\% | (54) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 36\% | (280) | 43\% | (336) | 11\% | (89) | 9\% | (70) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 18\% | (100) | 39\% | (220) | 19\% | (107) | 24\% | (132) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 37\% | (262) | 44\% | (311) | 11\% | (76) | 8\% | (55) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 17\% | (112) | 40\% | (257) | 20\% | (127) | 23\% | (151) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 26\% | (22) | 38\% | (33) | 23\% | (20) | $14 \%$ | (12) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 29\% | (218) | 41\% | (308) | 20\% | (150) | 11\% | (83) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_7: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Heartfelt

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 28\% | (615) | 41\% | (912) | 17\% | (372) | 14\% | (301) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 28\% | (346) | 41\% | (497) | 15\% | (180) | 16\% | (193) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 27\% | (268) | 42\% | (416) | 19\% | (192) | 11\% | (109) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 30\% | (118) | 47\% | (185) | 12\% | (46) | 11\% | (45) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 25\% | (116) | 39\% | (182) | 20\% | (94) | 15\% | (70) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 28\% | (228) | 38\% | (309) | 19\% | (159) | 15\% | (127) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 29\% | (151) | 45\% | (236) | 14\% | (74) | 11\% | (59) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_8: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unique from other brands' statements

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { favorable }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $20 \%$ | $(436)$ | $44 \%$ | $(972)$ | $21 \%$ | $(465)$ | $15 \%$ | $(327)$ | Somewhat |
| unfavorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

[^134]Table MCBR4_8: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unique from other brands' statements

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 20\% | (436) | 44\% | (972) | 21\% | (465) | 15\% | (327) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 24\% | (83) | 44\% | (153) | 20\% | (70) | 13\% | (44) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 31\% | (86) | 40\% | (111) | 21\% | (57) | 8\% | (21) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 15\% | (30) | 50\% | (102) | 23\% | (48) | 12\% | (24) | 204 |
| All Christian | 20\% | (216) | 41\% | (432) | 22\% | (231) | 17\% | (186) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 29\% | (41) | 46\% | (65) | 18\% | (26) | 7\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 26\% | (21) | 53\% | (42) | 16\% | (13) | 4\% | (3) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 17\% | (85) | 49\% | (246) | 22\% | (110) | 13\% | (65) | 506 |
| Something Else | 18\% | (73) | 46\% | (187) | 21\% | (85) | 15\% | (63) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 27\% | (43) | 46\% | (73) | 17\% | (27) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 24\% | (163) | 42\% | (287) | 17\% | (113) | 17\% | (115) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 16\% | (122) | 41\% | (313) | 26\% | (198) | 17\% | (127) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 28\% | (205) | 45\% | (328) | 18\% | (129) | 9\% | (63) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 17\% | (162) | 46\% | (426) | 21\% | (192) | 17\% | (154) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 13\% | (70) | 40\% | (218) | 27\% | (143) | 20\% | (110) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 25\% | (163) | 45\% | (293) | 18\% | (120) | 12\% | (78) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 27\% | (41) | 46\% | (70) | 17\% | (26) | 10\% | (16) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (34) | 42\% | (74) | 21\% | (38) | 18\% | (32) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 22\% | (25) | 41\% | (47) | 21\% | (24) | 16\% | (19) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 27\% | (37) | 45\% | (63) | 21\% | (29) | 8\% | (11) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 12\% | (58) | 43\% | (216) | 23\% | (114) | 22\% | (108) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (44) | 48\% | (146) | 25\% | (75) | 13\% | (39) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | $21 \%$ | (33) | 40\% | (63) | 25\% | (39) | 15\% | (24) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (74) | 37\% | (140) | 22\% | (82) | 22\% | (85) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 20\% | (362) | 46\% | (832) | 21\% | (382) | 13\% | (242) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 26\% | (135) | 45\% | (237) | 17\% | (92) | 12\% | (65) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 18\% | (301) | 44\% | (735) | 22\% | (373) | 16\% | (262) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 16\% | (126) | 40\% | (315) | 21\% | (162) | 23\% | (185) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 23\% | (306) | 46\% | (616) | $21 \%$ | (283) | 10\% | (131) | 1336 |

[^135]Table MCBR4_8: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unique from other brands' statements

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 20\% | (436) | 44\% | (972) | 21\% | (465) | 15\% | (327) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 15\% | (71) | 36\% | (172) | 23\% | (107) | 26\% | (126) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 18\% | (55) | 46\% | (143) | 18\% | (54) | 19\% | (59) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 17\% | (46) | 47\% | (129) | 27\% | (72) | 9\% | (25) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 24\% | (261) | 46\% | (487) | 20\% | (211) | 10\% | (107) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (112) | 42\% | (328) | $21 \%$ | (168) | 23\% | (180) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (307) | 45\% | (594) | $21 \%$ | (277) | 10\% | (130) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (71) | 35\% | (167) | 22\% | (103) | 28\% | (131) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (42) | 51\% | (161) | $21 \%$ | (65) | 16\% | (50) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 21\% | (46) | 38\% | (83) | 28\% | (60) | 12\% | (27) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (261) | 47\% | (511) | 20\% | (217) | $9 \%$ | (103) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 18\% | (153) | 43\% | (374) | 24\% | (208) | 15\% | (125) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (48) | 38\% | (109) | 20\% | (58) | 26\% | (74) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (88) | 48\% | (168) | 20\% | (71) | 7\% | (24) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 15\% | (40) | 48\% | (127) | 18\% | (48) | 20\% | (53) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 29\% | (29) | 46\% | (45) | 17\% | (16) | 8\% | (8) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 29\% | (32) | $32 \%$ | (36) | 24\% | (27) | 15\% | (16) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 25\% | (21) | 53\% | (44) | 13\% | (11) | 9\% | (7) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 17\% | (25) | 49\% | (70) | 19\% | (26) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 28\% | (285) | 47\% | (484) | 17\% | (174) | $8 \%$ | (82) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (77) | 38\% | (253) | 24\% | (162) | 26\% | (175) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 12\% | (10) | 40\% | (34) | $34 \%$ | (28) | 15\% | (13) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 15\% | (64) | 48\% | (201) | 23\% | (99) | 14\% | (57) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 27\% | (211) | 46\% | (354) | 17\% | (135) | 10\% | (75) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 13\% | (70) | 38\% | (214) | 24\% | (132) | 25\% | (142) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (183) | 46\% | (326) | 19\% | (131) | 9\% | (63) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 14\% | (91) | 40\% | (257) | 22\% | (143) | 24\% | (155) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 16\% | (14) | 44\% | (38) | 28\% | (24) | 12\% | (10) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 19\% | (148) | 46\% | (347) | 22\% | (167) | 13\% | (98) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_8: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unique from other brands' statements

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $20 \%$ | $(436)$ | $44 \%$ | $(972)$ | $21 \%$ | $(465)$ | $15 \%$ | $(327)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $19 \%$ | $(236)$ | $43 \%$ | $(524)$ | $20 \%$ | $(249)$ | $17 \%$ | $(206)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $20 \%$ | $(200)$ | $46 \%$ | $(448)$ | $22 \%$ | $(215)$ | $12 \%$ | $(121)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $24 \%$ | $(93)$ | $44 \%$ | $(174)$ | $19 \%$ | $(73)$ | $14 \%$ | $(54)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $15 \%$ | $(71)$ | $47 \%$ | $(217)$ | $20 \%$ | $(94)$ | $17 \%$ | $(81)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $18 \%$ | $(151)$ | $41 \%$ | $(337)$ | $24 \%$ | $(196)$ | $17 \%$ | $(140)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $23 \%$ | $(121)$ | $47 \%$ | $(245)$ | $20 \%$ | $(102)$ | $10 \%$ | $(53)$ |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_9: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Liberal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | $34 \%$ | (757) | 25\% | (546) | 24\% | (521) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 19\% | (204) | $36 \%$ | (384) | 23\% | (241) | 22\% | (233) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (171) | 33\% | (374) | 27\% | (305) | 25\% | (288) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 25\% | (162) | $38 \%$ | (249) | 24\% | (160) | 13\% | (85) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 23\% | (81) | 37\% | (134) | 23\% | (81) | 17\% | (62) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (94) | $31 \%$ | (232) | 25\% | (191) | $31 \%$ | (234) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 9\% | (39) | 33\% | (142) | 26\% | (115) | 32\% | (141) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 23\% | (75) | 39\% | (128) | 26\% | (86) | 13\% | (42) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 26\% | (154) | $38 \%$ | (229) | 22\% | (130) | 14\% | (86) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (81) | $31 \%$ | (162) | 24\% | (130) | 30\% | (158) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (61) | $32 \%$ | (212) | 27\% | (175) | $32 \%$ | (211) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 28\% | (256) | 44\% | (398) | 20\% | (183) | 8\% | (75) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 12\% | (77) | $36 \%$ | (233) | 27\% | (177) | 25\% | (165) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 7\% | (42) | 20\% | (126) | 29\% | (186) | 44\% | (282) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | $31 \%$ | (130) | 46\% | (195) | 17\% | (73) | 6\% | (26) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 26\% | (126) | $42 \%$ | (203) | 23\% | (110) | 10\% | (49) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 13\% | (40) | $39 \%$ | (120) | 24\% | (73) | 24\% | (72) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 11\% | (37) | $32 \%$ | (113) | 30\% | (104) | 27\% | (93) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (34) | 20\% | (68) | 29\% | (95) | 41\% | (135) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $3 \%$ | (8) | 19\% | (58) | 30\% | (91) | 48\% | (147) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 38\% | (252) | 46\% | (299) | 10\% | (66) | 6\% | (38) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 9\% | (59) | $38 \%$ | (243) | 36\% | (229) | 18\% | (113) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 7\% | (46) | 20\% | (134) | 27\% | (176) | 46\% | (306) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 15\% | (220) | 33\% | (499) | 27\% | (404) | 26\% | (389) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 19\% | (84) | 39\% | (172) | 22\% | (96) | 21\% | (92) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 29\% | (71) | 35\% | (86) | 19\% | (46) | 17\% | (40) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (165) | 35\% | (392) | 27\% | (297) | 24\% | (267) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 18\% | (123) | 33\% | (227) | 24\% | (161) | 25\% | (170) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 22\% | (88) | 35\% | (139) | 22\% | (87) | 21\% | (84) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 14\% | (245) | $33 \%$ | (574) | 26\% | (439) | 27\% | (464) | 1722 |

[^136]Table MCBR4_9: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Liberal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | $34 \%$ | (757) | 25\% | (546) | 24\% | (521) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 24\% | (83) | 47\% | (165) | 18\% | (62) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 30\% | (83) | 40\% | (110) | 20\% | (54) | 10\% | (28) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 24\% | (48) | 36\% | (73) | 26\% | (53) | 14\% | (29) | 204 |
| All Christian | 17\% | (180) | 33\% | (348) | 24\% | (252) | 27\% | (285) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 29\% | (42) | 36\% | (52) | 25\% | (36) | 9\% | (13) | 143 |
| Atheist | 31\% | (24) | 43\% | (34) | 19\% | (15) | 7\% | (6) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 15\% | (76) | 37\% | (189) | 27\% | (137) | 21\% | (104) | 506 |
| Something Else | 13\% | (54) | 33\% | (134) | 26\% | (107) | 28\% | (113) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 27\% | (43) | 34\% | (54) | 27\% | (42) | 12\% | (19) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (123) | 33\% | (221) | 20\% | (139) | 29\% | (195) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (108) | 32\% | (246) | 28\% | (212) | 26\% | (194) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 24\% | (177) | 43\% | (310) | 22\% | (157) | $11 \%$ | (81) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 15\% | (136) | 32\% | (296) | 26\% | (242) | 28\% | (259) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (62) | 28\% | (151) | 27\% | (147) | 33\% | (181) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 23\% | (148) | 35\% | (227) | 22\% | (142) | 21\% | (138) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 20\% | (30) | 47\% | (72) | 19\% | (29) | 14\% | (22) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 17\% | (31) | $31 \%$ | (55) | 23\% | (42) | 29\% | (51) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 16\% | (18) | 32\% | (37) | 21\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (36) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 28\% | (39) | 41\% | (58) | 23\% | (33) | 7\% | (10) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 9\% | (46) | 30\% | (151) | 26\% | (129) | $34 \%$ | (170) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 14\% | (44) | 33\% | (101) | $34 \%$ | (102) | 19\% | (57) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 12\% | (19) | 35\% | (56) | 29\% | (46) | 24\% | (37) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 19\% | (74) | 24\% | (93) | 26\% | (99) | 30\% | (116) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (301) | $37 \%$ | (664) | 25\% | (448) | 22\% | (406) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (122) | 33\% | (176) | 23\% | (122) | 21\% | (109) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 15\% | (254) | 35\% | (581) | 25\% | (424) | 25\% | (412) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | $11 \%$ | (85) | 20\% | (158) | 26\% | (201) | 44\% | (343) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 21\% | (284) | 43\% | (570) | 24\% | (319) | 12\% | (162) | 1336 |

[^137]Table MCBR4_9: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Liberal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (376) | 34\% | (757) | 25\% | (546) | 24\% | (521) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (57) | 17\% | (82) | 21\% | (101) | 50\% | (237) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 9\% | (28) | 25\% | (77) | 32\% | (100) | 34\% | (107) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 12\% | (34) | 43\% | (116) | 30\% | (82) | 14\% | (39) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 24\% | (251) | 43\% | (455) | 22\% | (237) | 12\% | (123) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 10\% | (76) | 21\% | (170) | 26\% | (203) | 43\% | (341) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (283) | 41\% | (540) | 25\% | (322) | 12\% | (163) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (51) | 17\% | (80) | 21\% | (99) | 51\% | (242) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (24) | 28\% | (90) | 33\% | (104) | 31\% | (99) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (26) | 39\% | (84) | 31\% | (66) | 18\% | (40) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (257) | 42\% | (457) | 23\% | (256) | 11\% | (123) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 13\% | (114) | 31\% | (262) | 28\% | (240) | 28\% | (243) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 14\% | (39) | 28\% | (80) | 23\% | (66) | 36\% | (104) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 22\% | (79) | 41\% | (145) | 23\% | (82) | 13\% | (45) | 351 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 14\% | (37) | 36\% | (95) | 28\% | (74) | 23\% | (61) | 267 |
| \# 1 Issue: Women's Issues | 31\% | (30) | 40\% | (39) | 14\% | (14) | 16\% | (15) | 98 |
| \# 1 Issue: Education | 23\% | (25) | 40\% | (44) | 22\% | (25) | 15\% | (17) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 34\% | (28) | 38\% | (32) | 18\% | (15) | 10\% | (8) | 83 |
| \# 1 Issue: Other | 17\% | (24) | 42\% | (59) | 22\% | (31) | 19\% | (27) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 27\% | (277) | 45\% | (457) | 20\% | (206) | 8\% | (85) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (46) | 19\% | (125) | 27\% | (182) | 47\% | (314) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 7\% | (6) | 35\% | (29) | 36\% | (30) | 23\% | (19) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (47) | 34\% | (145) | 30\% | (127) | 24\% | (102) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 26\% | (201) | 45\% | (352) | 19\% | (149) | 9\% | (73) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 8\% | (45) | 19\% | (107) | 24\% | (132) | 49\% | (274) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 26\% | (183) | 46\% | (325) | 19\% | (134) | 9\% | (62) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 8\% | (50) | 21\% | (137) | 26\% | (168) | 45\% | (292) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 9\% | (8) | 38\% | (33) | 34\% | (30) | 19\% | (17) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 17\% | (130) | 35\% | (263) | 28\% | (215) | 20\% | (151) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_9: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Liberal

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable | Total N |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $17 \%$ | $(376)$ | $34 \%$ | $(757)$ | $25 \%$ | $(546)$ | $24 \%$ | $(521)$ | 2200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $18 \%$ | $(218)$ | $34 \%$ | $(415)$ | $21 \%$ | $(256)$ | $27 \%$ | $(327)$ | 1216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $16 \%$ | $(158)$ | $35 \%$ | $(342)$ | $29 \%$ | $(290)$ | $20 \%$ | $(194)$ | 984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $21 \%$ | $(83)$ | $41 \%$ | $(163)$ | $17 \%$ | $(67)$ | $21 \%$ | $(81)$ | 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $10 \%$ | $(46)$ | $35 \%$ | $(164)$ | $30 \%$ | $(139)$ | $25 \%$ | $(115)$ | 462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $17 \%$ | $(140)$ | $30 \%$ | $(247)$ | $28 \%$ | $(231)$ | $25 \%$ | $(207)$ | 824 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $21 \%$ | $(107)$ | $35 \%$ | $(184)$ | $21 \%$ | $(109)$ | $23 \%$ | $(119)$ | 520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_10: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Conservative

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { favorable }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Adults | $16 \%$ | $(363)$ | $36 \%$ | $(797)$ | $27 \%$ | $(595)$ | $20 \%$ | $(446)$ | Somewhat |
| unfavorable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

[^138]Table MCBR4_10: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Conservative

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (363) | 36\% | (797) | 27\% | (595) | 20\% | (446) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 15\% | (52) | 37\% | (131) | 28\% | (97) | 20\% | (69) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 17\% | (46) | 42\% | (116) | 22\% | (61) | 18\% | (51) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 16\% | (33) | $31 \%$ | (64) | 31\% | (62) | 22\% | (45) | 204 |
| All Christian | 19\% | (201) | 37\% | (389) | 25\% | (268) | 19\% | (206) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 20\% | (29) | 40\% | (56) | 28\% | (41) | 12\% | (17) | 143 |
| Atheist | 4\% | (3) | 26\% | (21) | 32\% | (26) | 37\% | (30) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 12\% | (63) | 32\% | (160) | 33\% | (169) | 23\% | (114) | 506 |
| Something Else | 16\% | (66) | 42\% | (171) | 22\% | (91) | 19\% | (79) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 19\% | (30) | 41\% | (64) | 27\% | (43) | 13\% | (20) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 24\% | (160) | 39\% | (266) | 21\% | (144) | 16\% | (108) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 13\% | (101) | 36\% | (276) | 28\% | (211) | 23\% | (172) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 22\% | (156) | 40\% | (288) | 24\% | (171) | 15\% | (109) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (128) | 34\% | (320) | 30\% | (282) | 22\% | (204) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 14\% | (78) | 35\% | (188) | 26\% | (142) | 25\% | (133) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 20\% | (132) | 37\% | (240) | 25\% | (161) | 19\% | (122) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 16\% | (24) | 39\% | (60) | 27\% | (41) | 18\% | (28) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (28) | 38\% | (68) | 24\% | (43) | 22\% | (40) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 19\% | (22) | 35\% | (41) | 25\% | (29) | 20\% | (23) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 22\% | (31) | 29\% | (41) | 30\% | (42) | 19\% | (26) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 14\% | (68) | 37\% | (182) | 28\% | (137) | 22\% | (109) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 15\% | (46) | 33\% | (100) | 32\% | (98) | 20\% | (61) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 8\% | (12) | 41\% | (65) | 28\% | (44) | 24\% | (37) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 20\% | (78) | 30\% | (114) | 24\% | (91) | 26\% | (99) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 16\% | (285) | 38\% | (683) | 28\% | (504) | 19\% | (347) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (149) | 38\% | (202) | $21 \%$ | (109) | 13\% | (69) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 13\% | (214) | 36\% | (595) | 29\% | (486) | 23\% | (376) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 24\% | (188) | 36\% | (282) | 21\% | (162) | 20\% | (155) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 13\% | (170) | 36\% | (480) | $31 \%$ | (408) | $21 \%$ | (279) | 1336 |

[^139]Table MCBR4_10: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Conservative

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 16\% | (363) | $36 \%$ | (797) | 27\% | (595) | 20\% | (446) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 27\% | (127) | $31 \%$ | (148) | 20\% | (96) | 22\% | (105) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 20\% | (61) | 43\% | (133) | 21\% | (66) | 16\% | (50) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 15\% | (42) | 48\% | (131) | 29\% | (77) | 8\% | (21) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (128) | 33\% | (349) | $31 \%$ | (331) | 24\% | (258) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 24\% | (187) | 37\% | (289) | 21\% | (168) | 18\% | (146) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (159) | 35\% | (459) | $31 \%$ | (406) | 22\% | (285) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 26\% | (124) | 30\% | (144) | 21\% | (97) | 23\% | (107) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 20\% | (63) | 46\% | (145) | 22\% | (71) | 12\% | (39) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (34) | 43\% | (92) | $31 \%$ | (67) | $11 \%$ | (23) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (125) | $34 \%$ | (366) | $31 \%$ | (339) | 24\% | (262) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 18\% | (153) | 38\% | (329) | 25\% | (213) | 19\% | (165) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 24\% | (70) | 33\% | (94) | 21\% | (61) | 22\% | (64) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 18\% | (62) | $36 \%$ | (126) | 33\% | (115) | 14\% | (49) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 9\% | (23) | $41 \%$ | (111) | 29\% | (77) | 21\% | (55) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 16\% | (16) | 22\% | (22) | 28\% | (28) | 33\% | (32) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 18\% | (20) | $31 \%$ | (35) | 29\% | (32) | 22\% | (25) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | $11 \%$ | (9) | 33\% | (27) | 29\% | (24) | 27\% | (23) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 7\% | (10) | 38\% | (53) | $32 \%$ | (45) | 24\% | (33) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 15\% | (154) | 36\% | (365) | 27\% | (282) | 22\% | (225) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 20\% | (134) | 37\% | (245) | 22\% | (150) | 21\% | (138) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | $11 \%$ | (9) | 27\% | (22) | 43\% | (36) | 19\% | (16) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 16\% | (66) | 39\% | (164) | 30\% | (126) | 16\% | (65) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 15\% | (113) | $34 \%$ | (264) | $31 \%$ | (237) | 21\% | (159) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 20\% | (113) | 37\% | (204) | 21\% | (116) | 22\% | (124) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 15\% | (108) | 33\% | (234) | 30\% | (211) | 21\% | (150) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | $21 \%$ | (138) | 37\% | (237) | 22\% | (145) | 20\% | (127) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 8\% | (7) | 35\% | (30) | 37\% | (32) | 21\% | (18) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 14\% | (110) | 38\% | (292) | 27\% | (207) | 20\% | (150) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_10: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Conservative

| Demographic | Very favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> unfavorable | Very unfavorable |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $16 \%$ | $(363)$ | $36 \%$ | $(797)$ | $27 \%$ | $(595)$ | $20 \%$ | $(446)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $16 \%$ | $(194)$ | $35 \%$ | $(422)$ | $27 \%$ | $(328)$ | $22 \%$ | $(272)$ |  |  |  |
| Voted in 2014: No | $17 \%$ | $(169)$ | $38 \%$ | $(375)$ | $27 \%$ | $(267)$ | $18 \%$ | $(174)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $19 \%$ | $(75)$ | $39 \%$ | $(154)$ | $22 \%$ | $(88)$ | $19 \%$ | $(76)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $11 \%$ | $(52)$ | $36 \%$ | $(167)$ | $31 \%$ | $(145)$ | $21 \%$ | $(98)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: South | $17 \%$ | $(142)$ | $33 \%$ | $(276)$ | $28 \%$ | $(230)$ | $22 \%$ | $(177)$ |  |  |  |
| 4-Region: West | $18 \%$ | $(94)$ | $39 \%$ | $(200)$ | $25 \%$ | $(131)$ | $18 \%$ | $(94)$ |  |  |  |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR4_11: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unifying

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 30\% | (661) | 37\% | (825) | 18\% | (404) | 14\% | (311) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | $31 \%$ | (329) | $39 \%$ | (418) | 17\% | (181) | 13\% | (133) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 29\% | (331) | $36 \%$ | (407) | 20\% | (222) | 16\% | (178) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | $32 \%$ | (208) | 40\% | (265) | 19\% | (122) | 9\% | (60) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | $36 \%$ | (127) | 37\% | (134) | 16\% | (58) | $11 \%$ | (39) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 28\% | (214) | 35\% | (266) | 20\% | (148) | 16\% | (123) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 26\% | (111) | 37\% | (160) | 17\% | (75) | 20\% | (89) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 29\% | (96) | 39\% | (130) | 20\% | (66) | 12\% | (40) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 36\% | (216) | 42\% | (249) | 15\% | (87) | 8\% | (48) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 28\% | (151) | $33 \%$ | (175) | 22\% | (118) | 16\% | (87) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 27\% | (177) | $38 \%$ | (250) | 18\% | (116) | 18\% | (116) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 38\% | (349) | 37\% | (340) | 15\% | (137) | 9\% | (86) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 26\% | (169) | $38 \%$ | (245) | 21\% | (140) | 15\% | (98) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 22\% | (142) | $38 \%$ | (240) | 20\% | (127) | 20\% | (127) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 41\% | (174) | 37\% | (158) | 14\% | (58) | 8\% | (33) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 36\% | (175) | $37 \%$ | (181) | 16\% | (79) | $11 \%$ | (53) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 25\% | (75) | 42\% | (128) | 19\% | (58) | 15\% | (45) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 27\% | (94) | $34 \%$ | (117) | 24\% | (82) | 16\% | (54) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 24\% | (80) | 40\% | (131) | 20\% | (66) | 17\% | (56) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 21\% | (63) | 36\% | (108) | 20\% | (61) | 23\% | (71) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 43\% | (283) | 37\% | (240) | 12\% | (77) | 8\% | (55) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 28\% | (180) | 36\% | (235) | 23\% | (149) | 13\% | (81) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 24\% | (159) | 40\% | (265) | 17\% | (114) | 19\% | (125) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 27\% | (403) | 37\% | (559) | 20\% | (304) | 16\% | (246) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | $36 \%$ | (160) | $39 \%$ | (173) | 16\% | (69) | 9\% | (42) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 40\% | (97) | $38 \%$ | (93) | 13\% | (31) | 9\% | (23) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 27\% | (306) | $36 \%$ | (408) | 21\% | (240) | 15\% | (167) | 1121 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | $32 \%$ | (217) | $39 \%$ | (266) | 15\% | (100) | 14\% | (98) | 681 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | $34 \%$ | (137) | $38 \%$ | (151) | 16\% | (63) | 12\% | (47) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 29\% | (508) | 37\% | (642) | 19\% | (329) | 14\% | (244) | 1722 |

[^140]Table MCBR4_11: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unifying

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $30 \%$ | (661) | 37\% | (825) | 18\% | (404) | 14\% | (311) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | $31 \%$ | (107) | 41\% | (144) | 17\% | (58) | 12\% | (40) | 349 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 35\% | (97) | 35\% | (96) | 15\% | (41) | 15\% | (41) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 28\% | (56) | 42\% | (86) | 17\% | (34) | 13\% | (27) | 204 |
| All Christian | $31 \%$ | (326) | 37\% | (390) | 17\% | (176) | 16\% | (172) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | $34 \%$ | (48) | 43\% | (62) | 15\% | (22) | 8\% | (11) | 143 |
| Atheist | 30\% | (24) | 46\% | (36) | 15\% | (12) | 10\% | (8) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 30\% | (151) | 37\% | (188) | 20\% | (103) | 12\% | (63) | 506 |
| Something Else | 27\% | (112) | 36\% | (148) | 22\% | (90) | 14\% | (57) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | $33 \%$ | (52) | 43\% | (67) | 16\% | (25) | 8\% | (13) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 31\% | (208) | 37\% | (251) | 16\% | (109) | 16\% | (110) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 29\% | (220) | 36\% | (273) | 20\% | (154) | 15\% | (113) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 35\% | (250) | 41\% | (300) | 15\% | (110) | 9\% | (65) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 30\% | (280) | 35\% | (330) | 20\% | (188) | 15\% | (136) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 24\% | (130) | 36\% | (195) | 20\% | (106) | 20\% | (111) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 35\% | (226) | 40\% | (261) | 15\% | (96) | 11\% | (72) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 33\% | (51) | 44\% | (68) | 13\% | (21) | 10\% | (15) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 34\% | (60) | $31 \%$ | (55) | 18\% | (32) | 17\% | (31) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 29\% | (33) | 29\% | (34) | 23\% | (26) | 19\% | (22) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 36\% | (50) | 38\% | (53) | 17\% | (24) | 10\% | (13) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 28\% | (137) | 35\% | (172) | 18\% | (91) | 19\% | (97) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 21\% | (64) | 43\% | (131) | 24\% | (72) | 12\% | (38) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | 25\% | (40) | 33\% | (52) | 27\% | (42) | 15\% | (24) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 31\% | (118) | 34\% | (130) | 16\% | (62) | 19\% | (72) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 30\% | (543) | 38\% | (694) | 19\% | (342) | 13\% | (240) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 30\% | (158) | 39\% | (205) | 18\% | (94) | 14\% | (72) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 30\% | (502) | 37\% | (620) | 19\% | (309) | 14\% | (239) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 22\% | (171) | 36\% | (287) | 20\% | (157) | 22\% | (172) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 36\% | (481) | 38\% | (509) | 16\% | (218) | 10\% | (128) | 1336 |

[^141]Table MCBR4_11: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unifying

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 30\% | (661) | $37 \%$ | (825) | 18\% | (404) | 14\% | (311) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 21\% | (98) | 37\% | (175) | 19\% | (90) | 24\% | (112) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 23\% | (73) | $36 \%$ | (112) | 21\% | (67) | 19\% | (60) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 27\% | (74) | 46\% | (125) | 19\% | (52) | 7\% | (19) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 38\% | (407) | 36\% | (384) | 16\% | (166) | 10\% | (109) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 22\% | (172) | 37\% | (293) | 20\% | (159) | 21\% | (166) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | $36 \%$ | (467) | 37\% | (486) | 17\% | (227) | 10\% | (128) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 21\% | (101) | 34\% | (163) | 18\% | (87) | 26\% | (121) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 22\% | (70) | $41 \%$ | (130) | 23\% | (72) | 14\% | (45) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 29\% | (62) | $39 \%$ | (84) | 23\% | (50) | 9\% | (20) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 37\% | (405) | 37\% | (402) | 16\% | (177) | 10\% | (108) | 1092 |
| \#1 Issue: Economy | 28\% | (241) | $38 \%$ | (323) | 20\% | (175) | 14\% | (121) | 860 |
| \#1 Issue: Security | 22\% | (64) | $34 \%$ | (99) | 20\% | (59) | 23\% | (67) | 289 |
| \#1 Issue: Health Care | 38\% | (132) | $38 \%$ | (135) | 17\% | (60) | 7\% | (25) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 26\% | (68) | $44 \%$ | (117) | 15\% | (39) | 16\% | (42) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 35\% | (34) | $36 \%$ | (36) | 20\% | (19) | 9\% | (9) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 31\% | (34) | 33\% | (37) | 16\% | (18) | 20\% | (22) | 111 |
| \#1 Issue: Energy | 47\% | (39) | 33\% | (27) | 14\% | (12) | 7\% | (5) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 35\% | (49) | $36 \%$ | (51) | 15\% | (21) | 14\% | (20) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 40\% | (405) | 40\% | (405) | 12\% | (127) | 8\% | (87) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 20\% | (132) | 37\% | (247) | 21\% | (139) | 22\% | (148) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | 28\% | (23) | 33\% | (28) | 26\% | (22) | 13\% | (11) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 23\% | (98) | $34 \%$ | (145) | 27\% | (114) | 15\% | (64) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 41\% | (315) | $39 \%$ | (303) | 12\% | (90) | 9\% | (67) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 24\% | (133) | 35\% | (197) | 20\% | (113) | 20\% | (114) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 43\% | (304) | 39\% | (271) | 11\% | (75) | 8\% | (53) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 20\% | (132) | 37\% | (241) | 22\% | (139) | 21\% | (135) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | $34 \%$ | (29) | $34 \%$ | (30) | 20\% | (17) | 12\% | (11) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 26\% | (195) | $37 \%$ | (283) | 23\% | (172) | 14\% | (109) | 759 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR4_11: How favorable or unfavorable are each of the following approaches that brands might take on a social media post about political division?
Unifying

| Demographic | Very favorable |  | Somewhat favorable |  | Somewhat unfavorable |  | Very unfavorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $30 \%$ | (661) | 37\% | (825) | 18\% | (404) | 14\% | (311) | 2200 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | $32 \%$ | (390) | 37\% | (454) | 15\% | (188) | 15\% | (184) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 27\% | (270) | 38\% | (371) | 22\% | (216) | 13\% | (127) | 984 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | $36 \%$ | (140) | 40\% | (158) | 13\% | (50) | 12\% | (45) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 27\% | (123) | 38\% | (175) | 20\% | (91) | 16\% | (73) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 27\% | (224) | 35\% | (290) | 21\% | (176) | 16\% | (134) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | $33 \%$ | (173) | 39\% | (201) | $17 \%$ | (88) | 11\% | (58) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR5: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 23\% | (176) | 25\% | (188) | 25\% | (190) | 26\% | (195) | 750 |
| Gender: Male | 30\% | (106) | 26\% | (92) | 21\% | (76) | 23\% | (84) | 358 |
| Gender: Female | 18\% | (70) | 25\% | (97) | 29\% | (114) | 28\% | (112) | 392 |
| Age: 18-34 | $23 \%$ | (48) | $32 \%$ | (65) | 27\% | (55) | 18\% | (37) | 205 |
| Age: 35-44 | 40\% | (55) | 23\% | (32) | 15\% | (21) | 23\% | (31) | 140 |
| Age: 45-64 | $21 \%$ | (54) | 24\% | (61) | 23\% | (57) | $32 \%$ | (82) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 13\% | (19) | 20\% | (30) | 38\% | (58) | 30\% | (45) | 152 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $21 \%$ | (24) | $33 \%$ | (37) | 31\% | (34) | 15\% | (17) | 112 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 35\% | (71) | 28\% | (56) | 17\% | (35) | 20\% | (40) | 201 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 20\% | (38) | 23\% | (43) | 18\% | (34) | 39\% | (72) | 187 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 17\% | (37) | 20\% | (44) | 35\% | (77) | 28\% | (61) | 219 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | $33 \%$ | (96) | 24\% | (71) | 24\% | (69) | 20\% | (58) | 294 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 16\% | (37) | 29\% | (67) | 25\% | (59) | 30\% | (70) | 234 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 20\% | (44) | 23\% | (50) | 28\% | (62) | 30\% | (67) | 223 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 46\% | (56) | 25\% | (31) | 16\% | (20) | 13\% | (17) | 123 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 23\% | (39) | 23\% | (40) | 29\% | (49) | 24\% | (42) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 19\% | (19) | 29\% | (30) | 19\% | (20) | $33 \%$ | (35) | 104 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | $14 \%$ | (18) | 29\% | (38) | 30\% | (39) | 27\% | (36) | 130 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 23\% | (31) | 24\% | (31) | 28\% | (36) | 25\% | (33) | 131 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | $14 \%$ | (13) | 21\% | (19) | 28\% | (26) | 37\% | (34) | 92 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $33 \%$ | (62) | 23\% | (44) | 21\% | (38) | 23\% | (43) | 187 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | $23 \%$ | (50) | 25\% | (56) | 26\% | (58) | 26\% | (59) | 224 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 19\% | (48) | 24\% | (60) | 29\% | (73) | 27\% | (68) | 248 |
| Educ: < College | 22\% | (116) | 27\% | (144) | 25\% | (135) | 27\% | (143) | 539 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 22\% | (29) | 19\% | (25) | $32 \%$ | (42) | 28\% | (37) | 133 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 39\% | (31) | 24\% | (19) | 17\% | (13) | 19\% | (15) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 23\% | (88) | 30\% | (114) | 25\% | (98) | $22 \%$ | (85) | 385 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 17\% | (39) | 22\% | (52) | 28\% | (64) | $34 \%$ | (78) | 233 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 37\% | (49) | 17\% | (23) | 21\% | (28) | 24\% | (32) | 133 |
| Ethnicity: White | $21 \%$ | (125) | 24\% | (139) | 27\% | (158) | 28\% | (162) | 584 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 35\% | (44) | 22\% | (27) | 23\% | (28) | 20\% | (25) | 124 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 29\% | (28) | $31 \%$ | (30) | 16\% | (15) | 24\% | (23) | 96 |

[^142]Table MCBR5: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 23\% | (176) | 25\% | (188) | 25\% | (190) | 26\% | (195) | 750 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 34\% | (24) | 28\% | (19) | 24\% | (17) | 15\% | (11) | 70 |
| All Christian | 26\% | (96) | 21\% | (78) | 23\% | (88) | 30\% | (112) | 374 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (34) | 27\% | (51) | 29\% | (55) | 25\% | (46) | 186 |
| Something Else | 20\% | (25) | 32\% | (40) | 25\% | (30) | 23\% | (28) | 124 |
| Evangelical | 27\% | (62) | 25\% | (57) | 21\% | (48) | 27\% | (62) | 229 |
| Non-Evangelical | 23\% | (59) | 21\% | (54) | 26\% | (68) | 29\% | (75) | 255 |
| Community: Urban | 32\% | (78) | 29\% | (70) | 21\% | (51) | 18\% | (44) | 243 |
| Community: Suburban | 20\% | (64) | 26\% | (82) | 28\% | (88) | 27\% | (85) | 319 |
| Community: Rural | 18\% | (34) | 19\% | (37) | 27\% | (51) | 35\% | (67) | 189 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 27\% | (60) | 23\% | (51) | $21 \%$ | (46) | 28\% | (62) | 219 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 29\% | (17) | 34\% | (20) | 15\% | (9) | $22 \%$ | (13) | 58 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (21) | 24\% | (40) | 35\% | (58) | 28\% | (46) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 24\% | (27) | $31 \%$ | (34) | 23\% | (25) | 23\% | (25) | 111 |
| Employ: Other | 26\% | (16) | 17\% | (11) | 33\% | (20) | 24\% | (15) | 62 |
| Military HH: Yes | $21 \%$ | (31) | 20\% | (29) | 28\% | (40) | $31 \%$ | (44) | 144 |
| Military HH: No | 24\% | (145) | 26\% | (159) | 25\% | (150) | 25\% | (151) | 606 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 32\% | (60) | 27\% | (50) | 23\% | (43) | 17\% | (32) | 186 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 21\% | (116) | 25\% | (138) | 26\% | (147) | 29\% | (163) | 564 |
| Trump Job Approve | 22\% | (60) | 25\% | (69) | 28\% | (77) | 25\% | (70) | 276 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (108) | 25\% | (113) | 24\% | (104) | 27\% | (117) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 21\% | (35) | 22\% | (36) | 29\% | (49) | 29\% | (48) | 169 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 23\% | (25) | 30\% | (32) | 26\% | (28) | $21 \%$ | (22) | 107 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 22\% | (16) | 33\% | (25) | 23\% | (17) | $22 \%$ | (16) | 73 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (92) | 24\% | (88) | 24\% | (87) | 27\% | (101) | 368 |
| Favorable of Trump | 22\% | (61) | 24\% | (69) | 26\% | (74) | 28\% | (80) | 283 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (104) | 25\% | (110) | 26\% | (112) | 25\% | (108) | 434 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 24\% | (40) | 17\% | (29) | 27\% | (44) | $31 \%$ | (52) | 165 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (21) | 34\% | (40) | 25\% | (29) | $24 \%$ | (28) | 118 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 26\% | (15) | 31\% | (18) | 24\% | (14) | 19\% | (11) | 58 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 24\% | (89) | 25\% | (93) | 26\% | (98) | 26\% | (96) | 376 |

[^143]Table MCBR5: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^144]Table MCBR6: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (100) | 13\% | (101) | $37 \%$ | (281) | 16\% | (121) | 20\% | (147) | 750 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (62) | 15\% | (53) | $32 \%$ | (113) | 15\% | (55) | 21\% | (74) | 358 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (38) | 12\% | (48) | 43\% | (168) | 17\% | (66) | 18\% | (72) | 392 |
| Age: 18-34 | 15\% | (30) | 15\% | (32) | 41\% | (84) | 15\% | (31) | 14\% | (30) | 205 |
| Age: 35-44 | 26\% | (36) | 14\% | (20) | 36\% | (50) | 10\% | (14) | 14\% | (19) | 140 |
| Age: 45-64 | 9\% | (23) | 13\% | (33) | 37\% | (93) | 17\% | (44) | 23\% | (59) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (11) | 11\% | (16) | $36 \%$ | (54) | $21 \%$ | (32) | 25\% | (39) | 152 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (14) | 18\% | (20) | 45\% | (50) | 12\% | (13) | 13\% | (15) | 112 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (47) | 15\% | (30) | 34\% | (69) | 14\% | (28) | 13\% | (27) | 201 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 8\% | (16) | 13\% | (25) | 38\% | (71) | 15\% | (29) | 25\% | (47) | 187 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 9\% | (20) | 8\% | (18) | 38\% | (82) | 20\% | (44) | 25\% | (56) | 219 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 21\% | (62) | 18\% | (52) | 31\% | (90) | 16\% | (48) | 14\% | (42) | 294 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 7\% | (16) | 11\% | (25) | 44\% | (103) | 17\% | (39) | $21 \%$ | (50) | 234 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (22) | 11\% | (24) | 40\% | (88) | 15\% | (34) | 24\% | (54) | 223 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 30\% | (38) | 22\% | (27) | 21\% | (26) | 14\% | (18) | 12\% | (15) | 123 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 14\% | (24) | 15\% | (25) | 37\% | (64) | 17\% | (30) | 16\% | (28) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (7) | 14\% | (14) | 35\% | (36) | 18\% | (19) | 26\% | (27) | 104 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 7\% | (9) | 8\% | (10) | 51\% | (67) | 16\% | (21) | 17\% | (23) | 130 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 13\% | (17) | 9\% | (12) | 39\% | (51) | 14\% | (19) | 25\% | (32) | 131 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 5\% | (5) | 14\% | (13) | 41\% | (37) | 16\% | (15) | 24\% | (22) | 92 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 21\% | (39) | 14\% | (27) | 27\% | (50) | 19\% | (36) | 19\% | (35) | 187 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 12\% | (27) | 19\% | (43) | 36\% | (81) | 16\% | (35) | 17\% | (38) | 224 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 12\% | (29) | 8\% | (20) | 41\% | (102) | 17\% | (42) | 22\% | (55) | 248 |
| Educ: < College | 10\% | (56) | 13\% | (69) | 42\% | (227) | 14\% | (78) | 20\% | (108) | 539 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 17\% | (22) | 12\% | (16) | 29\% | (39) | 23\% | (30) | 19\% | (25) | 133 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 28\% | (22) | 20\% | (16) | 19\% | (15) | 16\% | (13) | 17\% | (13) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 9\% | (35) | 13\% | (51) | 46\% | (178) | 17\% | (65) | 15\% | (56) | 385 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 12\% | (28) | 13\% | (29) | 33\% | (78) | 14\% | (32) | 28\% | (66) | 233 |
| Income: 100k+ | 28\% | (37) | 16\% | (21) | 19\% | (26) | 18\% | (24) | 19\% | (25) | 133 |
| Ethnicity: White | 12\% | (71) | 13\% | (74) | 38\% | (224) | 17\% | (101) | 19\% | (113) | 584 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 14\% | (17) | 16\% | (20) | 40\% | (49) | 17\% | (21) | 14\% | (17) | 124 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 17\% | (16) | 19\% | (18) | 32\% | (30) | $11 \%$ | (10) | $21 \%$ | (21) | 96 |
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Table MCBR6: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (100) | 13\% | (101) | 37\% | (281) | 16\% | (121) | 20\% | (147) | 750 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 18\% | (13) | 13\% | (9) | 38\% | (26) | 13\% | (9) | 18\% | (13) | 70 |
| All Christian | 13\% | (47) | 13\% | (50) | 34\% | (128) | 17\% | (63) | 23\% | (86) | 374 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 12\% | (23) | 12\% | (22) | 41\% | (76) | 17\% | (32) | 18\% | (33) | 186 |
| Something Else | 15\% | (18) | 9\% | (11) | 47\% | (59) | 12\% | (15) | 17\% | (21) | 124 |
| Evangelical | 17\% | (39) | 9\% | (21) | 39\% | (90) | 11\% | (26) | 23\% | (53) | 229 |
| Non-Evangelical | 10\% | (26) | 14\% | (36) | 36\% | (93) | 20\% | (51) | 20\% | (51) | 255 |
| Community: Urban | $21 \%$ | (50) | 14\% | (33) | 36\% | (88) | 15\% | (38) | 14\% | (34) | 243 |
| Community: Suburban | 12\% | (37) | 17\% | (53) | 35\% | (110) | 18\% | (57) | 19\% | (61) | 319 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (13) | 8\% | (15) | 44\% | (83) | 14\% | (27) | 27\% | (51) | 189 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 18\% | (39) | 18\% | (38) | 28\% | (62) | 15\% | (34) | 21\% | (45) | 219 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 10\% | (6) | 14\% | (8) | 42\% | (25) | 16\% | (9) | 18\% | (11) | 58 |
| Employ: Retired | 6\% | (10) | 11\% | (19) | 38\% | (63) | 22\% | (36) | 23\% | (37) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 9\% | (10) | 10\% | (11) | 54\% | (60) | 10\% | (12) | 16\% | (18) | 111 |
| Employ: Other | 22\% | (14) | 12\% | (7) | 38\% | (23) | 14\% | (9) | 14\% | (9) | 62 |
| Military HH: Yes | 16\% | (24) | 11\% | (16) | 33\% | (48) | 13\% | (19) | 26\% | (37) | 144 |
| Military HH: No | 13\% | (77) | 14\% | (86) | 38\% | (233) | 17\% | (101) | 18\% | (109) | 606 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 20\% | (37) | 16\% | (30) | 36\% | (67) | 14\% | (27) | 14\% | (26) | 186 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 11\% | (64) | 13\% | (71) | 38\% | (214) | 17\% | (94) | 21\% | (121) | 564 |
| Trump Job Approve | 13\% | (37) | $11 \%$ | (29) | 40\% | (111) | 15\% | (41) | 21\% | (57) | 276 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 14\% | (61) | 15\% | (68) | 34\% | (150) | 18\% | (78) | 19\% | (86) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 12\% | (21) | 9\% | (16) | 40\% | (67) | 15\% | (26) | 23\% | (39) | 169 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 15\% | (16) | 13\% | (14) | 41\% | (44) | 14\% | (15) | 17\% | (18) | 107 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 11\% | (8) | 14\% | (10) | 40\% | (29) | 15\% | (11) | 20\% | (15) | 73 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 14\% | (52) | 16\% | (57) | 33\% | (120) | 18\% | (68) | 19\% | (71) | 368 |
| Favorable of Trump | 13\% | (37) | 12\% | (34) | 38\% | (106) | 15\% | (42) | 23\% | (64) | 283 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (56) | 15\% | (63) | 37\% | (162) | 18\% | (77) | 17\% | (76) | 434 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (19) | $11 \%$ | (18) | 35\% | (58) | 17\% | (28) | 25\% | (42) | 165 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (18) | 14\% | (16) | 41\% | (48) | 12\% | (14) | 18\% | (22) | 118 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 11\% | (7) | 13\% | (7) | 45\% | (26) | 12\% | (7) | 18\% | (11) | 58 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 13\% | (49) | 15\% | (56) | 36\% | (136) | 19\% | (70) | 17\% | (65) | 376 |

[^145]Table MCBR6: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 13\% | (100) | 13\% | (101) | 37\% | (281) | 16\% | (121) | 20\% | (147) | 750 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 12\% | (39) | 15\% | (49) | 38\% | (119) | 14\% | (43) | 21\% | (66) | 315 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 20\% | (20) | 7\% | (7) | 29\% | (28) | 17\% | (17) | 27\% | (27) | 99 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 11\% | (12) | 14\% | (16) | 49\% | (54) | 10\% | (11) | 15\% | (17) | 110 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 10\% | (9) | $11 \%$ | (10) | $31 \%$ | (30) | 29\% | (27) | 19\% | (19) | 95 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 18\% | (62) | 17\% | (57) | $31 \%$ | (105) | 16\% | (56) | 17\% | (58) | 338 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (21) | 10\% | (24) | 39\% | (91) | 16\% | (38) | 26\% | (62) | 237 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (14) | 12\% | (17) | 46\% | (66) | 16\% | (24) | 16\% | (23) | 144 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 19\% | (50) | 15\% | (39) | 30\% | (77) | 19\% | (48) | 17\% | (43) | 256 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (21) | 10\% | (18) | 38\% | (70) | 16\% | (30) | 24\% | (45) | 185 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 19\% | (45) | 16\% | (37) | 31\% | (71) | 17\% | (40) | 17\% | (39) | 232 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (21) | 11\% | (24) | 35\% | (77) | 18\% | (39) | 27\% | (61) | 221 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 11\% | (29) | 14\% | (39) | 45\% | (121) | 14\% | (38) | 15\% | (40) | 266 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (60) | 13\% | (53) | 35\% | (143) | 17\% | (69) | 21\% | (88) | 414 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (40) | 14\% | (49) | 41\% | (138) | 15\% | (51) | 17\% | (58) | 337 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 24\% | (29) | 19\% | (23) | 27\% | (32) | 14\% | (17) | 16\% | (19) | 120 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (20) | 13\% | (21) | 40\% | (66) | 17\% | (27) | 18\% | (29) | 163 |
| 4-Region: South | 12\% | (35) | 12\% | (38) | 39\% | (119) | 16\% | (50) | 21\% | (64) | 306 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (16) | 12\% | (19) | 40\% | (64) | 17\% | (27) | 21\% | (35) | 161 |

[^146]Table MCBR7: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (86) | 11\% | (86) | 46\% | (346) | 14\% | (102) | 17\% | (130) | 750 |
| Gender: Male | 13\% | (45) | 15\% | (53) | 40\% | (143) | 15\% | (53) | 18\% | (64) | 358 |
| Gender: Female | 10\% | (41) | 8\% | (33) | 52\% | (202) | 13\% | (49) | 17\% | (67) | 392 |
| Age: 18-34 | 13\% | (27) | 14\% | (28) | 49\% | (100) | 14\% | (29) | 10\% | (22) | 205 |
| Age: 35-44 | 20\% | (28) | 20\% | (28) | 41\% | (57) | 6\% | (9) | 13\% | (18) | 140 |
| Age: 45-64 | 8\% | (20) | 9\% | (23) | 47\% | (118) | 14\% | (36) | 22\% | (56) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 7\% | (11) | 5\% | (7) | 47\% | (71) | 19\% | (28) | 23\% | (34) | 152 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 12\% | (13) | 9\% | (11) | 55\% | (62) | 16\% | (18) | 8\% | (9) | 112 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 19\% | (38) | 21\% | (43) | 39\% | (79) | 9\% | (19) | 11\% | (23) | 201 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 7\% | (13) | 8\% | (15) | 48\% | (91) | 13\% | (24) | 23\% | (43) | 187 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 8\% | (18) | 7\% | (15) | 44\% | (98) | 16\% | (36) | 24\% | (52) | 219 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 19\% | (55) | 13\% | (40) | 43\% | (125) | 14\% | (40) | 12\% | (34) | 294 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 6\% | (14) | 13\% | (29) | 49\% | (113) | 14\% | (32) | 19\% | (45) | 234 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 8\% | (17) | 8\% | (17) | 48\% | (107) | 13\% | (30) | 23\% | (51) | 223 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 21\% | (26) | 20\% | (24) | 35\% | (44) | 13\% | (17) | 11\% | (13) | 123 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 17\% | (29) | 9\% | (15) | 48\% | (82) | 14\% | (24) | 12\% | (21) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 6\% | (6) | 15\% | (15) | 40\% | (41) | 18\% | (18) | 22\% | (23) | 104 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 6\% | (8) | 11\% | (14) | 55\% | (72) | 10\% | (14) | 17\% | (22) | 130 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (14) | 10\% | (13) | 45\% | (58) | 14\% | (18) | $21 \%$ | (28) | 131 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 4\% | (4) | 4\% | (4) | 53\% | (49) | 13\% | (12) | 26\% | (24) | 92 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 18\% | (34) | 12\% | (23) | 36\% | (67) | 18\% | (35) | 16\% | (29) | 187 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 10\% | (22) | 14\% | (32) | 49\% | (110) | 11\% | (25) | 15\% | (35) | 224 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 10\% | (24) | 9\% | (22) | 45\% | (113) | 15\% | (38) | 21\% | (52) | 248 |
| Educ: < College | 9\% | (48) | 9\% | (51) | 51\% | (276) | 13\% | (71) | 17\% | (92) | 539 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 10\% | (14) | 17\% | (22) | 38\% | (51) | 15\% | (20) | 20\% | (26) | 133 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 30\% | (24) | 16\% | (13) | 23\% | (18) | 15\% | (12) | 15\% | (12) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 8\% | (30) | 9\% | (35) | 56\% | (215) | 14\% | (55) | 13\% | (50) | 385 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 10\% | (23) | 12\% | (28) | 41\% | (96) | 12\% | (29) | 25\% | (58) | 233 |
| Income: 100k+ | 25\% | (34) | 18\% | (23) | 26\% | (35) | 14\% | (18) | 17\% | (22) | 133 |
| Ethnicity: White | 10\% | (59) | 10\% | (60) | 48\% | (278) | 14\% | (84) | 18\% | (103) | 584 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 10\% | (12) | 15\% | (18) | 48\% | (59) | 16\% | (20) | $11 \%$ | (14) | 124 |

[^147]Table MCBR7: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | ss likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (86) | $11 \%$ | (86) | 46\% | (346) | 14\% | (102) | 17\% | (130) | 750 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 16\% | (15) | 19\% | (18) | 38\% | (37) | 6\% | (6) | $21 \%$ | (20) | 96 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (12) | 11\% | (8) | 45\% | (32) | 17\% | (12) | $11 \%$ | (8) | 70 |
| All Christian | 11\% | (42) | 11\% | (41) | 44\% | (165) | 14\% | (53) | 20\% | (73) | 374 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | $12 \%$ | (23) | 10\% | (19) | 49\% | (92) | 13\% | (25) | 15\% | (27) | 186 |
| Something Else | 8\% | (10) | 9\% | (12) | 53\% | (65) | 12\% | (14) | 18\% | (22) | 124 |
| Evangelical | 15\% | (35) | 12\% | (28) | 43\% | (98) | 10\% | (22) | 20\% | (46) | 229 |
| Non-Evangelical | 6\% | (16) | 8\% | (19) | 50\% | (129) | 17\% | (44) | 19\% | (47) | 255 |
| Community: Urban | 19\% | (45) | 16\% | (38) | 42\% | (102) | $11 \%$ | (27) | 13\% | (31) | 243 |
| Community: Suburban | 9\% | (28) | 12\% | (39) | 46\% | (146) | 16\% | (51) | 17\% | (55) | 319 |
| Community: Rural | 7\% | (13) | 5\% | (9) | $52 \%$ | (99) | 13\% | (25) | 23\% | (44) | 189 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 16\% | (35) | 16\% | (34) | 38\% | (83) | 12\% | (26) | 19\% | (41) | 219 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 7\% | (4) | 13\% | (8) | 49\% | (29) | 17\% | (10) | 14\% | (8) | 58 |
| Employ: Retired | 7\% | (12) | 6\% | (9) | 48\% | (79) | 20\% | (33) | 19\% | (31) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 8\% | (9) | 13\% | (14) | 56\% | (62) | 7\% | (8) | 16\% | (18) | 111 |
| Employ: Other | 14\% | (9) | 4\% | (3) | $51 \%$ | (31) | 17\% | (11) | 13\% | (8) | 62 |
| Military HH: Yes | 10\% | (15) | $11 \%$ | (16) | 46\% | (66) | 9\% | (13) | 24\% | (35) | 144 |
| Military HH: No | 12\% | (71) | 12\% | (70) | 46\% | (280) | 15\% | (89) | 16\% | (96) | 606 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 19\% | (36) | 16\% | (30) | 45\% | (83) | 9\% | (18) | 11\% | (20) | 186 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 9\% | (50) | 10\% | (57) | 47\% | (262) | 15\% | (84) | 20\% | (111) | 564 |
| Trump Job Approve | 11\% | (31) | 10\% | (28) | 45\% | (124) | 13\% | (36) | 20\% | (56) | 276 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (52) | 13\% | (56) | 45\% | (197) | 15\% | (64) | 16\% | (72) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 10\% | (18) | 8\% | (13) | 40\% | (68) | 17\% | (29) | $24 \%$ | (41) | 169 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 13\% | (14) | $14 \%$ | (15) | 52\% | (56) | 7\% | (7) | 14\% | (15) | 107 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 10\% | (7) | 18\% | (13) | 40\% | (30) | 17\% | (12) | 15\% | (11) | 73 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 12\% | (45) | 12\% | (43) | 46\% | (168) | 14\% | (52) | 16\% | (61) | 368 |
| Favorable of Trump | 11\% | (30) | 10\% | (29) | 45\% | (127) | 13\% | (37) | $21 \%$ | (61) | 283 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (45) | 13\% | (55) | 46\% | (202) | 15\% | (65) | 15\% | (67) | 434 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR7: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 11\% | (86) | 11\% | (86) | 46\% | (346) | 14\% | (102) | 17\% | (130) | 750 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 12\% | (20) | 7\% | (12) | 37\% | (61) | 17\% | (29) | 26\% | (43) | 165 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 8\% | (10) | 14\% | (17) | 56\% | (66) | 7\% | (8) | 15\% | (17) | 118 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (7) | 18\% | (11) | 41\% | (24) | 12\% | (7) | 16\% | (9) | 58 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 10\% | (38) | 12\% | (44) | 47\% | (178) | 15\% | (58) | 15\% | (58) | 376 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 11\% | (35) | 10\% | (31) | 45\% | (142) | 14\% | (44) | 20\% | (62) | 315 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 12\% | (12) | 11\% | (11) | 42\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (11) | 23\% | (23) | 99 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 16\% | (17) | 12\% | (13) | 51\% | (56) | 9\% | (9) | 12\% | (13) | 110 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 7\% | (7) | 7\% | (7) | 52\% | (49) | 17\% | (17) | 17\% | (16) | 95 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 16\% | (55) | 14\% | (46) | 43\% | (144) | 13\% | (44) | 14\% | (48) | 338 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 7\% | (18) | 9\% | (22) | 44\% | (104) | 14\% | (33) | 26\% | (61) | 237 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (13) | 8\% | (12) | 56\% | (80) | 15\% | (21) | 12\% | (17) | 144 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 18\% | (45) | 13\% | (34) | 42\% | (107) | 13\% | (34) | 14\% | (37) | 256 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 9\% | (16) | 9\% | (17) | 42\% | (77) | 16\% | (29) | 24\% | (44) | 185 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 19\% | (44) | 13\% | (31) | 42\% | (98) | 13\% | (29) | 13\% | (31) | 232 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 9\% | (20) | 8\% | (17) | 41\% | (91) | 15\% | (34) | 27\% | (60) | 221 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 8\% | (22) | 12\% | (32) | 53\% | (141) | 14\% | (37) | 13\% | (34) | 266 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 14\% | (56) | 11\% | (46) | 42\% | (173) | 14\% | (56) | 20\% | (83) | 414 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 9\% | (30) | 12\% | (41) | 51\% | (172) | 14\% | (46) | 14\% | (48) | 337 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 23\% | (27) | 17\% | (21) | 35\% | (42) | 12\% | (15) | 13\% | (16) | 120 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 10\% | (16) | 10\% | (17) | $52 \%$ | (84) | 12\% | (19) | 17\% | (28) | 163 |
| 4-Region: South | 9\% | (28) | 11\% | (33) | 47\% | (144) | 14\% | (43) | 19\% | (58) | 306 |
| 4-Region: West | 10\% | (15) | 10\% | (16) | 47\% | (76) | 16\% | (25) | 18\% | (29) | 161 |

[^148]Table MCBR8: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $42 \%$ | (297) | $34 \%$ | (242) | 15\% | (108) | 9\% | (64) | 711 |
| Gender: Male | 41\% | (140) | 37\% | (129) | 13\% | (44) | 9\% | (33) | 347 |
| Gender: Female | 43\% | (156) | $31 \%$ | (113) | 18\% | (64) | 8\% | (31) | 364 |
| Age: 18-34 | 39\% | (86) | 40\% | (89) | 15\% | (33) | 6\% | (13) | 221 |
| Age: 35-44 | 48\% | (49) | $32 \%$ | (32) | 14\% | (15) | 6\% | (6) | 103 |
| Age: 45-64 | 42\% | (106) | 30\% | (75) | 16\% | (40) | 13\% | (33) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 42\% | (56) | 34\% | (46) | 15\% | (20) | 8\% | (11) | 134 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 38\% | (38) | 38\% | (37) | 18\% | (18) | 6\% | (6) | 99 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 43\% | (90) | 38\% | (78) | 14\% | (28) | 5\% | (10) | 207 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 39\% | (66) | $32 \%$ | (54) | 16\% | (27) | 13\% | (23) | 170 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 44\% | (91) | $31 \%$ | (65) | 15\% | (31) | 10\% | (21) | 209 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 57\% | (172) | 30\% | (92) | 10\% | (31) | 3\% | (8) | 302 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | $34 \%$ | (73) | 33\% | (72) | 20\% | (44) | 12\% | (26) | 215 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 27\% | (52) | 41\% | (79) | 17\% | (34) | 15\% | (30) | 194 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 54\% | (84) | $34 \%$ | (53) | 9\% | (14) | 3\% | (4) | 156 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 60\% | (88) | 26\% | (38) | 12\% | (17) | $2 \%$ | (4) | 146 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | $31 \%$ | (31) | $32 \%$ | (32) | $21 \%$ | (21) | 17\% | (17) | 100 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 37\% | (42) | 34\% | (40) | 20\% | (23) | 8\% | (10) | 115 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 28\% | (25) | 48\% | (43) | $11 \%$ | (10) | 13\% | (12) | 91 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 25\% | (26) | 35\% | (36) | 23\% | (24) | 17\% | (18) | 103 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 63\% | (139) | 22\% | (48) | $11 \%$ | (25) | $3 \%$ | (7) | 219 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 38\% | (85) | 38\% | (84) | 15\% | (33) | 9\% | (21) | 222 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 25\% | (50) | 40\% | (80) | 21\% | (42) | 14\% | (29) | 202 |
| Educ: < College | 38\% | (178) | 36\% | (167) | 16\% | (76) | 10\% | (46) | 468 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 45\% | (71) | $31 \%$ | (48) | 17\% | (27) | 7\% | (11) | 157 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 55\% | (47) | $31 \%$ | (27) | 6\% | (6) | 7\% | (6) | 86 |
| Income: Under 50k | 37\% | (133) | 35\% | (126) | 17\% | (63) | 12\% | (42) | 364 |
| Income: 50 k -100k | 45\% | (95) | $34 \%$ | (72) | 16\% | (34) | 5\% | (12) | 213 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 51\% | (68) | 33\% | (45) | 9\% | (11) | 7\% | (10) | 134 |
| Ethnicity: White | 40\% | (216) | 35\% | (187) | 15\% | (81) | 10\% | (54) | 539 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 44\% | (45) | 36\% | (37) | 13\% | (14) | 6\% | (7) | 102 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 55\% | (56) | 27\% | (27) | 16\% | (16) | 2\% | (2) | 101 |

[^149]Table MCBR8: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 42\% | (297) | $34 \%$ | (242) | 15\% | (108) | 9\% | (64) | 711 |
| Ethnicity: Other | $34 \%$ | (24) | 40\% | (29) | 15\% | (11) | 10\% | (7) | 71 |
| All Christian | 45\% | (146) | 33\% | (107) | 14\% | (46) | 8\% | (26) | 325 |
| All Non-Christian | 42\% | (21) | 45\% | (23) | 11\% | (5) | 2\% | (1) | 50 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 36\% | (62) | 37\% | (63) | 15\% | (25) | 12\% | (20) | 170 |
| Something Else | 40\% | (56) | 28\% | (39) | 22\% | (31) | 11\% | (15) | 142 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 41\% | (21) | 46\% | (24) | 11\% | (6) | 2\% | (1) | 52 |
| Evangelical | 44\% | (93) | 30\% | (62) | 18\% | (37) | 9\% | (18) | 211 |
| Non-Evangelical | 43\% | (108) | 33\% | (81) | 15\% | (39) | 9\% | (23) | 250 |
| Community: Urban | 49\% | (118) | 31\% | (75) | 9\% | (22) | 10\% | (24) | 239 |
| Community: Suburban | 37\% | (111) | 36\% | (109) | 19\% | (57) | 8\% | (24) | 300 |
| Community: Rural | 40\% | (68) | 34\% | (59) | 17\% | (29) | 9\% | (16) | 171 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 45\% | (103) | 32\% | (72) | 13\% | (29) | 10\% | (22) | 226 |
| Employ: Government | 46\% | (24) | 36\% | (18) | 16\% | (8) | 2\% | (1) | 51 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 32\% | (21) | 42\% | (27) | 18\% | (11) | 7\% | (5) | 63 |
| Employ: Retired | 40\% | (66) | 35\% | (57) | 16\% | (26) | 9\% | (15) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 42\% | (39) | 30\% | (28) | 14\% | (13) | 15\% | (14) | 94 |
| Military HH: Yes | 44\% | (53) | 27\% | (32) | 16\% | (20) | 14\% | (17) | 122 |
| Military HH: No | $41 \%$ | (243) | 36\% | (210) | 15\% | (89) | 8\% | (47) | 589 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 41\% | (69) | $34 \%$ | (57) | 14\% | (24) | 12\% | (20) | 170 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 42\% | (228) | $34 \%$ | (185) | 16\% | (84) | 8\% | (44) | 541 |
| Trump Job Approve | 23\% | (57) | 38\% | (94) | 22\% | (54) | 18\% | (45) | 249 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 53\% | (234) | 31\% | (138) | 12\% | (51) | $4 \%$ | (18) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 26\% | (37) | 31\% | (44) | 21\% | (30) | 22\% | (31) | 142 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 18\% | (20) | 46\% | (50) | 22\% | (24) | 13\% | (14) | 108 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 28\% | (33) | 48\% | (57) | 17\% | (20) | 7\% | (8) | 118 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 62\% | (202) | 25\% | (81) | 10\% | (32) | 3\% | (10) | 324 |
| Favorable of Trump | 25\% | (64) | 39\% | (99) | 19\% | (48) | 17\% | (43) | 254 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 53\% | (223) | 31\% | (130) | 13\% | (53) | 4\% | (16) | 422 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR8: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^150]Table MCBR9: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 27\% | (195) | 25\% | (177) | $32 \%$ | (224) | 8\% | (58) | 8\% | (57) | 711 |
| Gender: Male | 28\% | (98) | 27\% | (95) | 30\% | (106) | 6\% | (20) | 8\% | (28) | 347 |
| Gender: Female | 27\% | (97) | 23\% | (82) | 32\% | (118) | 10\% | (38) | 8\% | (29) | 364 |
| Age: 18-34 | 25\% | (55) | 28\% | (61) | 32\% | (72) | 12\% | (27) | 3\% | (7) | 221 |
| Age: 35-44 | 35\% | (36) | $31 \%$ | (32) | 25\% | (26) | 5\% | (5) | 4\% | (4) | 103 |
| Age: 45-64 | 29\% | (74) | $21 \%$ | (53) | 30\% | (76) | 7\% | (19) | 13\% | (32) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 23\% | (30) | 23\% | (31) | 38\% | (51) | 5\% | (7) | 11\% | (15) | 134 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 24\% | (24) | 24\% | (24) | 32\% | (31) | 16\% | (16) | 4\% | (4) | 99 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 30\% | (62) | 31\% | (63) | 29\% | (60) | 8\% | (16) | 3\% | (6) | 207 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 29\% | (49) | 23\% | (40) | 27\% | (45) | 10\% | (16) | 12\% | (20) | 170 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 26\% | (55) | 19\% | (40) | 39\% | (82) | 4\% | (9) | 11\% | (23) | 209 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 38\% | (116) | $31 \%$ | (94) | 26\% | (77) | $4 \%$ | (11) | 1\% | (4) | 302 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 23\% | (50) | 19\% | (41) | 35\% | (76) | 12\% | (25) | 11\% | (23) | 215 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 15\% | (29) | 21\% | (42) | 37\% | (71) | $11 \%$ | (21) | 16\% | (31) | 194 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 40\% | (62) | 29\% | (46) | 27\% | (42) | $2 \%$ | (3) | 2\% | (3) | 156 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 37\% | (54) | 33\% | (48) | 24\% | (35) | 6\% | (8) | - | (1) | 146 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 20\% | (20) | 23\% | (23) | 32\% | (32) | 10\% | (10) | 14\% | (14) | 100 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 26\% | (30) | 16\% | (18) | 38\% | (44) | 13\% | (15) | 7\% | (8) | 115 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 17\% | (16) | 28\% | (26) | 34\% | (31) | 8\% | (7) | 12\% | (11) | 91 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 13\% | (13) | 15\% | (16) | 39\% | (40) | 14\% | (14) | 19\% | (20) | 103 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 42\% | (92) | $31 \%$ | (67) | 23\% | (51) | 3\% | (6) | 2\% | (4) | 219 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 23\% | (51) | 28\% | (63) | 33\% | (74) | 6\% | (13) | 9\% | (20) | 222 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 18\% | (37) | 16\% | (33) | 37\% | (74) | 14\% | (28) | 15\% | (30) | 202 |
| Educ: < College | 25\% | (119) | 22\% | (101) | 37\% | (171) | 8\% | (40) | 8\% | (38) | 468 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 27\% | (42) | $31 \%$ | (49) | 23\% | (36) | 9\% | (13) | 10\% | (16) | 157 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 39\% | (34) | $31 \%$ | (26) | 20\% | (17) | 6\% | (5) | 5\% | (4) | 86 |
| Income: Under 50k | 22\% | (82) | 24\% | (86) | 36\% | (132) | 8\% | (28) | 10\% | (37) | 364 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 25\% | (54) | 28\% | (61) | 30\% | (63) | 11\% | (24) | 6\% | (12) | 213 |
| Income: 100k+ | 45\% | (60) | 23\% | (30) | 22\% | (29) | 5\% | (7) | 6\% | (8) | 134 |
| Ethnicity: White | 26\% | (138) | 26\% | (142) | 30\% | (164) | 9\% | (46) | 9\% | (48) | 539 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 34\% | (35) | 28\% | (28) | 24\% | (25) | 8\% | (8) | 6\% | (7) | 102 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 39\% | (40) | 19\% | (19) | 34\% | (34) | 5\% | (6) | 2\% | (2) | 101 |

[^151]Table MCBR9: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 27\% | (195) | 25\% | (177) | 32\% | (224) | 8\% | (58) | 8\% | (57) | 711 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 24\% | (17) | 22\% | (16) | 36\% | (26) | 9\% | (6) | 9\% | (7) | 71 |
| All Christian | 31\% | (102) | 24\% | (78) | 28\% | (93) | 7\% | (23) | 9\% | (30) | 325 |
| All Non-Christian | 28\% | (14) | 39\% | (19) | 28\% | (14) | 3\% | (2) | 2\% | (1) | 50 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 19\% | (32) | 28\% | (48) | 32\% | (55) | 11\% | (19) | 10\% | (17) | 170 |
| Something Else | 28\% | (40) | 19\% | (26) | 37\% | (52) | 10\% | (14) | 6\% | (9) | 142 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 29\% | (15) | 37\% | (19) | 28\% | (14) | 3\% | (2) | 2\% | (1) | 52 |
| Evangelical | 35\% | (74) | 21\% | (44) | 28\% | (58) | 8\% | (17) | 9\% | (19) | 211 |
| Non-Evangelical | 26\% | (65) | 24\% | (60) | 34\% | (85) | 8\% | (21) | 8\% | (19) | 250 |
| Community: Urban | 34\% | (82) | 31\% | (74) | 22\% | (54) | 4\% | (10) | 8\% | (19) | 239 |
| Community: Suburban | 23\% | (70) | 24\% | (73) | 35\% | (106) | 10\% | (30) | 7\% | (21) | 300 |
| Community: Rural | 25\% | (43) | 17\% | (29) | 37\% | (64) | 10\% | (18) | 10\% | (18) | 171 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 30\% | (67) | 28\% | (64) | 27\% | (61) | 8\% | (19) | 7\% | (16) | 226 |
| Employ: Government | 38\% | (19) | 28\% | (14) | 23\% | (12) | 7\% | (4) | 4\% | (2) | 51 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 24\% | (15) | 21\% | (13) | 31\% | (19) | 19\% | (12) | 6\% | (4) | 63 |
| Employ: Retired | 27\% | (44) | 21\% | (34) | 37\% | (61) | 3\% | (5) | 12\% | (20) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 26\% | (24) | 23\% | (22) | 39\% | (36) | 4\% | (4) | 9\% | (8) | 94 |
| Military HH: Yes | 31\% | (38) | 18\% | (22) | 24\% | (29) | 13\% | (16) | 14\% | (17) | 122 |
| Military HH: No | 27\% | (157) | 26\% | (155) | 33\% | (195) | 7\% | (42) | 7\% | (41) | 589 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 30\% | (51) | 29\% | (50) | 26\% | (43) | 5\% | (9) | 10\% | (17) | 170 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 27\% | (144) | 23\% | (127) | 33\% | (181) | 9\% | (49) | 7\% | (40) | 541 |
| Trump Job Approve | 15\% | (36) | 21\% | (53) | 32\% | (80) | 14\% | (34) | 19\% | (46) | 249 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 35\% | (155) | 28\% | (123) | 31\% | (135) | 4\% | (19) | 2\% | (10) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 18\% | (25) | 15\% | (21) | 27\% | (38) | 16\% | (23) | 24\% | (34) | 142 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $11 \%$ | (11) | 29\% | (32) | 39\% | (42) | 10\% | (11) | $11 \%$ | (12) | 108 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 25\% | (30) | 28\% | (33) | 35\% | (41) | 8\% | (9) | 4\% | (5) | 118 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 39\% | (125) | 28\% | (90) | 29\% | (94) | 3\% | (10) | 2\% | (6) | 324 |
| Favorable of Trump | 18\% | (46) | 19\% | (49) | 32\% | (82) | 13\% | (34) | 17\% | (44) | 254 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 33\% | (139) | 30\% | (127) | 29\% | (124) | 5\% | (22) | 2\% | (10) | 422 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR9: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat <br> more favorable |  |  |  |  |  |  | No impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR10: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much | s likely | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (137) | 24\% | (171) | 43\% | (304) | 6\% | (40) | 8\% | (59) | 711 |
| Gender: Male | 20\% | (69) | 28\% | (96) | 40\% | (139) | 4\% | (12) | 9\% | (31) | 347 |
| Gender: Female | 19\% | (69) | 20\% | (75) | 45\% | (165) | 8\% | (28) | 8\% | (28) | 364 |
| Age: 18-34 | 19\% | (41) | 28\% | (61) | 44\% | (96) | 6\% | (14) | 4\% | (8) | 221 |
| Age: 35-44 | 30\% | (31) | 29\% | (30) | 32\% | (33) | 5\% | (6) | 3\% | (3) | 103 |
| Age: 45-64 | 19\% | (49) | 21\% | (52) | 43\% | (108) | 4\% | (10) | 13\% | (34) | 253 |
| Age: 65+ | 12\% | (16) | 20\% | (27) | 50\% | (66) | 7\% | (10) | 11\% | (14) | 134 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 11\% | (11) | 34\% | (34) | 43\% | (43) | 9\% | (9) | 3\% | (3) | 99 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 29\% | (59) | 24\% | (50) | 39\% | (80) | 5\% | (11) | 3\% | (7) | 207 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 18\% | (30) | 27\% | (47) | 38\% | (65) | 4\% | (7) | 13\% | (21) | 170 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 14\% | (30) | 17\% | (36) | 51\% | (107) | 7\% | (14) | 11\% | (22) | 209 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 27\% | (81) | 35\% | (104) | 36\% | (108) | 2\% | (6) | 1\% | (3) | 302 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 15\% | (33) | 16\% | (34) | 51\% | (109) | 6\% | (13) | 12\% | (26) | 215 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 12\% | (24) | 17\% | (32) | 45\% | (87) | 11\% | (21) | 16\% | (30) | 194 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 25\% | (40) | 38\% | (60) | 34\% | (52) | 1\% | (2) | 1\% | (2) | 156 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 28\% | (41) | 30\% | (44) | 38\% | (56) | 3\% | (4) | - | (1) | 146 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 13\% | (13) | 17\% | (17) | 46\% | (47) | 7\% | (7) | 17\% | (17) | 100 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 17\% | (20) | 15\% | (17) | 54\% | (62) | 6\% | (7) | 8\% | (9) | 115 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 18\% | (16) | $21 \%$ | (19) | 44\% | (40) | 4\% | (4) | 13\% | (12) | 91 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 8\% | (8) | 13\% | (13) | 46\% | (47) | 16\% | (17) | 18\% | (18) | 103 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 28\% | (62) | 35\% | (77) | 32\% | (70) | 3\% | (7) | 2\% | (3) | 219 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 17\% | (39) | 23\% | (50) | 47\% | (105) | 3\% | (6) | 10\% | (22) | 222 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 14\% | (28) | 14\% | (28) | 44\% | (88) | 12\% | (25) | 16\% | (32) | 202 |
| Educ: < College | 17\% | (77) | $21 \%$ | (100) | 48\% | (223) | 5\% | (26) | 9\% | (42) | 468 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 21\% | (33) | 27\% | (43) | 35\% | (56) | 7\% | (11) | 9\% | (14) | 157 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 31\% | (27) | 32\% | (28) | 29\% | (25) | $4 \%$ | (4) | 3\% | (2) | 86 |
| Income: Under 50k | 14\% | (52) | 22\% | (81) | 48\% | (177) | 5\% | (18) | 10\% | (37) | 364 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 18\% | (39) | 26\% | (55) | 42\% | (90) | 8\% | (17) | 6\% | (12) | 213 |
| Income: 100k+ | 34\% | (46) | 26\% | (35) | 28\% | (37) | 4\% | (6) | 7\% | (10) | 134 |
| Ethnicity: White | 19\% | (102) | 24\% | (129) | 41\% | (223) | 7\% | (36) | 9\% | (49) | 539 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 21\% | (21) | 37\% | (38) | $34 \%$ | (35) | 1\% | (1) | 7\% | (7) | 102 |

[^152]Table MCBR10: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (137) | 24\% | (171) | 43\% | (304) | 6\% | (40) | 8\% | (59) | 711 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 26\% | (26) | 29\% | (30) | 42\% | (42) | 1\% | (1) | 2\% | (2) | 101 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (9) | 17\% | (12) | 55\% | (39) | 4\% | (3) | $11 \%$ | (8) | 71 |
| All Christian | 25\% | (81) | 20\% | (65) | 40\% | (131) | 6\% | (21) | 8\% | (28) | 325 |
| All Non-Christian | 25\% | (12) | 31\% | (15) | 40\% | (20) | 2\% | (1) | 2\% | (1) | 50 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 8\% | (14) | 26\% | (45) | 48\% | (82) | 5\% | (9) | $11 \%$ | (19) | 170 |
| Something Else | 16\% | (23) | 27\% | (38) | 44\% | (63) | 6\% | (9) | 7\% | (10) | 142 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 25\% | (13) | 32\% | (17) | 39\% | (20) | 2\% | (1) | 2\% | (1) | 52 |
| Evangelical | 26\% | (56) | 27\% | (58) | $31 \%$ | (64) | 7\% | (15) | 8\% | (18) | 211 |
| Non-Evangelical | 18\% | (45) | 17\% | (44) | $51 \%$ | (128) | 6\% | (14) | 8\% | (20) | 250 |
| Community: Urban | 27\% | (65) | 31\% | (74) | 32\% | (78) | 3\% | (7) | 6\% | (16) | 239 |
| Community: Suburban | 16\% | (49) | 20\% | (59) | 50\% | (149) | 6\% | (19) | 8\% | (24) | 300 |
| Community: Rural | $14 \%$ | (24) | 22\% | (37) | 45\% | (77) | 8\% | (14) | $11 \%$ | (19) | 171 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 25\% | (57) | 26\% | (59) | 35\% | (78) | 8\% | (18) | 6\% | (14) | 226 |
| Employ: Government | 24\% | (12) | 30\% | (15) | 33\% | (17) | 9\% | (4) | 4\% | (2) | 51 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 21\% | (13) | 17\% | (11) | 45\% | (29) | 4\% | (2) | 12\% | (8) | 63 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (22) | 19\% | (31) | 52\% | (86) | 4\% | (7) | 12\% | (19) | 164 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 16\% | (15) | 21\% | (20) | 52\% | (48) | $2 \%$ | (2) | 10\% | (9) | 94 |
| Military HH: Yes | 21\% | (25) | 23\% | (29) | 32\% | (39) | 9\% | (11) | 15\% | (18) | 122 |
| Military HH: No | 19\% | (112) | 24\% | (142) | 45\% | (265) | 5\% | (29) | 7\% | (41) | 589 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 23\% | (40) | 29\% | (50) | $31 \%$ | (53) | 5\% | (8) | $11 \%$ | (19) | 170 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 18\% | (98) | 22\% | (121) | 46\% | (251) | 6\% | (32) | 7\% | (40) | 541 |
| Trump Job Approve | 12\% | (30) | 16\% | (41) | 41\% | (103) | 10\% | (26) | 20\% | (50) | 249 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 24\% | (107) | 28\% | (122) | 44\% | (193) | 3\% | (13) | 2\% | (7) | 442 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 16\% | (22) | 10\% | (15) | 35\% | (50) | 9\% | (13) | 29\% | (41) | 142 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 7\% | (7) | 24\% | (26) | 49\% | (53) | 12\% | (13) | 8\% | (9) | 108 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 23\% | (27) | 29\% | (35) | 39\% | (47) | 6\% | (7) | 3\% | (3) | 118 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 25\% | (80) | 27\% | (87) | 45\% | (147) | 2\% | (6) | 1\% | (4) | 324 |
| Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (35) | 17\% | (43) | 41\% | (103) | 10\% | (25) | 19\% | (47) | 254 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (98) | 28\% | (118) | 43\% | (183) | $3 \%$ | (13) | 2\% | (10) | 422 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR10: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (137) | 24\% | (171) | 43\% | (304) | 6\% | (40) | 8\% | (59) | 711 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 17\% | (25) | 9\% | (13) | 37\% | (53) | 10\% | (14) | 27\% | (39) | 145 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 9\% | (10) | 28\% | (30) | 46\% | (50) | 10\% | (11) | 8\% | (8) | 109 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 16\% | (13) | 32\% | (26) | 42\% | (34) | 7\% | (6) | 2\% | (2) | 80 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (85) | 27\% | (93) | 44\% | (149) | 2\% | (7) | 2\% | (8) | 342 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 15\% | (41) | 22\% | (59) | 44\% | (119) | 8\% | (20) | 11\% | (28) | 268 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (15) | 16\% | (13) | 37\% | (32) | 8\% | (7) | 22\% | (19) | 87 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 25\% | (27) | 31\% | (33) | 38\% | (40) | 5\% | (6) | 1\% | (1) | 107 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 22\% | (19) | 22\% | (19) | 48\% | (41) | 4\% | (3) | 5\% | (4) | 87 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | $11 \%$ | (6) | 33\% | (19) | 49\% | (29) | 3\% | (2) | 4\% | (3) | 59 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $31 \%$ | (103) | 31\% | (103) | 36\% | (122) | 1\% | (4) | 2\% | (5) | 338 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 10\% | (19) | 12\% | (24) | 42\% | (83) | 13\% | (25) | 23\% | (44) | 195 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 9\% | (13) | 23\% | (34) | 57\% | (85) | 6\% | (9) | 5\% | (7) | 148 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 29\% | (71) | 30\% | (71) | 38\% | (91) | 2\% | (4) | 2\% | (4) | 242 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 17\% | (30) | 14\% | (25) | 40\% | (72) | 10\% | (18) | 20\% | (35) | 180 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 33\% | (75) | 28\% | (64) | 37\% | (83) | 1\% | (2) | 1\% | (2) | 227 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 14\% | (29) | 15\% | (33) | 41\% | (87) | 11\% | (23) | 19\% | (41) | 214 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 13\% | (31) | 27\% | (65) | 50\% | (122) | 5\% | (13) | 5\% | (12) | 243 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 25\% | (99) | 20\% | (77) | 39\% | (153) | 6\% | (21) | 10\% | (40) | 390 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 12\% | (39) | 29\% | (94) | 47\% | (152) | 6\% | (18) | 6\% | (19) | 321 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 28\% | (42) | 23\% | (34) | 39\% | (58) | 4\% | (7) | 5\% | (8) | 149 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | $11 \%$ | (16) | 23\% | (32) | 46\% | (64) | 9\% | (13) | 11\% | (15) | 140 |
| 4-Region: South | 19\% | (50) | 22\% | (59) | 45\% | (118) | 4\% | (12) | 9\% | (24) | 264 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (29) | 28\% | (45) | 40\% | (64) | 5\% | (8) | 7\% | (12) | 158 |

[^153]Table MCBR11: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $36 \%$ | (265) | 40\% | (296) | 14\% | (104) | 10\% | (73) | 739 |
| Gender: Male | 42\% | (150) | $41 \%$ | (145) | $11 \%$ | (40) | 6\% | (22) | 357 |
| Gender: Female | 30\% | (115) | 40\% | (152) | 17\% | (64) | 13\% | (50) | 381 |
| Age: 18-34 | $36 \%$ | (83) | 39\% | (89) | 15\% | (35) | $9 \%$ | (22) | 229 |
| Age: 35-44 | 50\% | (57) | 35\% | (41) | $11 \%$ | (13) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 115 |
| Age: 45-64 | 33\% | (81) | 40\% | (98) | 16\% | (38) | $11 \%$ | (28) | 244 |
| Age: 65+ | 30\% | (44) | 46\% | (69) | 12\% | (18) | 13\% | (19) | 150 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 29\% | (35) | 39\% | (47) | 20\% | (24) | 12\% | (15) | 120 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 51\% | (98) | $34 \%$ | (65) | 10\% | (20) | $4 \%$ | (8) | 191 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 33\% | (58) | $42 \%$ | (73) | 15\% | (26) | 10\% | (18) | 174 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 29\% | (66) | 44\% | (103) | 14\% | (33) | 12\% | (29) | 231 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 48\% | (153) | 40\% | (125) | $8 \%$ | (26) | 4\% | (12) | 316 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 29\% | (60) | 40\% | (81) | 21\% | (43) | 10\% | (19) | 204 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 24\% | (53) | $41 \%$ | (90) | 16\% | (35) | 19\% | (41) | 219 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 56\% | (81) | 33\% | (48) | 8\% | (12) | $2 \%$ | (4) | 145 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 42\% | (71) | 45\% | (77) | 8\% | (14) | 5\% | (9) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 34\% | (35) | 43\% | (43) | 17\% | (18) | 6\% | (6) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 25\% | (25) | 37\% | (38) | 25\% | (25) | 13\% | (13) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 31\% | (34) | 49\% | (54) | 9\% | (10) | $11 \%$ | (13) | 111 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 17\% | (18) | 33\% | (36) | 23\% | (25) | 26\% | (29) | 109 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 48\% | (120) | 42\% | (103) | 7\% | (18) | 3\% | (7) | 249 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 35\% | (69) | 42\% | (84) | 15\% | (30) | 8\% | (17) | 199 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 26\% | (56) | 41\% | (88) | 16\% | (35) | 16\% | (35) | 214 |
| Educ: < College | $31 \%$ | (158) | $41 \%$ | (205) | 17\% | (86) | $11 \%$ | (56) | 506 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 44\% | (68) | $41 \%$ | (62) | 7\% | (11) | 8\% | (12) | 154 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 50\% | (40) | 36\% | (29) | 9\% | (7) | 5\% | (4) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 34\% | (126) | 40\% | (148) | 18\% | (65) | 9\% | (33) | 372 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 35\% | (82) | 41\% | (97) | 13\% | (30) | $11 \%$ | (27) | 235 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 44\% | (58) | 39\% | (52) | 6\% | (9) | 10\% | (13) | 131 |
| Ethnicity: White | 35\% | (207) | $41 \%$ | (244) | $14 \%$ | (86) | 10\% | (63) | 599 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 38\% | (46) | 46\% | (56) | 12\% | (15) | 5\% | (6) | 123 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 49\% | (38) | 29\% | (22) | $14 \%$ | (11) | 8\% | (6) | 77 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR11: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Very appropriate |  | Somewhat appropriate |  | Somewhat inappropriate |  | Very inappropriate |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 36\% | (265) | 40\% | (296) | 14\% | (104) | 10\% | (73) | 739 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 33\% | (21) | 49\% | (30) | 12\% | (8) | 6\% | (4) | 63 |
| All Christian | 39\% | (143) | 40\% | (146) | 10\% | (36) | 11\% | (40) | 365 |
| All Non-Christian | 52\% | (26) | 31\% | (15) | 10\% | (5) | 8\% | (4) | 50 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 30\% | (45) | 38\% | (56) | 24\% | (35) | 8\% | (13) | 150 |
| Something Else | 26\% | (38) | 44\% | (63) | 18\% | (26) | 11\% | (16) | 142 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 46\% | (26) | 33\% | (19) | 10\% | (6) | 11\% | (6) | 57 |
| Evangelical | 41\% | (97) | 35\% | (84) | 12\% | (29) | 12\% | (27) | 238 |
| Non-Evangelical | $31 \%$ | (79) | 47\% | (119) | 12\% | (32) | 10\% | (25) | 255 |
| Community: Urban | 44\% | (106) | 41\% | (99) | 9\% | (22) | 6\% | (15) | 242 |
| Community: Suburban | 34\% | (107) | 41\% | (129) | 15\% | (47) | 10\% | (32) | 315 |
| Community: Rural | 29\% | (53) | 37\% | (68) | 19\% | (35) | 14\% | (26) | 181 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 44\% | (92) | 37\% | (77) | 12\% | (26) | 7\% | (14) | 209 |
| Employ: Government | 40\% | (23) | 43\% | (25) | 6\% | (4) | 10\% | (6) | 58 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 40\% | (23) | 44\% | (25) | 7\% | (4) | 9\% | (5) | 57 |
| Employ: Student | 35\% | (18) | 44\% | (22) | 19\% | (9) | 2\% | (1) | 51 |
| Employ: Retired | 28\% | (46) | 45\% | (75) | 14\% | (23) | 14\% | (23) | 168 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 28\% | (28) | 40\% | (40) | 20\% | (20) | 11\% | (11) | 99 |
| Employ: Other | 28\% | (14) | 37\% | (19) | 24\% | (12) | 11\% | (6) | 52 |
| Military HH: Yes | 45\% | (52) | 36\% | (41) | 11\% | (13) | 8\% | (9) | 115 |
| Military HH: No | 34\% | (214) | 41\% | (255) | 15\% | (91) | 10\% | (64) | 624 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 43\% | (74) | 41\% | (71) | 8\% | (15) | 7\% | (13) | 172 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 34\% | (192) | 40\% | (225) | 16\% | (89) | 11\% | (60) | 566 |
| Trump Job Approve | 29\% | (77) | 36\% | (93) | 16\% | (43) | 19\% | (49) | 261 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 41\% | (185) | 43\% | (194) | 11\% | (52) | 5\% | (22) | 452 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 30\% | (50) | 35\% | (58) | 13\% | (21) | 22\% | (36) | 165 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 28\% | (27) | 36\% | (35) | 23\% | (22) | 13\% | (13) | 96 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 28\% | (22) | 56\% | (44) | 12\% | (9) | 5\% | (4) | 79 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 44\% | (163) | 40\% | (150) | 11\% | (43) | 5\% | (18) | 374 |
| Favorable of Trump | 26\% | (65) | 39\% | (99) | 16\% | (41) | 19\% | (47) | 253 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 42\% | (190) | 41\% | (184) | 12\% | (55) | 5\% | (23) | 452 |

[^154]Table MCBR11: Do you think the message above is appropriate or inappropriate for a brand to post on social media?

| Demographic | Somewhat <br> appropriate |  |  |  |  |  | Somewhat <br> inappropriate | Very inappropriate |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR12: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 20\% | (150) | 23\% | (173) | $41 \%$ | (304) | 8\% | (56) | 7\% | (55) | 739 |
| Gender: Male | 23\% | (83) | 26\% | (91) | 41\% | (146) | 6\% | (23) | 4\% | (14) | 357 |
| Gender: Female | 18\% | (67) | $21 \%$ | (82) | 41\% | (158) | 9\% | (33) | $11 \%$ | (41) | 381 |
| Age: 18-34 | 25\% | (57) | 24\% | (56) | 38\% | (86) | 7\% | (16) | 6\% | (14) | 229 |
| Age: 35-44 | 35\% | (41) | 20\% | (24) | 36\% | (41) | 7\% | (9) | 1\% | (2) | 115 |
| Age: 45-64 | 13\% | (32) | 26\% | (63) | 43\% | (105) | 10\% | (23) | 9\% | (21) | 244 |
| Age: 65+ | 14\% | (21) | 21\% | (31) | 47\% | (71) | 5\% | (8) | 13\% | (19) | 150 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 15\% | (18) | 17\% | (20) | 52\% | (63) | 9\% | (10) | 7\% | (8) | 120 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 39\% | (74) | 27\% | (52) | 25\% | (47) | 6\% | (12) | 3\% | (6) | 191 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 16\% | (27) | 24\% | (42) | 45\% | (78) | 9\% | (16) | 6\% | (10) | 174 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 12\% | (28) | 25\% | (57) | 44\% | (103) | 7\% | (16) | 12\% | (27) | 231 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | $31 \%$ | (97) | 27\% | (86) | 37\% | (116) | 3\% | (10) | 2\% | (6) | 316 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 16\% | (32) | 18\% | (37) | 48\% | (98) | $11 \%$ | (22) | 7\% | (14) | 204 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 10\% | (21) | 23\% | (49) | 41\% | (90) | $11 \%$ | (24) | 16\% | (35) | 219 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 41\% | (60) | 28\% | (41) | 28\% | (40) | 2\% | (3) | 1\% | (2) | 145 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 22\% | (38) | 27\% | (46) | 45\% | (76) | $4 \%$ | (7) | 3\% | (5) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 12\% | (12) | 21\% | (21) | 50\% | (51) | 12\% | (12) | 4\% | (5) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 20\% | (20) | 16\% | (16) | 45\% | (46) | 10\% | (10) | 9\% | (9) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 10\% | (11) | 27\% | (29) | 49\% | (54) | $7 \%$ | (8) | 7\% | (8) | 111 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 9\% | (10) | 18\% | (20) | 33\% | (35) | 15\% | (16) | 25\% | (27) | 109 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | $31 \%$ | (76) | 31\% | (77) | $33 \%$ | (81) | 4\% | (10) | 2\% | (5) | 249 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 16\% | (31) | 22\% | (44) | 49\% | (98) | 6\% | (11) | 7\% | (14) | 199 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 15\% | (32) | 19\% | (41) | 41\% | (88) | 10\% | (22) | 14\% | (30) | 214 |
| Educ: < College | 16\% | (82) | 20\% | (101) | 47\% | (239) | 8\% | (40) | 9\% | (43) | 506 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 28\% | (44) | 31\% | (48) | 27\% | (41) | 7\% | (11) | 6\% | (10) | 154 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 31\% | (24) | $31 \%$ | (24) | 29\% | (23) | 6\% | (5) | 3\% | (2) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 18\% | (67) | 20\% | (74) | 47\% | (176) | 8\% | (30) | 7\% | (25) | 372 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 21\% | (49) | 25\% | (59) | $37 \%$ | (86) | 10\% | (22) | 8\% | (18) | 235 |
| Income: 100k+ | 26\% | (34) | 30\% | (40) | 31\% | (41) | 3\% | (4) | 9\% | (12) | 131 |
| Ethnicity: White | 19\% | (113) | 23\% | (138) | 42\% | (251) | 8\% | (47) | 8\% | (50) | 599 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 31\% | (39) | 14\% | (18) | 47\% | (58) | 3\% | (4) | 4\% | (5) | 123 |
| Ethnicity: Black | $34 \%$ | (26) | 30\% | (23) | 28\% | (22) | 5\% | (4) | $2 \%$ | (2) | 77 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR12: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more <br> favorable |  | Somewhat |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| more favorable |  |  |  |  |

[^155]Table MCBR12: Would you have a more or less favorable view of a brand for posting the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more favorable |  | Somewhat more favorable |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less favorable |  | Much less favorable |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 20\% | (150) | 23\% | (173) | 41\% | (304) | 8\% | (56) | 7\% | (55) | 739 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (25) | 20\% | (32) | 35\% | (57) | 12\% | (19) | 18\% | (29) | 162 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 15\% | (14) | 25\% | (23) | 41\% | (37) | 10\% | (9) | 8\% | (7) | 90 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 23\% | (18) | 24\% | (19) | 37\% | (29) | 9\% | (7) | 6\% | (5) | 78 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 22\% | (84) | 25\% | (94) | 45\% | (167) | $4 \%$ | (16) | $4 \%$ | (13) | 374 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 17\% | (47) | 22\% | (60) | 43\% | (120) | 9\% | (26) | 9\% | (24) | 277 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 17\% | (17) | 15\% | (15) | 45\% | (46) | 8\% | (8) | 16\% | (16) | 103 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (27) | 25\% | (34) | 49\% | (66) | 4\% | (5) | $2 \%$ | (2) | 134 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 22\% | (18) | 29\% | (25) | $36 \%$ | (30) | 6\% | (5) | 7\% | (6) | 85 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $31 \%$ | (108) | 27\% | (95) | $36 \%$ | (125) | 4\% | (13) | $2 \%$ | (7) | 348 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 11\% | (27) | 20\% | (47) | 44\% | (104) | 10\% | (23) | 14\% | (34) | 234 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 10\% | (13) | 22\% | (28) | 45\% | (58) | 14\% | (17) | 10\% | (12) | 129 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | $32 \%$ | (88) | 28\% | (77) | 35\% | (97) | 3\% | (8) | 3\% | (7) | 276 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 11\% | (22) | 20\% | (40) | 42\% | (82) | 10\% | (19) | 16\% | (32) | 193 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 30\% | (74) | 29\% | (71) | 36\% | (87) | 3\% | (7) | $2 \%$ | (4) | 244 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 13\% | (28) | 22\% | (48) | 41\% | (87) | 9\% | (19) | 14\% | (30) | 212 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (45) | 20\% | (50) | 44\% | (110) | 10\% | (26) | 8\% | (20) | 250 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 20\% | (84) | 26\% | (107) | 39\% | (161) | 6\% | (25) | 8\% | (34) | 412 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 20\% | (66) | 20\% | (66) | 44\% | (142) | 10\% | (31) | 6\% | (21) | 326 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 26\% | (32) | 29\% | (36) | $30 \%$ | (38) | 6\% | (7) | 9\% | (12) | 125 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 16\% | (25) | 17\% | (27) | 50\% | (79) | 8\% | (13) | 9\% | (14) | 158 |
| 4-Region: South | 19\% | (49) | 27\% | (70) | 39\% | (99) | 6\% | (15) | 9\% | (22) | 255 |
| 4-Region: West | 22\% | (44) | 20\% | (41) | 43\% | (87) | $11 \%$ | (22) | $4 \%$ | (7) | 201 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR13: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (140) | 16\% | (117) | 52\% | (382) | 6\% | (47) | 7\% | (53) | 739 |
| Gender: Male | 23\% | (81) | 16\% | (57) | 52\% | (185) | 5\% | (19) | 4\% | (15) | 357 |
| Gender: Female | 15\% | (59) | 16\% | (59) | 52\% | (197) | 7\% | (28) | 10\% | (38) | 381 |
| Age: 18-34 | 22\% | (50) | $21 \%$ | (49) | 45\% | (103) | 6\% | (13) | 6\% | (15) | 229 |
| Age: 35-44 | $36 \%$ | (42) | 13\% | (15) | 47\% | (54) | $2 \%$ | (3) | 1\% | (2) | 115 |
| Age: 45-64 | 14\% | (34) | 15\% | (37) | 54\% | (131) | 9\% | (21) | 9\% | (22) | 244 |
| Age: 65+ | 10\% | (15) | $11 \%$ | (16) | 62\% | (94) | 7\% | (11) | 10\% | (14) | 150 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | $11 \%$ | (14) | 19\% | (23) | 54\% | (65) | 9\% | (11) | 7\% | (8) | 120 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 38\% | (73) | 19\% | (36) | 37\% | (71) | 2\% | (4) | $4 \%$ | (7) | 191 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 15\% | (27) | 17\% | (29) | 53\% | (93) | 8\% | (13) | 7\% | (12) | 174 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 10\% | (24) | 12\% | (27) | 61\% | (140) | 8\% | (18) | 9\% | (22) | 231 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 28\% | (90) | 21\% | (68) | 45\% | (142) | 3\% | (8) | 3\% | (8) | 316 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 10\% | (21) | 13\% | (26) | 61\% | (124) | 10\% | (20) | 6\% | (12) | 204 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | 13\% | (29) | 10\% | (23) | 53\% | (116) | 9\% | (19) | 15\% | (33) | 219 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 40\% | (58) | 20\% | (29) | 37\% | (54) | 1\% | (1) | 2\% | (2) | 145 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 18\% | (31) | 23\% | (39) | 51\% | (88) | 4\% | (7) | 3\% | (6) | 171 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 7\% | (7) | 14\% | (15) | 63\% | (65) | 10\% | (11) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 13\% | (14) | $11 \%$ | (11) | 58\% | (59) | 10\% | (10) | 7\% | (7) | 102 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 14\% | (15) | 13\% | (14) | 59\% | (66) | 7\% | (8) | 7\% | (8) | 111 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 13\% | (14) | 8\% | (9) | 46\% | (50) | 10\% | (11) | $23 \%$ | (25) | 109 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 26\% | (65) | 26\% | (65) | 43\% | (106) | $4 \%$ | (9) | 2\% | (4) | 249 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 16\% | (32) | 14\% | (28) | 57\% | (113) | 6\% | (12) | 6\% | (12) | 199 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 16\% | (34) | 10\% | (21) | 54\% | (116) | 7\% | (15) | 13\% | (28) | 214 |
| Educ: < College | 14\% | (71) | 13\% | (66) | 58\% | (294) | 7\% | (33) | 8\% | (41) | 506 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 29\% | (44) | 22\% | (33) | 37\% | (57) | 6\% | (10) | 6\% | (10) | 154 |
| Educ: Post-grad | $32 \%$ | (25) | 22\% | (17) | 39\% | (31) | 5\% | (4) | 2\% | (2) | 79 |
| Income: Under 50k | 15\% | (54) | 14\% | (54) | 58\% | (216) | 7\% | (26) | 6\% | (23) | 372 |
| Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 22\% | (51) | 18\% | (43) | $44 \%$ | (104) | 8\% | (18) | 8\% | (19) | 235 |
| Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 27\% | (35) | 15\% | (20) | 47\% | (62) | 3\% | (4) | 8\% | (11) | 131 |
| Ethnicity: White | 18\% | (110) | 15\% | (91) | 52\% | (312) | 6\% | (39) | 8\% | (46) | 599 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | $31 \%$ | (38) | 14\% | (18) | $44 \%$ | (55) | 6\% | (8) | $4 \%$ | (5) | 123 |

[^156]Table MCBR13: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (140) | 16\% | (117) | 52\% | (382) | 6\% | (47) | 7\% | (53) | 739 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 28\% | (22) | 18\% | (14) | 48\% | (37) | 3\% | (2) | 2\% | (2) | 77 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 13\% | (8) | 19\% | (12) | 52\% | (32) | 10\% | (6) | 7\% | (5) | 63 |
| All Christian | 22\% | (80) | 17\% | (62) | 45\% | (162) | 6\% | (23) | 10\% | (37) | 365 |
| All Non-Christian | $31 \%$ | (16) | 21\% | (10) | 42\% | (21) | 5\% | (3) | 1\% | (0) | 50 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 11\% | (16) | 12\% | (18) | 67\% | (100) | 5\% | (8) | 6\% | (9) | 150 |
| Something Else | 19\% | (27) | $11 \%$ | (15) | 57\% | (82) | 9\% | (12) | 5\% | (7) | 142 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 27\% | (16) | 20\% | (11) | 41\% | (23) | 4\% | (3) | 8\% | (4) | 57 |
| Evangelical | 27\% | (65) | 13\% | (32) | 45\% | (108) | 8\% | (18) | 6\% | (15) | 238 |
| Non-Evangelical | 15\% | (39) | 16\% | (41) | 52\% | (133) | 7\% | (17) | 9\% | (24) | 255 |
| Community: Urban | 29\% | (71) | 20\% | (48) | 42\% | (103) | 4\% | (11) | 4\% | (10) | 242 |
| Community: Suburban | 15\% | (48) | 15\% | (47) | 53\% | (168) | 8\% | (26) | 8\% | (26) | 315 |
| Community: Rural | 12\% | (21) | 12\% | (22) | 61\% | (111) | 6\% | (11) | 9\% | (16) | 181 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 28\% | (59) | 19\% | (39) | 42\% | (87) | 6\% | (14) | 5\% | (11) | 209 |
| Employ: Government | 32\% | (19) | 24\% | (14) | 33\% | (19) | 5\% | (3) | 6\% | (3) | 58 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 19\% | (11) | 19\% | (11) | 53\% | (30) | 7\% | (4) | 2\% | (1) | 57 |
| Employ: Student | 17\% | (8) | 11\% | (5) | 54\% | (27) | 16\% | (8) | 2\% | (1) | 51 |
| Employ: Retired | 11\% | (19) | 11\% | (18) | 60\% | (101) | 6\% | (11) | 12\% | (20) | 168 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 4\% | (4) | 17\% | (17) | 66\% | (65) | 3\% | (3) | 11\% | (11) | 99 |
| Employ: Other | 16\% | (8) | 11\% | (6) | 65\% | (33) | 5\% | (2) | 4\% | (2) | 52 |
| Military HH: Yes | 23\% | (27) | 14\% | (16) | 50\% | (58) | 6\% | (7) | 7\% | (8) | 115 |
| Military HH: No | 18\% | (114) | 16\% | (101) | 52\% | (324) | 6\% | (40) | 7\% | (45) | 624 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | 28\% | (48) | 20\% | (34) | 44\% | (75) | 5\% | (8) | 3\% | (6) | 172 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (92) | 15\% | (83) | 54\% | (306) | 7\% | (39) | 8\% | (47) | 566 |
| Trump Job Approve | 20\% | (51) | 12\% | (30) | 47\% | (122) | 9\% | (23) | 13\% | (34) | 261 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 20\% | (88) | 19\% | (85) | 54\% | (243) | 5\% | (21) | 3\% | (16) | 452 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 22\% | (37) | 10\% | (17) | 45\% | (74) | 6\% | (10) | 16\% | (27) | 165 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 15\% | (14) | 14\% | (13) | 50\% | (49) | 14\% | (13) | 8\% | (7) | 96 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 22\% | (17) | 18\% | (15) | 51\% | (40) | 5\% | (4) | 4\% | (3) | 79 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 19\% | (71) | 19\% | (70) | 54\% | (203) | 5\% | (17) | 3\% | (13) | 374 |

[^157]Table MCBR13: Would you be more or less likely to purchase products or services from a brand if it posted the above message on social media, or would it have no impact?

| Demographic | Much more likely |  | Somewhat more likely |  | No impact |  | Somewhat less likely |  | Much less likely |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 19\% | (140) | 16\% | (117) | 52\% | (382) | 6\% | (47) | 7\% | (53) | 739 |
| Favorable of Trump | 17\% | (43) | 12\% | (31) | 47\% | (118) | 10\% | (24) | 14\% | (36) | 253 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 20\% | (89) | 19\% | (84) | 54\% | (245) | 4\% | (19) | 3\% | (16) | 452 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | 19\% | (30) | 10\% | (16) | 44\% | (71) | 11\% | (18) | 17\% | (27) | 162 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 14\% | (13) | 16\% | (15) | 52\% | (47) | 7\% | (6) | 10\% | (9) | 90 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 25\% | (20) | 15\% | (12) | 45\% | (35) | 11\% | (9) | 4\% | (3) | 78 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | 18\% | (69) | 19\% | (72) | 56\% | (210) | 3\% | (11) | 3\% | (13) | 374 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 17\% | (47) | 16\% | (44) | 53\% | (146) | 6\% | (16) | 9\% | (24) | 277 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 15\% | (16) | 6\% | (6) | 55\% | (56) | 12\% | (13) | 12\% | (12) | 103 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 20\% | (27) | 18\% | (25) | 54\% | (73) | 5\% | (7) | 2\% | (3) | 134 |
| \# 1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 19\% | (16) | 14\% | (12) | 52\% | (44) | 7\% | (6) | 9\% | (7) | 85 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 27\% | (94) | 21\% | (72) | 47\% | (164) | 3\% | (11) | 2\% | (7) | 348 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (28) | 13\% | (30) | 54\% | (127) | 8\% | (20) | 13\% | (30) | 234 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $14 \%$ | (18) | 7\% | (9) | 58\% | (74) | 11\% | (14) | $11 \%$ | (14) | 129 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 28\% | (77) | 22\% | (61) | 44\% | (123) | 2\% | (6) | $3 \%$ | (9) | 276 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 10\% | (19) | 14\% | (28) | 51\% | (98) | 10\% | (20) | 14\% | (28) | 193 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 27\% | (67) | 23\% | (55) | 46\% | (113) | 3\% | (6) | 1\% | (3) | 244 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 12\% | (26) | 13\% | (28) | $51 \%$ | (109) | 10\% | (21) | 13\% | (29) | 212 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 18\% | (46) | 12\% | (30) | 55\% | (139) | 7\% | (16) | 8\% | (20) | 250 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 17\% | (71) | 18\% | (72) | 51\% | (210) | 6\% | (26) | 8\% | (32) | 412 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 21\% | (69) | 14\% | (45) | 53\% | (172) | 6\% | (21) | 6\% | (20) | 326 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 25\% | (31) | 16\% | (20) | 46\% | (58) | 4\% | (5) | 9\% | (11) | 125 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 12\% | (19) | 10\% | (15) | 65\% | (102) | 6\% | (10) | 7\% | (12) | 158 |
| 4-Region: South | 21\% | (52) | 14\% | (37) | 51\% | (129) | 6\% | (16) | 8\% | (20) | 255 |
| 4-Region: West | 19\% | (38) | 22\% | (45) | 46\% | (92) | 8\% | (16) | 5\% | (9) | 201 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

Table MCBR14: Which of the following brand statements do you think is the best response to the U.S. Capitol riot on Wednesday, January 6, 2021?

| Demographic | 210113 brand statement twitter tweet |  | 210113 brand statement twitter formal message |  | $210$ <br> statemen | 3 brand witter notes pp | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (373) | 42\% | (929) | 41\% | (898) | 2200 |
| Gender: Male | 17\% | (183) | 38\% | (408) | 44\% | (471) | 1062 |
| Gender: Female | 17\% | (190) | 46\% | (521) | 38\% | (427) | 1138 |
| Age: 18-34 | 20\% | (131) | 46\% | (304) | 34\% | (220) | 655 |
| Age: 35-44 | 19\% | (68) | 38\% | (135) | 43\% | (155) | 358 |
| Age: 45-64 | 16\% | (121) | 44\% | (329) | 40\% | (301) | 751 |
| Age: 65+ | 12\% | (53) | 37\% | (161) | 51\% | (223) | 436 |
| GenZers: 1997-2012 | 16\% | (53) | 53\% | (176) | $31 \%$ | (102) | 331 |
| Millennials: 1981-1996 | 23\% | (135) | 38\% | (228) | 39\% | (236) | 599 |
| GenXers: 1965-1980 | 17\% | (88) | 46\% | (242) | 38\% | (201) | 531 |
| Baby Boomers: 1946-1964 | 12\% | (80) | 39\% | (259) | 49\% | (320) | 659 |
| PID: Dem (no lean) | 13\% | (120) | 46\% | (415) | 41\% | (376) | 912 |
| PID: Ind (no lean) | 18\% | (117) | 43\% | (281) | 39\% | (254) | 652 |
| PID: Rep (no lean) | $21 \%$ | (136) | 37\% | (232) | 42\% | (268) | 636 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Men | 14\% | (60) | 43\% | (182) | 43\% | (182) | 424 |
| PID/Gender: Dem Women | 12\% | (60) | 48\% | (233) | 40\% | (194) | 488 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Men | 19\% | (58) | 36\% | (110) | 45\% | (138) | 306 |
| PID/Gender: Ind Women | 17\% | (59) | 49\% | (171) | 34\% | (117) | 346 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Men | 20\% | (65) | 35\% | (116) | 45\% | (151) | 332 |
| PID/Gender: Rep Women | 23\% | (71) | 38\% | (117) | 38\% | (116) | 304 |
| Ideo: Liberal (1-3) | 12\% | (80) | 49\% | (321) | 39\% | (253) | 655 |
| Ideo: Moderate (4) | 18\% | (115) | 39\% | (254) | 43\% | (275) | 644 |
| Ideo: Conservative (5-7) | 18\% | (121) | 37\% | (246) | 45\% | (296) | 663 |
| Educ: < College | 18\% | (267) | 43\% | (649) | 39\% | (596) | 1512 |
| Educ: Bachelors degree | 15\% | (66) | 43\% | (189) | 43\% | (189) | 444 |
| Educ: Post-grad | 16\% | (40) | 37\% | (91) | 46\% | (113) | 244 |
| Income: Under 50k | 18\% | (199) | 41\% | (461) | 41\% | (461) | 1121 |
| Income: 50k-100k | 16\% | (108) | 46\% | (314) | 38\% | (258) | 681 |
| Income: 100k+ | 17\% | (66) | 38\% | (153) | 45\% | (179) | 398 |
| Ethnicity: White | 18\% | (303) | 42\% | (720) | 41\% | (698) | 1722 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic | 19\% | (66) | $41 \%$ | (142) | 40\% | (141) | 349 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR14: Which of the following brand statements do you think is the best response to the U.S. Capitol riot on Wednesday, January 6, 2021?

| Demographic | 210113 brand statement twitter tweet |  | 210113 brand statement twitter formal message |  | 210113 brand statement twitter notes app |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (373) | 42\% | (929) | 41\% | (898) | 2200 |
| Ethnicity: Black | 12\% | (34) | 45\% | (123) | 43\% | (117) | 274 |
| Ethnicity: Other | 17\% | (36) | 42\% | (86) | 40\% | (83) | 204 |
| All Christian | 15\% | (162) | 40\% | (430) | 44\% | (472) | 1064 |
| All Non-Christian | 19\% | (28) | 39\% | (56) | 42\% | (59) | 143 |
| Atheist | $21 \%$ | (17) | 47\% | (37) | $32 \%$ | (26) | 80 |
| Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 18\% | (91) | 45\% | (228) | 37\% | (187) | 506 |
| Something Else | 19\% | (76) | 44\% | (178) | 38\% | (154) | 408 |
| Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 21\% | (34) | 39\% | (61) | 40\% | (63) | 158 |
| Evangelical | 18\% | (120) | 39\% | (262) | 44\% | (296) | 678 |
| Non-Evangelical | 14\% | (106) | 44\% | (334) | 42\% | (320) | 760 |
| Community: Urban | 18\% | (132) | 37\% | (271) | 44\% | (322) | 725 |
| Community: Suburban | 14\% | (133) | 46\% | (434) | 39\% | (367) | 934 |
| Community: Rural | 20\% | (108) | 41\% | (223) | 39\% | (210) | 541 |
| Employ: Private Sector | 17\% | (113) | 41\% | (268) | 42\% | (273) | 654 |
| Employ: Government | 17\% | (27) | 40\% | (62) | 42\% | (65) | 153 |
| Employ: Self-Employed | 15\% | (28) | 35\% | (63) | 49\% | (88) | 178 |
| Employ: Homemaker | 18\% | (21) | 47\% | (54) | 35\% | (40) | 115 |
| Employ: Student | 18\% | (25) | 45\% | (64) | 37\% | (51) | 140 |
| Employ: Retired | 13\% | (63) | 40\% | (198) | 47\% | (235) | 496 |
| Employ: Unemployed | 20\% | (61) | 48\% | (145) | 32\% | (98) | 305 |
| Employ: Other | $22 \%$ | (35) | 47\% | (75) | 30\% | (48) | 158 |
| Military HH: Yes | 15\% | (59) | 40\% | (151) | 45\% | (172) | 381 |
| Military HH: No | 17\% | (314) | 43\% | (778) | 40\% | (727) | 1819 |
| RD/WT: Right Direction | $21 \%$ | (112) | $34 \%$ | (180) | 45\% | (237) | 529 |
| RD/WT: Wrong Track | 16\% | (261) | 45\% | (748) | 40\% | (662) | 1671 |
| Trump Job Approve | 25\% | (193) | 32\% | (255) | 43\% | (339) | 787 |
| Trump Job Disapprove | 12\% | (159) | 48\% | (640) | 40\% | (537) | 1336 |

Continued on next page

Table MCBR14: Which of the following brand statements do you think is the best response to the U.S. Capitol riot on Wednesday, January 6, 2021?

| Demographic | 210113 brand statement twitter tweet |  | 210113 brand statement twitter formal message |  | $210$ <br> statemen | 3 brand witter notes pp | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | $17 \%$ | (373) | 42\% | (929) | 41\% | (898) | 2200 |
| Trump Job Strongly Approve | 25\% | (118) | $31 \%$ | (149) | 44\% | (208) | 476 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Approve | $24 \%$ | (75) | $34 \%$ | (106) | 42\% | (130) | 311 |
| Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 17\% | (45) | 41\% | (110) | 43\% | (116) | 271 |
| Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | $11 \%$ | (115) | 50\% | (530) | 40\% | (421) | 1066 |
| Favorable of Trump | 24\% | (191) | 32\% | (255) | 43\% | (343) | 789 |
| Unfavorable of Trump | 12\% | (158) | 48\% | (632) | 40\% | (519) | 1308 |
| Very Favorable of Trump | $24 \%$ | (115) | $31 \%$ | (144) | 45\% | (212) | 472 |
| Somewhat Favorable of Trump | $24 \%$ | (76) | 35\% | (110) | $41 \%$ | (131) | 318 |
| Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 17\% | (36) | 35\% | (76) | 48\% | (104) | 216 |
| Very Unfavorable of Trump | $11 \%$ | (121) | $51 \%$ | (556) | 38\% | (415) | 1092 |
| \# 1 Issue: Economy | 17\% | (150) | 44\% | (375) | 39\% | (335) | 860 |
| \# 1 Issue: Security | 23\% | (67) | 36\% | (103) | $41 \%$ | (119) | 289 |
| \# 1 Issue: Health Care | 10\% | (35) | 44\% | (156) | 46\% | (161) | 351 |
| \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 16\% | (43) | 44\% | (116) | 40\% | (107) | 267 |
| \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 20\% | (19) | 41\% | (40) | 40\% | (39) | 98 |
| \#1 Issue: Education | 19\% | (21) | 35\% | (39) | 46\% | (51) | 111 |
| \# 1 Issue: Energy | 12\% | (10) | 47\% | (39) | 41\% | (34) | 83 |
| \#1 Issue: Other | 20\% | (28) | 43\% | (61) | 37\% | (52) | 141 |
| 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | $11 \%$ | (114) | 47\% | (478) | 42\% | (432) | 1024 |
| 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | $22 \%$ | (146) | 35\% | (236) | 43\% | (284) | 667 |
| 2020 Vote: Other | $21 \%$ | (18) | 48\% | (40) | $31 \%$ | (26) | 84 |
| 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | $22 \%$ | (94) | 41\% | (172) | 37\% | (155) | 421 |
| 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 13\% | (97) | 45\% | (346) | 43\% | (331) | 774 |
| 2018 House Vote: Republican | 20\% | (112) | 35\% | (197) | 45\% | (249) | 558 |
| 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | $11 \%$ | (76) | 46\% | (320) | 44\% | (307) | 703 |
| 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 20\% | (132) | 36\% | (234) | 43\% | (281) | 647 |
| 2016 Vote: Other | 17\% | (15) | 45\% | (39) | 38\% | (33) | 87 |
| 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 20\% | (150) | 44\% | (332) | 37\% | (278) | 759 |
| Voted in 2014: Yes | 15\% | (179) | $41 \%$ | (494) | 45\% | (543) | 1216 |
| Voted in 2014: No | 20\% | (194) | $44 \%$ | (435) | 36\% | (356) | 984 |
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Table MCBR14: Which of the following brand statements do you think is the best response to the U.S. Capitol riot on Wednesday, January 6, 2021?

| Demographic | 210113 brand statement twitter tweet |  | 210113 brand statement twitter formal message |  | 210113 brand statement twitter notes app |  | Total N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults | 17\% | (373) | 42\% | (929) | 41\% | (898) | 2200 |
| 4-Region: Northeast | 19\% | (73) | 42\% | (164) | 40\% | (157) | 394 |
| 4-Region: Midwest | 15\% | (71) | 45\% | (206) | 40\% | (185) | 462 |
| 4-Region: South | 19\% | (156) | 41\% | (341) | 40\% | (327) | 824 |
| 4-Region: West | 14\% | (73) | $42 \%$ | (218) | 44\% | (229) | 520 |

Note: Row proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. For more information visit MorningConsultIntelligence.com.

## Respondent Demographics Summary

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xdemAll | Adults | 2200 | 100\% |
| xdemGender | Gender: Male Gender: Female $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1062 \\ 1138 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & 52 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| age | Age: 18-34 <br> Age: 35-44 <br> Age: 45-64 <br> Age: 65+ <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 655 \\ 358 \\ 751 \\ 436 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ 16 \% \\ 34 \% \\ 20 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| demAgeGeneration | GenZers: 1997-2012 Millennials: 1981-1996 GenXers: 1965-1980 Baby Boomers: $1946-1964$ $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 331 \\ 599 \\ 531 \\ 659 \\ 2121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ 27 \% \\ 24 \% \\ 30 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| xpid3 | PID: Dem (no lean) <br> PID: Ind (no lean) <br> PID: Rep (no lean) <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 912 \\ 652 \\ 636 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & 30 \% \\ & 29 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xpidGender | PID/Gender: Dem Men PID/Gender: Dem Women PID/Gender: Ind Men PID/Gender: Ind Women PID/Gender: Rep Men PID/Gender: Rep Women | $\begin{array}{r} 424 \\ 488 \\ 306 \\ 346 \\ 332 \\ 304 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 22 \% \\ 14 \% \\ 16 \% \\ 15 \% \\ 14 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| xdemIdeo3 | Ideo: Liberal (1-3) <br> Ideo: Moderate (4) <br> Ideo: Conservative (5-7) <br> N | $\begin{array}{r} 655 \\ 644 \\ 663 \\ 1962 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 29 \% \\ & 30 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| xeduc3 | Educ: < College <br> Educ: Bachelors degree <br> Educ: Post-grad <br> $N$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1512 \\ 444 \\ 244 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \% \\ 20 \% \\ 11 \% \end{array}$ |

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xdemInc3 | Income: Under 50k | 1121 | 51\% |
|  | Income: $50 \mathrm{k}-100 \mathrm{k}$ | 681 | $31 \%$ |
|  | Income: $100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 398 | 18\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| xdemWhite | Ethnicity: White | 1722 | 78\% |
| xdemHispBin | Ethnicity: Hispanic | 349 | 16\% |
| demBlackBin | Ethnicity: Black | 274 | 12\% |
| demRaceOther | Ethnicity: Other | 204 | 9\% |
| xdemReligion | All Christian | 1064 | 48\% |
|  | All Non-Christian | 143 | 6\% |
|  | Atheist | 80 | 4\% |
|  | Agnostic/Nothing in particular | 506 | 23\% |
|  | Something Else | 408 | 19\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| xdemReligOther | Religious Non-Protestant/Catholic | 158 | 7\% |
| xdemEvang | Evangelical | 678 | 31\% |
|  | Non-Evangelical | 760 | 35\% |
|  | $N$ | 1438 |  |
| xdemUsr | Community: Urban | 725 | 33\% |
|  | Community: Suburban | 934 | 42\% |
|  | Community: Rural | 541 | 25\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| xdemEmploy | Employ: Private Sector | 654 | 30\% |
|  | Employ: Government | 153 | 7\% |
|  | Employ: Self-Employed | 178 | 8\% |
|  | Employ: Homemaker | 115 | 5\% |
|  | Employ: Student | 140 | 6\% |
|  | Employ: Retired | 496 | 23\% |
|  | Employ: Unemployed | 305 | 14\% |
|  | Employ: Other | 158 | 7\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| xdemMilHH1 | Military HH: Yes | 381 | 17\% |
|  | Military HH: No | 1819 | 83\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |

Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xnr1 | RD/WT: Right Direction | 529 | 24\% |
|  | RD/WT: Wrong Track | 1671 | 76\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| Trump_Approve | Trump Job Approve | 787 | $36 \%$ |
|  | Trump Job Disapprove | 1336 | 61\% |
|  | $N$ | 2123 |  |
| Trump_Approve2 | Trump Job Strongly Approve | 476 | 22\% |
|  | Trump Job Somewhat Approve | 311 | 14\% |
|  | Trump Job Somewhat Disapprove | 271 | 12\% |
|  | Trump Job Strongly Disapprove | 1066 | 48\% |
|  | $N$ | 2123 |  |
| Trump_Fav | Favorable of Trump | 789 | $36 \%$ |
|  | Unfavorable of Trump | 1308 | 59\% |
|  | $N$ | 2098 |  |
| Trump_Fav_FULL | Very Favorable of Trump | 472 | 21\% |
|  | Somewhat Favorable of Trump | 318 | 14\% |
|  | Somewhat Unfavorable of Trump | 216 | 10\% |
|  | Very Unfavorable of Trump | 1092 | 50\% |
|  | $N$ | 2098 |  |
| xnr3 | \#1 Issue: Economy | 860 | 39\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Security | 289 | 13\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Health Care | 351 | 16\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Medicare / Social Security | 267 | 12\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Women's Issues | 98 | 4\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Education | 111 | 5\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Energy | 83 | 4\% |
|  | \#1 Issue: Other | 141 | 6\% |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |
| xsubVote200 | 2020 Vote: Joe Biden | 1024 | 47\% |
|  | 2020 Vote: Donald Trump | 667 | 30\% |
|  | 2020 Vote: Other | 84 | $4 \%$ |
|  | 2020 Vote: Didn't Vote | 421 | 19\% |
|  | $N$ | 2196 |  |
| xsubVote18O | 2018 House Vote: Democrat | 774 | 35\% |
|  | 2018 House Vote: Republican | 558 | 25\% |
|  | 2018 House Vote: Someone else | 45 | 2\% |
|  | $N$ | 1377 |  |
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Summary Statistics of Survey Respondent Demographics

| Demographic | Group | Frequency | Percentage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| xsubVote16O | 2016 Vote: Hillary Clinton | 703 | $32 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 Vote: Donald Trump | 647 | $29 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 Vote: Other | 87 | $4 \%$ |  |
|  | 2016 Vote: Didn't Vote | 759 | $35 \%$ |  |
| xsubVote14O | $N$ | 2196 |  |  |
|  |  | Voted in 2014: Yes | 1216 | $55 \%$ |
|  | Voted in 2014: No | 984 | $45 \%$ |  |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |  |
| xreg4 | 4-Region: Northeast | 394 | $18 \%$ |  |
|  | 4-Region: Midwest | 462 | $21 \%$ |  |
|  | 4-Region: South | 824 | $37 \%$ |  |
|  | 4-Region: West | 520 | $24 \%$ |  |
|  | $N$ | 2200 |  |  |

Note: Group proportions may total to larger than one-hundred percent due to rounding. All statistics are calculated with demographic post-stratification weights applied.
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